

A CHARACTERIZATION OF RING CONGRUENCES
ON SEMIRINGS

BY
SHAMIK GHOSH

Abstract. The main result in this paper is a complete characterization of ring congruences on semi-rings in terms of certain special class of k -ideals. As an application, field congruences on a special class of semirings are characterized.

1. Introduction

A semiring S is an algebraic structure $(S, +, \cdot)$ such that $(S, +)$ and (S, \cdot) are semigroups, satisfying $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ and $(b + c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a$ for all $a, b, c \in S$. Henceforth S will denote a semiring and we shall write simply ab instead of $a \cdot b$ for all $a, b \in S$. A subsemiring H of S is called a semi-ideal of S if SH and HS are contained in H . A k -ideal I [Henriksen [1]] is a semi-ideal of S such that whenever $x + y \in I$, $x, y \in S$, then $x \in I$ iff $y \in I$.

By a congruence on a semirings, we mean a congruence on both $(S, +)$ and (S, \cdot) . A congruence ρ on S is called a ring congruence if its quotient semiring $(S/\rho, +, \cdot)$ is a ring. Bourne [5] defined a binary relation σ_H (say) on an additively commutative semiring S relative to a semi-ideal H of S as $(a, b) \in \sigma_H$ iff there exist $h_1, h_2 \in H$ such that $a + h_1 = b + h_2$. Bugenhagen

Received April 22, 1992.

AMS Subject Classification. 16A78.

Key words. Semirings, semi-ideals, k -ideals, h -ideals, Bourne congruence, ring congruence, field congruence.

[6] investigated the congruence nature of this relation and some properties of the quotient semiring S/σ_H in case of an additively commutative semiring S . Iizuka [2] also defined another type of congruence which Latorre [7] showed to be a ring congruence in a very special class of semirings.

The main purpose of this paper is to completely characterize ring congruences in terms of certain special class of k -ideals of semirings (Theorem 3.5). This result includes the results of Bugenhagen and Latorre as special cases.

2. Basic Concepts and Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A non-empty subset H of S is called a c -subset of S if for any $a, b \in S$, there exist $h_1, h_2 \in H$ such that $a + b + h_1 = h_2 + b + a$.

Definition 2.2. A c -semi-ideal [c - k -ideal] of S is a semi-ideal [k -ideal] of S which is also a c -subset of S .

Definition 2.3. Let $H \subseteq S$, $H \neq \Phi$ and $a \in S$. We define $a(+H) = \{x \in S : a + x \in H\}$ and $H_w = \{a \in S : a(+H) = \Phi\}$. $H(+a)$ and ${}_w H$ are defined dually.

Definition 2.4. Let $H \subseteq S$, $H \neq \Phi$. ρ_H is a binary relation on S defined as $\rho_H = \{(a, b) \in S \times S : a + h_1 = h_2 + b \text{ for some } h_1, h_2 \in H\}$.

Remark 2.5. ρ_H coincides with σ_H for each semi-ideal H of S when $(S, +)$ is commutative.

Theorem 2.6. If H is a c -semi-ideal of S , then ρ_H is a congruence on S .

Proof. Let $a \in S, h \in H$. Since H is a c -subset of S , there are $h_1, h_2 \in H$ such that $a + h + h_1 = h_2 + h + a$. This implies $(a, a) \in \rho_H$ as H is a semi-ideal. Let $(a, b) \in \rho_H$ for some $a, b \in S$. Then there are $h_1, h_2 \in H$ such that $a + h_1 = h_2 + b$. Since H is a c -subset of S , there exist $h_i \in H$, $i = 3, 4, 5, 6$

such that $a + h_1 + h_3 = h_4 + h_1 + a$ and $b + h_2 + h_5 = h_6 + h_2 + b$. Thus $h_6 + h_4 + h_1 + a = b + h_2 + h_5 + h_3$ which implies $(b, a) \in \rho_H$. The transitive property of ρ_H is clear. Therefore ρ_H is an equivalence relation on S .

Again since H is a semi-ideal of S , $(a, b) \in \rho_H$ for some $a, b \in S$ implies (ac, bc) and (ca, cb) both belong to ρ_H . Finally let $a, b, c \in S$ such that $(a, b) \in \rho_H$. This implies there exist $h_1, h_2 \in H$ such that $a + h_1 = h_2 + b$. Therefore $c + (a + h_1) + h_3 = c + (h_2 + b) + h_3 = h_4 + (h_2 + b) + c$ for some $h_3, h_4 \in H$ as H is a c -subset of S . Thus $(c + a, b + c) \in \rho_H$. Noting that the c -subset property of H implies $(x + y, y + x) \in \rho_H$ for all $x, y \in S$, we get $(c + a, c + b)$ and $(a + c, b + c)$ belong to ρ_H .

