

Probability & Statistics for EECS:

Homework #14

Due on May 21, 2023 at 23:59

Name: **Zhou Shouchen**
Student ID: 2021533042

Problem 1

(a) Let the DNA sequence be S .

And S_i be the i -th letter of the sequence, $1 \leq i \leq 115$.

Then we have $S_i \in \{A, C, G, T\}$, and S_i are independent.

Define I_j be the indicator that whether the subsequence starts at j , end at $j+5$ is "CATCAT", $1 \leq j \leq 110$.
So $E(I_j) = P(S_j = C, S_{j+1} = A, \dots, S_{j+5} = T) = p_2 p_1 p_3 p_2 p_1 p_3 = (p_1 p_2 p_3)^2$.

Let X be the number of "CATCAT" subsequences in S .

Then $X = \sum_{j=1}^{110} I_j$.

So $E(X) = E(\sum_{j=1}^{110} I_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{110} E(I_j) = 110(p_1 p_2 p_3)^2$.

So above all, the expected number of "CATCAT" subsequences in S is $110(p_1 p_2 p_3)^2$.

(b) From what we have learned about Bayes Reference.

The prior distribution of p_2 is $p_2 \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1) \sim \text{Beta}(1, 1)$.

As for observation, let X_i be whether the i -th subsequence is the letter C .

So $X_i | p_2 \sim \text{Bern}(p_2)$.

And we have observe that $X_1 = 1, X_2 = 0, X_3 = 0$.

So from Beta-Binomial conjugate, we have $p_2 | X_1 = 1, X_2 = 0, X_3 = 0 \sim \text{Beta}(2, 3)$.

So the posterior distribution of p_2 is $p_2 | X_1 = 1, X_2 = 0, X_3 = 0 \sim \text{Beta}(2, 3)$.

So $P(S_4 = C) = E(p_2 | X_1 = 1, X_2 = 0, X_3 = 0) = \frac{2}{2+3} = \frac{2}{5}$.

This is because the expectation of a Beta distribution $\text{Beta}(a, b)$ is $\frac{a}{a+b}$.

So above all, the probability that the next letter of the sequence is C is $\frac{2}{5}$.

Problem 2

(a) Suppose the CDF for all X_i is $F(x)$.

Then we can get the CDF of X_j^* with LOTP:

$$F_{X_j^*}(x) = P(X_j^* \leq x) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(X_j^* \leq x | X_j^* \text{ is } X_i) P(X_j^* \text{ is } X_i).$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n P(X_i \leq x) \cdot \frac{1}{n} = F(x)$$

So $F(X_j^*) = F(x)$.

i.e. $X_j^* \sim X_i$.

So $E(X_j^*) = E(X_i) = \mu$.

And $Var(X_j^*) = Var(X_i) = \sigma^2$.

So above all, $E(X_j^*) = \mu$, $Var(X_j^*) = \sigma^2$, for each $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

(b) $\langle 1 \rangle E(\bar{X}^* | X_1, \dots, X_n)$:

With the linearity of conditional expectation, we can get that

$$E(\bar{X}^* | X_1, \dots, X_n) = E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^* | X_1, \dots, X_n\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^* | X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

And for any i , from the definition of expectation, we can get that

$$E(X_i^* | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(X_i^* = X_i | X_1, \dots, X_n) \cdot X_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

$$\text{So } E(\bar{X}^* | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

$\langle 2 \rangle Var(\bar{X}^* | X_1, \dots, X_n)$:

$$\text{Let } \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \bar{X}.$$

$$\text{Then } Var(\bar{X}^* | X_1, \dots, X_n) = Var\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^* | X_1, \dots, X_n\right).$$

Since X_i are i.i.d. r.v.s., so X_i^* are independent.

$$\text{So } Var\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^* | X_1, \dots, X_n\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n Var(X_i^* | X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

$\forall j$,

$$E(X_j^* | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \cdot \frac{1}{n} = \bar{X}$$

$$Var(X_i^* | X_1, \dots, X_n) = E((X_j^* - E(X_j^* | X_1, \dots, X_n))^2 | X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

$$= E((X_j^* - \bar{X})^2 | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \cdot (X_i - \bar{X})^2.$$

$$\text{So } Var(\bar{X}^* | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n Var(X_i^* | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \cdot (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2.$$

$$\text{So above all, } E(\bar{X}^* | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \bar{X}.$$

$$\text{And } Var(\bar{X}^* | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2.$$

(c) $\langle 1 \rangle E(\bar{X}^*)$:

From (b) we can get that

$$E(\bar{X}^* | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \text{ Take the expectation on both sides, we can get that}$$

$$E(E(\bar{X}^* | X_1, \dots, X_n)) = E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot n \cdot \mu = \mu.$$

And from Adam's law, we can get that

$$E(E(\bar{X}^*|X_1, \dots, X_n)) = E(\bar{X}^*).$$

So $E(\bar{X}^*) = \mu$.

