Probabilistic Functional Programming

Donnacha Oisín Kidney July 8, 2018

Modeling Probability

- An Example
- **Unclear Semantics**
- Underpowered

Monadic Modeling

The Erwig And Kollmansberger Approach

Modeling Probability

How do we model stochastic and probabilistic processes in programming languages?

The Boy-Girl Paradox

- 1. Mr. Jones has two children. The older child is a girl. What is the probability that both children are girls?
- 2. Mr. Smith has two children. At least one of them is a boy. What is the probability that both children are boys?

The Boy-Girl Paradox

- 1. Mr. Jones has two children. The older child is a girl. What is the probability that both children are girls?
- 2. Mr. Smith has two children. At least one of them is a boy. What is the probability that both children are boys?

Is the answer to $2\frac{1}{3}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$?

The Boy-Girl Paradox

- 1. Mr. Jones has two children. The older child is a girl. What is the probability that both children are girls?
- 2. Mr. Smith has two children. At least one of them is a boy. What is the probability that both children are boys?

Is the answer to $2\frac{1}{3}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$?

Part of the difficulty in the question is that it's ambiguous: can we use programming languages to lend some precision?

An Ad-Hoc Solution i

Using normal features built in to the language.

from random import randrange, choice

```
class Child:
    def __init__(self):
        self.gender = choice(("boy", "girl"))
        self.age = randrange(18)
```

An Ad-Hoc Solution ii

```
from operator import attrgetter
def mr_jones():
    child 1 = Child()
    child 2 = Child()
    eldest = max(child 1, child 2,
                 key=attrgetter('age'))
    assert eldest.gender == 'girl'
    return [child_1, child 2]
```

An Ad-Hoc Solution iii

Unclear semantics

What contracts are guaranteed by probabilistic functions? What does it mean *exactly* for a function to be probabilistic? Why isn't the following¹ "random"?

¹Randall Munroe. *Xkcd*: *Random Number*. en. Title text: RFC 1149.5 specifies 4 as the standard IEEE-vetted random number. Feb. 2007. URL: https://xkcd.com/221/ (visited on 07/06/2018).

What about this?

```
children_1 = [Child(), Child()]
children_2 = [Child()] * 2
```

How can we describe the difference between **children_1** and **children_2**?

Underpowered

There are many more things we may want to do with probability distributions.

```
What about expectations?
```

```
def expect(predicate, process, iterations = 100):
    success, tot = 0, 0
    for _ in range(iterations):
        trv:
            success += predicate(process())
            tot += 1
        except AssertionError:
            pass
    return success / tot
```

The Ad-Hoc Solution

Monadic Modeling

A DSL

What we're approaching is a DSL, albeit an unspecified one.

Three questions for this DSL:

- · Why should we implement it? What is it useful for?
- How should we implement it? How can it be made efficient?
- Can we glean any insights on the nature of probabilistic computations from the language? Are there any interesting symmetries?

The Erwig And Kollmansberger Approach

First approach²:

```
newtype Dist a = Dist \{runDist :: [(a, Rational)]\}
```

A distribution is a list of possible events, each tagged with a probability.

²Martin Erwig and Steve Kollmansberger. "Functional Pearls: Probabilistic Functional Programming in Haskell". In: *Journal of Functional Programming* 16.1 (2006), pp. 21–34. ISSN: 1469-7653, 0956-7968. DOI: 10.1017/S0956796805005721. URL: http://web.engr.oregonstate.edu/~erwig/papers/abstracts.html%5C#JFP06a (visited on 09/29/2016).

A random integer, then, is:

type RandInt = Dist Int

This lets us encode (in the types) the difference between:

children_1 :: [Dist Child]
children_2 :: Dist [Child]

As we will use this as a DSL, we need to define the language features we used above:

- 1. = (assignment)
- 2. assert
- 3. return

Assignment

This is encapsulated by the "monadic bind":

$$(\gg)$$
 :: Dist $a \to (a \to \text{Dist } b) \to \text{Dist } b$

When we assign to a variable in a probabilistic computation, everything that comes later is conditional on the result of that assignment. We are therefore looking for the probability of the continuation given the left-hand-side; this is encapsulated by multiplication:

$$xs \gg f = Dist [(y, xp \times yp)$$

 $|(x, xp) \leftarrow runDist xs$
 $,(y, yp) \leftarrow runDist (f x)]$

Assertion

Assertion is a kind of conditioning: given a statement about an event, it either occurs or it doesn't.

```
guard :: Bool \rightarrow Dist ()
guard True = Dist [((),1)]
guard False = Dist []
```

Return

Return is the "unit" value for a distribution; the certain event, the unconditional distribution.

```
return :: a \rightarrow Dist a
return x = Dist [(x, 1)]
```

Putting it all Together

```
\begin{split} \textit{mrSmith} &:: \textit{Dist} \ [\textit{Child}] \\ \textit{mrSmith} &= \textbf{do} \\ \textit{child1} \leftarrow \textit{child} \\ \textit{child2} \leftarrow \textit{child} \\ \textit{guard} \ (\textit{gender child1} \equiv \textit{Boy} \lor \textit{gender child2} \equiv \textit{Boy}) \\ \textit{return} \ [\textit{child1}, \textit{child2}] \\ \textit{expect} :: (a \rightarrow \textit{Rational}) \rightarrow \textit{Dist} \ a \rightarrow \textit{Rational} \\ \textit{expect} \ p \ xs &= \frac{\textit{sum} \ [p \times \times xp|(x,xp) \leftarrow \textit{runDist} \ xs]}{\textit{sum} \ [xp|(\_,xp) \leftarrow \textit{runDist} \ xs]} \end{split}
```

Theoretical Basis