

Course - Program Comparison

SUS - MATH - ASIA - Fall 2011 - Asia DE Session 2 - ONL

		Course Ra						Program Rating													
		MATH 012 A853			Instructor: Laurie, Robert Monroe						MATH										
	Questions	Str Disagree			Str A	gree NA	NR		Mean	STD	No.	Str Disag		Str	Str Agree NA		R	Mean	STD	No.	
05	Fac well prep	1	0	2	5	5	0	1	4.00	1.15	13	8	7	35	88	129	0	4	4.21	0.97	267
06	Good pres	1	0	3	7	2	0	1	3.69	1.03	13	10	10	39	85	116	0	11	4.10	1.04	260
07	Stim Intrst	1	2	4	4	3	0	0	3.43	1.22	2 14	11	17	64	100	71	0	8	3.77	1.05	263
08	Fair Grade	0	1	2	8	2	0	1	3.85	0.80	13	3	5	31	96	131	0	5	4.30	0.83	266
09	Hlpfl Fdback	1	1	3	6	3	0	0	3.64	1.15	5 14	10	14	35	100	107	0	5	4.05	1.04	266
10	Access	1	0	2	7	4	0	0	3.93	1.07	14	7	12	39	96	110	0	7	4.10	0.99	264
11	Concrn	1	1	4	4	3	0	1	3.54	1.20	13	7	14	63	90	80	0	17	3.87	1.01	254
12	Int Chingng	1	0	3	6	2	0	2	3.67	1.07	12	4	5	33	106	113	0	10	4.22	0.85	261
13	Clr Obj	0	0	2	9	3	0	0	4.07	0.62	14	1	2	12	127	122	0	7	4.39	0.65	264
14	Clr Grd Crit	0	0	2	8	3	0	1	4.08	0.64	13	1	3	20	115	126	0	6	4.37	0.71	265
15	Valu Asgmnt	1	0	4	6	2	0	1	3.62	1.04	13	4	9	35	106	110	0	7	4.17	0.89	264
16	Rqrd Text	1	0	6	5	2	0	0	3.50	1.02	14	7	10	32	100	114	0	8	4.16	0.96	263
17	Othr Crs Mtrl	1	0	5	4	2	1	1	3.50	1.09	12	5	6	49	84	68	41	18	3.96	0.94	212
18	Eff Use Tech	2	0	4	3	3	2	0	3.42	1.38	12	5	6	45	65	40	93	17	3.80	0.96	161
19	Eff Writing	0	1	3	2	1	6	1	3.43	0.98	3 7	5	12	49	42	16	134	13	3.42	0.97	124
20	Cmptr Sklls	0	1	1	4	1	7	0	3.71	0.95	7	5	9	38	88	45	72	14	3.86	0.93	185
21	Use Rsrch	0	1	2	2	1	7	1	3.50	1.05	6	3	10	40	56	26	121	15	3.68	0.94	135
22	Glbl Prspctv	0	1	2	2	1	7	1	3.50	1.05	6	3	15	54	40	20	123	16	3.45	0.96	132
23	Crit Thnkng	0	1	4	6	1	0	2	3.58	0.79	12	2	11	45	118	75	0	20	4.01	0.85	251
24	Lab Actvty	0	0	5	2	0	6	1	3.29	0.49	7	3	3	38	38	25	150	14	3.74	0.95	107
25	Rcmnd CORS	1	0	4	5	2	0	2	3.58	1.08	12	8	15	34	129	72	0	13	3.94	0.96	258
26	Rcmnd FAC	1	0	4	5	3	0	1	3.69	1.11	13	10	14	40	92	105	0	10	4.03	1.06	261
27	Pers Goals	1	0	4	7	1	0	1	3.54	0.97	13	4	10	56	117	68	0	16	3.92	0.88	255
28	Prof Goals	1	0	2	6	2	0	3	3.73	1.10	11	4	12	49	119	68	0	19	3.93	0.89	252
29	Struc to Lrng	1	2	2	5	2	0	2	3.42	1.24	12	6	27	35	121	69	0	13	3.85	1.01	258
30	Stdnt Intract	0	0	2	9	2	0	1	4.00	0.58	13	7	21	69	104	55	0	15	3.70	0.99	256
31	Fac-Stud Intr	1	1	3	5	1	0	3	3.36	1.12	2 11	11	15	63	100	60	0	22	3.73	1.03	249
32	Tech on Own	0	0	3	7	2	0	2	3.92	0.67	12	3	7	28	123	98	0	12	4.18	0.82	259
Instructor Overall						3.69	0.98	}								4.05	0.86				
Course Overall									3.67	0.76									4.00	0.68	
Course Design				3.66	0.72									4.18	0.65						
Pedagogy					3.69	1.00									4.09	0.80					
Intera									3.71	0.90									3.97	0.79	
Course Objectives									3.57	0.76									3.83	0.79	
Cours	o objectives								0.01	0.70	•								0.00	0.73	



Course - Program Comparison

Data as of: 12/10/12 All ratings on 5-point scale; 1=Strongly Disagree, 5=Strongly Agree

Course: MATH 012 Section: A853

Strong Features

Extra materials.

Math is usually pretty easy for me

No Respond

no strong features worse class ever.

The teacher did an excellent job with being on time and he gave numerous videos that helped us to learn

Improve/Recommend

I did not understand why this instructor used so many math videos from other sources. It seemed as if he just took the work of other people and used them for his own benefit. We are told not to use others works as our own yet this instructor was using several videos that were not his own, if this instructor would like for students to use videos to help understand the subject material he should make his own videos. Also the flip flop of when conferences would close is unfair for military members like myself that need consistency to complete our work. I hope someone addresses the issues.

none.

No recommendations

No Respond

still take is face to face