# **Problem 5.3.12**

Prove the statement by mathematical induction:

For any integer  $n \ge 0$ ,  $7^n - 2^n$  is divisible by 5.

*Proof.* Let the property P(n) be the statement:

$$7^n - 2^n$$
 is divisible by 5

First, we must prove that P(0) is true (basis step).

$$7^0 - 2^0$$
 is divisible by 5

$$7^{0} - 2^{0} = 1 - 1$$

$$= 0$$

$$= 5(0)$$

Thus, P(0) is true.

Now, suppose that P(k) is true for some integer  $k \ge 0$  (inductive hypothesis). That is,

$$7^k - 2^k$$
 is divisible by 5

By the definition of divisibility, for some integer r,

$$7^{k} - 2^{k} = 5r$$

We must show that P(k + 1) is true (inductive step). That is,

$$7^{k+1} - 2^{k+1}$$
 is divisible by 5

The left-hand side of P(k + 1) is:

$$\begin{split} 7^{k+1} - 2^{k+1} &= 7(7^k) - 2(2^k) \\ &= 5 \cdot 7^k + 2 \cdot 7^k - 2 \cdot 2^k \\ &= 5 \cdot 7^k + 2(7^k - 2^k) \\ &= 5 \cdot 7^k + 2(5r) \\ &= 5(7^k + 2r) \end{split}$$

 $7^k + 2r$  is an integer since it is a sum of the product of integers, so  $7^{k+1} - 2^{k+1}$  can be written as 5m for some integer  $m = (7^k + 2r)$ .

By the definition of divisibility,  $7^{k+1} - 2^{k+1}$  is divisible by 5, and thus, P(k+1) is true.

Since we have proved both the basis step and the inductive step, we conclude that the statement is true using mathematical induction.  $\Box$ 

# **Problem 5.3.18**

Prove the statement by mathematical induction:

$$5^n + 9 < 6^n$$
, for all integers  $n > 2$ .

*Proof.* Let the property P(n) be the inequality:

$$5^n + 9 < 6^n$$

First, we must prove that P(0) is true (basis step).

$$5^2 + 9 < 6^2$$
$$25 + 9 < 36$$
$$34 < 36$$

Thus, P(0) is true.

Now, suppose that P(k) is true for some integer  $k \ge 2$  (inductive hypothesis). That is,

$$5^k + 9 < 6^k$$

We must show that P(k + 1) is true (inductive step). That is,

$$5^{k+1} + 9 < 6^{k+1}$$

The left-hand side of P(k + 1) is:

$$5^{k+1} + 9 = 5(5^k) + 9$$

$$= 5 \cdot (5^k + 9 - 9) + 9$$

$$= 5 \cdot ((5^k + 9) - 9) + 9$$

$$< 5 \cdot (6^k - 9) + 9$$

$$< 5 \cdot 6^k - 45 + 9$$

$$< 5 \cdot 6^k - 36$$

$$< 5 \cdot 6^k$$

$$< 6 \cdot 6^k$$

$$< 6^{k+1}$$

Thus, the left-hand side of P(k+1) is less than the right-hand side of P(k+1), and P(k+1) is true. Since we have proved both the basis step and the inductive step, we conclude that the statement is true using mathematical induction.

### **Problem 5.3.22**

Prove the statement by mathematical induction:

$$1 + nx \le (1 + x)^n$$
, for all real numbers  $x > -1$  and integers  $n \ge 2$ .

*Proof.* Let the property P(n) be the inequality:

$$1 + nx \le (1 + x)^n$$

First, we must prove that P(2) is true (basis step).

$$1 + 2x = 1 + 2x + 0$$

$$\leq 1 + 2x + x^{2}$$

$$= (1 + x)^{2}$$

$$= (1 + x)^{n}$$

Thus, P(0) is true.