<2> $Var(\bar{X}^*)$:

From the Eve's law, we can get that

$$Var(\bar{X}^*) = E(Var(\bar{X}^*|X_1, \dots, X_n)) + Var(E(\bar{X}^*|X_1, \dots, X_n)).$$

From (b), we have get that

$$E(\bar{X}^*|X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

And since X_i are i.i.d. r.v.s.

$$So\ the\ second\ part\ Var(E(\bar{X}^*|X_1, \dots, X_n)) = Var\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n Var(X_i) = \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot n \cdot \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2.$$

And since $E(X_i) = \mu$, $Var(X_i) = \sigma^2$, so $E(X_i^2) = Var(X_i) + E(X_i)^2 = \sigma^2 + \mu^2$.

As for the first part,

$$E(Var(\bar{X}^*|X_1, \dots, X_n)) = E\left(\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^2 - 2X_i\bar{X} + \bar{X}^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^2) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i\bar{X}) + \sum_{i=1}^n E(\bar{X}^2).$$

$$1. \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\sigma^2 + \mu^2) = n(\sigma^2 + \mu^2).$$

2. Since X_i are independent, so for each i , we can get that

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_i\bar{X}) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n E(X_iX_j) = \frac{1}{n} E(X_i^2) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n E(X_iX_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} E(X_i^2) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n E(X_i)E(X_j) = \frac{1}{n} (\sigma^2 + \mu^2) + \frac{1}{n} (n-1)\mu^2 = \frac{1}{n} (\sigma^2 + n\mu^2). \end{aligned}$$

$$So\ 2 \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i\bar{X}) = 2 \cdot n \cdot \frac{1}{n} (\sigma^2 + n\mu^2) = 2(\sigma^2 + n\mu^2).$$

$$3. \text{ Since } \bar{X}^2 = \left(\frac{1}{n}(X_1 + \dots + X_n)\right)^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} X_iX_j\right).$$

$$So\ \sum_{i=1}^n E(\bar{X}^2) = n \cdot \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^2) + 2 \sum_{i < j} E(X_iX_j)\right)$$

Since X_i are independent, so

$$\sum_{i=1}^n E(\bar{X}^2) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot (n(\sigma^2 + \mu^2) + n(n-1)\mu^2) = \sigma^2 + n\mu^2.$$

Combine these, we can get the first part is that

$$E(Var(\bar{X}^*|X_1, \dots, X_n)) = \frac{1}{n^2} [n(\sigma^2 + \mu^2) - 2(\sigma^2 + n\mu^2) + \sigma^2 + n\mu^2] = \frac{n-1}{n^2} \sigma^2.$$

And combine the two parts, we can get that

$$Var(\bar{X}^*) = \frac{n-1}{n^2} \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2.$$

$$So\ above\ all,\ E(\bar{X}^*) = \mu,\ Var(\bar{X}^*) = \frac{n-1}{n^2} \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2.$$

(d) Intuitively, the variance of \bar{X}^* is smaller than the variance of \bar{X} .

We can regard that the variance of \bar{X}^* have two sources of randomness, one is the randomness on the bootstrap sample of X_1, \dots, X_n to decide which X_j^* is, and the other is the randomness on sample of each X_j^* .

But the variance of \bar{X} only have one source of randomness, which is the randomness on sample of each X_i .

So we can intuitively get that $\text{Var}(\bar{X}) < \text{Var}(\bar{X}^*)$.

Problem 3

Let S be the sequence of the results of the flipped coins.

(a) <1>

Let X be the number of flips until the pattern HT is observed.

So with LOTE, we can get that

$$E(X) = E(X|S_1 = H)P(S_1 = H) + E(X|S_1 = T)P(S_1 = T) = E(X|S_1 = H) \cdot p + E(X|S_1 = T) \cdot (1 - p).$$

If $S_1 = T$, which means that it has no contributions to approaching HT , so $E(X|S_1 = T) = E(X) + 1$.