We close this section with an example which shows that there exist k -ideals of a semiring which are not c - k -ideals.

Example 2.7. Let S be the free monoid generated by the set $\{a, b\}$. In this free monoid the operation is denoted by “+” and the identity element of it is denoted by 0. We define a new binary operation “.” on S by $u \cdot v = 0$ for all $u, v \in S$. Thus we obtain a semiring $(S, +, \cdot)$. In this semiring the subsemiring generated by “ a ” is a k -ideal but not a c - k -ideal of S .

3. Ring Congruences

Throughout this section we consider H as a c -semi-ideal of a semiring S .

Lemma 3.1.

- (i) H is contained in a ρ_H -class, say U .
- (ii) $\rho_H = \rho_U$.
- (iii) U is a c - k -ideal of S .
- (iv) $H = U$ iff H is a c - k -ideal of S .

Proof. (i) Immediate from the fact that $h_1 + h_2 = h_1 + h_2$ for all $h_1, h_2 \in H$.

(ii) $\rho_H \subseteq \rho_U$ is clear from $H \subseteq U$. Let $a, b \in S$ such that $(a, b) \in \rho_U$. Then $a + u_1 = u_2 + b$ for some $u_1, u_2 \in U$. Let $h \in H$. Then $(u_i, h) \in \rho_H$

by (i), $i = 1, 2$. This implies $u_1 + h_1 = h'_1 + h$ and $h + h'_2 = h_2 + u_2$ for some $h_i, h'_i \in H$, $i = 1, 2$. Therefore $h_2 + a + h'_1 + h = h_2 + a + u_1 + h_1 = h_2 + u_2 + b + h_1 = h + h'_2 + b + h_1$. Applying c -semi-ideal property of H on both sides we get $(a, b) \in \rho_H$.

(iii) Let $u_1, u_2 \in U$. By (i) $(h, u_2) \in \rho_H$, for any $h \in H$. Then $(u_1 + h, u_1 + u_2) \in \rho_H$ as ρ_H is a congruence on S . Thus there exist $h_1, h_2 \in H$ such that $u_1 + h + h_1 = h_2 + u_1 + u_2$, which shows $(u_1, u_1 + u_2) \in \rho_H$ for H is a semi-ideal of S . Therefore $u_1 + u_2 \in U$. Again since ρ_H is a congruence and H is a semi-ideal, $SU, US \subseteq U$ follows from (i). Thus U is a semi-ideal and hence a c -semi-ideal of S for $H \subseteq U$.

Finally to show U is a k -ideal also, let $x \in S$ and $u \in U$ such that $x + u \in U$. By (i), $(x + u, u) \in \rho_H = \rho_U$. This implies $(x, u) \in \rho_U$ as U is a semi-ideal. Thus $x \in U$ as desired. Similarly $x \in S$ and $u, u + x \in U$ implies $x \in U$.

(iv) If $H = U$, then H is a c - k -ideal is clear from (iii). Conversely, let H be a c - k -ideal of S . Let $x \in S$, $h \in H$ such that $(x, h) \in \rho_H$. Then by definition of ρ_H , $x + h_1 \in H$ for some $h_1 \in H$. Since H is a k -ideal, $x \in H$. Thus $H = U$.

Bugenhagen [6] showed that if H is a semi-ideal of an additively commutative semiring S , then H is contained in a σ_H -class, say U , which is a k -ideal of S and $S/\sigma_H = S/\sigma_U$. Also $H = U$ iff H is a k -ideal. These results are special cases of the above lemma, since here $\rho_H = \sigma_H$ and every semi-ideal is a c -semi-ideal.

Let $[a]$ denote the ρ_H -class of $a \in S$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let U be the ρ_H -class containing H with $H_w = \Phi$. Then*

- (i) $U_w = \Phi$.
- (ii) $x + a, b + x, x + b \in U$, when $a, b, x \in S$ such that $a + x \in H$ and $(a, b) \in \rho_H$
- (iii) ${}_wU = \Phi$.

Proof. (i) Trivial for $H \subseteq U$.

- (ii) Since H is a c -subset of S , $(a+x, x+a) \in \rho_H$. Thus $x+a \in U$ as $a+x \in H$. Again $[a] = [b]$. Then $[b+x] = [b] + [x] = [a] + [x] = [a+x] = U = [x+a] = [x] + [a] = [x] + [b] = [x+b]$. Hence $b+x, x+b \in U$.
- (iii) Immediate from (ii).

