

# Evaluating Performance of Tarp Classifiers

Tom Lever

06/08/2023

We use 10-fold cross-validation to evaluate the performance of 5 classifiers. A classifier will classify a pixel as belonging to a class in the set  $\{Tarp, Vegetation\}$ . A pixel is represented by a tuple of 3 integers. These integers represent intensities of color red, green, and blue and lie between 0 and 255 inclusive.

We load a data frame of classes and pixels based on an orthorectified image of Haiti at <https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/billbasener/pixel-values-from-images-over-haiti?datasetId=1899167>.

```
data_frame_of_classes_and_pixels <- read.csv(file = 'Data_Frame_Of_Classes_And_Pixels.csv')
head(x = data_frame_of_classes_and_pixels, n = 3)
```

```
#      Class Red Green Blue
# 1 Vegetation 64    67  50
# 2 Vegetation 64    67  50
# 3 Vegetation 64    66  49
```

TODO: Consider 5 number summaries and means and standard deviations of Red, Green, and Blue. and across classes.

According to <https://stats.oarc.ucla.edu/r/dae/multinomial-logistic-regression/>, “Below we use the `multinom` function from the `nnet` package to estimate a multinomial logistic regression model... we need to choose the level of our outcome that we wish to use as our baseline and specify this in the `relevel` function. Then, we run our model using `multinom`. The `multinom` package does not include p-value calculation for the regression coefficients, so we calculate p-values using Wald tests (here [two-tailed] z-tests).”

```
library(nnet)
factor_Class <- factor(x = data_frame_of_classes_and_pixels$Class)
data_frame_of_classes_and_pixels$Class <- relevel(
  x = factor_Class,
  ref = "Blue Tarp"
)
logistic_regression_model <- nnet::multinom(
  formula = Class ~ Red + Green + Blue,
  data = data_frame_of_classes_and_pixels
)
```

```
# # weights:  25 (16 variable)
# initial  value 101782.463020
# iter   10 value 77447.218043
# iter   20 value 29230.666252
# iter   30 value 24123.130528
# iter   40 value 23510.543863
```

```
# iter 50 value 23143.504515
# final value 23072.994639
# converged
```

```
summary_of_logistic_regression_model <- summary(object = logistic_regression_model)
summary_of_logistic_regression_model
```

```
# Call:
# nnet::multinom(formula = Class ~ Red + Green + Blue, data = data_frame_of_classes_and_pixels)
#
# Coefficients:
#              (Intercept)          Red          Green          Blue
# Rooftop          -3.001052  0.2394754  0.08565332 -0.3060410
# Soil             -11.994187  0.3168945  0.13645875 -0.4121712
# Various Non-Tarp  -2.917270  0.2516826  0.12434250 -0.3717489
# Vegetation        18.034812  0.1572812  0.38224636 -0.8164165
#
# Std. Errors:
#              (Intercept)          Red          Green          Blue
# Rooftop          0.062157114  0.009033954  0.01213455  0.01209590
# Soil             0.086030376  0.008986432  0.01224512  0.01220922
# Various Non-Tarp  0.065428816  0.009095895  0.01226350  0.01221860
# Vegetation        0.003927454  0.011140036  0.01380552  0.01443139
#
# Residual Deviance: 46145.99
# AIC: 46177.99
```

```
coefficients <- summary_of_logistic_regression_model$coefficients
standard_errors <- summary_of_logistic_regression_model$standard.errors
z_scores <- coefficients / standard_errors
magnitudes_of_z_score <- abs(x = z_scores)
cumulative_density_function_values <- pnorm(q = magnitudes_of_z_score, mean = 0, sd = 1)
areas_in_one_tail <- 1 - cumulative_density_function_values
p_values <- areas_in_one_tail * 2
p_values
```

```
#              (Intercept) Red          Green Blue
# Rooftop          0  0  1.681544e-12    0
# Soil             0  0  0.000000e+00    0
# Various Non-Tarp  0  0  0.000000e+00    0
# Vegetation        0  0  0.000000e+00    0
```

The final negative log-likelihood  $l$  of our logistic regression model is 23,072.995. The residual deviance  $d = 2l = 46,145.990$ .

TODO: Compare nested logistic regression models using residual deviance  $d$ .