If $S_1 = H$, which means that we are closing the the pattern HT ,

so with conditional LOTE, we can get that

$$E(X|S_1 = H) = E(X|S_1 = H, S_2 = H)P(S_2 = H|S_1 = H) + E(X|S_1 = H, S_2 = T)P(S_2 = T|S_1 = H).$$

Since each times' flipping are independent,

so $P(S_2 = H|S_1 = H) = P(S_2 = H) = p$, and $P(S_2 = T|S_1 = H) = P(S_2 = T) = 1 - p$.

And for $S_1 = H, S_2 = T$, which means that we get the pattern HT , so $E(X|S_1 = H, S_2 = T) = 2$.

And for $S_1 = H, S_2 = H$, which means that it is as same as $S_1 = H$,

so $E(X|S_1 = H, S_2 = H) = 1 + E(X|S_1 = H)$.

With these, we can calculate $E(X|S_1 = H)$:

$$E(X|S_1 = H) = (1 + E(X|S_1 = H)) \cdot p + 2 \cdot (1 - p)$$

$$\text{So } E(X|S_1 = H) = \frac{2 - p}{1 - p}.$$

And with $E(X|S_1 = H) = \frac{2 - p}{1 - p}$, we can get that

$$E(X) = \frac{2 - p}{1 - p} \cdot p + (1 + E(X)) \cdot (1 - p)$$

$$\text{So } E(X) = \frac{1}{p(1 - p)}.$$

So above all, the expected number of flips until the pattern HT is observed is $\frac{1}{p(1 - p)}$.

<2>

Similarly with <1>, Let X be the number of flips until the pattern HH is observed.

So with LOTE, we can get that

$$E(X) = E(X|S_1 = H)P(S_1 = H) + E(X|S_1 = T)P(S_1 = T) = E(X|S_1 = H) \cdot p + E(X|S_1 = T) \cdot (1 - p).$$

If $S_1 = T$, which means that it has no contributions to approaching HH , so $E(X|S_1 = T) = E(X) + 1$.

If $S_1 = H$, which means that we are closing the the pattern HH ,

so with conditional LOTE, we can get that

$$E(X|S_1 = H) = E(X|S_1 = H, S_2 = H)P(S_2 = H|S_1 = H) + E(X|S_1 = H, S_2 = T)P(S_2 = T|S_1 = H).$$

Since each times' flipping are independent,

so $P(S_2 = H|S_1 = H) = P(S_2 = H) = p$, and $P(S_2 = T|S_1 = H) = P(S_2 = T) = 1 - p$.

And for $S_1 = H, S_2 = H$, which means that we get the pattern HH , so $E(X|S_1 = H, S_2 = H) = 2$.

And for $S_1 = H, S_2 = T$, which means that it has no contributions to HH again,

so $E(X|S_1 = H, S_2 = T) = 2 + E(X)$.

With these, we can calculate $E(X|S_1 = H)$:

$$E(X|S_1 = H) = 2 \cdot p + (2 + E(X)) \cdot (1 - p)$$

$$\text{So } E(X|S_1 = H) = 2 + (1 - p)E(X).$$

And with $E(X|S_1 = H) = 2 + (1 - p)E(X)$, we can get that

$$E(X) = (2 + (1 - p) \cdot E(X)) \cdot p + (1 + E(X)) \cdot (1 - p)$$

$$\text{So } E(X) = \frac{1+p}{p^2}.$$

So above all, the expected number of flips until the pattern HH is observed is $\frac{1+p}{p^2}$.

(b) Since $p \sim \text{Beta}(a, b)$, so the PDF of p is that $f_P(p) = \frac{1}{\beta(a, b)} p^{a-1} (1-p)^{b-1}$.

<1>

From (a)<1>, we can get that $E(X|p) = \frac{1}{p(p-1)}$.