Now, suppose that P(k) is true for some integer  $k \ge 2$  (inductive hypothesis). That is,

$$1 + kx \le (1 + x)^k$$

We must show that P(k+1) is true (inductive step). That is,

$$1 + (k+1)x \le (1+x)^{(k+1)}$$

The right-hand side of P(k+1) is:

$$(1+x)^{k+1} = (1+x)^k (1+x)$$

$$\geq (1+kx)(1+x)$$

$$= (1)(1) + (kx)(1) + (1)(x) + (kx)(x)$$

$$= 1 + kx + x + kx^2$$

$$= 1 + (k+1)x + kx^2$$

$$\geq 1 + (k+1)x + 0$$

$$= 1 + (k+1)x$$

Thus, the right-hand side of P(k+1) is greater than or equal to the left-hand side of P(k+1), and P(k+1) is true.

Since we have proved both the basis step and the inductive step, we conclude that the statement is true using mathematical induction.  $\Box$ 

# Problem 5.4.2

Suppose  $b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots$  is a sequence defined as follows:

$$b_1 = 4$$

$$b_2 = 12$$

$$b_k = b_{k-2} + b_{k-1}$$

for all integers  $k \ge 3$ .

Prove that  $b_n$  is divisible by 4 for all integers  $n \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* We need to prove that  $b_n$  is divisible by 4 for all integers  $n \ge 1$  in the sequence defined by

$$b_1 = 4$$

$$b_2 = 12$$

$$b_k = b_{k-2} + b_{k-1}$$

for all integers  $k \ge 3$ .

**Basis Step:** For n = 1,  $b_1 = 4$ , which is divisible by 4.

For n = 2,  $b_2 = 12$ , which is also divisible by 4.

**Inductive Step:** Assume the statement is true for some integers k and k-1, i.e., both  $b_k$  and  $b_{k-1}$  are divisible by 4. We must show it is true for k+1. From the recursive definition,

$$b_{k+1} = b_k + b_{k-1}$$

If  $b_k = 4m$  and  $b_{k-1} = 4n$  for some integers m and n, then

$$b_{k+1} = 4m + 4n = 4(m+n)$$

which is divisible by 4.

Hence, by mathematical induction,  $b_n$  is divisible by 4 for all integers  $n \ge 1$ .

# Problem 5.4.3

Suppose that  $c_0, c_1, c_2, ...$  is a sequence defined as follows:

$$c_0 = 2$$

$$c_1 = 2$$

$$c_2 = 6$$

$$c_k = 3c_{k-3}$$

for all integers  $k \ge 3$ .

Prove that  $c_n$  is even for all integers  $n \ge 0$ .

*Proof.* We need to prove that  $c_n$  is even for all integers  $n \ge 0$  in the sequence defined by

$$c_0 = 2$$

$$c_1 = 2$$

$$c_2 = 6$$

$$c_k = 3c_{k-3}$$

for all integers  $k \ge 3$ .

**Basis Step:** For n = 0,  $c_0 = 2$ , which is even.

For n = 1,  $c_1 = 2$ , which is even.

For n = 2,  $c_2 = 6$ , which is even.

**Inductive Step:** Assume the statement is true for n = k - 3, i.e.,  $c_{k-3}$  is even. We must show it is true for k. From the recursive definition,

$$c_k = 3c_{k-3}$$

If  $c_{k-3} = 2m$  for some integer m, then

$$c_k = 3 \cdot 2m = 2 \cdot (3m)$$

which is divisible by 2, hence even.

Therefore, by mathematical induction,  $c_n$  is even for all integers  $n \geq 0$ .

# Problem 5.4.7

Suppose that  $g_1, g_2, g_3, ...$  is a sequence defined as follows:

$$g_1 = 3$$

$$g_2 = 5$$

$$g_k = 3g_{k-1} - 2g_{k-2} \text{ for all integers } k \ge 3.$$

Prove that  $g_n = 2^n + 1$  for all integers  $n \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* We need to prove that  $g_n = 2^n + 1$  for all integers  $n \ge 1$  in the sequence defined by

$$g_1 = 0$$

$$g_2 = 5$$

$$g_k = 3g_{k-1} - 2g_{k-2}$$
 for all integers  $k \ge 3$ .

