Leveraging Online Formative Assessments to Enhance Predictive Learning Analytics Models

Okan Bulut[1]

Seyma Nur Yildirim-Erbasli[1]

Guher Gorgun[1]

Yizhu Gao[1]

Tarid Wongvorachan[1]

Ka Wing Lai[1]

Jinnie Shin[2]

[1]University of Alberta   [2]University of Florida

Learning Analytics → Prediction of Academic Performance

[Some] Predictors of Student Learning

"**Black-Box**" Models of Learning

Learning analytics must be about **learning** (Gašević et al., 2015).

[Online] Formative Assessments

Monitoring students' progress

Providing students with feedback

Adjusting instructional strategies

****

Grades/completion records

Frequency of test attempts

Time spent on formative assessments

Progress throughout the semester

Our Study

An asynchronous, undergraduate course for pre-service teachers

- **Instructor A (Fall 2020, *n* = 198)**
  - 10 online formative quizzes (2-point scale)

- **Instructor A (Fall 2021, *n* = 234)**
  - 11 online formative quizzes (4-point scale)

- **Instructor B (Fall 2021, *n* = 123)**
  - 5 formative quizzes (auto-scored, unlimited attempts) + 5 learning activities (self-graded)

Feature Extraction & Statistical Modeling

Data from LMS log file → Formative assessment-related features → Merging features by mean

What's included:

Formative assessment data
Summative assessment data

Features related to:

- Time
- Frequency
- Grade

Mean values of:

- Time-related features
- Frequency-related features
- Grade-related features

Goal: To predict students' final course performance using extracted features
Method: Stepwise regression with forward selection (for each class)

Results (1)

Extracted Features	Instructor A (Fall 2020) (n = 198)	Instructor A (Fall 2021) (n = 234)	Instructor B (Fall 2021) (n = 123)
Formative assessment performance (M)	0.69	0.71	0.73
Formative assessment performance (SD)	-0.52	-0.55	-0.22
Total clicks	0.32	0.22	0.22
Time difference before due date (M)	0.24	0.31	0.40
Time difference after quiz availability (M)	-0.40	-0.32	-0.37
Time taken to complete the quiz (M)	-0.03	-0.04	0.07
Number of attempts (M)	0.08	0.02	0.17

Note: M = Mean values. SD = Standard deviation values.

Results (2)

Instructor A with formative quizzes (graded)

1. Average formative assessment scores (R^2 = 0.48 – 0.51)
2. Number of clicks in formative assessments (R^2 = 0.49)

Instructor B with formative quizzes/activities (auto-scored or self-graded)

1. Average formative assessment scores (R^2 = 0.49)
2. Time difference between first attempt and due date (R^2 = 0.55)
3. Number of clicks in formative assessments (R^2 = 0.56)

Final Remarks

Conclusions:

- Online formative assessments predict final course performance very well.

- The number of clicks (i.e., engagement with formative assessments) is an important secondary predictor.

- Time-related predictors become more important when course materials are released sequentially (*rather than all course materials are released at once*).

Future Directions:

- Using performance by content areas in prediction LA models (to better inform instructors)
- Impact of accessing (formative) exam reports on final course performance (Bulut et al., 2019)
- Using predictive LA models to inform students' feedback-based action plan

Thank You!

https://bit.ly/LAK22Formative