

Energy Harvester Design for Intelligent Tyre Systems

Otso Jousimaa

School of Electrical Engineering

Thesis submitted for examination for the degree of Master of
Science in Technology.

Esbo XX.XX.XXXX

Thesis supervisor:

Prof. Arto Visala

Thesis advisors:

M.Sc. Yi Xiong

D.Sc. (Tech.) Ari Tuononen

Author: Otso Jousimaa		
Title: Energy Harvester Design for Intelligent Tyre Systems		
Date: XX.XX.XXXX	Language: English	Number of pages: 7+62
Department of Automation and Systems Technology		
Professorship: Smart products		
Supervisor: Prof. Arto Visala		
Advisors: M.Sc. Yi Xiong, D.Sc. (Tech.) Ari Tuononen		
<p>Modern tyres can have sensor systems which require energy. Currently these systems are powered by batteries, which limit the power available and lifetime of the systems. This study presents an energy harvester system to power such sensor systems. Piezoelectric and electromagnetic harvester designs are presented and the piezoelectric harvester design was selected for use in the tyre. Electrical energy was harvested from tyre rotation and deformation, harvested power was rectified and stored into a supercapacitor at a voltage level usable by modern microcontrollers. Tens of milliwatts of electrical power was obtained from both harvesters in a vibration exciter test setup, and the piezoelectric harvester produced 13 microwatts of electrical power in a chassis dynamometer test rig. Further testing indicates 50 microwatts of power is obtainable at a higher speed of the tyre. The power obtained from harvester exceeds sleep mode power requirement of sensor system, and the sensor system could be theoretically operated intermittently with the power available from harvester. Continuous operation of a sensor system is not feasible with the presented harvester.</p>		
Keywords: Energy harvesting, tyre sensors, active safety systems		

Tekijä: Otso Jousimaa	Päivämäärä: XX.XX.XXXX	Kieli: Englanti	Sivumäärä: 7+62
Automaatio- ja systeemiteknikan laitos			
Professuuri: Älykkääät tuotteet			
Työn valvoja: Prof. Arto Visala			
Työn ohjaajat: DI Yi Xiong, TkT Ari Tuononen			
<p>Moderneissa renkaissa voi olla anturijärjestelmiä jotka tarvitsevat energiaa. Nykyään nämä järjestelmät saavat tehonsa pattereista, mikä rajoittaa käytettävissä olevaa tehoa ja järjestelmän käyttöaikaa. Tässä työssä esitetään energian-harvestointijärjestelmä rengasantureiden tarpeisiin.</p> <p>Työssä esitetään pietsosähköinen ja sähkömagneettinen harvesteri, joista pietsosähköinen harvesteri todettiin paremmin renkaaseen soveltuvalaksi. Sähköistä energiaa kerättiin renkaan pyörimisliikkeestä ja muodonmuutoksista. Kerätty energia tasasuunnattiin ja varastoitiin superkapasitoriin modernille mikrokontrollerille soveltuvalla jännitetasolla. Molemmat harvesterit tuottivat kymmeniä milliwatteja tärinägeneraattorilla testattuna ja pietsosähköinen harvesteri tuotti 13 mikrowattia dynamometrialustalla. Myöhempä testaus ennustaa että pietsosähköinen generaattori voisi tuottaa 50 mikrowattia tehoa korkeammilla rengasnopeuksilla.</p> <p>Harvesterista saatu teho ylittää anturijärjestelmän lepovirran kulutuksen, ja anturijärjestelmää voisi teoriassa käyttää jaksottaisesti käytettävissä olevalla teholla. Anturijärjestelmän jatkuva käytäminen ei ole mahdollista tässä työssä esitettyllä harvesterilla.</p>			
Avainsanat: Energian harvestointi, rengasanturit, aktiiviset turvajärjestelmät			

Preface

This research was carried out in the Laboratory of Vehicle Technology, Aalto University School of Engineering during 2015-2016. Some sections of the work relating to piezoelectric harvester and electronics design have been used as a basis for IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium 2016 conference paper pending peer review.

I am deeply grateful for my thesis instructors M.Sc Yi Xiong and D.Sc Ari Tuononen for their encouragement, guidance and patience in all phases of the work. Special thanks go to my supervisor Prof. Arto Visala for his confidence in me and my supervisors during the work.

I would like to express my gratitude to Daniel Edwards, Lauri Jämsä, Tero Kapanen, Gaja Kochaniewicz, Martti Paalanen, Markku Rouvala and Heikki Salminen for providing insights in use cases, manufacturing methods, soundness of various ideas and textual clarity for the work.

The work was financially supported by Henry Ford Säätiö

Otaniemi, XX.XX.XXXX

Otso J. Jousimaa

Contents

Abstract	ii
Abstract (in Finnish)	iii
Preface	iv
Contents	v
Symbols and abbreviations	vii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Energy harvesting	2
1.1.1 Overview of methods	2
1.1.2 Resonance-based piezoelectric harvesting	4
1.1.3 Impact-based piezoelectric harvesting	5
1.1.4 Electromagnetic harvesting	6
1.2 Structure of a tyre	8
1.3 Environment inside tyre	9
2 Design	12
2.1 System-level design	12
2.2 Power requirements of a system	13
2.3 Electromagnetic harvester design	14
2.3.1 Basics of the electromagnetical vibration harvester	14
2.3.2 Analytical model of the electromagnetical vibration harvester	16
2.3.3 Experimental and FEA modelling of the electromagnetic harvester	21
2.4 Piezoelectric harvester design	22
2.4.1 Basics of the piezoelectric energy harvesting	22
2.5 Electronic design	26
2.5.1 Simulation of the circuit	26
2.5.2 Schematic design	27
2.5.3 Circuit layout	33
2.6 Mechanical design of the harvester	34
3 Results and discussion	37
3.1 Test setup	37
3.2 Experimental results of the electromagnetic harvester	37
3.2.1 Frequency domain results	38
3.2.2 Time domain results	40
3.3 Experimental results of the piezoelectric harvester	44
3.3.1 Frequency domain results	44
3.3.2 Time domain results	45
3.4 Harvesting circuit results	48

3.4.1	Revisited circuit design	48
3.4.2	Measuring the supercapacitor parameters	50
3.5	Performance inside tyre	52
3.6	Comparison to state of the art results	56
4	Conclusions	57
References		58

Symbols and abbreviations

Symbols

A	area
B	magnetic flux density
ε	electromotive force
F	mechanical force
g	gram
g	acceleration of falling
I	electrical current
l	length
Φ_B	magnetic flux through a loop area
ρ	resistivity
P	power
p	pressure
U	input to system
V	voltage
Y	output from system
Z	complex impedance

Abbreviations

AC	Alternating Current
BLE	Bluetooth Low Energy
DC	Direct Current
EMF	Electromotive Force
FEA	Finite Element Analysis
I2C	Inter-Integrated Circuit
IC	Integrated Circuit
LG	Linear Generator
MEMS	Microelectromechanical Systems
MOSFET	Metal–Oxide–Semiconductor Field-Effect Transistor
MPPT	Maximum Power Point Tracking
PV	Photovoltaic
RF	Radio Frequency
SPI	Serial Peripheral Interface
SMPS	Switch-Mode Power Supply
TPMS	Tyre Pressure Monitoring Sensor
UART	Universal Asynchronous Receiver/Transmitter

1 Introduction

As technology advances, it becomes possible to build small, light-weight and yet powerful sensor platforms which can communicate wirelessly within their environment. New kind of applications are being created using the possibilities given by these sensor platforms. A common trait with all of these devices is that they need power to function, even if the power needed is minuscule.

Traditionally wireless devices have been powered by batteries, but as the number of sensors increases, the cost of changing or charging the batteries becomes a significant part of the cost of such system. This is especially relevant for the devices which are in hard to reach areas, such as internal parts of heavy machinery, walls of bridges, high rise buildings, remote environmental sensors et cetera. In some cases the life of the battery can become a limiting factor for the lifetime of entire sensor, if the cost of installing new sensor is similar to cost of replacing the battery.

A new approach to powering devices is to harvest the energy from their surroundings using ambient energy as the power source. Examples of energy sources are solar, wind, temperature differentials and vibration. The technology to utilise wind and solar is already widely deployed and even used in large-scale power production. On a smaller scale the demand for reliable and efficient solutions has been growing steadily with the advent of low-power wireless devices. A lot of research has focused on creating suitable technologies and devices for low-power energy harvesting.

This work focuses on powering one of such devices, namely a sensor inside a car tyre. The car tyre provides some unique challenges and opportunities, as there is a lot of energy available, but on the other hand operating conditions can be extremely harsh with large temperature ranges, extreme vibration and shocks especially in rougher road conditions.

Car tyre sensing itself has been in focus of a lot development lately, as legislation in the United States demand new cars being fitted with a pressure sensor to warn drivers about low pressure causing higher fuel consumption, wear on tyre and even elevated risk of accidents. The European Union also has laws which require Tyre Pressure Monitoring Sensors (TPMS) on new passenger cars.

This paper provides a cursory view into current energy harvesting technologies and operational environment. Next section presents background of the field, Section 2 presents a design process for an electromagnetic and a piezoelectric energy harvesting system for car tyres. The results of the systems are presented in Section 3, where both methods were found to produce meaningful power levels. Conclusions of the work can be found in Section 4. All the original material created for this Thesis can be found at <https://github.com/ojousima/thesis>.

1.1 Energy harvesting

1.1.1 Overview of methods

This section presents the existing energy harvesting methods. Piezoelectric harvesting and electromagnetic harvesting were found to be most promising and they are studied in greater detail in the following sections.

Electromagnetic methods are based on magnetic induction of electricity [1].

Electrostatic harvesting generates power with electrically charged surfaces [1].

Piezoelectric materials generate electric power in response to mechanical stress [1].

Thermal differences and changes can be converted into electric current [2].

Triboelectric materials can generate electricity from friction between tyres [2].

Radioactive decay can be used to energise materials for production of power [3].

Photovoltaic effect can be used to produce electricity from light.

Radio waves can be used as a source of energy by utilizing rectenna structures [4].

Electromagnetic power sources are based on Faraday's law of electromagnetic induction. A magnet and a coil are put in motion relative to each other, and the changing magnetic flux through the coils of the generator produces voltage. Current through such device is determined by load resistance. Electromagnetic induction is widely used in power generation, where a primary power source such as wind or flow of water provides rotation for the generator. While conventional designs use rotational movement, linear generator designs exist. Boldea and Nasar [5] provide an overview of linear generator and actuator theory.

Electrostatic devices charge plates of a capacitor and use mechanical vibration to vary the structure of the capacitor. As the capacitance value changes with the structure, energy can be harvested from increased potential energy in capacitor. Drawback of this method is the required control electronics and high polarisation voltages needed for maximal efficiency. There are also electrostatic methods which use *electrets* which hold constant charge and polarisation for years. Electrets can be used in electrostatic harvesters which do not require an external excitation source [6]. However, electret elements and electrostatic generators are not readily available, they have been excluded from this study.

Piezoelectric materials generate charge in response of mechanical stress. This stress can be caused by firmly attaching the piezoelectric element to a surface which deforms (simply supported) or by leaving one end of the element free-hanging while other end is fixed (cantilevered). Dynamics of the generator are very different for the different configurations, Kim et al. [7] provides a model for impact-based piezoelectric harvester while Erturk et al. have done in-depth analysis of cantilevered piezoelectric modelling [8].

Thermal solutions can be further divided into subcategories. A temperature gradient in a semiconductor material causes voltage known as Seebeck-effect in the material. Seebeck-effect is widely used in temperature sensing, but to generate appreciable amounts of power large temperature gradients of over hundred °C are required according to study by Amatya et al. [9]. Such temperature gradients are not practical inside the tyre. Pyroelectric materials do not require differential of temperature, they generate energy when the temperature of the entire element changes [10]. As the temperature inside tyre remains rather constant over long periods of time, these methods are not practical for this application.

Triboelectricity generates power using friction between two materials, a classic example of this is Benjamin Franklin's experiments on charging various rods by rubbing them against different materials. A flexible triboelectric generator has been presented by Fan et al. [11]. Triboelectric sheets are not readily available and their construction is complex, so triboelectric generation is excluded from this work.

Magnetostrictive materials change their magnetic field in response to external mechanical stress. This change can be utilised to create a magnetic flux through coils as in electromagnetic generators. A magnetostrictive generator was built by Wang et al. [12].

Solar energy can be harvested by using sun as a energy source for a thermal energy harvesting or by utilising the **photovoltaic** (PV) effect to generate electricity from photons hitting PV material. PV technology is mature and widely used, and PV cells attached to the rim of a tyre could produce ample power during summertime. PV cells would however incur extra maintenance as the rims would have to be cleaned whenever power output falls.

Radio wave harvesting uses antennas to collect energy from ambient radio transmissions, such as WiFi- and cellular signals. Patel et al [4] have built a demonstration device which uses TV broadcasts as an energy source. The tyre material dampens any Radio frequency (RF) broadcasts, which makes RF energy harvesting poorly suited for this application.

Radioactive energy harvesting resembles battery or fuel cell. A radioactive material is deposited in generator near piezoelectric cantilever. Radioactive decay charges proof mass of piezoelectric cantilever until the proof mass contacts the radioactive material by electrostatic attraction, at which point the electrical charge is balanced and piezoelectric beam begins resonant vibration as in normal piezoelectric harvesting. Such a battery has lifetime limited only by half-life of the used material. Lal and Blanchard [3] present such a battery. This kind of battery would be redundant for this application, as there already exists energy in rotation of tyre which can be used to energise the cantilever.

In conclusion, this section has presented wide range of energy harvesting technologies. As their primary properties are known, most promising technologies for car tyre energy harvesting can be narrowed to **electromagnetic** and **piezoelectric**. These technologies are studied further in the following sections to identify optimal choice for the application.

1.1.2 Resonance-based piezoelectric harvesting

Piezoelectric materials produce voltage in response to mechanical stress. The effect is bidirectional, piezoelectric element can also produce mechanical strain in response to applied voltage. The material has crystalline structure with electrical dipoles in balanced state when no stress is applied. Mechanical stress unbalances these dipoles, creating element which electronically resembles a charged capacitor.

A common approach to piezoelectric harvesting is to configure the element as a cantilever and tune the resonant frequency of the system to dominant frequency of the surrounding environment. This kind of system is shown in Figure 1. In some applications, such as in machines running at the frequency of power grid (50 Hz or 60 Hz) this kind of frequency-tuning is relatively straightforward.



Figure 1: Piezoelectric generator configured as cantilever by Arroyo et al. [13].

This kind of resonant harvesting is challenging in a tyre. The energy harvester has a very sharp peak efficiency frequencies, and dominant frequency of tyre varies with the speed of the car. On the other hand, there is almost guaranteed broadband energy available from moments where the tyre contacts road. There is also some research on tuning the resonant frequency of cantilevered piezoelectric harvester by Singh et al [14]. They used intelligently driven Switch-Mode Power Supply (SMPS) to impedance-match the load to a piezoelectric element. As the electro-mechanical nature of piezoelement means changing load changes the mechanical properties of the piezoelement, resonance frequency can track the dominant frequency of system within some limits. Figure 2 shows the tracking behaviour Singh et al. achieved, resonance can be adjusted in range of 65 - 70 Hz.

The results of Singh et al. can be considered as the state of the art for resonance-based piezoelectric harvesting in tyre, and their power output was around $40 \mu\text{W}$ at peak efficiency. An estimate of energy needs of tyre sensor is given in Section 2.2, $40 \mu\text{W}$ exceeds energy required by the sleep mode of proposed sensor.

In conclusion, resonant piezoelectric harvesting is not optimal for car tyre application, as the resonant frequency is fixed and any dynamic tracking capacity is




Figure 2: Frequency tuning results by Singh et al [14].

limited. Therefore, impact-based piezoelectric harvesting is studied in next section.

1.1.3 Impact-based piezoelectric harvesting

Previous section concluded resonant harvesting is not optimal method for the environment of this application. Another method would be to use an impactor to hit a piezoelectric plate on the tyre. These impacts would provide energy once per rotation. This method has been experimented with before by Manla et al [15]. Their generator produced 4 mW electrical power.

Piezoelectric elements are often electrically modelled as current source with parallel capacitor or a voltage source with series capacitor, as shown in figure 3 by Kanda et al [16]. There are also a lot more complex models which account for mechanical phenomena in piezoelement, as well as loading effects coupling on mechanical model. For the purposes of model identification for the piezo only simplest voltage source (a) and current source (b) models are explored.

The model (b) in Figure 3 shows clearly that no DC current can flow in or out of piezo element. Maximum current in any cycle of a piezoelement is limited by the open loop voltage which is seen on the terminals of the piezoelement and piezo capacitance in series with circuit.

The implication for impact-based harvesting is somewhat discouraging: total amount of power obtainable is limited by the frequency of impacts. However, if there is any natural resonance frequency for the piezo generator, some of the energy in the impact should be in appropriate spectrum for the generator and the generator could produce decaying amount of power in-between of the impacts.




Figure 3: Electrical equivalent models for piezoelectric element [16].

1.1.4 Electromagnetic harvesting

Electromagnetic harvesting is based on Faraday's law of induction: A loop of wire acquires electromotive force (EMF) in response to a changing magnetic field. More formally:

$$\varepsilon = -\frac{d\Phi_B}{dt}, \quad (1)$$

where ε is the EMF, Φ_B is magnetic flux through loop area, and t is time. Negative sign signifies that the EMF opposes the change of magnetic flux. For a tightly wound coil of wire, the equation can be stated as:

$$\varepsilon = -N_{turns} \frac{d\Phi_B}{dt}, \quad (2)$$

where N_{turns} is the number of turns in a coil [17, p.998].

It's important to notice that magnetic flux through wire Φ_B can change for a variety of reasons: the source of field can be in motion, strength of the field can vary, the coil can be in motion, and the shape of coil can vary. In an energy harvesting application in an environment with vibrations motional energy is readily available, so we focus on energy harvesting methods which either move the source of magnetic field or the coil itself.

It can be determined from the Equation (2) that the energy available increases with the strength of magnetic source, number of turns in a coil and rate of change in the magnetic field.

The magnetic source can be either a permanent magnet or an electrically induced source as in induction motors. Induction-based generators require reactive power to start up, which means that any harvester design incorporating an induction generator would need a secondary power source to start the inductive generator. Secondary power source would have to be a battery, which would defeat the purpose of avoiding

batteries on the design. Hence the focus of this thesis will be in the permanent magnet designs.

In addition to the voltage available from the generator, it's important to consider the source impedance. A very simple electrical equivalent model of the generator is presented in Figure 4, where generator is presented as a voltage source in series with lumped inductor and resistor [18].




Figure 4: A simple electromechanical generator equivalent circuit. Coil inductance and resistance are modeled as lumped components in series with EMF modeled as voltage source.

This model is greatly simplified and it does not account for factors such as the effect of electromagnetic force on mechanical structure of the generator. Even with these limitations, the model is still useful as it can be used to determine the optimal load for the generator.

The power output can be written formally as:

$$P_{generated}(s) = \varepsilon(s) \cdot I_{generated}(s), \quad (3)$$

where $P_{generated}(s)$, $\varepsilon(s)$, $I_{generated}(s)$ are complex frequency-domain power, voltage and current. Voltage is determined by EMF as described above. Current can be written as:

$$I_{generated}(s) = \frac{\varepsilon(s)}{Z_{generator}(s) + Z_{load}(s)}, \quad (4)$$

where $Z_{generator}(s)$ and $Z_{load}(s)$ are complex impedances of load and generator. This equation is valid only for linear systems, so for example rectifying and converting power with a SMPS reduces accuracy of the equation. Substituting Equation (4) into Equation (3) we obtain:

$$P_{generated}(s) = \varepsilon(s) \cdot \frac{\varepsilon(s)}{Z_{generator}(s) + Z_{load}(s)}. \quad (5)$$

Total power into load can be written as:

$$P_{load}(s) = \varepsilon(s) \cdot \frac{Z_{load}(s)}{Z_{generator}(s) + Z_{load}(s)} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon(s)}{Z_{generator}(s) + Z_{load}(s)}. \quad (6)$$

It's easy to see from the Equation (6) that if the load impedance is infinite or zero, there is no power generated. It can be shown that maximum power is generated when load impedance is complex a conjugate of generator impedance,

$$Z_{generator}(s) = Z_{load}(s)^* \quad (7)$$

Another issue to consider is the efficiency of the generator: the electrical efficiency is defined as a ratio of the power flowing into the load and the total power generated. The equation (6) can be used to show that when the load impedance is equal to the generator impedance, efficiency is 50%. The efficiency rises with the load impedance. In our application the harvested power is minuscule compared to power available in the tyre, so it is reasonable to try to match the load impedance for maximum power.

In an energy harvesting application, it is important to consider the validity of the established theory when a generator is scaled to centimetres or even smaller dimensions. Many assumptions, such as a coil being tightly wound and made of thin wire might become invalid at microscale. O'Donnell et al. [19] have done a study on the effects of scaling dimensions downwards down to millimetre range, and they concluded that power available from the generator is proportional to the fourth power of generator dimension for cubical generators. Another of their primary findings was that a microfabricated generator becomes more effective than a traditional wire-wound generator when design is scaled below 2 mm length or in 8 mm^3 volume. It can be concluded that in this application it is reasonable to use a wire-wound generator over a microfabricated one, as the generator dimensions can be an order of magnitude larger than this crossover point.

1.2 Structure of a tyre

Tyres are composed of several layers with different functions. Figure 5 by Gent et al. [20] shows the layered structure. From outer tread to inner lining, the layers are:

Tread is the outer profile of tyre which provides traction for driving, braking and cornering. Pattern and materials on tread is a compromise between wear resistance, traction, handling and rolling resistance.

Belts are inner structural supports that provide mechanical strength, impact resistance and keep tyre from expanding under centrifugal forces.

Body ply is a sheet which provides strength to contain the air pressure.

Innerliner is a compound specifically designed to improve air retention in tyre.

In addition there are layers designed to improve tyre reliability, such as the belt wedge which reduces shear between belts.

In endurance testing of tyres, a car is driven at a test track until a desired number of course driving kilometres have been reached. In outdoor testing each company has their own proprietary test protocol. Indoor testing has standards which mandate pressure, ambient temperature and speed as well as time driven. According to Gent et al. [20] this standard indoor testing takes 34 hours of driving at 120 km / h.

In addition to endurance testing, there is high-speed testing where tyre speed is gradually accelerated in steps of 10 km / h at regular intervals until the target speed is reached. Energy harvester should survive these tests to be considered a viable design for road conditions.




Figure 5: Structure of a tyre [20].

1.3 Environment inside tyre

The energy harvester will be placed inside a tyre. Therefore knowledge of the environment inside the tyre is required for intelligent design of a harvester. This section presents the acceleration and mechanical characteristics inside tyre.

The tyre will experience acceleration in all three axes [21]. Tangential and centripetal accelerations are dominant, they can reach amplitudes up to $150\ g$ in test fixture. In addition a study done by Löhndorf et al. [22] shows shock survival of up to $4\ 000 - 5\ 000\ g$ is required for reliability.

Temperature inside the tyre will reach an equilibrium in $+5 \dots 10\ ^\circ\text{C}$ over ambient temperature, so operation temperature should be in range of -40 to $+75\ ^\circ\text{C}$ to have some safety margin on top of usual ambient conditions.

Previous work by Niskanen et al. [21] was used as a basis for analysis of characteristics of acceleration inside the tyre. Raw data from their study was used to determine minimum and maximum values of acceleration as well as frequency components of acceleration inside tyre. Data was gathered at $20\ \text{km/h}$, $60\ \text{km/h}$ and $80\ \text{km/h}$ speeds. Time domain amplitude of the acceleration along 3 axes as shown in Figure 6 is shown in Figure 7. The data shows that Z-directional peak can have amplitude of $300\ g$. Most of the energy is in frequency range of $10 - 100\ \text{Hz}$.

Frequency domain representations were calculated in Matlab. There are two main contributors to base frequencies: first is the rotational frequency of tyre itself and second is the impact when the tyre deforms as it contacts the drum.. There is clearly visible series of frequency components spaced at the rotational frequency of tyre as well as shock harmonics at upper frequencies. Figure 8 shows the total frequency spectrum and the dominant frequency components.




Figure 6: Axes in measurement defined by Matilainen et al. [23]




Figure 7: Acceleration of inner lining of tyre at 80 km/h in time domain.

It's important to notice that the sensor used was piezoelectric, which forms a highpass filter as the operation of sensor is based on charge between layers. This charge dissipates over time, so the steady-state centripetal acceleration reads as zero. Any device on the rotating tyre will experience centripetal acceleration (acceleration toward centre of rotation) at the amplitude of:

$$a_{centripetal} = \omega^2 r, \quad (8)$$

where ω is the rotation speed of tyre and r is the radius of rotation.

The tyre structure deforms during the contact with the road. A study by Xiong et al. [24] shows the tyre can compress over 2 cm during contact to road in normal driving conditions as shown in Figure 9. The compression can be even greater if the tyre has low internal pressure.

The tyre deformation causes two distinct challenges for mechanical design of harvester: first, the deformation limits maximum height of harvester to avoid mechanical contact between rim and the harvester top. Second challenge is in the mounting, as harvester the base must survive deformation. In conclusion, tyre operational environment causes significant challenges for long term reliability of any device mounted




Figure 8: Most of the energy is found in 10-100 Hz range.




Figure 9: The tyre radius change in one full rotation measured by the optical tyre sensor. [24]

inside the surface of tyre.

2 Design

2.1 System-level design

The complete system consists of energy harvesting source, energy storage for times when harvesting energy is not possible, AC/DC and DC/DC converters for maintaining required voltage levels in different blocks of system, accelerometer for measuring the acceleration in tyre and radio/microcontroller module for transmitting the data. Figure 10 shows the power and data flow between subsections of system.




Figure 10: Block diagram of a complete system. Harvested energy comes to system from top left, energy management system can charge storage when excess energy is available and use the stored energy when the harvested energy is insufficient for the system operation. On the right side is control logic and sensor. The experimental system has energy harvesting, energy storage and energy management sections, but control logic, radio communication and sensing are outside the scope of the experimental work.

Energy harvester can use any suitable source presented in section 1.1.1 for electrical energy. Energy management section rectifies AC voltage and buffers that rectified voltage on a capacitor. The energy storage can be a supercapacitor or a rechargeable battery. Both of these storage technologies can benefit from having an energy buffer in parallel to supply peak currents. Energy management circuitry chooses whether to use harvested energy or stored energy and regulates the energy to voltage level compatible with the system. Digital components require their own local power buffer capacitors to supply high-frequency currents required by megahertz clocks onboard these circuits.

Microcontroller is used to manage the application layer of system. The microcontroller can for example send status updates over radio link more often if there is

harvested energy available and reduce system power consumption when the system is running on a stored energy.

Next section presents details of expected power consumption and duty cycles for various components. A few components are selected to provide examples of required system power.

2.2 Power requirements of a system

The sensor system has three distinct states. One is sleeping, conserving power as much as possible while the car is not moving. Second state is measuring, when the radio connection is off but electronics are active and gathering data. Third state is transmitting, when the data is relayed to a drive computer in the car.

Energy and power consumption are estimated by reviewing a few suitable components and their power requirements. Energy management is handled by a specialised integrated circuit (IC), LTC3331 [25].

Communication is handled by a Bluetooth-low energy (BLE) module, which contains a general-purpose microcontroller for application flow control. We use BLE113 [26] which is such a module.

Finally there is an accelerometer which is used for gathering data out of the system, ADXL375 [27]. ADXL375 is a low-power digital accelerometer with dynamic range of 200 g. Table 1 summarises the estimated power requirement of each subsection of system. System level voltage is selected to be 2.5 V, as that is lowest voltage which LTC3331 can supply and which allows all devices to function. Lowest possible voltage is selected to reduce the power draw.

Table 1: Current and power consumption of system at different activity levels. Power is calculated from current by multiplying current with 2.5 V.

Device	Sleep	Monitoring	Communicating
LTC3331	0.2 μ A	80 μ A	6 675 μ A
BLE113	0.9 μ A	275 μ A	26 000 μ A
ADXL375	0.1 μ A	140 μ A	140 μ A
Total current	1.2 μ A	495 μ A	32815 μ A
Total power	\approx 3 μ W	\approx 1 200 μ W	\approx 82 000 μ W

Current consumption levels for BLE113 and ADXL375 are taken from the datasheets of the components. Battery manager power draw is estimated by calculating required power to supply the rest of the circuit at 80 % efficiency. Power consumption is calculated from current draw with assumption that system voltage will be at constant 2.5 V.

Power consumption grows by orders of magnitude when the activity is stepped up to the next level. Therefore it is important to keep the system in a sleep mode whenever possible, for example when the car is parked and wake up only periodically

to check if the movement has started. Monitoring starts once the car is moving, and device will send brief pulses over the radio link when necessary.

When the power consumption is compared to values achieved in previous studies of energy harvesting presented in Section 3.6, it can be seen that sleep current can be compensated by a reasonable harvester design. Powering constant monitoring would be a greater challenge, but possible if enough harvesters were parallel. Providing power for continuous radio transmissions is not feasible even with the current state-of-the-art harvester designs to the best of author's knowledge. Shad Roundy [28] estimates the energy need of TPMS to be 1.125 mJ / minute, which equates to average power consumption of approximately $20 \mu\text{W}$ for a sensor which spends most of time in a sleep mode.

Next sections detail designs and preliminary analysis of the electromagnetic generator designs. The initial designs are then evaluated based on their ability to supply power at the required levels to the circuitry.

2.3 Electromagnetic harvester design

2.3.1 Basics of the electromagnetical vibration harvester

Electromagnetic harvesters utilise vibrations to move a magnet inside a coil. The movement of a magnet creates a changing magnetic field, which gets coupled to a coil. The coil opposes the change in the magnetic field by inducing electrical current in the loop. A device could be built with a spring-loaded magnet to balance out the static acceleration of a tyre, an added benefit to spring loaded mechanism would be the utilisation of resonant frequency of the spring-mass system: as the system gets a shock, some of the energy would be in correct frequency range to make the magnet oscillate inside coil allowing generation of energy until next shock. The coil will also function as a damper to the system, so ideally no extra damping is required. Modern neodymium magnets do not lose their magnetisation by vibration, so a magnet can be reliable for a long time period.

Most common generator designs use a rotating magnet inside coils to generate alternating current. As the mechanical apparatus for converting the linear accelerations inside the tyre to rotational movement would add to complexity and cost of the tyre, generator is designed to use the linear motion as the power source.

Basic principle of operation of LG is similar to traditional rotational generator. A moving magnet creates alternating magnetic field which is coupled to coiled conductors. The conductors oppose this change of magnetic field by inducing an electrical current across their ends. The design can have multiple phases and poles, where phases refer to parallel connected coils and poles refer to serially connected coils. Multiple phase designs can have lower resistive losses in wiring, as the resistive losses are proportional to square of the current. However paralleling phases requires separate rectification for each phase, which leads to increased rectification losses. Adding poles to design increases the output voltage and frequency, but having a small airgap between the coils and magnets becomes critical to maintain efficiency of the generator [29].

Energy harvester designs sometimes use several poles to increase the frequency of the power output. This increased frequency allows to use smaller energy storage components such as capacitors to keep the device powered until next cycle. The characteristics of the tyre make this point irrelevant, as energy is available once per revolution of the tyre when generator contacts the ground and when the contact ends. Any energy storage device has to maintain power until the next cycle, and no increase of the frequency while generator is in contact can alleviate that. Therefore number of poles is minimised to reduce complexity. Pole number is selected as two, so there is one negative and one positive pole. Mechanical design can utilise resonant vibration to function as energy storage device instead of electrical or electronic storage.

A rough model for designing the initial prototypes was done previously by Elmes [30]. The work verified the model experimentally. Simulation based on model predicted 0.86 W of electrical power being produced while experimental value was 1.0 W. The model can account for most of the key design parameters: number of phases, number of poles, magnetic field strength, wire radius, wire resistivity, winding radius and generator length. As the model was reasonably accurate and complete, it was adapted to form basis of linear generator model.

First design decision was whether to use a design with a moving magnet or a moving coil. Moving coils require flying leads [31], which is a long-term reliability concern [5]. Boldea and Nasar [32, p. 203] conclude moving coil designs aren't practically interesting, so the design of the harvester based on a moving magnet.

There are two different approaches to the generator structure. One is to have magnets inside, and coils on the outer rim of the generator. The other is to use ring magnets on the outer rim and have the coils on the inside. Both methods have their advantages: Having magnets on the outside allows larger and therefore stronger magnets and creates horizontal support for the magnets as they move along the shaft. Having coils on the outside increases wiring radius which results in greater power if other parameters are held equal.

The height of the generator is constrained to avoid contact between tyre rim and generator. Initially the height of the generator was selected to be 35-40 mm to leave some margin while still being as tall as possible. Lower weight is desirable to avoid unbalancing the tyre, but there is no specific absolute maximum mass for the device.

A method to counter the centripetal acceleration is needed to keep the magnet on the centre of the generator. Ideally, such method would always balance the magnet in the middle of generator against any external constant force, but active control is not achievable without adding to complexity and power consumption of the generator itself. Passive negative feedback method has to be used instead.

Springs are often chosen to balance the magnets, but the centripetal acceleration grows exponentially with the speed of the car. Therefore any linear spring would be usable only for very limited range of speeds, the problem could be alleviated with non-linear conical springs which have the added benefit of compressing into very small height.

Another approach would be to use two additional magnets fixed to top and bottom of the generator in repulsive configuration. Force between magnets is inversely proportional to fourth power of the distance [33], which leads to a strong negative

feedback on the position of the magnet. Tornincasa et al. [34] proposed one such design, shown in Figure 11.




Figure 11: A magnetically balanced linear generator by Tornincasa et al. [34]

Magnetic floating is an attractive solution, as magnets can be thin and they do not wear out with ageing. On the other hand, any imbalance in the magnets can result in torque which causes increased friction as shown in Figure 12. This issue is further aggravated in designs where shape of the generator shaft is not a smooth cylinder. Therefore the design should have reasonably smooth and low-friction material on the inner shaft to minimise frictional losses.




Figure 12: Angle in magnet causes torque which results in increased friction

2.3.2 Analytical model of the electromechanical vibration harvester

A common starting point for analysis of linear generator is to model the mechanical domain as Mass-Spring-Damper system depicted in Figure 13. A mass "floats" in the system, a spring balances the mass towards the centre and a damper represents frictional forces opposing any movement of the mass.




Figure 13: Mass-spring-damper system.

Mathematical representation of this system is given in Equation (9). Input Y is the force applied to the base of system, output U is the position of mass block relative to "zero". Zero is usually set to the point where the mass settles when no input, including gravity, is applied to the system. Parameters m , D , and k are mass, damping constant and spring constant of system, respectively. Input-output-equation in time domain can be written as:

$$m \cdot \ddot{U}(t) + D \cdot \dot{U}(t) + k \cdot U(t) = Y(t). \quad (9)$$

As the force $Y(t)$ is defined as $Y(t) = m \cdot a(t)$, and the acceleration $a(t)$ can be considered constant regardless of any reasonable mass m of system, equation (9) can be written as:

$$\ddot{U}(t) + \frac{D \cdot \dot{U}(t)}{m} + \frac{k \cdot U(t)}{m} = a(t). \quad (10)$$

This form is more convenient for analysis, as the acceleration measurements from previous research are available and they represent real-world values. Mass m can be considered constant, as the system does not exchange matter with surrounding environment. As magnetic suspension was selected, the parameter k cannot be considered as a constant, but rather a function of mass position $k(U)$. Centripetal force can be considered as a constant DC-component of function $Y(t)$, and is not included in analysis of function $k(U)$. According to D. Amrani [33] force between two magnets can be approximated as

$$F(x) = \frac{3\mu_0 m_1 m_2}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{x^4}, \quad (11)$$

where $F(x)$ is force as a function of distance x between magnets, μ_0 is the permeability of vacuum, m_1 and m_2 are magnetic dipole moments of magnets under examination. This equation is only valid when $x >> h$, where h is thickness of the magnet. As two magnets are used to suspend the rotor magnet, total force acting on mass becomes

$$F(x) = \frac{3\mu_0 m_r m_l}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{(x_0 + x)^4} - \frac{3\mu_0 m_r m_u}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{(x_0 - x)^4}, \quad (12)$$

where m_l, m_u, m_r are magnetic dipole moments of lower suspending magnet, upper suspending magnet, and rotor magnet. x_0 is the distance to middle point of generator and x is the displacement of rotor magnet from aforementioned middle point, positive direction being upwards. Figure 14 shows the system.




Figure 14: Linear generator with rotor magnet balanced by endstop magnets

However, the Equation (12) is very inaccurate for magnets which have the diameter larger than the thickness, and the problems are compounded when distance between magnets is small. Therefore final design was optimised using Finite Element Analysis (FEA) for determining $k(U)$.

Damping parameter D is likewise a function of electromagnetic force acting on the magnet, friction between the magnet and the stator and pneumatic damping caused by compression of air in the generator. Tornincasa et al. [34] divided this damping parameter into three distinct terms to account for these different physical phenomena in damping. Let us call them D_{emf} , $D_{friction}$, and D_{air} , respectively. D_{emf} represents power extracted from the system into electrical current, it can be written as:

$$D_{emf} = BIl \cdot \sin(\phi), \quad (13)$$

where B is magnetic field affecting coil (presumed constant), I is current through wire depending on load and generator properties, l is total length of wire in coil and ϕ is angle between coil and magnetic field, presumed to be 90° . Assuming the load impedance is the complex conjugate of coil impedance for maximum power harvesting, we can substitute the I with equations (2) and (4), which results in:

$$D_{emf} = B \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \cdot Re(Z_{generator})} l \sin(90^\circ), \quad (14)$$

where $Z_{generator}$ is the impedance of generator. As the load impedance is complex conjugate of generator impedance, their series connection has only real (purely resistive) component. This assumption fails on real-world application with non-linear rectification and DC/DC conversion, but it can be used as a basis for analytical examination of the generator. As ε can be substituted with (2), we obtain:

$$D_{emf} = B \frac{-N \frac{d\Phi_B}{dt}}{2 \cdot Re(Z_{generator})} l \cdot \sin(90^\circ), \quad (15)$$

The relationship between $N\Phi_B$ and $Re(Z_{generator})$ can be further studied by writing:

$$\Phi_B = \iint_{\Sigma(t)} B(r, t) dA, \quad (16)$$

where $\iint_{\Sigma(t)}$ signifies possibility of the loop area changing over time and dA is an element of the surface area. If we assume the coil to be a perfect tightly wound circle which does not deform over time, we can write the relationship between number of turns in the coil, area of the coil, and resistance of the coil as:

$$R = N 2\pi r_{coil} \frac{\rho_{wire}}{A_{wire}}, \quad (17)$$

where r_{coil} is the average radius of coil, ρ_{wire} is the resistivity of the wire and A_{wire} is the cross section of the wire. Substituting Equations (17) and (16) into Equation (15) we finally obtain expression for D_{emf} which accounts for the design parameters affecting it:

$$D_{emf} = B \frac{-N \frac{d[\iint B(r, t) dA]}{dt}}{2 \cdot N 2\pi r_{coil} \frac{\rho_{wire}}{A_{wire}}} l \sin(90^\circ). \quad (18)$$

A few observations can be made from this equation: first, the magnetic field strength B and its derivative in respect to time increase the D_{emf} which signifies the electrically extracted useful power. Therefore it makes sense to use as strong magnets as possible as long as other parameters aren't adversely affected, in effect by using magnets made of strongly magnetic alloy. Second, both the number of turns N and the loop area A are in nominator and denominator, which means they should be optimised to find the best applicable values. Third, resistivity of wire limits the power that can be extracted, so intuition would lead to minimising the wire resistance. In practise the wire resistivity can be decreased by increasing the wire diameter, which in turn leads to lower number of turns in same the volume and mass of the coil. Therefore, also wire diameter and material should be optimised to find desirable compromise in the generator design.

Next we examine $D_{friction}$ in detail. Friction is modelled as Coulomb friction:

$$F_s = \mu_s N, F_k = \mu_k N, \quad (19)$$

where F_s and F_k are static and kinetic friction forces opposing movement, μ_s and μ_k are friction coefficients in static and kinetic situations and N is normal force along X- or Y- axis. Normal forces are estimated by using the existing acceleration data and calculated mass of magnet. Coefficients of friction are looked up from the supplier of

stator material. Transfer between static and kinetic models is assumed to be a step, if velocity of magnet is 0 along Z-axis, μ_s is used, μ_k otherwise.

Finally, there is pneumatic damping of the system, D_{air} . In a closed tube, the central magnet can be thought of as a piston dividing the generator into two chambers. If there is an insignificant airflow between chambers, any force caused by pressure deltas between chambers act as a spring. However, some airflow is to be expected due to the clearance between the magnet and the stator. Tornincasa et al. [34] modelled this effect by adding a virtual centrepoint for the pneumatic spring. This centre moves through a virtual damper which models the airflow between the chambers. End result is that the pneumatic spring takes some energy from movement, and the energy stored into pneumatic spring is dissipated as the centre moves until potential energy stored in the spring is zero.

The force from pressure differential is:

$$F_{\delta p} = \frac{\pi d^2}{4} (p_{lower} - p_{upper}), \quad (20)$$

where d is diameter of magnet and $p_{lower} - p_{upper}$ are pressures in chambers. Pressures can be estimated from ideal gas law:

$$pV = NRT \quad (21)$$

where p is pressure, V is volume, N is amount, R is ideal gas constant and T is temperature. Temperature is assumed to be constant. Initial pressure is assumed to be same as tyre pressure and magnet is assumed to be exactly in midpoint at start. Change of volume can be calculated from change of height caused by movement of the magnet.

Mass flow between sections can be estimated with equation given by Fox et al. [35]:

$$\dot{m}_{1 \rightarrow 2} = \frac{\rho \pi d \delta_r^3}{12 \mu h} (p_1 - p_2), \quad (22)$$

where ρ is air density, δ_r is radial clearance, μ is dynamic viscosity and h is the height of magnet. [34]

There is also frictional dissipative force as the air passes along the edges of the cylinder. This frictional force has magnitude of [36]:

$$F = \mu \cdot \rho \cdot \frac{\pi d h \dot{z}}{\delta} \quad (23)$$

Analytical expressions for the equations governing the mechanical movement of magnet inside generator have now been identified. Some of the non-linear functions are hard to solve analytically, therefore experimental and FEA methods are used for creating approximations for these functions. The analytical effect of these parameters is summarised in Table 2.

This section has given analytical expressions on forces acting on the magnet. With the expressions known, next section presents simulation and experimental methods for evaluating the effects of these expressions.

Table 2: Effect of the parameters of the generator.

Parameter	Increasing	Decreasing
N_{turns}	Higher voltage	Smaller size, less wiring resistance
N_{pole}	Increased frequency	Decreased frequency
l_{pole}	More space for wiring	Higher voltage, smaller size
A_{loop}	More power	Smaller length of wiring
B	Increased power	Smaller magnets
r_{wire}	Decreased wiring resistance	More turns in same space
δ_r	Stronger side walls	Increased efficiency

2.3.3 Experimental and FEA modelling of the electromagnetic harvester

Some parameters of the harvester are difficult to solve analytically. These parameters are estimated using experimental and Finite Element Analysis (FEA) methods. First one of these difficult interactions is the magnetic force between rotor magnet and balancing magnets. A magnetics FEA software FEMM [37] was used to create an axisymmetric model of magnets in the generator. Figure 15 shows the used model. This model has two opposing magnets made of N40-neodymium alloy configured to repel an identical rotor magnet. The magnets have a height of 2.5 mm and diameter of 11 mm, walls of generator are modelled as air. The generator has total height of 25 mm, leaving the rotor magnet 17.5 mm room for movement inside the generator.

Weighted stress tensor integration over rotor magnet volume as implemented by FEMM was used to determine FEA value for the net magnetic force acting on the rotor magnet. A LUA script was used to move the rotor magnet from the bottom of the generator to the top in 0.1 mm increments and values obtained from the analysis were exported as CSV data for plotting in a spreadsheet software. Figure 15 shows the force on the magnet, positive force meaning force towards the upper magnet and zero height being at in the middle of the cylinder. The centrifugal force acting on magnet was also calculated at various speeds for reference, assuming weight of the magnet is 1.67 g and radius to the bottom of generator is 275 mm.

It can be seen that net force on rotor magnet is dominated by the magnetic forces at lower speeds from points where magnetic force graph intersects centrifugal force line. Centrifugal force on magnet becomes significant at higher speeds. The rotor magnet can impact the bottom assuming 20 mm displacement as estimated in Figure 9 in Section 1.3, therefore rubber layer to act as a bumper may be required on stator magnets. Second use for this FEA analysis was to create a look-up table for flux linkage into the coils of the generator. The methodology was similar to determining the forces affecting the rotor magnet: a LUA script was ran to sweep the possible magnet positions, and the look-up table of flux linkage into coils was created. For the purposes of analysis, difference of flux linkage was calculated between each point. The change of flux linkage is a very important parameter, as the power generated is




Figure 15: Steady-state forces acting on a magnet. The magnetic force will counteract centrifugal force at 2 mm, 5 mm and 7 mm displacement from center for speeds of 40, 80 and 120 km / h respectively.

proportional to $\frac{d\Phi_B}{dt}$.




Figure 16: Flux linkage and rate of change in 0.1 mm steps in generator. Derivative of flux linkage in respect to position is largest near 2 - 3 mm displacement from the centre of the coil.

Based on these results, a magnet moving at the speed of 0.1 mm / s would induce voltage up to 1.5 mV in each winding of the coil.

2.4 Piezoelectric harvester design

2.4.1 Basics of the piezoelectric energy harvesting

This section details experimental identification of properties of the piezoelectric element used in the harvester. A testbed with an impactor providing excitation

was used to generate experimental values for power output and voltage at various operating conditions for the piezo element.

Thunder^(TM) piezos have been used in previous studies of piezoelectric harvesting and they have produced promising results [15], so they were selected as the piezoelectric element for this thesis.

Series of tests were ran to determine the characteristics of piezoelectric power generation under impacts. Mossi et al. [38] have produced a recommended test process for Thunder piezoelectric actuators shown in Figure 17.




Figure 17: Recommended evaluation platform for Thunder piezos [38].

This setup was replicated using a solenoid actuator as an impact force generator, a precision scale as load cell to measure the impact force and an oscilloscope to view the output waveforms. An eraser was cut to shape to act as preload bellow to spread the impact over larger surface area of piezoelement. Displacement was not measured. The test setup is shown in Figure 18. An electronics prototyping platform, "breadboard", was used to house test the electronics including a resistive ladder and an Arduino to trigger the solenoid at adjustable duty cycles. Load force was controlled by setting the stroke length of the solenoid shaft and fine tuned by adjusting the voltage over the solenoid.

The measurement results are shown in Figure 19. Output voltage scales with square of impact force, which is sensible as the work done can be expressed as $W = F \cdot d$, where W is work, F is force and d is a distance the force acts on an object. As the displacement of the piezoelement grows with the applied force, total work and therefore energy grows with the both terms.

Peak voltage grows with the load resistance. This is in agreement with both the voltage source and the current source models, as the capacitor starts to discharge through the load resistance instantly when a voltage is applied over it. The relationship between voltage and load resistance seems to be logarithmic, which would be in an agreement with the logarithmic discharge curve of the capacitor-resistor system. Peak voltages were read out from a digital display and they can be considered reasonably accurate.




Figure 18: Test platform for piezo characteristics.




Figure 19: Measured output voltage at different loads and impact forces.

The time constants for a voltage halving were graphically measured from oscilloscope waveforms, and this data was used to calculate the capacitance of TH-5C. These measurements are a lot less accurate, as readouts from an oscilloscope screen have resolution of approximately half of the line division, making the accuracy of measurements at $\pm 2.5\text{ms}$. These results are shown in Figure 20

The half-time data can be used to calculate the capacitance of the piezoelement using the RC-time constant of circuit:

$$C = \frac{t}{-ln(\frac{1}{2})R} \quad (24)$$

Datasheet of TH-5C provides a value of 39 nF as the capacitance, while these calculated values are notably higher and rise with the loading of the piezoelement. Most likely explanation of this observation is the mechanical response time of system: the solenoid plunger will take some milliseconds to reach new force equilibrium, and




Figure 20: Measured half-time of system and the calculated capacitance of the piezoelement.

this effect becomes more pronounced at smaller time constants of the RC-system. Using the known voltage and capacitance energy and peak power in impact can be determined:

$$E = \frac{1}{2}V^2C \quad (25)$$

$$P_{peak} = \frac{V^2}{R} \quad (26)$$

The results of calculations are shown in Figure 21. As these calculations are based on inaccurately measured time, they should not be used as reference for any further calculations. However, trends can be seen in these values.

Interestingly the peak work done by the piezoelement to the resistor seems to be almost constant on all load levels. This is probably a consequence of the logarithmic voltage-load relationship described earlier in this section. There is a possibly significant result based on these findings: total energy obtainable from harvester grows with the load resistance. However, this is applicable only for a resistive load under impact-based energy generation.




Figure 21: Calculated piezo power and energy output. Power output is almost constant at all loads, but energy generated during impact grows with load resistance.

Based on these results, an electrical equivalent model of the circuit was designed. The model is shown in Figure 22. Model has two parallel current sources, one to simulate impact of the plunger on the piezoelement and other to simulate the release of the impact. Capacitance in parallel is set to 39 nF as given in the datasheet, load resistance is parametricised to step through the experimental values.

Model was tuned by first calculating the total current transfer to reach the open circuit voltage over capacitor. Then maximum current of current sources was matched to the peak voltage over highest load. The simulated data is plotted Figure 23.




Figure 22: Equivalent model of piezo in LTSpice simulator.

The experimental and simulated data are not in an agreement. While maximum and minimum load voltage and power are close to estimated values, this is by design as the model is tuned to these measurements. Problems occur in interpolating the results, as output voltages are notably higher than measured values. This provides a result which sets the maximum power load near the value which provides output voltage of half of the open loop voltage. However this result is valid only for resistive load, and therefore rectified power output might have different characteristics.

2.5 Electronic design

2.5.1 Simulation of the circuit

As the focus of work is on energy harvesting, only analog sections related to energy harvesting are simulated. This section details the simulation model used to validate the design of circuitry.

The analog sections of circuit were simulated using LTSpice IV [39]. Digital loads are simulated as current sinks. Battery was modelled as a voltage source with high-value capacitor and low-value resistor in series. Piezoelectric harvesting was modelled both as high-voltage source with capacitor in series, and as a current source with capacitor in parallel. Electromagnetic harvesting was modelled as low-impedance low-voltage source.




Figure 23: Simulated piezoelement output voltage and power waveforms. Loads are stepped through list to match experimental values at 2000 gf impact force. Black: 50k; Blue: 150k; Red: 250k; Green: 350k; Pink: 450k; Gray: 550k

LTC3331 presents an interesting opportunity for maximum power point tracking (MPPT). While the impedance of individual components cannot be tuned in real-time, the microcontroller can determine the rotation frequency of the tyre from the accelerometer readings and determine the maximum power point. LTC3331 can adjust the target voltage for the energy storage buffer capacitor, which enables MPPT-control of system.

The simulation model is shown in Figure 24. Connections were adjusted as needed to generate simulation data for different purposes, such as measuring energy efficiency, transient response, MPPT etc.

The simulation model was used to validate the basic operation of the circuit, circuit operates as the datasheet specified. As the basic operation of circuit has been validated, next step was to design the detailed schematic for the circuit. The next section details schematic design of the circuit, starting from top-level diagram and connections between blocks, followed by detailed design of each subblock.

2.5.2 Schematic design

The schematic is a logical representation of the components and how they connect to each other. The schematic is designed in accordance with the datasheets, reference designs and application notes of the main circuit components. This section details the schematic diagram of the circuit.

As the design operates in a high-vibration environment with wide temperature variations, special care was used to select components which have well-defined




Figure 24: LTSpice [39] simulation of electrical circuit

temperature and mechanical characteristics.

Since the circuit is a low-power design, careful attention was paid to parasitic properties and non-ideal behaviour of components. For example electrolytic capacitor can have leakage current of several microamperes [40], which is in the same order of magnitude as the targeted sleep current consumption of system. Likewise any signalling current was kept at minimum.

Another important point of view is the modularity and testability of the circuit. All critical lines have provision for testing and debugging for development and verification of the circuit functionality. Figure 25 shows the interconnections in system, drawn in KiCAD [41]. The power supply can be cut off to separate sections of circuit for current measurement as needed. This has additional benefit of leaving places for power supply filtering components in case some section of circuit emits electrical noise through power supply lines.

Power supply has some conflicting requirements, as any noise in power degrades the performance of the radio and sensor, but on the other hand the power supply should be efficient switch mode power supply to keep power consumption at minimum. LTC3331 has switch-mode power supplies which can be used to generate supply rails




Figure 25: System level design of electronics. Block "power management" contains the energy storage and management functions, "Control" has microcontroller which handles MPPT. Sensor block communicates with control block and control can preprocess the data before sending it over to radio link. All the subblocks are presented in detail in this section. Top-level diagram shows the external connections to system and test points between the sections. Experimental section of the work implements only Power Management functions.

for the rest of circuit, these are used and noise is dealt with by passive filtering. Most of the power supply design shown in Figure 26 is a relatively straightforward application of ideas presented in the LTC3331 datasheet, but a few special considerations have been given to tailor the power supply for this application. Device is configurable by soldering appropriate resistors, and the energy harvesting MPPT can be controlled by external microcontroller using signals UV[0:3].

Battery configuration allows different chemistries to be tested, as the under- and overvoltage lockout levels are user selectable. If a non-rechargeable battery is desired, battery charging can be disabled by omitting resistor the R201.

Central controller is built around the ATMEGA328 [42] microcontroller. The controller uses Serial Peripheral Interface (SPI) and Universal Asynchronous Receiver/Transmitter (UART) serial communication between sections of the system, and it has parallel connection to the LTC3331 to set the energy harvester voltage levels for MPPT. LTC3331 has EH_ON output, which rises to logic high level of approximately 4.8 V when the circuit is being supplied by harvested energy rather than by a battery. This voltage level is above the circuit supply voltage, and therefore interfacing it directly to ATMEGA328 would be damaging. Interfacing is done by a Metal–Oxide–Semiconductor Field-Effect Transistor (MOSFET) BSH105 [43] and internal pullup-resistor on ATMEGA328. When harvested energy is available,




Figure 26: Power supply with harvesting input, battery management and SMPS voltage output.

pull-up of ATMEGA328 becomes grounded through BSH105. This causes somewhat significant current leakage, in range of tens of microamperes while pull-up is being pulled down. However this leakage is present only while harvested energy is available, so it will not drain the battery of circuit. While harvested energy is not available, the MOSFET is shut off. Special care was taken to select a model of MOSFET with small off leakage to avoid drain while system is being run on battery power, BSH105 is specified to have leakage in range of tens of nanoamperes.

More important power savings are achieved through careful design of software. Sleep power states of ATMEGA328 consume minuscule amount of power when compared to active state, therefore minimising active time of circuit is a high priority. If the program is not CPU time limited, clock rate can be scaled down to 1 MHz using internal clock divider. Maximum CPU frequency can be increased by selecting another crystal, but increasing clock frequency will require higher supply voltage which in turn leads to higher overall power consumption in entire system.

Radio link is implemented with BLE113 module. The module could act as stand-alone controller for the system, but radio link has been separated from control logic to allow focused study of different sections of circuit. Schematic shown in Figure 28 is very simple, power supply is decoupled by bypassing capacitors as recommended by the datasheet and a programming header has been brought out. Communication




Figure 27: Control circuit with external interrupts from sensor and energy harvesting.

to microcontroller is handled by universal asynchronous receiver/transmitter (UART) communication using 2.5 V level signalling.

The BLE113 can be forced to sleep by external control if needed and it can operate autonomously while the main controller is sleeping. Data payload can be up to 23 bytes per packet as specified by BLE protocol [44]. Maximum data throughput is defined by the connection interval. As transmitting data consumes active time and therefore power, data transmissions should be minimised while harvested energy is not available.

There is an accelerometer ADXL375 onboard the Printed Circuit Board (PCB) to study applications of the tyre sensor system. Schematic of sensor section is shown in Figure 29. The power supply section has a separate digital Input/Output (IO) supply voltage which is further filtered for the analog sections of board by FB501 and C502. Both supplies are fed by same system level power bus from LTC3331.

ADXL375 is capable of both SPI and Inter-Integrated Circuit (I2C) communication, SPI communication was selected to facilitate faster communication to minimise time control circuit has to be in active mode and to avoid an additional power drain through the required pull-up resistors of the I2C bus. On the other hand, the circuit has a design feature which requires usage of OR gate to avoid SPI sequence being interpreted as I2C command. The OR was selected to be SN74AUP1T32 [45], which has minimal static power current consumption of 0.1 microamperes.




Figure 28: Bluetooth connectivity built with BLE113 module.




Figure 29: Accelerometer circuit.

As the circuit will be subject to extreme accelerations, all the components should be surface mounted. This gives maximal solder pad area to height ratios, which helps to maintain the integrity of circuit. Larger components, such as inductors can be additionally glued for increased mechanical reliability.

The estimated current draw for each of the subcircuits dominated by the main integrated circuit of each subcircuit. The power consumption estimates were presented in section 2.2 table 1.

As the schematic was finished, next task was to design the layout of the circuit. Next section describes the design process of laying out the circuit and shows the completed design of circuit board.

2.5.3 Circuit layout

The PCB layout defines the physical placement of the components on the circuit board. Process of laying out the circuit as well as the structure of printed circuit board is described in this section.

Usually circuits are laid out by defining the outline of the board. Then any mechanical constraints, such as mounting holes and connectors are placed. Next step is to place the main ICs. As the main features of the circuit are defined, subsections of the circuit are planned. Critical and sensitive components such as crystals and antennas are placed as the first priority. Then the power supply lines and power supply components are placed, in this case the inductors and capacitors of SMPS are placed as close as possible to relevant pins.

As the design operates in high-vibration environment with wide temperature variations, special care is used to select components which have well-defined temperature and mechanical characteristics.

The circuit is laid out on 4-layer PCB, where inner layers are dedicated to ground and power planes. This means that power supply decoupling needs a lot less care than on 2-layer board, generally a via straight from power pin to relevant plane gives low-impedance supply to circuit. Power supply decoupling capacitors are still placed as close as possible to relevant pins and power supply pins are fed directly from capacitors when possible to minimise power supply noise leaking into power planes.

Finally the rest of the circuit is laid out. As the currents flowing on board are relatively small and signal rates are low, routing can be rather carefree on non-critical sections. Final board is shown in Figure 30. Energy harvesting section is on the left, radio is on the top, control section is on the right and accelerometer is on the bottom.




Figure 30: Completed assembly of designed system.

The borders between sections are most clearly visible in the power planes of design shown in Figure 31. Power planes for each subcircuit have been separated for testing the current consumption, and therefore the outlines of the power planes

follow the outlines of subcircuits.




Figure 31: Power planes of the PCB. Energy harvesting section is on left, control section is on right, radio communication is on top and accelerometer is on bottom.

In addition to mechanical and electrical properties, the PCB also acts as heat sink for mid-power components. In this circuit only LTC3331 needs consideration to thermal design. Circuit uses several vias under the pad of LTC3331 into the ground plane. As copper is an excellent conductor for heat, any thermal output from LTC3331 gets coupled to ground plane where it can spread to a wider area. Practically the expected milliwatt scale power in power supply section does not warrant concern for overheating.

Battery holder is the only large component in design where G-forces might cause a problem. The holder is on the backside of the PCB, where it can be mounted using adhesives or it can be supported by the harvester top.

2.6 Mechanical design of the harvester

This section details the mechanical considerations for both piezoelectric and electromagnetic harvesters. First material options are explored, then the design for generators is presented.

Material for the generator has a few requirements. It has to have at least as good temperature characteristics as the magnet being used and it must be hard enough to not deform under impacts. Low friction coefficient is desirable as this leads to smaller frictional losses, and long time durability under wear is of course desired. Being lightweight and easily machinable are also desired characteristics. As the generator is small, volumetric cost of the material is of little concern. For the electro-magnetic generator design material ferromagnetism has to be considered. Table 3 displays comparison of different materials considered for this application.

From the Table 3 it can be seen that there is no single best material for the harvester. Polycarbonate and carbon fibre have excellent mechanical strength but

Table 3: Materials for the shaft of the generator [46–49].

Material	Hardness	Friction	Durability	Temperature
PTFE(Teflon)	Very low	Lowest	Lowest	-190... + 250 °C
Polycarbonate	Very high	High	-	-60... + 125 °C
PA 6 (Nylon)	Low	Medium	High	-40... + 80 °C
Oil-infused Nylon	Low	Very low	Very high	-20... + 105 °C
Acrylic	High	-	-	-40... + 70 °C
Polyacetal (POM C)	Medium	Low	Low	-50... + 105 °C
Carbon fiber	Highest	Highest	High	... + 80 °C

they have high friction. Teflon and nylon have lower friction, but they have poor mechanical rigidity.

In the end acrylic was chosen as the material of the harvester. While acrylic is not a best material by any single metric, it has the necessary properties. Acrylic is easy to machine and readily available which were decisive factors for the selection of acrylic over other materials.

As the minimum diameter of the harvester is defined by piezoelement diameter of 34 mm, both generators are designed with 35 mm square bases. Both generators also use same mounting hole pattern for electronics: 2.75 mm diameter holes at the corners of the square.

The generator was machined using slices of laser cut acrylic and standard acrylic tubing. The process is somewhat similar to 3D-printing, as several thin layers form up the final part. The acrylic parts used in generator are shown in Figure 32.

While the initial approach for building the shaft of the generator was to use lasercut rings to form the shaft, the process of lasercutting warped thinner rings and mechanical alignment of the rings was difficult. Therefore a standard 10 mm inner diameter 12 mm outer diameter tube was selected as the shaft of electromagnetic harvester.




Figure 32: 150 * 150 mm sheet for laser cutting. Main structure is formed by squares with mounting holes. Squares have cutouts for pieces of generator, such as piezoelectric disk and stator magnets. Original idea was to make shaft of the generator out of laser cut rings, however process of laser cutting deformed thinner rings and alignment of the rings was not accurate enough for a smooth internal shaft required by rotor magnet.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Test setup

This section details the test setup on a vibration exciter. The harvesters were connected to vibration exciter Brüel & Kjær type 4905 for measuring the frequency response and output power obtainable from the harvesters. Figure 33 shows the test setup with electromagnetic harvester. Syscomp CircuitGear CGR201 oscilloscope was used to generate a test signal and take the measurements from the harvesters. Signal from function generator was amplified by Brüel & Kjær power amplifier type 2707.




Figure 33: Test setup for harvester.

The vibration exciter is electromagnetically driven platform which translates electrical signal into mechanical movement. Device under test is fastened onto test "head" of vibration exciter, and the acceleration seen by device is then controlled by electrical signal.

The test setup did not have feedback for the position of the harvester, so exact displacement or acceleration of the harvester is unknown. The output signal from the function generator had amplitude of 6 V peak-to-peak and the gain of power amplifier was set to 9.5 in testing.

3.2 Experimental results of the electromagnetic harvester

This section presents the experimental results from electromagnetic harvester on vibration exciter. The electromagnetic harvester was tested both on resistive load and while supplying power to a harvester board.

The harvester was built according to design presented in Section 2.3. Figure 34 shows the completed assembly. The rotor magnet can be seen suspended in the middle of assembly, the coil is formed on the upper half of the generator. A magnetic spring is formed by magnets on top and bottom sides of the harvester.




Figure 34: Final prototype of electromagnetic harvester. Balancing magnets can be seen on top and bottom, rotor magnet is floating in middle. Coil is in upper half of the generator.

The final electromagnetic harvester design had width and depth of 35 mm and height of 40 mm. Shaft is made of acrylic with 10 mm inner diameter and 12 mm outer diameter. Rotor magnet is made of N42 neodymium alloy, its diameter is 9.5 mm and length is 12.7 mm. Wire has diameter of 0.1 mm, coil resistance is 34Ω . Therefore length of coil is approximately 15 m and N_{turns} is approximately 400.

3.2.1 Frequency domain results

One of the original design goals of the harvester was to provide a wide-band energy harvester solution. This section presents the frequency domain response of the electromagnetic harvester.

Frequency domain response was obtained by sweeping a wide-band sine signal to power amplifier and measuring the open loop response from the harvester. The rotor magnet was stuck in the shaft of harvester at low frequencies, and the power output fell quickly on high frequencies. To solve this problem with friction, ferrofluid was applied to the rotor magnet. Ferrofluid is oil which has magnetic particles suspended in emulsion, these magnetic particles create effectively magnetic oil which stays on contact with the magnet surfaces. This approach has been used before in a ocean wave energy harvester [50].

After the application of ferrofluid electromagnetic harvester shows a strong resonance peak near 80 Hz as shown in Figure 35. Phase shift behaviour was not affected by ferrofluid lubrication. Usually the systems which have second order dynamics - such as the mass damper spring system - exhibit resonance peaks when the damping factor is low. It is can therefore be concluded that application of ferrofluid has resulted in lesser frictional losses. Amplitude response is also at higher level across all frequencies, suggesting a better overall performance of the harvester.




Figure 35: Frequency domain response of electromagnetic harvester before and after application of ferrofluid. Above graph is the amplitude response and bottom is the phase shift relative to signal generator output. After application of ferrofluid the resonance peak is notably sharper, which indicates a higher quality factor of the mechanical system.

The phase shift is almost exactly 180° at the resonance, which is somewhat curious result as the time domain had the voltage would peak at 90° phase when the acceleration is at zero and speed is highest. One possible reason for this finding is a phaseshift in signal chain, as any device between signal generator and exciter head can introduce frequency-dependend phase shift. Amplitude does not have any specific meaning outside the context of this measurement and comparing output at different frequencies. There is another resonance peak near 900 Hz. This frequency is far above frequencies of interest for the application, as it was shown in Section 1.3 that most of the energy is in 10 - 100 Hz range. The -3 dB bandwidth of ferrofluid-lubricated harvester is ≈ 10 Hz wide, which can be compared to results of Singh et al. [14] referred in Section 1.1. Singh et al. achieved approximately 5 Hz wide -3 dB bandwidth with piezoelectric harvester near 70 Hz.

3.2.2 Time domain results

The time domain waveforms of the electromagnetic harvester were measured on various loads and frequencies. After the open loop results were obtained, the tests were run again with different resistive loads to measure the power output. Finally the power output to the rectifier of the harvesting circuit was measured. This section presents the test results.

The magnet inside the harvester had a notable amount of friction which had to be overcome before any output could be obtained from the harvester. It was not possible to obtain very small signals from harvester, as any input strong enough to move the magnet resulted in a volt-scale output. Figure 36 shows an example of waveforms obtained from harvester.




Figure 36: Open circuit response of the electromagnetic harvester. Red is the excitation waveform, blue is the open-circuit voltage from the harvester.

The waveforms presented in Figure 36 have some curious features: the response from the harvester is asymmetric, there is a notable valley of no output on the rising edge of the signal while no such edge is visible on falling edge. It should be noted that these valleys do not necessarily correspond to the direction of gravity: the phase of input/output signal can be inverted at any point in the signal chain as the polarity of magnet, direction of the winding of coils, and connection of wires can change.

There seems to be a 90° phase shift between excitation and response. This phase shift was expected, as the excitation signal drives acceleration to exciter, so speed of

the magnet reaches maximum at the zero-crossings of excitation. This observation matches well theory presented in Section 1.1.4: Voltage is proportional to the rate of change of magnetic field.

Amplitude of output is 2 V and the resistance of the coil was measured to be 34 Ω at DC. Inductive component of the coil impedance is negligible at the frequencies of interest, so only the resistive component needs to be considered. The Optimal load would then be 34 Ω . When these values are substituted in time domain into Equation 6 in Section 1.1.4 we obtain:

$$P_{load}(t) = V(t) \cdot \frac{34\Omega}{34\Omega + 34\Omega} \cdot \frac{V(t)}{34\Omega + 34\Omega} = \frac{V(t)^2}{136\Omega} \quad (27)$$

When peak amplitude of 2 V is inserted to the Equation (27) peak power is $\approx 30mW$. Root mean square (RMS) voltages are used to express the average power over time. RMS cannot be accurately calculated from given values, as the waveform is not mathematically perfect. If the waveform is approximated as triangle wave, the RMS power would be

$$P_{rms} = k \cdot P_{peak} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cdot 30mW \approx 17mW \quad (28)$$

where k is a constant multiplier for RMS power for triangle waves. If the excitation power was increased until rotor magnet audibly contacted the endstop magnets, there was no significant change in output voltage. One possible explanation is the valley in output waveform: maybe the rotor magnet was driven to near-contact to stator magnet and when the acceleration was reduced the rotor magnet was accelerated mainly by the magnetic interaction. The end result would be that the length of the valley in the output waveform would vary while the output amplitude would be limited by the magnetic interaction. While further exploration of this phenomenon would be interesting, the testing would be potentially destructive and therefore those experiments were left to future work.

This harvester cannot be used with the circuit designed in Section 2.5 as the output amplitude is only 2 V at any reasonable acceleration and frequency. The circuit would require minimum of 4 V to get out of the undervoltage lockout, and this is not achievable even by connecting the bridge rectifier as a voltage doubler because the energy harvesting input still has two diode drops which would keep the voltage below the required threshold.

Next test was done by connecting the harvester to a boost circuit based on TI BQ25504 [51]. BQ25504 has a boost-mode SMPS in energy harvesting input which is able to utilise input voltages as low as 80 mV after startup and it can start up at roughly 330 mV. The detailed description of the circuit is given in Section 3.4.1. The issue with diode voltage drops was remedied by using schottky-diodes connected as voltage doubler.

To measure the actual power output, a current-to-voltage converter μ Current [52] was connected in series to the output of harvester. Measurement was done at scale 1 V = 1 mA. Waveforms are shown in figure 37. It should be noted that the current

channel might be saturated, as the μ Current cannot produce output higher than 1.25 V.




Figure 37: Voltage and current waveform from harvester. Red is current, 1 V equals 1 mA. Blue is voltage from the terminals of harvester before rectification.

The waveforms are as expected, there is no current flowing while the voltage is low. When the voltage rises to roughly one volt, current starts to flow charging the output capacitor. When the input voltage starts to decrease, no more current flows to the capacitor. Accuracy of amplitude of current measurement is questionable because of potential saturation of the measuring instrument.

It is worth noting that the voltage rises to open-loop maximum amplitude of 2 V as the loading on the harvester decreases as the voltage on capacitor increases. This indicates that maximum theoretical peak power output of ≈ 30 mW is not reached at any point.

Power waveform of the harvester is presented in Figure 38. The waveform is calculated by multiplying the voltage and current. Because the current is scaled at 1 mA = 1 V, the result can be read as 1 V = 1 mW. While absolute value of power is questionable because of the potentially saturated instrument, the waveform itself is correct.

Graphically read average power output is 0.375 mW. One possible reason for the greatly lower power output was the capacitors in voltage doubler structure: the voltage doubler has series capacitance of $10 \mu\text{F}$, which has a reactive impedance of




Figure 38: Power waveform from harvester. Pink is power, 1 V equals 1 mW.

$$X_c = \frac{1}{2\pi f C} = \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot 65\text{Hz} \cdot 10\mu\text{F}} \approx 245\Omega \quad (29)$$

at 65 Hz. Total output impedance of circuit would be approximately 280Ω , which would limit the output current to approximately 7 mA. This theory was tested by simulating the equivalent model of input section of harvester circuit. Simulation model and results are shown in Figure 39.

The simulated data confirms the effect of input capacitor to current output of the system. Current is limited to roughly 7 mA. Simulated power output was on average 2.0 mW. If the measured current is assumed to be limited by saturation, and if we assume that simulated current of 8 mA peaks would be correct, the calculated power output from experimental result would be

$$P_{true} = P_{simulated} \cdot \frac{I_{simulated}}{I_{real}} = 0.375\text{mW} \cdot \frac{7\text{mA}}{1.25\text{mA}} = 2.1\text{mW}. \quad (30)$$

After correcting the experimental current with the simulated value, a lot more reasonable value of approximately 2 mW of generated power is obtained.

This section presented the time-domain results of the electromagnetic harvester on a vibration exciter test platform. Approximately 30 mW peak power was obtained, RMS power of 17 mW was achieved to resistive load and power output to harvester was determined to be in range between 0.4 mW and 2 mW.




Figure 39: LTSpice model of energy harvester input section.

3.3 Experimental results of the piezoelectric harvester

3.3.1 Frequency domain results

The frequency domain response of the piezoelectric harvester was obtained in similar manner as with the electromagnetic harvester detailed in Section 3.2.1. The excitation signal was swept across a wide spectrum and output from the harvester was measured. Frequency domain results are presented in this section.

The frequency sweep result on open circuit is shown in Figure 40. A resonance peak can be found at 334 Hz. Similarly to the electromagnetic harvester, the slope is a lot steeper on the frequencies above the resonance peak than below.

The peak frequency is somewhat poorly suited to the environment in the tyre presented in Section 1.3, as the peak response is significantly above peak frequencies encountered in the tyre. Usually the frequency is tuned downwards by adding mass to the harvester, however this approach is not feasible in this application as the proof mass is limited to avoid damage to the piezoelement due to excessive strain. As the peak frequency was identified near 330 Hz, the time domain analysis were performed on the harvester. Next section details the voltage, current and power outputs into varied loads.




Figure 40: Frequency response of piezoelectric harvester

3.3.2 Time domain results

This section presents the time-domain results of the piezoelectric harvester. The test setup of the piezoelectric harvester was similar to the test setup of the electromagnetic harvester, details of the test setup are given in Section 3.1. Unlike the electromagnetic harvester, this piezoelectric harvester did not have any obvious minimum acceleration before the friction would be overcome and therefore even very small signal was obtainable. No maximum value for output signal was found by increasing the power to the vibration exciter, the output of harvester grew with the input signal. The function generator output signal was held at 6 volts peak-to-peak for the time domain tests and at 3 volts peak-to-peak for frequency domain tests to limit the loosening of the screws by vibration. Gain of the power amplifier was fixed at 9.5.

The piezoelectric harvester was characterised by high output voltages at high output impedance. Open loop response is shown in Figure 41. The output was not a clean sine-resembling signal as with the electromagnetic harvester, it has sharp downwards peaks and a lot of high-frequency distortion.

While output signal is off the scale, negative peaks were measured at -12 V. Peak-to-peak amplitude was therefore roughly 20 V. The search for the maximum power point was started by modeling the circuit as RC- high pass filter shown in Figure 42 with piezo capacitance as the series capacitor and load as the resistor.

The frequency domain results presented in Section 3.3.1 were used to find the maximum output frequency at 330 Hz. This frequency was taken as the target cut-off




Figure 41: Open loop response from the piezoelectric harvester. Red is the excitation signal, blue is the response.




Figure 42: RC high pass filter [53].

frequency for the RC-filter equation:

$$F_c = \frac{1}{2\pi RC} \quad (31)$$

$$R = \frac{1}{2\pi CF} = \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot 39nF \cdot 330Hz} \approx 12400\Omega$$

Theory would predict the maximum power point to be near the cut-off frequency, as the cut-off point is where reactive impedance is equal to resistance which fills the

constraint of Equation (7) presented in Section 1.1.4. The generator was tested with 18 kΩ, 12 kΩ and 9 kΩ resistive loads. The peak voltages and calculated power into the load are presented in Table 4. The power is calculated by approximating the waveform as a clean sine calculating RMS power from peak voltage values. Method is similar to Equation (28) presented in Section 3.2.2, but the multiplier k is $\sqrt{2}$ instead of $\sqrt{3}$ as the waveform is approximated as a sine rather than a triangle. While the absolute value of the power output has approximation error, the waveforms obtained with 18 kΩ and 12 kΩ are similar enough for comparing the outputs between the loads. On 9 kΩ load the waveform was clearly more distorted, and therefore calculation for power has greater approximation error.

Table 4: Output power of piezo harvester at 18 kΩ, 12 kΩ and 9 kΩ loads.

Load	Amplitude	Power _{rms}
18 kΩ	7 volts	1.36 mW
12 kΩ	6 volts	1.50 mW
9 kΩ	4 volts	0.89 mW

The waveforms from 12 kΩ load and 9 kΩ load are shown in Figures 43 and 44 for comparing the amount of distortion. While both waveforms show a clear high-frequency content, possibly caused by resonant frequency of piezo element itself, the heavier loading causes a significant distortion on the waveform.

The waveform on Figure 44 resembles almost a saw-tooth wave. Regardless of actual RMS value, it can be confidently said that the power output is smaller under 9 kΩ load than under 12 kΩ load. Therefore maximum power point can be concluded to be near 12 kΩ load at 330 Hz.

After testing the behaviour of piezoelectric harvester on resistive loads, power output to the harvester through rectification was tested. While the electromagnetic harvester had a notably higher output to resistive load, rectification drops voltage and therefore high-voltage characteristic of piezoelectric harvester is advantageous for rectification.

As with the electromagnetic harvester, current was measured using μ Current at 1 mV / μ A setting. While the electromagnetic harvester suffered from reactance of series capacitance limiting the output of the harvester, output impedance of piezoelectric harvester is a lot higher than impedance of additional series capacitor and therefore the output was not impaired by the coupling capacitance. The VI-waveforms are shown in Figure 45.

Output of the piezoelectric harvester does not follow the excitation in a similar manner to the electromagnetic harvester. The output voltage is clamped by the diodes of rectification circuit, and the current waveform does not follow the voltage waveform. Power output waveforms have been presented in Figure 46. As before, the output can be read as 1 V = 1 mW.

While excitation frequency of 330 Hz was not clearly visible in VI-waveforms, the rectified signal is clearly double of 330 Hz. Graphic integration suggests the




Figure 43: Piezoelectric harvester under $12\text{ k}\Omega$ load. Red is the excitation signal, blue is the response.

power output to be in order of hundreds of microwatts, with peak power output being approximately 2.4 mW.

It can be concluded that piezoelectric harvester produces most of the power at the excitation frequency, any output from the internal resonances are negligible in comparison to energy obtained from the external actuation.

3.4 Harvesting circuit results

3.4.1 Revisited circuit design

While the original idea was to use the circuit presented in Section 2.5 for testing the system-level performance, it became obvious that the harvesters cannot produce output levels required by the circuit. A new simplified harvesting circuit was designed to test the performance of the harvester inside the tyre. The harvester design is presented in this section.

According to Rouvala, M. [54] best way to utilise low output levels is to chain voltage multiplier circuit stages to produce higher DC-level and then use a boost circuit to bring the harvested output into desired level. A few ICs from different manufacturers were considered for the new circuit, namely Seiko S-882z [55] charge pumps, Linear Technology LTC3105 [56] boost charger and Texas Instruments BQ255xx -series boost chargers. As Seiko ICs are not readily available and LTC3105




Figure 44: Piezoelectric harvester under $9\text{ k}\Omega$ load. Red is excitation signal, blue is response.

requires high start-up currents, BQ25504 [51] was chosen as the core for the harvesting circuit. The schematic of harvesting circuit is presented in Figure 47 and Figure 48 shows the assembled circuit mounted on top of the piezoelectric harvester.

The revised harvesting circuit is able to start at 0.33 V input DC voltage, or at near 0.4 V RMS amplitude AC voltage contrasted to 5 V DC level of LTC3331-based circuit. A supercapacitor was chosen as energy storage for easy measurement of accumulated harvested energy. The supercapacitor was model EECRG0V155VN [57] with 3.6 V maximum voltage and 1.5 F nominal capacitance.

Maximum power point tracking is provided by sampling open-loop voltage of the circuit through voltage divider R1 and R2 every 16 seconds into capacitor C2. After the sample has been stored into the capacitor, BQ25504 attempts to set the current taken from the input so that V_{IN} matches V_{REF} .

This form of MPPT is not adjustable by an external microcontroller: while digital potentiometers exist, their current consumption far exceeds the low-power requirements of the circuit. Therefore a fixed ratio had to be set for the circuit. The ratio was set to 80 % to avoid overloading the piezoelement, as it was previously found in section 3.3.2 that overloading the element has a disastrous effect on efficiency of piezoelectric harvesting whereas underloading has much less pronounced effect on the efficiency.

Resistors R3 through R9 set various operation points for the circuit. Output




Figure 45: VI-waveforms of piezoelectric harvester into rectifier. Blue is voltage, red is current with scaling of 1 mA / V. Current does not follow the voltage and voltage is clamped by diodes.

voltage was set to 3.3 V, and power good -threshold was set to 3 V on charging and 2.2 V on discharging.

The circuit was built and found to work with both harvester designs on the vibration exciter. As the circuit was usable, further work was carried out using this circuit. Next section details the measurement of the supercapacitor parameters after it was soldered in the circuit.

3.4.2 Measuring the supercapacitor parameters

As the energy storage used in the circuit is a supercapacitor and all subsequent measurements are based on values measured from the supercapacitor, the supercapacitor was characterised in-circuit to obtain more accurate values for the system performance. The measuring process and the results are presented in this section.

Application note AN1005 from Cap-XX [58] details a simple process for measuring supercapacitor capacitance and Equivalent Series Resistance (ESR). The supercapacitor is first charged to a target voltage and then discharged through a resistor. The parameters are then read from the discharge waveform. A 100 ohm resistor was used as the discharging resistance, the discharge waveform is shown in Figure 49.




Figure 46: Power waveform of piezoelectric harvester. Scaling is 1 mW / V.

The initial discharge current can be calculated with voltage over resistor:

$$I_{initial} = \frac{V_{initial}}{R} = \frac{2.75V}{100\Omega} \approx 27.5mA \quad (32)$$

With the initial discharge current and voltage drop known, ESR can be calculated:

$$ESR = \frac{V_0 - V_{initial}}{I_{initial}} = \frac{3.25V - 2.75V}{27.5mA} \approx 18.2\Omega \quad (33)$$

With ESR known, it is possible to calculate capacitance from the discharge time:

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &= V_{initial}e^{-t/R_{tot}C} \\ \frac{V_t}{V_{initial}} &= e^{-t/R_{tot}C} \\ \ln\left(\frac{V_t}{V_{initial}}\right) &= \frac{-t}{R_{tot}C} \\ C &= \frac{-t}{R_{tot}\ln\left(\frac{V_t}{V_{initial}}\right)} = \frac{41.6 - 14.4}{100 + 18.2} \frac{1}{\ln\left(\frac{2.75V}{3.25V}\right)} \approx 1.38F \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Therefore the capacitor ESR was found to be 18.2Ω and capacitance $1.37 F$. This is well within the published [57] initial values of $ESR < 30 \Omega$ and capacitance of $1.5 F -20 \% \dots + 80 \%$, and therefore the results can be considered reliable.




Figure 47: Schematic of the revised harvester circuit. Inputs are to left, both AC and DC input is supported. Resistors R1 and R2 set the maximum power point tracking point. Resistors R3 ... R9 set operation points: such as target voltage as 3.3 V, power good thresholds and undervoltage lockout threshold at 2.2 V. C5 is the supercapacitor for storing energy, C7 is a ceramic capacitor for rejecting high-frequency noise. C3 provides high-frequency filtering for switched power supply noise and C4 is bulk capacitance for load. Over Temperature shutdown threshold (OT_PROG) is set to 120 °C by pulling OT_PROG to a high voltage level. VBAT_OK signal is a digital output which can be used to wake sensor platform when enough energy has been stored for taking a measurement and transmitting data.

As the devices were proven in a laboratory setting, further test was carried out to determine the power output in a simulated drive inside a tyre. The test setup and results are detailed in next section.

3.5 Performance inside tyre

The final experiment was to install the piezoelectric harvester with revisited electronics inside the tyre and simulate driving conditions with a dynamometer platform. Piezoelectric harvester was chosen for the final tests as it was able to produce output even with small excitation unlike electromagnetic harvester which required a minimum impact before producing power. The test results are presented in this section.

The harvester was glued to the inner lining of the tyre as shown in Figure 50 and electrical connections were brought through a slip ring for instrumentation. The tyre was installed to rig presented in Figure 51 and the tyre was driven at various speeds and loads.

The supercapacitor was fully charged before installing in tyre. Measurements were taken next day when the voltage had stabilised near 2.670 V. Tyre was driven at varied speeds and loads. Power output was estimated based stored voltage in




Figure 48: BQ25504-based harvesting circuit mounted on piezoelectric harvester.




Figure 49: Discharge waveform. Red is the capacitor voltage, blue is voltage over 100 ohm resistor.

supercapacitor before and after the test. The voltage was measured with NI 9215 [59] which has precision required for measuring millivolt-scale differences.

The power output was calculated from the energy difference between the start and end of the test as per equation (35). The test results are presented in Table 5.




Figure 50: Piezoelectric harvester mounted inside the tyre.



Figure 51: Tyre assembled in test platform.

$$P_{avg} = \frac{E_t - E_0}{t} = \frac{1}{2} C \frac{(V_t^2 - V_0^2)}{t} \quad (35)$$

Where P_{avg} is average power generated, E_t is stored energy at the end of measurement, E_0 is stored energy at the start of measurement, C is capacitance of the supercapacitor, V_t is voltage of the supercapacitor at the end of measurement, V_0

V_0 is voltage of supercapacitor at the start of measurement and t is the duration of measurement.

Table 5: Measured values from tyre test setup, power is calculated with Equation (35).

Speed	Load	V_0	V_{end}	Duration	Power
20 km / h	1 kN	2.674 V	2.674 V	600 s	$0\mu W$
20 km / h	2 kN	2.674 V	2.676 V	600 s	$13\mu W$
30 km / h	1 kN	2.676 V	2.680 V	≈ 300 s	$\approx 50\mu W$

Regrettably the supercapacitor leads to PCB broke down during measurement at 30 km / h and therefore no accurate data was obtained at that speed. However, the results suggest that average power of $50\mu W$ was obtained from the harvester at 30 km / h speed. The harvesting circuit has a considerable leakage of power at initial charging. This is probably because of the leakage characteristics of the supercapacitor shown in Figure 52. Initial leakage current of a supercapacitor can be in order of tens of microamperes, and it will decrease over course of several days to a specified value [60].




Figure 52: Supercapacitors have high initial leakage [60].

This section presented the real-world power output of piezoelectric harvester. Usable, rectified and regulated power output was at least $13\mu W$. Further experiments suggest the energy output is near $50\mu W$ at 30 km / h under 2 kN load. Next section compares the obtained results to current state of the art.

3.6 Comparison to state of the art results

Energy harvesting systems for tyres have been under a lot of research. While there is no standard test process which would give accurately comparable results from different harvester designs, Kubba et al. [1] have collected a comparison table of various energy harvesting systems for tyres. The results of system designed and built in this work has been appended to results and compared to some similar harvester designs in this section. Table 6 shows some comparable designs.

Table 6: The designed system compared to current state of the art tyre energy harvester results [1].

Harvester	Size	Voltage	Power	Test condition
Electromagnetic 1	not specified	1.5 V AC	54 μW	60 km / h
Electromagnetic 2	10.8 cm ³	200 mV AC	400 μW	15 g
Electromagnetic 3	not specified	330 mV RMS	349 μW	400 rpm
Piezoelectric 1	0.9 cm ³	6 V AC	100 μW	Not specified
Piezoelectric 2	4.1 cm ³	5 V AC	47 μW	Not specified
Piezoelectric 3	not specified	14 V _{p-p} AV	10 μW	Not specified
Piezo presented	30.6 cm ³	not specified	13 μW	20 km / h, 2 kN
Piezo presented	30.6 cm ³	not specified	50 μW ?	30 km / h, 1 kN

The piezoelectric harvester presented in this work produces similar power level as other harvesters in literature. The power output values are not directly comparable as the test setup has been different in each study. However the results obtained in this work can be considered to be in agreement with values presented in literature.

A typical CR2032 lithium coin cell battery has capacity of approximately 600 mAh at 3 volts. If such a battery were to provide continuously 50 μW , the battery lifetime would be approximately 4 years. As the energy harvesting system does not produce power continuously, batteries can still provide slightly more power to system over the lifetime of a tyre. If an energy harvesting system could be produced to operate reliably at highway driving speeds, greater amount of power produced might surpass the capacity of battery.