So with LOTE, we can get that

$$E(X) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{p(1-p)} f_P(p) dp = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{p(1-p)} \frac{1}{\beta(a, b)} p^{a-1} (1-p)^{b-1} dp.$$

$$= \frac{1}{\beta(a, b)} \int_0^1 p^{a-2} (1-p)^{b-2} dp.$$

Since $a, b > 2$, so $\int_0^1 p^{a-2} (1-p)^{b-2} dp = \beta(a-1, b-1)$.

$$\text{So } E(X) = \frac{1}{\beta(a, b)} \beta(a-1, b-1) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(a-1)\Gamma(b-1)}{\Gamma(a+b-2)}$$

$$= \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)\Gamma(a+b-2)}{(a-1)\Gamma(a-1)(b-1)\Gamma(b-1)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(a-1)\Gamma(b-1)}{\Gamma(a+b-2)}$$

$$= \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)}{(a-1)(b-1)}.$$

So above all, the expected number of flips until the pattern HT is observed when $p \sim \text{Beta}(a, b)$ is $\frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)}{(a-1)(b-1)}$.

<2>

Similarly with (b)<1>,

$$E(X) = \int_0^1 \frac{1+p}{p^2} f_P(p) dp = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{p^2} + \frac{1}{p} \right) \frac{1}{\beta(a, b)} p^{a-1} (1-p)^{b-1} dp.$$

$$= \frac{1}{\beta(a, b)} \int_0^1 p^{a-3} (1-p)^{b-1} dp + \frac{1}{\beta(a, b)} \int_0^1 p^{a-2} (1-p)^{b-1} dp.$$

Since $a, b > 2$, so $\int_0^1 p^{a-3} (1-p)^{b-1} dp = \beta(a-2, b)$ and $\int_0^1 p^{a-2} (1-p)^{b-1} dp = \beta(a-1, b)$.

$$\text{So } E(X) = \frac{1}{\beta(a, b)} \beta(a-2, b) + \frac{1}{\beta(a, b)} \beta(a-1, b)$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(a-2)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b-2)} + \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(a-1)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b-1)}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(a-2)\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(a+b-2)} + \frac{\Gamma(a-1)\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(a+b-1)}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(a-2)(a+b-1)(a+b-2)\Gamma(a+b-2)}{(a-1)(a-2)\Gamma(a-2)\Gamma(a+b-2)} + \frac{\Gamma(a-1)(a+b-1)\Gamma(a+b-1)}{(a-1)\Gamma(a-1)\Gamma(a+b-1)}$$

$$= \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)}{(a-1)(a-2)} + \frac{a+b-1}{a-1}$$

So above all, the expected number of flips until the pattern HH is observed when $p \sim \text{Beta}(a, b)$ is $\frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)}{(a-1)(a-2)} + \frac{a+b-1}{a-1}$

Problem 4

Let S be the sequence of the rolled die numbers.

(a) Let X be the number of rolls needed to get a 1 followed right away by 2.

With the LOTE, we can get that

$$E(X) = \sum_{i=1}^6 E(X|S_1 = i)P(S_1 = i) = E(X|S_1 = 1)\frac{1}{6} + \sum_{i=1}^5 E(X|S_1 = i)\frac{1}{6}.$$

And we have $E(X|S_1 \neq 1) = 1 + E(X)$.

And with conditional expectation, we have

$$E(X|S_1 = 1) = \sum_{i=1}^6 E(X|S_1 = 1, S_2 = i)P(S_2 = i|S_1 = 1)$$

Since S_i are independent, so $P(S_2 = i|S_1 = 1) = P(S_2 = i) = \frac{1}{6}$.

$$\text{So } E(X|S_1 = 1) = E(X|S_1 = 1, S_2 = 1)\frac{1}{6} + E(X|S_1 = 1, S_2 = 2)\frac{1}{6} + \sum_{i=3}^6 E(X|S_1 = 1, S_2 = i)\frac{1}{6}.$$

Since we want to find 1 followed right away by 2,

so $E(X|S_1 = 1, S_2 = 2) = 2$, and $E(X|S_1 = 1, S_2 = 1) = 1 + E(X|S_1 = 1)$.

And for $i = 3, 4, 5, 6$, we have $E(X|S_1 = 1, S_2 = i) = 2 + E(X)$.

$$\text{So } E(X|S_1 = 1) = \frac{1}{6}(1 + E(X|S_1 = 1)) + \frac{1}{6} \cdot 2 + \frac{4}{6}(2 + E(X))$$

$$\text{i.e. } E(X|S_1 = 1) = \frac{1}{5}(11 + 4E(X)).$$

$$\text{So } E(X) = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{5}(11 + 4E(X)) + \frac{5}{6}(1 + E(X))$$

And we can calculate that $E(X) = 36$ with the equation above.

