Perfect — here's how you can now extend that framework to integrate CGP (Código de Buenas Prácticas) into your LTV analysis and present it holistically:

# **Integration of CGP vs Non-CGP Scenarios in LTV Modelling**

Spain's Code of Good Practices (CGP) — under Real Decreto-Ley 6/2012 — encourages financial institutions to restructure or reduce mortgage debt under specific hardship conditions. This impacts enforceability and recovery assumptions across LTV models.

### 1. Conservative LTV (Proportional Allocation)

- CGP Scenario:
  - CGP encourages partial write-downs and dation in payment (dación en pago).

• This aligns with conservative assumptions: recoverable debt = limited to fair value of asset.

Legal Basis:

 Art. 3 & 5 RDL 6/2012 – Mandates debt relief proportional to asset value under social hardship.

• Implication:

 Conservative model is most compliant with CGP principles — minimizes overstatement of secured exposure.

2. Aggressive LTV (Full AV Allocation)

- CGP Scenario:
  - Conflicts with CGP ethos, as CGP seeks to protect vulnerable debtors from being chased for residual debt.
  - However, may still be relevant in non-CGP commercial or high-net-worth contexts.

• Legal Basis:

 Código Civil Art. 1911 applies in non-CGP situations (universal liability).

• Implication:

• Use aggressive logic only when CGP does not apply or borrower waives protections.

3. Borrower-Level Aggregation

- CGP Scenario:
  - CGP does not typically apply borrower-wide if only one of several mortgages is eligible.
  - Aggregating all loans may underestimate CGP relief potential on eligible individual units.

• Implication:

 Useful in institutional borrower cases, not ideal for CGP-protected residential debt.

•

## 4. Component (Graph-Based)

#### • CGP Scenario:

- Most granular view of how collateral interlinks with loans.
- Allows modeling which parts of a component fall under CGP (e.g., primary residence), and which don't.

•

# • Legal Basis:

• TRLC Art. 270+ — still applies for insolvency classification.

•

## • Implication:

• Ideal method to tag and segregate CGP-compliant vs. non-compliant paths in a securitized structure.

•

# **Recommendation for Use in CMBS Structuring**

LTV Method	Aligns with CGP?	Use Case	Legal Backing
Conservative	Yes	CGP-eligible retail loans	RDL 6/2012 + TRLC
Aggressive	No	Commercial or high-value loans	Código Civil Art. 1911
Borrower- Level	Partial	Global bankruptcy analysis	Código Civil + TRLC
Component	Best for Mix	Cross-collateralized CMBS pools	Ley Hipotecaria + TRLC

Would you like me to wrap this into a single printable handout for your CMBS meeting? Or include CGP flags into your Python model for fallback LTV estimation?