**Basis Step:** For n = 1,  $g_1 = 3$  equals  $2^1 + 1 = 3$ .

For n = 2,  $g_2 = 5$  equals  $2^2 + 1 = 5$ .

**Inductive Step:** Assume the statement is true for n=k-1 and n=k-2, i.e.,  $g_{k-1}=2^{k-1}+1$  and  $g_{k-2}=2^{k-2}+1$ . We must show it is true for k. From the recursive definition,

$$g_k = 3g_{k-1} - 2g_{k-2}$$

Substituting the inductive hypothesis,

$$g_k = 3(2^{k-1} + 1) - 2(2^{k-2} + 1)$$

Expanding and simplifying,

$$g_k = 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} + 3 - 2^{k-1} - 2$$
 
$$g_k = 2 \cdot 2^{k-1} + 1$$
 
$$g_k = 2^k + 1$$

Thus,  $g_k = 2^k + 1$ . Therefore, by mathematical induction,  $g_n = 2^n + 1$  for all integers  $n \ge 1$ .

# **Problem 5.4.18**

Compute  $9^0$ ,  $9^1$ ,  $9^2$ ,  $9^3$ ,  $9^4$  and  $9^5$ . Make a conjecture about the units digit of  $9^n$  where n is a positive integer. Use strong mathematical induction to prove your conjecture.

*Proof.* Compute the powers of 9:

$$9^{0} = 1$$
  
 $9^{1} = 9$   
 $9^{2} = 81$   
 $9^{3} = 729$   
 $9^{4} = 6561$   
 $9^{5} = 59049$ 

**Conjecture:** The units digit of 9<sup>n</sup> alternates between 1 and 9 for positive integers n.

#### **Proof by Strong Mathematical Induction:**

**Basis Step:** The conjecture holds for n = 0 and n = 1.

**Inductive Step:** Assume the conjecture holds for all integers less than or equal to k for some  $k \ge 1$ . We need to show it holds for k + 1.

- 1. If k+1 is even, then k is odd, and by the inductive hypothesis, the units digit of  $9^k$  is 9. Thus, the units digit of  $9^{k+1} = 9^k \times 9$  is 1.
- 2. If k+1 is odd, then k is even, and by the inductive hypothesis, the units digit of  $9^k$  is 1. Thus, the units digit of  $9^{k+1} = 9^k \times 9$  is 9.

By strong mathematical induction, the conjecture is true for all integers  $n \ge 0$ .

# Problem 5.5.2

Show that if the predicate is true before entry to the loop, then it is also true after exit from the loop: Loop:

```
while (m >= o and m <= 100)
    m := m + 4
    n := n - 2
end while</pre>
```

Predicate: m + n is odd

*Proof.* We need to show that if the predicate "m + n is odd" is true before entry to the loop, then it is also true after exit from the loop.

The loop performs the following operations while m is between 0 and 100 (inclusive):

```
while (m >= o and m <= 100)
    m := m + 4
    n := n - 2
end while</pre>
```

The changes to m and n are by even numbers (4 and 2, respectively).

If m + n is odd initially, then adding even numbers to both m and n results in an even change to m + n. Since adding an even number to an odd number results in an odd number, m + n remains odd after each iteration and after the loop exits.

Therefore, if the predicate "m + n is odd" is true before the loop, it remains true after the loop exits.  $\Box$ 

#### Problem 5.5.4

Show that if the predicate is true before entry to the loop, then it is also true after exit from the loop: Loop:

```
while (n >= 0 and n <= 100)
    n := n + 1
end while</pre>
```

Predicate:  $2^n < (n+2)!$ 

*Proof.* We need to show that if the predicate  $2^n < (n+2)!$  is true before entry to the loop, then it is also true after exit from the loop.

