

# Post-Conference Questionnaire: Passive and Active Measurement Conference 2020

Thank you for participating in PAM! Since this was the very first time that PAM was held as virtual conference, we are interested in how you experienced PAM in the broader context of research on virtual conferences. We therefore kindly ask you to participate in this post-conference questionnaire.

This questionnaire consists of 6 blocks and will take you about 15-20 minutes to complete:

- 1) Personal details (as in the pre-conf questionnaire since we cannot correlate both due to anonymity)
- 2) Overall Experience
- 3) Talk Sessions
- 4) Presentation-related Interactions
- 5) Social Interactions (Virtual Hallway Track)
- 6) General comments about virtual attendance

All answers are collected anonymously and we will share the results with you afterwards. We thank you for your input.

**\*Required**

## Personal details

We first would like to learn few personal details about you to be able to better interpret your answers in this questionnaire.

Note that these questions are the same as in the pre-conference questionnaire since we cannot correlate both questionnaires due to anonymity.

1. How many PAM conferences have you attended, including this one? \*

*Mark only one oval.*

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4-6
- ☐ >6

2. In which timezone are you? \*

*Mark only one oval.*

- ☐ (GMT -12:00) Eniwetok, Kwajalein
- ☐ (GMT -11:00) Midway Island, Samoa
- ☐ (GMT -10:00) Hawaii
- ☐ (GMT -9:00) Alaska
- ☐ (GMT -8:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
- ☐ (GMT -7:00) Mountain Time (US & Canada)
- ☐ (GMT -6:00) Central Time (US & Canada), Mexico City
- ☐ (GMT -5:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada), Bogota, Lima
- ☐ (GMT -4:00) Atlantic Time (Canada), Caracas, La Paz
- ☐ (GMT -3:30) Newfoundland
- ☐ (GMT -3:00) Brazil, Buenos Aires, Georgetown
- ☐ (GMT -2:00) Mid-Atlantic
- ☐ (GMT -1:00) Azores, Cape Verde Islands
- ☐ (GMT) Western Europe Time, London, Lisbon, Casablanca
- ☐ (GMT +1:00) Brussels, Copenhagen, Madrid, Paris
- ☐ (GMT +2:00) Kaliningrad, South Africa
- ☐ (GMT +3:00) Baghdad, Riyadh, Moscow, St. Petersburg
- ☐ (GMT +3:30) Tehran
- ☐ (GMT +4:00) Abu Dhabi, Muscat, Baku, Tbilisi
- ☐ (GMT +4:30) Kabul
- ☐ (GMT +5:00) Ekaterinburg, Islamabad, Karachi, Tashkent
- ☐ (GMT +5:30) Bombay, Calcutta, Madras, New Delhi
- ☐ (GMT +6:00) Almaty, Dhaka, Colombo
- ☐ (GMT +7:00) Bangkok, Hanoi, Jakarta
- ☐ (GMT +8:00) Beijing, Perth, Singapore, Hong Kong
- ☐ (GMT +9:00) Tokyo, Seoul, Osaka, Sapporo, Yakutsk
- ☐ (GMT +9:30) Adelaide, Darwin
- ☐ (GMT +10:00) Eastern Australia, Guam, Vladivostok
- ☐ (GMT +11:00) Magadan, Solomon Islands, New Caledonia
- ☐ (GMT +12:00) Auckland, Wellington, Fiji, Kamchatka

3. From where did you participating in PAM? \*

*Mark only one oval.*

☐ Office / workplace

☐ Home

☐ Commuting

☐ Co-Working space

☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

4. Please rate your professional seniority \*

*Mark only one oval.*

☐ Master student

☐ PhD student

☐ Postdoc

☐ Faculty

☐ Industry

☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

5. Have you attended a virtual conference before? \*

*Mark only one oval.*

☐ No, this is my first virtual conference

☐ Yes

6. Would you have attended PAM as on-site conference in Oregon? \*

*Mark only one oval.*

☐ Yes, I would have physically attended PAM in Oregon

☐ No, I am only attending because of the remote participation and would not have come to Oregon

## Overall Experience

This block is about assessing your overall experience of attending PAM as virtual conference. Did you enjoy it?

### 7. My overall experience of attending remotely PAM is \*

*Tick all that apply.*

	extremely bad	bad	poor	fair	good	excellent	ideal
Overall experience	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### 8. Compared to attending on-site, attending remotely allowed me to better focus on the conference \*

*Mark only one oval per row.*

	Strongly Agree (better focus, less distraction)	Agree	Undecided	Disagree	Strongly Disagree (I got very distracted by other aspects)
I was more focused on the technical content	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was more focused on social interactions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

9. My expectations on attending a virtual conference were met \*

In the pre-conference questionnaire, we asked you about your expectations on attending PAM. How do you rate to which degree your expectations were fulfilled?

