Paradigmas de Programação

Week 10
Applicative Functors

Alexandra Mendes

- Functors: useful concept for values that can be mapped over.
 For mapping functions over functors, we used functions that take only one parameter.
- But what happens when we map a function that takes two parameters over a functor?

```
>:t fmap (++) (Just 'a')
>:t fmap (++) ["a","b"]
>:t fmap (*) [1,2,3]
>let f = fmap (*) [1,2,3]
>fmap (\x -> x 10) f
```

- Functors: useful concept for values that can be mapped over.
 For mapping functions over functors, we used functions that take only one parameter.
- But what happens when we map a function that takes two parameters over a functor?

```
>:t fmap (++) (Just 'a')
>:t fmap (++) ["a","b"]
>:t fmap (*) [1,2,3]
>let f = fmap (*) [1,2,3]
>fmap (\x -> x 10) f
```

What if we want to apply a function that is inside a functor to a value that is also inside a functor? For example, [1*] to [2]?



```
class (Functor f) => Applicative f where
  pure :: a -> f a
  (<*>) :: f (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
```

- pure takes a value of any type and returns an applicative functor with that value inside it (puts it in the default/minimal context).
- <*> takes a functor that has a function in it and another functor and (sort of) extracts that function from the first functor and then maps it over the second one.
- If a type constructor is part of the Applicative typeclass, it's also in Functor, so we can use fmap on it.

Example of Applicative instance

```
instance Applicative Maybe where
  pure = Just
  Nothing <*> _ = Nothing
  (Just f) <*> something = fmap f something
```

Example of Applicative instance

```
Examples:
```

```
> Just (*3) <*> Just 9
Just 27
> [(*1),(*2)] <*> [9]
[9.18]
> [(*1),(*2)] <*> pure 9
[9.18]
> pure (+3) <*> Just 10
Just 13
> Just (++"I love") <*> Nothing
Nothing
> Nothing <*> Just "Programming"
Nothing
>Just (++"Programming") <*> pure "I love "
Just "I love Programming"
```

What's happening here?

```
> pure (+) <*> Just 6 <*> Just 4
Just 10
> pure (+) <*> Just 6 <*> Nothing
Nothing
> pure (+) <*> Nothing <*> Just 4
Nothing
```

What's happening here?

```
> pure (+) <*> Just 6 <*> Just 4
Just 10
> pure (+) <*> Just 6 <*> Nothing
Nothing
> pure (+) <*> Nothing <*> Just 4
Nothing
```

- Allows us to apply functions that expect parameters that are not wrapped in functors and use that function to operate on several values that are in functor contexts.
- The function can take as many parameters as we want, because it's always partially applied step by step between occurences of <*>.
- pure f <*> x equals fmap f x



Putting a function in a default context and then extract and apply it to a value inside another applicative functor is the same as we did the same as just mapping that function over that applicative functor.

Thus, writing

$$\label{eq:pure f ** x ** y ** ...} \\ \text{has the same effect as writing} \\ \text{fmap f x ** y ** ...} \\$$

Control. Applicative exports the function

```
(<$>) :: (Functor f) => (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
f <$> x = fmap f x

<$> is just fmap as an infix operator.
> (++) <$> Just "Simply" <*> Just " the best"
Just "Simply the best"
```

Applicative Functors: List

```
instance Applicative [] where
         pure x = [x]
         fs \leftrightarrow xs = [f x | f \leftarrow fs, x \leftarrow xs]
> [(+1),(+2),(+3)] <*> [2]
[3,4,4]
> [(+1),(+2),(+3)] <*> [1,2,3]
[2,3,4,3,4,5,4,5,6]
> [(^10),(3-),(*12)] <*> [2]
[1024,1,24]
> [(+),(*)] <*> [1,2] <*> [3,4]
[4,5,5,6,3,4,6,8]
```

Applicative Functors: List

Has the same effect as:

Applicative Functors: List

Using the applicative style on lists is often a good replacement for list comprehensions:

In applicative style:

```
instance Applicative IO where
    pure = return
    a <*> b = do
        f <- a
        x <- b
        return (f x)

Examples (all equivalent):
> (++) <$> getLine <*> getLine
> return (++) <*> getLine <*> geLine
> pure (++) <*> getLine <*> geLine
```

Exercise

Given the following type of expressions

that contain variables of some type a, show how to make this type into an instance of the Applicative classes.

Solution

The Functor: Expr

The Monad: Expr

```
instance Monad Expr where
-- >>-= :: Expr a -> (a -> Expr b) -> Expr b
(Var x) >>= g = g x
(Val n) >>= g = Val n
(Add 1 r) >>= g = Add (1 >>= g) (r >>= g)
```

Exercise

The default Applicative for lists combines every function with every value, e.g.:

Another way to make lists an applicative functor is to combine functions and values pointwise, e.g.:

$$[f,g,h] \iff [x1,x2,x3] = [f x1, g x2, h x3]$$

The library Control.Applicative provides a newtype ZipList for which the function pure makes an infinite list of copies of its argument, and the operator <*> applies each argument function to the corresponding argument value at the same position.

Exercise

Consider the type:

```
newtype ZipList a = Z [a] deriving Show
```

Declare ZipList as an instance of Functor and Applicative. Hint: use the zip function.

Solution

```
instance Functor ZipList where
-- fmap :: (a -> b) -> ZipList a -> ZipList b
fmap g (Z xs) = Z (fmap g xs)

instance Applicative ZipList where
-- pure :: a -> ZipList a
pure x = Z (repeat x)
-- <*> :: ZipList (a -> b) -> ZipList a -> ZipList b
(Z gs) <*> (Z xs) = Z [gs | (x,y) <- zip gs xs]</pre>
```

The ZipList wrapper around the list type is required because each type can only have at most one instance declaration for a given class.