The loop performs the following operation while n is between 0 and 100 (inclusive):

```
while (n >= o and n <= 100)
    n := n + 1
end while</pre>
```

Assume the predicate  $2^n < (n+2)!$  is true for some n. We need to show it holds for n+1, i.e.,  $2^{n+1} < (n+3)!$ 

```
Since 2^n < (n+2)!, multiplying both sides by 2 gives 2 \cdot 2^n < 2 \cdot (n+2)!. Also, 2 < (n+3) for all n \ge 0, hence 2 \cdot (n+2)! < (n+3) \cdot (n+2)! = (n+3)!.
```

Thus,  $2^{n+1} < (n+3)!$ , proving the predicate for n+1.

Therefore, if the predicate is true before the loop, it remains true after the loop exits.

# Problem 5.6.2

Find the first four terms of the recursively defined sequence:

$$b_k = b_{k-1} + 3k \text{, for all integers } k \geq 2$$
 
$$b_1 = 1$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= 1 \\ b_2 &= b_1 + 3 \times 2 = 1 + 6 = 7 \\ b_3 &= b_2 + 3 \times 3 = 7 + 9 = 16 \\ b_4 &= b_3 + 3 \times 4 = 16 + 12 = 28 \end{aligned}$$

# Problem 5.6.6

Find the first four terms of the recursively defined sequence:

$$t_k = t_{k-1} + 2t_{k-2}\text{, for all integers } k \geq 2$$
 
$$t_0 = -1$$
 
$$t_1 = 2$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} t_0 &= -1 \\ t_1 &= 2 \\ t_2 &= t_1 + 2t_0 = 2 + 2(-1) = 2 - 2 = 0 \\ t_3 &= t_2 + 2t_1 = 0 + 2 \times 2 = 0 + 4 = 4 \end{aligned}$$

# Problem 5.6.8

Find the first four terms of the recursively defined sequence:

$$v_k = v_{k-1} + v_{k-2} + 1,$$
 for all integers  $k \geq 3$  
$$v_1 = 1$$
 
$$v_2 = 3$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= 1 \\ v_2 &= 3 \\ v_3 &= v_2 + v_1 + 1 = 3 + 1 + 1 = 5 \\ v_4 &= v_3 + v_2 + 1 = 5 + 3 + 1 = 9 \end{aligned}$$

# **Problem 5.6.12**

Let  $s_0, s_1, s_2, \ldots$  be defined by the formula  $s_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!}$  for all integers  $n \ge 0$ . Show that this sequence satisfies the recurrence relation

$$s_k = \frac{-s_{k-1}}{k}$$

*Proof.* Given that for all integers  $n \ge 0$ , we need to show that it satisfies  $s_k = \frac{-s_{k-1}}{k}$ . Observe,

$$\begin{split} s_k &= \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^k}{k \cdot (k-1)!} \\ &= \frac{-(-1)^{k-1}}{k \cdot (k-1)!} \\ &= \frac{-1}{k} \cdot \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \\ &= \frac{-s_{k-1}}{k} \end{split}$$

Hence, the sequence  $s_n$  satisfies the recurrence relation  $s_k = \frac{-s_{k-1}}{k}$ .

# **Problem 5.6.14**

Let  $d_0, d_1, d_2, \dots$  be defined by the formula  $d_n = 3^n - 2^n$  for all integers  $n \ge 0$ . Show that this sequence satisfies the recurrence relation

$$d_k = 5d_{k-1} - 6d_{k-2}$$

*Proof.* Given that  $d_n = 3^n - 2^n$  for all integers  $n \ge 0$ , we need to show that it satisfies  $d_k = 5d_{k-1} - 6d_{k-2}$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} d_k &= 3^k - 2^k \\ d_{k-1} &= 3^{k-1} - 2^{k-1} \\ d_{k-2} &= 3^{k-2} - 2^{k-2} \end{aligned}$$

Now, calculate  $5d_{k-1} - 6d_{k-2}$ :

$$\begin{split} 5d_{k-1} - 6d_{k-2} &= 5(3^{k-1} - 2^{k-1}) - 6(3^{k-2} - 2^{k-2}) \\ &= 5 \cdot 3^{k-1} - 5 \cdot 2^{k-1} - 6 \cdot 3^{k-2} + 6 \cdot 2^{k-2} \\ &= 3 \cdot 3^{k-2} \cdot 5 - 2 \cdot 2^{k-2} \cdot 5 - 3^{k-2} \cdot 6 + 2^{k-2} \cdot 6 \\ &= 3^{k-2}(3 \cdot 5 - 6) + 2^{k-2}(6 - 2 \cdot 5) \\ &= 3^{k-2} \cdot 9 + 2^{k-2} \cdot (-4) \\ &= 3^k - 2^k \end{split}$$

Thus,  $5d_{k-1}-6d_{k-2}=3^k-2^k$ , which is the formula for  $d_k$ . Therefore, the sequence  $d_n$  satisfies the recurrence relation  $d_k=5d_{k-1}-6d_{k-2}$ .

# **Problem 5.6.28**

Prove that  $F_{k+1}^2-F_k^2-F_{k-1}^2=2F_kF_{k-1},$  for all integers  $k\geq 1.$ 

*Proof.* Consider the Fibonacci sequence defined by  $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$  with  $F_0 = 0$  and  $F_1 = 1$ . We need to prove that  $F_{k+1}^2 - F_k^2 - F_{k-1}^2 = 2F_kF_{k-1}$  for all integers  $k \ge 1$ . The left-hand side of the equation is  $F_{k+1}^2 - F_k^2 - F_{k-1}^2$ . Using the Fibonacci recurrence relation,  $F_{k+1} = F_k + F_{k-1}$ , we have:

$$\begin{split} F_{k+1}^2 &= (F_k + F_{k-1})^2 \\ &= F_k^2 + 2F_k F_{k-1} + F_{k-1}^2 \end{split}$$

Substituting this into the left-hand side of the equation gives:

$$\begin{split} F_{k+1}^2 - F_k^2 - F_{k-1}^2 &= F_k^2 + 2F_kF_{k-1} + F_{k-1}^2 - F_k^2 - F_{k-1}^2 \\ &= 2F_kF_{k-1} \end{split}$$

which is equal to the right-hand side of the given equation.

Therefore,  $F_{k+1}^2 - F_k^2 - F_{k-1}^2 = 2F_kF_{k-1}$  is proven for all integers  $k \ge 1$ .

### **Problem 5.6.44**

The triangle inequality for absolute value states that for all real numbers a and b,  $|a+b| \le |a| + |b|$ . Use the recursive definition of summation, the triangle inequality, the definition of absolute value, and mathematical induction to prove that for all positive integers n, if  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  are real numbers, then

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|$$

*Proof.* We need to prove by induction that for all positive integers n, if  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  are real numbers, then

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right| \le \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|$$

**Basis Step:** For n = 1, we have  $|a_1| \le |a_1|$ , which is trivially true. **Inductive Step:** Assume the statement is true for some integer  $k \ge 1$ , i.e.,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \right| \le \sum_{i=1}^k |a_i|$$

We must show it is true for k + 1.

Consider

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i + a_{k+1}$$

By the triangle inequality,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i + a_{k+1} \right| \le \left| \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i \right| + |a_{k+1}|$$

Using the inductive hypothesis,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \right| \le \sum_{i=1}^k |a_i|$$

Thus,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i \right| + |a_{k+1}| \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} |a_i| + |a_{k+1}| = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} |a_i|$$

Hence, by mathematical induction, the statement holds for all positive integers n.