

For each case (repeat this three times): Art

1) State clearly what the problem/issue is

- a) The issue here is the infringements on the rights of human artists. Specifically, since AI art derives its work from databases consisting of art used from human artists, and more than likely without their permission, then this could be seen as stolen work.

2) Present what ethical theory you are using

- a) The ethical theory given by ChatGPT was deontological ethics, which emphasizes the importance of adhering to rules, rights, and duties.

3) Argue how the issue should be resolved

- a) There are multiple solutions to help resolve the issue. One would be to only include art that was given with permission in the training datasets. Ethical standards should be put in place to help enforce intellectual property laws. Finally, there could be compensation for those artists whose art is being used in the datasets.

4) Mention any limitations with your argument

- a) Datasets would become much smaller as getting permission from every artist would take a long time. This could also result in the AI model obtaining a more “copycat” version of the artists being used since it has less to work with. Another limitation is that ethical guidelines would be difficult to keep uniform across other countries, which is something we see even today.

5) State your conclusion.

- a) While implementing solutions to improve ethical issues surrounding AI art would be very challenging, it is also very necessary. Not doing so could result in many artists losing their livelihoods. This is something that will take time, but will benefit everyone in the end.

For each case (repeat this three times): Driving

1) State clearly what the problem/issue is

- a) The problem here revolves around the decision making process where putting human lives at risk is unavoidable.

2) Present what ethical theory you are using

- a) The ethical theory given by ChatGPT is utilitarianism, which focuses on maximizing overall well-being and minimizing harm.

3) Argue how the issue should be resolved

- a) The issue here needs multiple solutions to be implemented in order to be resolved. One solution is to have clear liability in place on who is at fault when accidents involve AI-driven vehicles. Another solution is to have continuous ethical review from an established and fair body whose purpose is to update and review ethical guidelines to match our ever evolving livelihoods.

4) Mention any limitations with your argument

- a) Some limitations with the argument include moral disagreements. Many people will disagree what is ethically correct in every situation and this will differ across countries, regions, religions, etc. This will make it difficult to come to a uniform decision. The biggest issue revolves around utilitarianism itself. While utilitarianism is currently a very popular ethical theory, there are some difficulties in relying on it as a sole method for moral decision-making. First, the utilitarian

calculation requires that we assign values to the benefits and harms resulting from our actions and compare them with the benefits and harms that might result from other actions (Winter 1989). How do we assign value to life?

5) State your conclusion.

- a) Utilitarianism focuses on minimizing harm while maximizing safety. If you add in ethical review and liability then the system can be improved, however, since utilitarianism still needs values assigned such as human lives, then it will never be perfected. Only if we were able to look at life objectively and without including our own emotions will we be able to make it work without issue.

For each case (repeat this three times): Drafting Important documents

1) State clearly what the problem/issue is

- a) Drafting of an NDA is a good policy for protecting such important documents. The issue here is drafting an NDA that protects the company's, individual's or organization's confidential information while respecting the employee's, staffs' freedom to use their knowledge and skills in future employment. This raises ethical concerns about balancing intellectual property rights with employee rights.

2) Present what ethical theory you are using

- a) For this case, I am using social contract theory, which emphasizes mutual obligations agreed upon by all parties. According to social contract theory, both the employer and employee should have reasonable expectations about protecting company information and the employee's right to advance in their career (Rawls, 1971).

3) Argue how the issue should be resolved

- a) For issue here, the NDA should be limited to specific confidential information that is clearly defined, rather than over board restrictions that might hinder the employee's future career. This approach ensures that employees can fulfill their contractual obligations without feeling unfairly constrained in their future endeavors, thus respecting the social contract (Friedman, 1970).

4) Mention any limitations with your argument

- a) A limitation of this approach is that it may leave some sensitive information vulnerable if the NDA is not comprehensive enough. Employers may feel this approach offers insufficient protection for their intellectual property, particularly in highly competitive industries.

5) State your conclusion.

- a) An NDA based on social contract theory should focus on specific, defined confidential information, balancing the company's need for confidentiality with the employee's right to career freedom. This ensures fairness and trust in the employment relationship, aligning with ethical obligations to both parties.

References

Rawls, J. (1971). A Theory of Justice.

Friedman, M. (1970). *The Social Responsibility of Business is to Increase its Profits*.
The New York Times Magazine.

University, S.C. (2014) *Calculating consequences:the utilitarian approach to ethics*.
Markkula Center for Applied Ethics.