4 Conclusions

In this thesis the operation environment of tyre has been presented, and reasonable choices for energy harvesting technology have been identified. Both Piezoelectric and electromagnetic methods have been experimented with. The electromagnetic harvester had a characteristic of low output voltage with low output impedance. Piezoelectric harvester had notably higher output voltage but also a lot higher output impedance. A boost circuit with MPPT was built to further experiment applicability of harvester in tyre environment.

The idea of applying ferrofluid to a small-scale electromagnetic harvester to reduce effects of friction on magnet is novel and not presented in literature to the authors best knowledge. However, the approach has been used in larger scale energy generation and wave energy harvesting. Ferrofluid application to the electromagnetic harvester notably improved the harvester performance at the resonant frequency of the harvester.

The piezoelectric harvester was confirmed to produce $13 \mu\text{W}$ of power inside tyre at $20 \text{ km} / \text{h}$ driving speed under 2 kN load in a chassis dynamometer test rig. The harvesting circuit failed mechanically after approximately half an hour of driving, outlining the importance of mechanical structure of device. The results obtained while the piezoelectric harvester was driven at $30 \text{ km} / \text{h}$ under 1 kN load suggest the output power was $50 \mu\text{W}$. A conference paper of these early results has been written and sent for peer review, and more experiments will be performed at varied speeds and loads for better characterization of system performance. Initial results of these further tests suggests the figure of $50 \mu\text{W}$ at $30 \text{ km} / \text{h}$ speed being reasonable. The method of determining average power production from a harvester using change of charge in supercapacitor is original approach to the author's best knowledge, while most of the literature presents the power output as a function of voltage over resistive load or continuous power output of SMPS using harvested energy.

Average power output from coin cell batteries over a life time of a few years is comparable to output of harvesters presented in this work and elsewhere in literature. However, coin cell batteries are more economical and therefore energy harvesting systems to replace coin cells in tyre sensors are not yet commercially feasible in the author's opinion.

As the average power consumption of modern TPMS is on order of tens of microwatts, the harvester system can be concluded to produce sufficient amount of power to supply current sensor systems. However, continuous sampling of sensors and transmission of data requires tens of milliwatts and therefore solutions presented in this thesis cannot supply power to such systems.

References

- [1] A. E. Kubba and K. Jiang, “A comprehensive study on technologies of tyre monitoring systems and possible energy solutions,” *Sensors (Switzerland)*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 10306–10345, 2014.
- [2] C. Bowen and M. Arafa, “Energy Harvesting Technologies for Tire Pressure Monitoring Systems,” *Advanced Energy Materials*, 2014.
- [3] A. Lal and J. Blanchard, “The daintiest of dynamos,” *IEEE Spectrum*, no. September, pp. 36–41, 2004.
- [4] D. Patel, R. Mehta, R. Patwa, S. Thapar, and S. Chopra, “RF Energy Harvesting,” *International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology (IJETT)*, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 382–385, 2014.
- [5] I. Boldea and S. Nasar, “Linear electric actuators and generators,” *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 712–717, 1999.
- [6] S. Boisseau, G. Despesse, and A. Seddik, “Electrostatic Conversion for Vibration Energy Harvesting,” *Small-Scale Energy Harvesting*, pp. 1–39, 2012.
- [7] S. H. Kim, S. Ju, C. H. Ji, and S. J. Lee, “Equivalent circuit model of an impact-based piezoelectric energy harvester,” *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 557, p. 012094, 2014.
- [8] A. Erturk, D. J. Inman, S. L. Hendricks, M. W. Hyer, and I. K. Puri, “Electromechanical Modeling of Piezoelectric Energy Harvesters,” Ph.D. dissertation, Virginial Polytechnic Institute, 2009.
- [9] R. Amatya and R. Ram, “Solar thermoelectric generator for micropower applications,” *Journal of Electronic Materials*, vol. 39, no. 9, pp. 1735–1740, 2010.
- [10] Q. Zhang, A. Agbossou, Z. Feng, and M. Cosnier, “Solar micro-energy harvesting with pyroelectric effect and wind flow,” *Sensors and Actuators, A: Physical*, vol. 168, no. 2, pp. 335–342, 2011.
- [11] F. R. Fan, Z. Q. Tian, and Z. Lin Wang, “Flexible triboelectric generator,” *Nano Energy*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 328–334, 2012.
- [12] L. Wang and F.-G. Yuan, “Structural Vibration Energy Harvesting by Magnetostrictive Materials (MsM),” *4th China-Japan-US Symposium on Structural Control and Monitoring*, pp. 1–8, 2006.
- [13] E. Arroyo, a. Badel, F. Formosa, Y. Wu, and J. Qiu, “Comparison of electromagnetic and piezoelectric vibration energy harvesters: Model and experiments,” *Sensors and Actuators, A: Physical*, vol. 183, no. June, pp. 148–156, 2012.

- [14] K. B. Singh, V. Bedekar, S. Taheri, and S. Priya, "Piezoelectric vibration energy harvesting system with an adaptive frequency tuning mechanism for intelligent tires," *Mechatronics*, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 970–988, 2012.
- [15] G. Manla, N. White, and J. Tudor, "Harvesting energy from vehicle wheels," *Solid-State Sensors, Actuators and Microsystems Conference, 2009.*, 2009.
- [16] K. Kanda, T. Saito, Y. Iga, K. Higuchi, and K. Maenaka, "Influence of parasitic capacitance on output voltage for series-connected thin-film piezoelectric devices," *Sensors (Switzerland)*, vol. 12, no. 12, pp. 16 673–16 684, 2012.
- [17] H. D. Young and R. A. Freedman, *University Physics*, 12th ed. Pearson, 2008.
- [18] P. Jirutitijaroen, "EE2022 Electrical Energy Systems Lecture 10 : Electric Power Generation – an Equivalent Circuit of a Generator," 2012.
- [19] T. O'Donnell, C. Saha, S. Beeby, and J. Tudor, "Scaling effects for electromagnetic vibrational power generators," *Microsystem Technologies*, no. April, pp. 26–28, 2007.
- [20] A. Gent and J. Walter, "The Pneumatic Tire," *Rubber World*, p. 707, 2005.
- [21] A. J. Niskanen and A. J. Tuononen, "Three 3-axis accelerometers fixed inside the tyre for studying contact patch deformations in wet conditions," *Vehicle System Dynamics*, vol. 52, no. sup1, pp. 287–298, 2014.
- [22] M. Löhndorf, T. Kvisterø y, E. Westby, and E. Halvorsen, "Evaluation of Energy Harvesting Concepts for Tire Pressure Monitoring Systems," *International Workshops on Micro and Nanotechnology for Power Generation and Energy Conversion Applications*, pp. 331–334, 2007.
- [23] M. J. Matilainen and A. J. Tuononen, "Intelligent Tire to Measure Contact Length in Dry Asphalt and Wet Concrete Conditions," *Avec 12*, pp. 1–6, 2012.
- [24] Y. Xiong and A. Tuononen, "A laser-based sensor system for tire tread deformation measurement," *Measurement Science and Technology*, vol. 25, no. 11, p. 115103, 2014.
- [25] Linear Technology, "LTC3331 - Nanopower Buck-Boost DC/DC with Energy Harvesting Battery Life Extender," Linear Technology, Tech. Rep., 2014.
- [26] Bluegiga, "BLE113 data sheet (v1.2)," Bluegiga, Tech. Rep. November, 2013.
- [27] A. Devices, "Digital MEMS Accelerometer," Analog Devices, Tech. Rep., 2012.
- [28] S. Roundy, "ENERGY HARVESTING FOR TIRE PRESSURE MONITORING SYSTEMS ;," in *Proceedings of PowerMEMS 2008*, 2008, pp. 2–7.
- [29] S. Cheng and D. Arnold, "A multi-pole magnetic generator with enhanced voltage output for low-frequency vibrational energy harvesting," *PowerMEMS 2008+ microEMS 2008*, pp. 125–128, 2008.

- [30] J. C. Elmes, "Maximum Energy Harvesting Control for Oscillating Energy Harvesting Systems," Ph.D. dissertation, College of New Jersey, 2005.
- [31] S. Jacob, V. Ramanarayanan, R. Karunanithi, C. Damu, and G. Jagadish, "Development of a Moving Magnet Linear Motor Pressure Wave Generator for a Pulse Tube Refrigerator," in *Cryocoolers 16*, vol. m, 2011, pp. 361–370.
- [32] I. Boldea and S. Nasar, *Linear electric actuators and generators*. Cambridge University Press, 1999, vol. 14, no. 3.
- [33] D. Amrani, "Determination of Magnetic Dipole Moment of Permanent Disc Magnet with Two Different Methods," *Physics Education*, 2015.
- [34] S. Tornincasa, M. Repetto, E. Bonisoli, and F. Di Monaco, "Energy harvester for vehicle tires: Nonlinear dynamics and experimental outcomes," *Journal of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 3–13, 2012.
- [35] R. Fox, A. McDonald, and P. Pritchard, *Introduction to Fluid Mechanics*. Wiley, 2008.
- [36] Medhat K Bahr Khalil, "Estimated versus Calculated Viscous Friction Coefficient in Spool Valve Modeling," in *IFPE 2008 Technical Conference*, 2008, pp. 1–9.
- [37] D. Meeker, "Finite Element Method Magnetics, Version 4.2," 2013.
- [38] K. Mossi, R. P. Bishop, R. Smith, and H. T. Banks, "Evaluation Criteria for Thunder Actuators 2 ." FACE International Corporation, Tech. Rep.
- [39] M. Engelhardt, "LTSpice IV," 2015.
- [40] J. Both, "Leakage current properties of modern electrolytic capacitors," BC Components, Tech. Rep., 2001.
- [41] J.-P. Charras, D. Hollenbeck, and W. Stambaugh, "KiCAD," 2015.
- [42] Atmel, "Atmel 8-bit microcontroller with 4/8/16/32 kbytes in-system programmable flash," Atmel, Tech. Rep., 2014.
- [43] Philips Semiconductors, "BSH105 N-channel enhancement mode MOS transistor," Philips Semiconductors, Tech. Rep., 1998.
- [44] C. Gomez, J. Oller, and J. Paradells, "Overview and evaluation of bluetooth low energy: An emerging low-power wireless technology," *Sensors (Switzerland)*, vol. 12, no. 9, pp. 11 734–11 753, 2012.
- [45] Texas Instruments, "SN74AUP1T32," Texas Instruments, Tech. Rep., 2010.
- [46] Plastics International, "Hardness Scale - Durometer Comparisons of Materials," 2015. [Online]. Available: <http://www.plasticsintl.com/polyhardness.htm> [Accessed: 2015-07-16]

- [47] Etra, “Tekniset muovit,” 2015. [Online]. Available: <http://tuotteet.tra.fi/fi/g2226684/tekniset-muovit> [Accessed: 2015-07-16]
- [48] Goodfellow, “Polycarbonate (PC).” [Online]. Available: <http://www.goodfellow.com/E/Polycarbonate.html> [Accessed: 2015-07-16]
- [49] McCarr, “High-Strength Lightweight Carbon Fiber.” [Online]. Available: <http://www.mcmaster.com/#standard-carbon-fiber-hollow-tubing/> [Accessed: 2016-01-04]
- [50] J. Cheung and E. Childress, “Ocean Wave Energy Harvesting Device,” Teledyne Scientific, Tech. Rep., 2007.
- [51] Texas Instruments, “bq25504 Ultra Low-Power Boost Converter With Battery Management for Energy,” Texas Instruments, Tech. Rep., 2014.
- [52] D. Jones, “The uCurrent,” EEVBlog, Tech. Rep., 2010.
- [53] C. Nave, “Filter Circuits with Capacitors,” 2012. [Online]. Available: <http://hyperphysics.phy-astr.gsu.edu/hbase/electric/imgele/rchi.gif> [Accessed: 2016-01-10]
- [54] M. Rouvala, “Interview with M. Rouvala,” Helsinki, 2015.
- [55] Seiko Instruments, “Ultra-Low Voltage Operation Charge Pump IC For Step-Up DC-DC Converter Startup: S-882Z Series,” Seiko Instruments, Tech. Rep., 2010.
- [56] Linear Technology, “LTC3105,” Linear Technology, Tech. Rep., 2015.
- [57] Panasonic, “Electric Double Layer Capacitors (Gold Capacitor)/ F Stacked Coin Type,” Panasonic, Tech. Rep., 2006.
- [58] Cap-XX, “Application Note AN1005 Simple Measurement of Supercapacitor Parameters,” Cap-XX, Tech. Rep., 2008.
- [59] National Instruments, “NI 9215,” National Instruments, Tech. Rep. 866, 2014.
- [60] P. Mars, “Coupling a supercapacitor with a small energy-harvesting source,” *Edn*, pp. 39–42, 2012.