

Homework 3. Global convergence theorem

Hyuntaek Oh

ohhyun@oregonstate.edu

Due: April 30, 2025

ECE599/ AI539 Nonlinear Optimization (Spring 2025)

Homework 3. Global convergence theorem (Due: 11:59pm on April 30, Wednesday.)

Instruction: Students should provide enough detail of the logical procedure of deriving answers. Answers without sufficient justification will receive partial or no credit.

Reading: Section 7.3, 7.4, 7.5, and Section 7.7 of the textbook (Luenberger and Ye).

1. Define the point-to-set mapping on E^n by

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = \{\mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{x} \le b\},\$$

where b is a fixed constant. Is A closed?

The definition of the closedness of algorithm A is that a point-to-set mapping A from \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} is said to be closed at $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ if the following two conditions:

- $x_k \to x$, and $x_k \in \mathcal{X}$ for all k,
- $y_k \to y$, and $y_k \in A(x_k)$ for all k

These always imply $y \in A(x)$.

Based on the conditions above, when taking the limit on x_k and y_k , they are close to \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} in algorithm A, meaning that:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} y_k^T x_k = y^T x$$

However, there is a need to prove the relationship between $y_k^T x_k$ and $y^T x$ such that their relationship always holds. The gap between them should be zero if x_k or y_k converge to x and y respectively while going to the limit. This means:

$$x_k - x \to 0, \quad y_k - y \to 0$$

$$y_k^T x_k - y^T x = 0$$

By adding a term $y_k x$, the left side $y_k^T x_k - y^T x$ can be changed to:

$$y_k^T x_k - y^T x \Rightarrow y_k^T x_k + (-y_k^T x + y_k^T x) - y^T x$$
$$y_k^T x_k + (-y_k^T x + y_k^T x) - y^T x = y_k^T (x_k - x) + (y_k - y)^T x$$

Since the terms $(x_k - x)$ and $(y_k - y)$ go to zero based on $x_k - x \to 0$, $y_k - y \to 0$, $\lim_{k \to \infty} y_k^T x_k = y^T x$ holds, and then the elements in the algorithm A are:

$$y \in A(x)$$

Thus, A is closed.



2. (Unconstrained Quadratic Optimization) Consider $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}^T\mathbf{x}$ and an unconstrained optimization:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{x}) \tag{1}$$

Suppose that $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is positive definite with its eigenvalues being $\lambda_n \geq \lambda_{n-1} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 > 0$. We will consider applying a gradient descent algorithm with a fixed step size α ($\alpha > 0$) to solve this minimization problem. In other words, starting from some initial point \mathbf{x}_0 , the sequence $\{\mathbf{x}_k\}$ is generated according to

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_k), \text{ where } \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_k) = \mathbf{x}_k - \alpha \mathbf{g}_k \quad (\mathbf{g}_k \triangleq \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k)^T).$$
 (2)

(a) Find a condition on α that ensures that for any \mathbf{x}_k with $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k)^T \neq \mathbf{0}$, $f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) < f(\mathbf{x}_k)$. To find the condition, we use $f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) < f(\mathbf{x}_k)$:

$$f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}_k) < 0$$

 $f(x_{k+1})$ would be:

$$f(x_{k+1}) = \frac{1}{2}x_{k+1}^T Q x_{k+1} + a^T x_{k+1}$$

Based on the given condition, x_{k+1} can be changed to:

$$x_{k+1} = A(x_k) = x_k - \alpha g_k$$

It applies to $f(x_{k+1})$:

$$\frac{1}{2}(x_k - \alpha g_k)^T Q(x_k - \alpha g_k) + a^T (x_k - \alpha g_k)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}x_k^TQx_k + a^Tx_k - \alpha g_k^TQx_k - \alpha a^Tg_k + \frac{1}{2}\alpha g_k^TQg_k$$

By using those equations, we can get:

$$f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_k) = (-\alpha g_k^T Q x_k - \alpha a^T g_k) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 g_k^T Q g_k$$
$$= -\alpha (g_k^T Q x_k + a^T g_k) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 g_k^T Q g_k$$

 g_k is $g_k \triangleq \nabla f(x_k)^T = Qx_k + a$, and this can be $Qx_k = g_k - a$. This means:

$$(g_k^T Q x_k + a^T g_k) \Rightarrow g_k^T (g_k - a) + a^T g_k = g_k^T g_k = ||g_k||^2$$



$$-\alpha(g_k^T Q x_k + a^T g_k) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 g_k^T Q g_k \Rightarrow -\alpha ||g_k||^2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 g_k^T Q g_k$$
$$-\alpha ||g_k||^2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 g_k^T Q g_k < 0$$