So above all, the expected number of rolls needed to get a 1 followed right away by 2 is 36.

(b) Let X be the number of rolls needed to get two consecutive 1's.

With the LOTE, we can get that

$$E(X) = \sum_{i=1}^6 E(X|S_1 = i)P(S_1 = i) = E(X|S_1 = 1)\frac{1}{6} + \sum_{i=1}^5 E(X|S_1 = i)\frac{1}{6}.$$

And we have $E(X|S_1 \neq 1) = 1 + E(X)$ because of $i \neq 1$ has no contributions to the sequence 11.

As for $E(X|S_1 = 1)$, with conditional LOTE, we can get that

$$E(X|S_1 = 1) = \sum_{i=1}^6 E(X|S_1 = 1, S_2 = i)P(S_2 = i|S_1 = 1)$$

And since S_i are independent, so $P(S_2 = i|S_1 = 1) = P(S_2 = i) = \frac{1}{6}$.

$$\text{So } E(X|S_1 = 1) = E(X|S_1 = 1, S_2 = 1)\frac{1}{6} + \sum_{i=2}^6 E(X|S_1 = 1, S_2 = i)\frac{1}{6}.$$

Since we want to find two consecutive 1's, so $E(X|S_1 = 1, S_2 = 1) = 2$.

And for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$, we have $E(X|S_1 = 1, S_2 = i) = 2 + E(X)$.

$$\text{So we can get that } E(X|S_1 = 1) = \frac{1}{6} \cdot 2 + \frac{5}{6}(2 + E(X)) = \frac{5}{6}E(X) + 2.$$

And with $E(X|S_1 = 1)$, we can get that

$$E(X) = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \left(\frac{5}{6}E(X) + 2\right) + \frac{5}{6}(1 + E(X))$$

Solve the equation, we can get that $E(X) = 42$.

So above all, the expected number of rolls needed to get two consecutive 1's is 42.

(c) Let X_n be the rolling times to get the consecutive same value n times.

So we can easily get that $X_1 = 1$.

And for $n > 2$, with conditional LOTE, we can get that

$$E(X_{n+1}|X_n) = E(X_{n+1}|X_n, S_{X_{n+1}} = S_{X_n})P(S_{X_{n+1}} = S_{X_n}|X_n) \\ + E(X_{n+1}|X_n, S_{X_{n+1}} \neq S_{X_n})P(S_{X_{n+1}} \neq S_{X_n}|X_n)$$

Since the sequence is independent with the number of rolling times,

$$\text{so } P(S_{X_{n+1}} = S_{X_n}|X_n) = P(S_{X_{n+1}} = S_{X_n}), P(S_{X_{n+1}} \neq S_{X_n}|X_n) = P(S_{X_{n+1}} \neq S_{X_n}).$$

If $S_{X_{n+1}} = S_{X_n}$, which means that the newly rolled number is the same with the prior n numbers, then $X_{n+1} = X_n + 1$.

$$\text{And } P(S_{X_{n+1}} = S_{X_n}) = \frac{1}{6}.$$

If $S_{X_{n+1}} \neq S_{X_n}$, which means that the newly rolled number is different with the prior n numbers, then we need to start a new consecutive sequence, so $X_{n+1} = X_n + E(X_{n+1}|X_n)$.

$$\text{And } P(S_{X_{n+1}} \neq S_{X_n}) = \frac{5}{6}.$$

$$\text{So } E(X_{n+1}|X_n) = \frac{1}{6}(X_n + 1) + \frac{5}{6}(X_n + E(X_{n+1}|X_n)).$$

Solve the equation, we can get that $E(X_{n+1}|X_n) = 6X_n + 1$.

And take the expectation on both sides, we can get that

$$E(E(X_{n+1}|X_n)) = E(6X_n + 1) = 6E(X_n) + 1.$$

From the Adam Law, we can get that

$$E(E(X_{n+1}|X_n)) = E(X_{n+1}).$$

$$\text{So } E(X_{n+1}) = 6E(X_n) + 1.$$

And since a_n is the expected number of rolls to get n consecutive same values, so $a_n = E(X_n)$.

$$\text{i.e. } a_{n+1} = 6a_n + 1.$$

So above all, we can get that $a_1 = 1$ and $a_{n+1} = 6a_n + 1$ for $n \geq 1$.