Lemma 3.3. *For each c -semi-ideal H with $H_w = \Phi$, the quotient semiring $(S/\rho_H, +, \cdot)$ is a ring.*

Proof. Since ρ_H is a congruence on S , $(S/\rho_H, +, \cdot)$ is already a semiring. We show the semigroup $(S/\rho_H, +)$ is a commutative group. For this let $a \in S$ and $u \in U$. As H is a c -subset of S , $a+u+h_1 = h_2+u+a$ for some $h_1, h_2 \in H$. Then $(a+u, a) \in \rho_U$. But $\rho_U = \rho_H$ by Lemma 3.1 (ii). This implies $[a] + U = [a] + [u] = [a+u] = [a]$. Similarly $U + [a] = [a]$. Thus U is the identity of $(S/\rho_H, +)$.

Again let $a \in S$. Since $H_w = \Phi$, there exists $x \in S$ such that $a+x \in H$. Also by Lemma 3.2 (ii), $x+a \in U$. Therefore $[a] + [x] = [a+x] = U = [x+a] = [x] + [a]$. Thus $[x]$ is the additive inverse of $[a]$. Hence $(S/\rho_H, +)$ is a group. Its commutative property follows directly from the fact that H is a c -subset of S .

A zero element, denoted by 0 , of a semiring S is defined by $0 \cdot x = x \cdot 0 = 0$ and $0 + x = x + 0 = x$ for all $x \in S$. An h -ideal J {Iizuka [2], Latorre [7]} is a semi-ideal of a hemiring T (additively commutative semiring with zero) such that if $x, z \in T$, $j_1, j_2 \in J$ and $x + j_1 + z = j_2 + z$, then $x \in J$. Iizuka [2] defined a congruence Γ_J (say) on a hemiring T , relative to a semi-ideal J as $(a, b) \in \Gamma_J$ iff there are $j_1, j_2 \in J$ and $z \in T$ such that $a + j_1 + z = b + j_2 + z$. Latorre [7] showed that if J is an h -ideal of an additively regular (for any $a \in T$, there is $x \in T$ such that $a+x+a = a$) hemiring T , then T/σ_J is a ring and $T/\sigma_J = T/\Gamma_J$ {[7], Corollary 2.8}. Now it is obvious that any h -ideal is a k -ideal and for an additively commutative semi-ring $\sigma_J = \rho_J$ (Remark 2.5). It is easy to verify that any h -ideal J in an additively regular hemiring satisfies $J_w = \Phi$. Also as before the c -subset property is redundant here. Thus the above result is a very special case of the Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.4. *Let ρ be a ring congruence on a semiring S and U be the identity of $(S/\rho, +)$. Then*

- (i) $U_w = {}_w U = \Phi$
- (ii) $\rho = \rho_U$
- (iii) U is a c - k -ideal of S .

Proof. Let $[a]$ denote the ρ -class of $a \in S$.

(i) Let $a \in S$. Since $(S/\rho, +)$ is a group, there exists $x \in S$ such that $[a] + [x] = U = [x] + [a]$ i.e. $[a + x] = U = [x + a]$. Thus $a + x, x + a \in U$.

(ii) Since U is the additive identity of S/ρ , $\rho_U \subseteq \rho$ is clear. Conversely, let $a, b \in S$ such that $(a, b) \in \rho$ i.e. $[a] = [b]$. Since $(S/\rho, +)$ is a group, there exists $x \in S$ such that $U = [a] + [x] = [x] + [b]$. This implies $a + x, x + b \in U$. Now $a + (x + b) = (a + x) + b$ and so $(a, b) \in \rho_U$.

(iii) Since U is the identity of $(S/\rho, +)$ i.e. the “zero” of the ring $(S/\rho, +, \cdot)$, $U + U = U$ and for any $a \in S$, $[a]U = U = U[a]$. These imply U is a semi-ideal of S . Also let $a \in S$ and $u \in U$ such that $a + u \in U$ (or $u + a \in U$). Then $[a] = [a] + U = [a] + [u] = [a + u] = U$ (or $[a] = U + [a] = U$, similarly). Thus $a \in U$ and so U is a k -ideal of S .

Finally since $(S/\rho, +)$ is commutative $[a + b] = [a] + [b] = [b] + [a] = [b + a]$. Therefore $(a + b, b + a) \in \rho_U$ by (ii), whence it follows the result.