 g_k is equal to $\nabla f(x_k)^T$), and the inequality above can be simplified with respect to α :

$$\frac{\alpha}{2}\nabla f(x_k)^T Q \nabla f(x_k) < ||\nabla f(x_k)||^2$$

So, the condition on α is:

$$\alpha < \frac{2||\nabla f(x_k)||^2}{\nabla f(x_k)^T Q \nabla f(x_k)}$$

For finding a safer and fixed α , the condition that $g_k^T Q g_k$ is symmetric, positive definite Q is used:

$$|\lambda_1||g_k||^2 \le g_k^T Q g_k \le |\lambda_n||g_k||^2$$

This boundary condition applies to $f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_k)$:

$$f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_k) = -\alpha(||g_k||^2 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha g_k^T Q g_k)$$
$$f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_k) \le -\alpha(||g_k||^2 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha g_k^T Q g_k) = \alpha(||g_k||^2 (1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha \lambda_n))$$

To make the mathematical expression negative:

$$1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha\lambda_n > 0 \Rightarrow \alpha < \frac{2}{\lambda_n}$$

By using positive definiteness of Q, $(\lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_n > \lambda_1)$, we can conclude:

$$f(x_{k+1}) < f(x_k)$$
 whenever $\nabla f(x_k) \neq 0$, if $\alpha < \frac{2}{\lambda_n}$

Thus, the condition on α is:

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{\lambda_n}$$

(b) Suppose that we set α such that it satisfies the condition in (a). Define a solution set Γ to be $\Gamma = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T = \mathbf{0} \}$. Consider a function $Z(\mathbf{x}) \triangleq f(\mathbf{x})$. Show that Z is a descent function for the solution set Γ and the algorithm \mathbf{A} .

According to (a), The gradient $\nabla f(x)$ is:

$$\nabla f(x) = Qx + a$$



Since Q is positive definite, $\nabla f(x) = 0$ means:

$$\nabla f(x) = 0 \Rightarrow Qx + a = 0 \Rightarrow x^* = -Q^{-1}a$$

The solution Γ is:

$$\Gamma = \{x^* = -Q^{-1}a\}$$

Considering a function $Z(x) \triangleq f(x)$, if $x \notin \Gamma$, the function $Z(x_{k+1})$ should be lower than $Z(x_k)$:

$$x \notin \Gamma \to Z(x_{k+1}) < Z(x_k)$$

In (a), the α is small enough, $f(x_{k+1}) < f(x_k)$ holds. If $x_k \notin \Gamma$, $\nabla f(x_k) \neq 0$, meaning that the gradient is not zero, and $||\nabla f(x_k)||^2 > 0$. So, by choosing very small α , $f(x_{k+1}) < f(x_k)$ holds.

Thus,
$$Z(x) = f(x)$$

(c) Is A a closed mapping? Provide a justification for your answer.

The definition of a closed mapping is based on the following conditions:

$$x_k \to x$$
, and $x_k \in \mathcal{X}$ for all k , $y_k \to y$, and $y_k \in A(x_k)$ for all k then, $y \in A(x)$

The algorithm A is written as:

$$A(x_k) = x_k - \alpha \nabla f(x_k)^T$$
$$= x_k - \alpha (Qx_k + a)$$

 $y_k \in A(x_k)$ is needed to prove the algorithm A is closed, and it means:

$$y_k = x_k - \alpha(Qx_k + a)$$

Based on the assumptions $x_k \to x$ and $y_k \to y$, when taking the limit on both side of the equation above, it would be:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} y_k = x - \alpha (Qx + a)$$
$$y = x - \alpha (Qx + a)$$

This means that *y* is:

$$y = A(x)$$

Therefore, the algorithm A is closed.



- (d) Suppose that $\{||\mathbf{x}_k||\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence, i.e., there exists M>0 such that $||\mathbf{x}_k||< M$ for all k. Verify that all the conditions of the Global Convergence Theorem hold for \mathbf{A} , Γ , and Z defined above. Then, apply the Global Convergence Theorem to conclude that any limit point of $\{\mathbf{x}_k\}$ is in Γ .
 - i. Verification of all the conditions of the Global Convergence Theorem

For algorithm A, a bounded sequence $\{||x_k||\}$ implies that there exist subsequences, and that x_k converges to x when taking the limit on it. In a similar way of (c), y_k is:

$$y_k = A(x_k) = x_k - \alpha(Qx_k + a)$$

By taking the limit on both side:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} y_k = \lim_{k \to \infty} (x_k - \alpha(Qx_k + a))$$