(d) From (c), we can get that $a_1 = 1$ and $a_{n+1} = 6a_n + 1$ for $n \geq 1$.

$$\text{So } a_{n+1} + \frac{1}{5} = 6(a_n + \frac{1}{5}).$$

$$\text{i.e. } a_n + \frac{1}{5} = 6^{n-1}(a_1 + \frac{1}{5}).$$

$$\text{i.e. } a_n = \frac{6^n - 1}{5}.$$

$$\text{And when } n = 7, \text{ we can calculate that } a_7 = \frac{6^7 - 1}{5} = 55987.$$

$$\text{So above all, } a_n = \frac{6^n - 1}{5}, \forall n \geq 1.$$

$$\text{And } a_7 = 55987.$$

Problem 5

(a) The two Normals are linearly related.

And there correspondence $\text{Corr}(X, Y) = \rho$.

So we can have an intuitive guess that the slope of the linear relationship is ρ .

(b) Since $Y = cX + V$,

$$\text{so } \text{Corr}(X, Y) = \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X)\text{Var}(Y)}}.$$

Since $X, Y \sim N(0, 1)$, so $\text{Var}(X) = \text{Var}(Y) = 1$.

So $\text{Corr}(X, Y) = \text{Cov}(X, Y) = \text{Cov}(X, cX + V) = \text{Cov}(X, cX) + \text{Cov}(X, V) = c\text{Var}(X) + \text{Cov}(X, V)$.

Since $X \sim N(0, 1)$, so $\text{Var}(X) = 1$.

And since V is independent of X , so $\text{Cov}(X, V) = 0$.

So $\text{Corr}(X, Y) = \text{Cov}(X, Y) = c$.

And since $\text{Corr}(X, Y) = \rho$, so $c = \rho$.

And $V = Y - cX = Y - \rho X$.

So above all, $c = \rho$ and $V = Y - \rho X$.

(c) Since $X = dY + W$,

$$\text{so } \text{Corr}(X, Y) = \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X)\text{Var}(Y)}}.$$

Since $X, Y \sim N(0, 1)$, so $\text{Var}(X) = \text{Var}(Y) = 1$.

So $\text{Corr}(X, Y) = \text{Cov}(X, Y) = \text{Cov}(dY + W, Y) = \text{Cov}(dY, Y) + \text{Cov}(W, Y) = d\text{Var}(Y) + \text{Cov}(W, Y)$.

Since $Y \sim N(0, 1)$, so $\text{Var}(Y) = 1$.

And since W is independent of Y , so $\text{Cov}(W, Y) = 0$.

So $\text{Corr}(X, Y) = \text{Cov}(X, Y) = d$.

And since $\text{Corr}(X, Y) = \rho$, so $d = \rho$.

And $W = X - dY = X - \rho Y$.

So above all, $d = \rho$ and $W = X - \rho Y$.

(d) From (b), we can get that $Y = V + \rho X$.

So $E(Y|X) = E(V + \rho X|X) = E(V|X) + \rho E(X|X) = E(V|X) + \rho X$.

Since $X, Y \sim N(0, 1)$, so $E(X) = E(Y) = 0$.

Since $V = Y - \rho X$, so $E(V) = E(Y - \rho X) = E(Y) - \rho E(X) = 0$.

Since V is independent of X , so $E(V|X) = E(V) = 0$.

So $E(Y|X) = \rho X$.

Similarly, from (c), we can get that $X = W + \rho Y$.

So $E(X|Y) = E(W + \rho Y|Y) = E(W|Y) + \rho E(Y|Y) = E(W|Y) + \rho Y$.

Since $X, Y \sim N(0, 1)$, so $E(X) = E(Y) = 0$.

Since $W = X - \rho Y$, so $E(W) = E(X - \rho Y) = E(X) - \rho E(Y) = 0$.

Since W is independent of Y , so $E(W|Y) = E(W) = 0$.

So $E(X|Y) = \rho Y$.

So above all, $E(Y|X) = \rho X$ and $E(X|Y) = \rho Y$.

(e) Since correlation is symmetric, so $\text{Corr}(X, Y) = \text{Corr}(Y, X) = \rho$.

And we could also see that in (d) that $E(Y|X) = \rho X$ and $E(X|Y) = \rho Y$.

So using X to predict Y and using Y to predict X should have the same slope.

And since $E(X) = E(Y) = 0$,

so the best linear predictor of Y given X is the linear relation with slope ρ .