The summary for our logistic regression model includes a data frame of coefficients. Each row of coefficients corresponds to a model equation. Interpreting the rows,

$$\ln \left[ \frac{P(\text{Class}=\text{Rooftop})}{P(\text{Class}=\text{Blue Tarp})} \right] = \beta_{\text{Rooftop, Intercept}} + \beta_{\text{Rooftop, Red}} \text{Red} + \beta_{\text{Rooftop, Green}} \text{Green} + \beta_{\text{Rooftop, Blue}} \text{Blue} \\ = -3.001 + 0.239 \text{Red} + 0.0857 \text{Green} - 0.306 \text{Blue}$$

$$\ln \left[ \frac{P(\text{Class}=\text{Soil})}{P(\text{Class}=\text{Blue Tarp})} \right] = \beta_{\text{Soil, Intercept}} + \beta_{\text{Soil, Red}} \text{Red} + \beta_{\text{Soil, Green}} \text{Green} + \beta_{\text{Soil, Blue}} \text{Blue}$$

$$= -11.994 + 0.317 \text{Red} + 0.136 \text{Green} - 0.412 \text{Blue}$$

Odds and relative risk are synonymous. An increase of 1 unit in predictor *Red* is associated with a change of 0.239 in the log odds of a pixel depicting a rooftop versus a pixel depicting a blue tarp. An increase of 1 unit in predictor *Blue* is associated with a change of  $-0.412$  in the log odds of a pixel depicting soil versus a pixel depicting a blue tarp. Each predictor coefficient represents the log odds for a change of 1 unit in the predictor.

```
exp(x = coefficients)
```

```
#           (Intercept)      Red      Green      Blue
# Rooftop      4.973474e-02  1.270582  1.089429  0.7363565
# Soil         6.180031e-06  1.372858  1.146208  0.6622109
# Various Non-Tarp 5.408115e-02  1.286188  1.132404  0.6895274
# Vegetation    6.798597e+07  1.170325  1.465573  0.4420128
```

The odds of a pixel depicting various non-tarp objects versus a pixel depicting a blue tarp is 1.132 for an increase of 1 unit in predictor *Green*. The odds of a pixel depicting vegetation versus a pixel depicting a blue tarp is 0.442 for an increase of 1 unit in predictor *Blue*.

The predicted probabilities for our first 3 observations and each class are presented below.

```
predicted_probabilities <- fitted(object = logistic_regression_model)
head(x = predicted_probabilities, n = 3)
```

```
#      Blue Tarp      Rooftop      Soil Various Non-Tarp Vegetation
# 1 2.521696e-06 3.992604e-05 1.049958e-07      4.741077e-05 0.9999100
# 2 2.521696e-06 3.992604e-05 1.049958e-07      4.741077e-05 0.9999100
# 3 1.633587e-06 3.224178e-05 8.961114e-08      3.933451e-05 0.9999267
```

The below data frame allows us to consider the changes in predicted probability associated with holding the intensity of color *Red* equal to the mean intensity of color *Red*, holding the intensity of color *Green* equal to the mean intensity of color *Green*, and increasing the intensity of color *Blue* from 0 to 255 inclusive linearly across 10 intensities. As the intensity of color *Blue* increases from 0 to 255, the predicted probability of a pixel depicting a blue tarp increases from 0 to 1 and the predicted probability of a pixel depicting vegetation decreases from 1 to 0.

```
library(pracma)
mean_intensity_of_color_Red <- mean(data_frame_of_classes_and_pixels$Red)
mean_intensity_of_color_Green <- mean(data_frame_of_classes_and_pixels$Green)
linearly_spaced_intensities <- pracma::linspace(x1 = 0, x2 = 255, n = 10)
data_frame <- data.frame(
  Red = mean_intensity_of_color_Red,
  Green = mean_intensity_of_color_Green,
  Blue = linearly_spaced_intensities
)
predicted_probabilities <- predict(
  object = logistic_regression_model,
  newdata = data_frame,
  type = "probs"
)
predicted_probabilities
```

#	Blue Tarp	Rooftop	Soil	Various Non-Tarp	Vegetation
# 1	3.355845e-45	7.744803e-24	7.139919e-19	2.351567e-20	1.000000e+00
# 2	3.730912e-35	1.476340e-17	6.728758e-14	6.966213e-15	1.000000e+00
# 3	4.147898e-25	2.814247e-11	6.341275e-09	2.063650e-09	1.000000e+00
# 4	4.605674e-15	5.357846e-05	5.968569e-04	6.105587e-04	9.987390e-01
# 5	1.504899e-07	3.001701e-01	1.653153e-01	5.315791e-01	2.935305e-03
# 6	2.239992e-03	7.660713e-01	2.085838e-02	2.108303e-01	3.929878e-09
# 7	9.423066e-01	5.525580e-02	7.437979e-05	2.363225e-03	1.487003e-16
# 8	9.999899e-01	1.005412e-05	6.690936e-10	6.682435e-08	1.419391e-26
# 9	1.000000e+00	1.723897e-09	5.671786e-15	1.780596e-12	1.276713e-36
# 10	1.000000e+00	2.955794e-13	4.807822e-20	4.744513e-17	1.148366e-46