We are now in position to state the main result of this paper. It is analogous to a theorem {[4], p. 200}, which characterizes group congruences on semigroups.

Theorem 3.5. *Let S be a semiring [an additively commutative semiring] and H be a c -semi-ideal [semi-ideal] of S with $H_w = \Phi$. Then H is contained in a ρ_H -class, say U . U is a c - k -ideal [k -ideal] of S with $U_w = {}_w U = \Phi$. $H = U$ iff H is a c - k -ideal [k -ideal] of S . Moreover $\rho_H = \rho_U$ and $(S/\rho_H, +, \cdot)$ is a ring.*

Conversely, let ρ be a ring congruence on a semiring [an additively commutative semiring] S and U be the additive identity of S/ρ . Then U is a c - k -ideal [k -ideal] of S with $U_w = {}_w U = \Phi$. Moreover $\rho = \rho_U$.

The correspondence described above between *c-k-ideals* [*k-ideals*] U of S with $U_w = \Phi$ and ring congruences on S is one-to-one.

Proof. The unbracketed assertion is immediate from the above lemmas and the other one is merely a corollary of it, since every semi-ideal is a *c*-semi-ideal there.

Remark 3.6. In view of the remark 2.5, we see from the above theorem that any ring congruence on an additively commutative semiring S is a Bourne congruence σ_H relative to a semi-ideal H of S with $H_w = \Phi$ and conversely.

A congruence ρ on S is called a field congruence if its quotient semiring $(S/\rho, +, \cdot)$ is a field. From the theorem 3.5 one can easily deduce the following:

Corollary 3.7. Let S be a multiplicatively commutative semiring with multiplicative identity such that S has a proper *c-k-ideal* U with $U_w = \Phi$. Then there exists a maximal (proper) *c-k-ideal* \tilde{U} of S with $\tilde{U}_w = \Phi$ such that $S/\rho_{\tilde{U}}$ is a field.

Conversely, if ρ is a field congruence on a semiring S and U is the additive identity of S/ρ , then U is a maximal (proper) *c-k-ideal* with $U_w = \Phi$ and $\rho = \rho_U$.

We wish to prove here another interesting result which is very much relevant to our discussion.

The principal congruence P_H (Shyr [3], Clifford and Preston [4]) on a semigroup $(X, +)$ relative to a subset H of X is defined as $P_H = \{(a, b) \in X \times X : x + a + y \in H \text{ iff } x + b + y \in H \text{ for all } x, y \in X\}$.

Theorem 3.8. Let U be a *c-k-ideal* [*k-ideal*] of a semiring [an additively commutative semiring] S with $U_w = \Phi$. Then $\rho_U = P_U$.

Proof. As before we prove only the unbracketed assertion. $\rho_U \subseteq P_U$ follows from the lemma 3.1 (iv) and the fact that ρ_U is a congruence on S .

Conversely, let $a, b \in S$ such that $(a, b) \in P_U$. Since $U_w = \Phi$, there exists $x \in S$ such that $b + x \in U$. Now U is a *c*-subset of S . Then there are

$u_1, u_2 \in U$ such that $x + b + u_1 = u_2 + b + x$. But $u_2 + (b + x) \in U$ as U is a semi-ideal of S . Thus $x + b \in U$, since U is also a k -ideal. Also $u_2 + b + x \in U$ implies $u_2 + a + x \in U$ for $(a, b) \in P_U$. So again since U is a k -ideal, $a + x \in U$. Now $a + (x + b) = (a + x) + b$. This shows $(a, b) \in \rho_U$.

Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to Dr. M. K. Sen and Prof. T. K. Mukherjee for their kind help and guidance in the work.

References

- [1] M. Henriksen, *Ideals in Semirings with commutative addition*, American Mathematical Society Notices, 6 (1958), 321.
- [2] K. Iizuka, *On the Jacobson radical of a semiring*, Tohoku Math. J. 11:2 (1959), 409-421.
- [3] H. J. Shyr, *Lecture Notes on Free Monoids and Languages*, 1991, 43.
- [4] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups II*, 1967, 198-203.
- [5] S. Bourne, *The Jacobson radical of a semiring*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 37 (1951), 163-170.
- [6] T. G. Bugenhagen, *A comparison of three definitions of ideals in a semiring*, Master's thesis (multilithed), The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, 1959.
- [7] D. R. Latorre, *On h-ideals and k-ideals in hemirings*, Publication of Math. Debrecen, 12 (1965), 219-226.

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, 35, Ballygunge Circular Road, Calcutta-700019, India.