

Course - Program Comparison

Data as of: 4/8/10 All ratings on 5-point scale; 1=Strongly Disagree, 5=Strongly Agree

SUS - IFSM - ASIA - Spring 2010 - Asia Session 1 - F2F

		Course Ra					Program Rating														
		IFSM 300 A241			Instructor: Laurie, Robert Monro					roe		IFSM									
	Questions	Str Disagre	ee		Str A	gree NA	NR		Mean	STD	No.	Str Disag	ree		Str	Agree NA	NR	N	Mean	STD	No.
05	Fac well prep	1	0	2	4	4	0	2	3.91	1.22	11	8	5	26	72	99	0	11	4.19	1.00	210
06	Good pres	0	0	2	5	5	0	1	4.25	0.75	12	8	11	28	80	83	0	11	4.04	1.04	210
07	Stim Intrst	0	1	1	7	3	0	1	4.00	0.85	12	14	8	46	69	75	0	9	3.86	1.14	212
80	Fair Grade	0	0	1	5	4	0	3	4.30	0.67	10	3	4	23	73	102	0	16	4.30	0.86	205
09	Hlpfl Fdback	0	0	2	7	3	0	1	4.08	0.67	12	7	10	33	79	77	0	15	4.01	1.02	206
10	Access	1	0	1	6	4	0	1	4.00	1.13	12	4	5	27	82	93	0	10	4.21	0.89	211
11	Concrn	0	0	2	6	4	0	1	4.17	0.72	12	3	8	49	74	69	0	18	3.98	0.94	203
12	Int Chingng	0	0	4	6	1	0	2	3.73	0.65	11	4	6	48	93	58	0	12	3.93	0.89	209
13	Clr Obj	0	0	2	7	3	0	1	4.08	0.67	12	5	4	26	89	85	0	12	4.17	0.89	209
14	Clr Grd Crit	0	1	1	7	3	0	1	4.00	0.85	12	3	3	24	93	86	0	12	4.22	0.82	209
15	Valu Asgmnt	0	0	2	7	3	0	1	4.08	0.67	12	4	7	37	89	70	0	14	4.03	0.91	207
16	Rqrd Text	1	0	6	4	0	0	2	3.18	0.87	11	9	7	35	85	70	0	15	3.97	1.02	206
17	Othr Crs Mtrl	0	0	3	5	4	0	1	4.08	0.79	12	6	6	41	82	58	8	20	3.93	0.96	193
18	Eff Use Tech	0	1	2	4	2	3	1	3.78	0.97	9	8	6	39	68	44	32	24	3.81	1.03	165
19	Eff Writing	0	2	1	6	0	3	1	3.44	0.88	9	7	29	47	50	18	45	25	3.28	1.05	151
20	Cmptr Sklls	0	0	2	7	2	1	1	4.00	0.63	11	4	3	28	74	95	4	13	4.24	0.89	204
21	Use Rsrch	0	0	2	4	2	3	2	4.00	0.76	8	3	11	44	73	44	23	23	3.82	0.94	175
22	Glbl Prspctv	0	0	3	7	1	0	2	3.82	0.60	11	4	10	48	71	39	23	26	3.76	0.95	172
23	Crit Thnkng	0	0	3	8	1	0	1	3.83	0.58	12	5	15	46	87	35	0	33	3.70	0.95	188
24	Lab Actvty	0	0	2	5	4	1	1	4.18	0.75	11	6	6	28	75	80	8	18	4.11	0.97	195
25	Rcmnd CORS	1	0	4	7	0	0	1	3.42	0.90	12	11	8	31	85	67	0	19	3.94	1.07	202
26	Rcmnd FAC	0	0	3	4	5	0	1	4.17	0.83	12	11	6	35	68	82	0	19	4.01	1.09	202
27	Pers Goals	0	0	3	7	1	0	2	3.82	0.60	11	8	7	44	87	51	0	24	3.84	0.98	197
28	Prof Goals	0	1	4	5	1	0	2	3.55	0.82	11	3	15	42	85	52	0	24	3.85	0.95	197
29	Struc to Lrng	0	0	5	5	2	0	1	3.75	0.75	12	7	7	45	84	57	0	21	3.89	0.98	200
30	Stdnt Intract	0	1	4	6	1	0	1	3.58	0.79	12	4	11	47	86	51	0	22	3.85	0.94	199
31	Fac-Stud Intr	0	0	3	7	2	0	1	3.92	0.67	12	2	12	49	90	45	0	23	3.83	0.88	198
32	Tech on Own	0	0	2	4	3	0	4	4.11	0.78	9	2	5	36	94	59	0	25	4.04	0.83	196
Instructor Overall					4.09	0.71									4.07	0.83					
Course Overall					3.82										3.92	0.74					
Course Design					3.88	0.43									4.03	0.74					
Pedagogy					3.97	0.62									4.00	0.72					
Interaction				4.00	0.53									4.04	0.74						
Course Objectives							3.85	0.33									3.82	0.80			
Cours	oc Objectives								5.05	0.40									3.02	0.00	



Course - Program Comparison

Course: IFSM 300 Section: A241

Strong Features

class discussion

Hands on application with course content.

Instructor did a good job with the material, he was able to make me interested in some of the lesser interesting topics.

Instructor modified the presentation of the material. He personally developed Labs for us to complete. This really helped! If not, this would have been a harder course to keep focused on.

The lab assignments and projects. They taught us more of the "hands-on" element. The professor did a good job of getting more interaction with the class by showing films and creating interesting projects.

Improve/Recommend

Add more hands-on projects like bringing the ERDs back into the course--this is an IFSM class--the book treats the information like a Business Mgmt. course.

cleaner and cooler classroom

More hands-on.

Teacher can teach the course with his own material. The book is expensive, boring and worthless.

This would be an ideal course to go hybrid (on-line with face-to-face.