Based on the assumptions for closedness, the equation above would be:

$$y = \lim y_k = x - \alpha(Qx + a) = A(x)$$

Moreover, assume that the sequence $S := \{||x_k||\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}$ and its subsequence $S_1 = \{||x_k|| : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq S_1$. By assumption, $||x_k|| < M$ for all k, so S_1 is bounded:

$$S_1 \subset [0, M) \Rightarrow S_1$$
 is bounded in \mathbb{R}

The set S_1 is closed and bounded, meaning that compact in \mathbb{R} . Thus, the algorithm A is a compact set (bounded, closedness)

Next, according to the lecture slides, the solution set Γ is:

$$\Gamma = \{x : \nabla f(x) = 0\}$$

We know $\nabla f(x) = Qx + a$, so $\nabla f(x) = 0$ is:

$$Qx + a = 0 \Rightarrow x = -Q^{-1}a$$

Therefore, solution set Γ is:

$$\Gamma = \{-Q^{-1}a\}$$

Lastly, let's check that the descent function Z(x) = f(x) for Γ and A, meaning that $f(x_{k+1}) < f(x_k)$ when $x_k \notin \Gamma$. So, $f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_k) < 0$ would be:

$$f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_k) < 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_k) = -\alpha ||\nabla f(x_k)||^2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 \nabla f(x_k)^T Q \nabla f(x_k)$$



$$-\alpha||\nabla f(x_k)||^2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 \nabla f(x_k)^T Q \nabla f(x_k) < 0$$

If $x_k \notin \Gamma$, $\nabla f(x_k) \neq 0$, and thus $||\nabla f(x_k)||^2 > 0$. In this case, the first term $-\alpha ||\nabla f(x_k)||^2$ is definitely negative, and the second term can be smaller since α^2 . Thus, Z holds.

ii. Apply the Global Convergence Theorem to conclude that any limit point of x_k is in Γ

Based on the verification, we know that:

- Sequence $\{||x_k||\}$ is a bounded sequence $(x_k \to x)$
- algorithm A is closed mapping
- solution set $\Gamma = \{x : \nabla f(x) = 0\} = \{-Q^{-1}a\}$
- Descent function Z(x) = f(x) holds

Therefore, due to these properties, any limit point x^* of $\{x_k\}$ satisfies the first order condition and a solution.

(e) Use the convexity of the problem to prove that any limit point of $\{\mathbf{x}_k\}$ is a global minimum point.

The function f is convex function when $\nabla^2 f(x) \geq 0$). Hessian matrix $F(x) = \nabla^2 f(x)$ is always Q, which is positive definite. As a result, $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ by Global Convergence Theorem means $x^* \in \Gamma$, and f(x) is convex function since Hessian matrix is positive definite, guaranteeing that the point is a global minimizer. Thus, x^* is the unique global minimum point.

3. (MATLAB experiment) Consider the unconstrained quadratic optimization in Problem 2 with

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{a} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3}$$

(a) Find a proper step size α that satisfies the condition in Problem 2-(a). *In Problem 2-(a), proper* α *would be:*

$$\alpha < \frac{2||\nabla f(x_k)||^2}{\nabla f(x_k)^T Q \nabla f(x_k)}$$

The gradient of the function f with given arbitrary input $x_k = [0, 0, 0]$ is:

$$\nabla f(x_k) = Qx_k + a$$



$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Based on the gradient above, the numerator of the fraction in inequality would be:

$$2||\nabla f(x_k)||^2 = 2 * 6 = 12$$

the denominator of the fraction would be:

$$\nabla f(x_k)^T Q \nabla f(x_k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = 4.5$$

Thus, a proper step size α is:

$$\alpha < \frac{12}{4.5} \approx 2.6667$$

(b) Find a global minimal point \mathbf{x}^* of this problem using necessary conditions and sufficient conditions we discussed in lectures. Before using any condition, you should first verify whether the condition is applicable to this problem.

For clarifying the First-Order Necessary Condition is applicable, we need to check these points:

- The function $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^TQx + a^Tx$ is differentiable everywhere
- The feasible set is $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$, which is convex and unconstrained.
- There exists any feasible direction at x^*

The function f is Quadratic problem, and its gradient $\nabla f(x) = Qx + a$ is differentiable, meaning that $f \in C^1$. Moreover, the problem is unconstrained optimization, defining the feasible set as convex set. There are no constraints, meaning that any d can be a feasible direction, $\nabla f(x^*)^T d \geq 0$ for all directions d. Therefore, necessary condition is applicable. Likely, the following conditions are indispensable parts of meeting the Second-Order Sufficient Conditions:

- The function f is twice continuously differentiable
- $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ for some x^*
- The Hessian matrix $\nabla^2 f(x^*)$ is positive definite

The function f is quadratic problem, so it can be twice continuously differentiable. In Problem 2, we get $x^* = -Q^{-1}a$ as a solution. This satisfies the first-order condition:

$$\nabla f(x^*) = Qx^* + a = Q(-Q^{-1}) + a = -a + a = 0$$



The Hessian matrix of the function f is Q, which is positive definite since its eigenvalues are non-negative. As a result, the second-order sufficient conditions are applicable. In conclusion, $x^* = -Q^{-1}a$ is a global minimal point of the function f(x).

- (c) Implement the gradient descent algorithm with the α value you found in part (a). The gradient descent algorithm can be performed as follows:
 - **Step 1. Initialize** Set \mathbf{x}_0 to an arbitrary vector in \mathbb{R}^3 (e.g., $\mathbf{0}$). Set k=0.
 - Step 2. Descent Obtain \mathbf{x}_{k+1} as $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k \alpha \mathbf{g}_k$ where $\mathbf{g}_k \triangleq \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k)^T$.
 - Step 3. Stopping Rule If $||\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1})^T|| < \epsilon$, return \mathbf{x}_{k+1} and terminate. Otherwise, increase k by 1 and go to Step 2.

You can set ϵ to a small positive real number, e.g., 10^{-7} .

Run this algorithm to numerically find a global minimal point. Plot $||\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*||$ versus k and interpret the plot. Please make the y-axis of the plot have log scale such that you can distinguish a very small value (e.g., 10^{-5}) from an even smaller value (e.g., 10^{-6}). Please provide your code as an attachment.

By running the program code 2, a global minimal point x^* is [-1.8, 1.6, -2.4] given $\alpha = 1.25$. Figure 1 shows the convergence behavior of the gradient algorithm applied to the unconstrained quadratic optimization problem. The vertical axis represents the logarithmic scale of the error norm $||x_k - x^*||$, where x^* is the computed global minimizer, and the horizontal axis denotes the iteration count k.

The error decreases nearly linearly in the log-scale plot, indicating exponential convergence of the algorithm. Within 29 iterations, the error norm drops from above 10^0 to below 10^-7 . This convergence behavior confirms that the step size α was properly chosen to lie within the theoretically valid range, and that the algorithm efficiently and reliably converges to the global minimizer.



```
10<sup>0</sup>
                                                                   10<sup>-2</sup>
                                                                *<u>×</u>
                                                                   10<sup>-4</sup>
Maximum eigenvalue: 1.2500
Safe upper bound for alpha: alpha < 1.6000
Converged at iteration 29
                                                                   10<sup>-6</sup>
Current alpha: 1.2500
x^* = [-1.800000, 1.600000, -2.400000]
                                                                   10<sup>-8</sup>
                                                                             5
                                                                                     10
                                                                                            15
                                                                                                    20
                                                                                                           25
                                                                                                                  30
Final error ||x_k - x^*|| = 5.56e-08
                                                                                          Iteration k
```

10²

Figure 1: The result of the algorithm to find a global minimal point

```
% ----- Problem setting -----
                                                       % ------ Initialization ------
Q = [1, 0.5, 0;
                                                       x = [0; 0; 0]; %initial point x = 0
   0, 1, 0.25;
   0, 0.25, 1];
                                                       %alpha = 1.0;
a = [1; -1; 2];
                                                        alpha = lambda_max;
grad_f = @(x) Q*x + a;
                                                       epsilon = 1e-7;
f = a(x) 0.5 * x' * Q * x + a' * x;
                                                       max_iter = 1000;
lambda = eig(Q);
lambda_max = max(lambda);
alpha_upper = 2 / lambda_max;
                                                       x_star = -Q \setminus a;
                                                       x_diff_norms = [];
fprintf("Maximum eigenvalue: %.4f\n", lambda_max);
fprintf("Safe upper bound for alpha: alpha < %.4f\n", alpha_upper);</pre>
%-----
% ----- Gradient Descent ------
for k = 1: max_iter
    g = grad_f(x);
    x_diff_norms(end+1) = norm(x - x_star);
    if norm(g) < epsilon
        break;
    end
    x = x - alpha * g;
end
```

Figure 2: Program code



Convergence to Global Minimizer