*Mark only one oval per row.*

	More than expected	As expected	Less than expected
To present my work	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
To follow presentations	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
To interact with speakers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
To interact with participants	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

10. To speakers: My expectations on attending a virtual conference were met

*Mark only one oval per row.*

	More than expected	As expected	Less than expected
To present my work	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

11. Would you attend more conferences each year if they are offered online \*

*Mark only one oval.*

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ Maybe
- ☐ No
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**Talk  
Sessions**

In this section, we are interested how you experienced the talks sessions (i.e., paper and poster presentations).



15. Which one of the following factors during the online presentations affected your interest or ability to follow the talk or remain engaged \*

*Mark only one oval per row.*

	useful	neutral	distracting
Having a video of the speaker in the corner of slides	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Presenter's tone of speaking	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Changing of the audio across different segments of the presentation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

16. Pros/Cons of pre-recorded talks \*

*Mark only one oval.*

- ☐ Significantly increased my interest/ability to follow the talk
- ☐ Increased my interest/ability to follow the talk
- ☐ Didn't affect my interest/ability to follow the talk
- ☐ Limited my interest/ability to follow the talk
- ☐ Significantly limited my interest/ability to follow the talk
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

17. (optional) Pros: What did you like about pre-recorded talks?

---

---

---

---

---

18. (optional) Cons: What did you not like about pre-recorded talks?

---

---

---

---

---

19. How many paper sessions did you skip due to time zone differences \*

*Mark only one oval.*

- ☐ 0 (I followed every session)
- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5
- ☐ 6
- ☐ 7 (I skipped all sessions)

**Presentation-  
related  
Interactions**

This section is about how you interacted with other participants about the content presented at the conference in terms of papers and posters.

20. Did you participate in technical discussions on Slack or Zoom? \*

*Tick all that apply.*

- ☐ Actively (I posted content to Slack or Zoom)
- ☐ Passively (I read what others posted)

Other: ☐ \_\_\_\_\_



21. How do you rate the interaction with others during this virtual conference compared to on-site conferences? \*

Mark only one oval per row.

	much better	somewhat better	stayed the same	somewhat worse	much worse
Ability to ask questions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Moderation of questions by the session chairs when posting to the Zoom chat	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Ability for detailed technical discussions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Row 4	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

22. With how many participants did you interact in \*private\* chats? \*

Mark only one oval per row.

	0 (I only followed what others posted)	1-5	5	>10	>20	>30
Slack private chats	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Zoom private chats	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

23. (optional): Pros: Other feedback on \*positive\* aspects of the ability to interact during this virtual conference

---



---



---



---



---

24. (optional): Cons: Other feedback on \*negative\* aspects of the ability to interact during this virtual conference

---

---

---

---

Social  
Interactions  
(Virtual  
Hallway  
Track)

Besides content related to technical presentations (papers / posters), conferences are about general interactions with known and new researchers (e.g., during breaks or at the dinner table): the hallway track. We are interested in this section how you experienced the hallway track at PAM.

25. Did you use Slack or the Zoom chat to interact with others about topics unrelated to the presentations? \*

*Mark only one oval.*

- ☐ Yes  
☐ No

26. How do you rate the quality of social interactions (unrelated to the presentations) during this virtual conference compared to classical on-site conferences? \*

*Mark only one oval per row.*

	Much better	Somewhat better	Stayed the same	Somewhat worse	Much worse
Interactions with researchers I already know	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Interactions with new researchers I didn't know before	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

27. (optional) What did you enjoy about social interaction at this virtual conference?

---

---

---

---

---

28. (optional) How can social interactions be improved at virtual conferences?

---

---

---

---

---

#### General comments about remote attendance

29. I would like to attend more virtual conferences in the future \*

Mark only one oval per row.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree
I would like to attend more virtual conferences	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

30. How many venues should be virtual in the future? \*

Mark only one oval per row.

	0% (all in- person, please)	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%
Future ideal share of virtual conferences	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

---

31. How likely is it that you would recommend attending PAM as virtual conference to a colleague? \*

Mark only one oval per row.

	0 Not at all likely to recommend	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Would you recommend attending PAM remotely to a colleague?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

32. Pros: What worked well about attending remotely \*

---

---

---

---

---

33. Cons: What did not work well about attending remotely \*

---

---

---

---

---

34. Other comments / suggestions / feedback

---

---

---

---

---

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms