1.5 | Risk Assessment

**Introduction**

Risk Management is the process of identifying and understanding the dangers to a project[1]. There are a multitude of risks of different severity and likelihood and it is important to identify and catalogue all of these as soon as possible so that steps can be taken to reduce any likelihood of failing to produce the intended deliverables[1]. This project is a non-profit volunteer project so any identified risks will pertain mostly to deadlines and technical aspects, rather than profit, or company sustainability.

**Identify and Group**

To identify risk we must have a formal method of approach to ensure we consider all possible options. Chevron’s method of cataloguing risk [2] breaks down the project into 7 distinct parts that can be assessed to find the risks in the specific area. This is not wholly suitable for us as this only assesses risks to information, we will expand upon this to make our own categories. We will then go through each category with the requirements in mind; identifying and categorising risks to the project before inserting them into the risk table. This will be repeated several time throughout the primary stages of the project as in dynamic projects the chances of risks changing as new elements are introduced is high[3]. After all risks are identified we can then start to take steps to reduce the risk to the project by the process of risk mitigation[1].

**Ranking of Risk**

We will rank project risks according to two categories: the likelihood of the risk occurring, and the severity of the risk itself. Likelihood is the measure of how often in the project a risk will occur on a scale of **Low, Medium** and **High**. L*ow* means occurring once or less throughout the project and *High* means occurring several times throughout the process. Severity is ranked between **Low, Medium, High** and **Critical**. *Low* is a slight change, possibly prompting the need for team meeting. *Medium* is a significant change to architecture or code which will require extra work or a reworking of existing features. H*igh* shows a main flaw in a stage of the process which requires large amounts of work and may take several days to fix. *Critical* is a major disruption to work which could cause the project to be delayed or never finished, these should not happen in our project as the small scale of the project make it hard for such catastrophes to occur.k

**Categorising**

As stated, we have decided upon categories into which our risks fall into, where any number of categories can pertain to a single risk. The categories follow:

* **Development** (affecting the development of the project and could lead to delays)
* **Technical** (affect the software or hardware used in the project)
* **Client** (risks where the client is involved in some capacity)

The table below provides a description of table headings relevant to the upcoming risk assessment table.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Heading:** | Index | Risk | Description | Category | Severity | Likelihood | Mitigation |
| **Description:** | The identifying number to the risk | A title | A more indepth description of the consequences | As described | As described | As described | The steps we will take to reduce risk of this error affecting us |

**Section 2- The Risks**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | Requirement from customer is unclear | A requirement from the client is not specific in what it wants. | **Development**  **Client** | **Low** | **High** | This is an easily solvable issue, part of our planning process includes regular meetings with the client. This is the time to solve such issues and discuss all the requirements in detail. |
| 2 | Requirement is missed | The group misses one of the requirements from the briefing doc. | **Client** | **High** | **Low** | Constant rereading and discussing of the requirements makes this likelihood low, use of any spiral, or RAD technique makes the chances of this occurring low. |
| 3 | Requirements are unachievable | A pair of requirements contradict each other or are far to unrealistic to be successfully implemented. | **Client** | **High** | **Low** | As long as the issue is spotted early on then fixing it will be easy, a meeting with the Client will solve any discrepancy. A greater problem would be if an implementation is more difficult than the team is capable of. This can be solved through proper planning and structure which show us if we are getting behind schedule and so able to solve the problem early. |
| 4 | Requirement is changed | The client changes a requirement later in the project. | **Client** | **Medium** | **Medium** | As discussed in risk 1 and 2 we have an intended schedule of regular meetings with the client, meaning any changes to requirements should come about early on giving us time to change the product to suit the new brief. Our RAD process is suited to large amounts of change as it is. |
| 5 | Requirement causes disagreement | The team is not agreeing on how to implement a requirement. | **Development** | **Medium** | **Medium** | There are two ways of solving this, firstly meetings within the team to discuss and review work come about naturally as part of our dynamic team work. If this does not solve the problem it will be taken on to a client meeting as discussed in risk 1. As the client has the final say their should be no dissatisfaction within the team. |
| 6 | Group disagreement | Members of the team have different views on how to implement a part of the project. | **Development** | **Low** | **High** | This could be anything from the language chosen to a character design, for trivial decisions such as character design or other superficial features a general meeting should be enough to settle the disagreement. Larger concerns such as language choice should be solved before development starts. This gives time to decide a method so that people inexperienced in the language have time to learn it. |
| 7 | Team member become dissatisfied | One member disagrees with how the group is progressing, or dislikes the project, leading to a lower quality of work. | **Development** | **Medium** | **Medium** | This is likely to happen if the member’s suggestions and ideas members are constantly shut down and he is given the all the boring or difficult work. Within our regular meetings he should have time to discuss this, he also would have the option of talking to the module head going into the well set up support system provided to help with team problems. |
| 8 | Team member misses session | One scheduled session missed with or without warning. | **Development** | **Low** | **High** | Meetings are set up ahead of time so availability should be known ahead of time, this means meetings will either be scheduled for times when all members are present or that the member will have arranged for his absence and provided any work he needed to have done meaning his absence does not disrupt the session and all changes and progress will be recorded to keep him up to date. |
| 9 | Team member has extended period of inaction | Member is unavailable to contribute work for several days. | **Development** | **Medium** | **Medium** | A stated in Risk 8 all changes and progress is kept by all sub teams, meaning if a member is missing we will know exactly what he was doing and as we are using a shared resource format we have access to all his work meaning we can carry on where he left off, and he can then catch up when he returns using the same method. |
| 10 | Team member leaves group | Member leaves university or module. | **Development** | **High** | **Low** | As stated in risk 9 we can to some degree take over any work done, it would also be prudent to not let any one member be totally in charge of one area of the project to reduce the cost of losing him. There is also a support system in the uni in case of losing a member. |
| 11 | Team member does not contribute | Member is capable of doing work but will not contribute. | **Development** | **High** | **Medium** | We will follow the step by step guide given in the module guide to resolve the issue if general team meetings and encouragement does not work. We will try given him work that he is interested in to try and motivate him. |
| 12 | Team member struggles with task | Member experiences problems but is willingingly trying to fix the problem. | **Development** | **Low** | **Medium** | As long as this is discovered early, which it will be thanks to RAD, we will have time to help the member with his work to guide him in how to do it so he can perform later well in later parts. We will not just assign the work unless the deadline is near. |
| 13 | Workload is unevenly distributed | Some members feel they are doing more work than others. | **Development** | **Low** | **Medium** | We will be able to share thoughts on workload during scrum meetings, at this point we can discover who’s working on what and what their progress is changing if necessary. |
| 14 | Deadline missed | Work is behind schedule and not ready intime. | **Development** | **High** | **Low** | Within each meeting there will be a progress check, this will be compared to the set plan allowing progress to be kept in schedule. This means that any time we are behind schedule we will soon know so can instantly take steps to get back up to speed or simplify the project to reduce work needed. |
| 15 | Work is lost | Storage breaks or computer crash leads to lost work[4] | **Development** | **High** | **Low** | We are using shared online storages and all paperwork is scanned, to lose work the server would have to completely crash along with all are separate computers as we have downloaded versions of all work individually done. |
| 16 | Wrong version of code used | Old version of code updated and saved over new copy, several old copies acting at once.[4] | **Technical** | **Medium** | **Low** | Using a repository system gives us up-to-date versions of code so that trying to push out of date code will result in an warning, we can then individually go through the code to correct changes and ensure its now the correct version. As code is submitted regularly with good documentation labelling there will not be a wrong version used for long periods of time. |
| 17 | Module becomes unsupported | A Language, GUI, or Program used in the project. becomes unsupported on device. | **Technical** | **High** | **Low** | The hardware and software we are using is all very popular well used platforms, so the chance of it becoming unsupported is negligible. The only things that might become unobtainable such as sound and graphics being made copyright are all easily replaced. |
| 18 | Finished project does not match customers expectations | Requirements not fully met according to the client. | **Client** | **High** | **Low** | As stated in Risks 1-4 we will have regular meetings with the client and discuss the project meaning he will be aware of progress and can speak of his complaints at any time given us the chance to change or include any input. |
| 19 | Attempt to create a game far more complex than needed | Too much attempted makes a game which is unplayable or too complex to finish in time. | **Development** | **Medium** | **Medium** | This will happen either when the finished game goes through testing and is unsatisfactory to the testers, or when the project starts going behind schedule. Either way by having a set method leaving a good amount of time for testing and redevelopment we will be able to change any features deemed unnecessary or too complex. We could also consider a sounding board approach, pitching game ideas to a neutral board of critics to assess response. |
| 20 | Finished game included unknown bugs | After team believes the game to be done and after release a bug is found in the code. | **Technical**  **Client** | **High** | **Low** | We will have sufficient time after development for several cycles of test review redo which will include testors from outside our team. This should find any bugs leaving time to fix them. We will also have code review for any completed piece of code by a team member who did not write it leading a team of other group members through a defined report structure of testing. |
| 21 | Finished game included offensive content or copyrighted material | The game will have to be taken down or changed after the finished period due to issues. | **Client** | **High** | **Low** | The game uses elements of the university and all of this must be reviewed by the client to ensure it gives the right image. Our testers will be given questionnaires which will ask if they found any content offensive. Copyrighting will not be a problem as our music/images will be taken from free repositories intended for amateur game creation, which this is as there is no profit. |
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