

# Course - Program Comparison

Data as of: 6/1/10 All ratings on 5-point scale; 1=Strongly Disagree, 5=Strongly Agree

## SUS - MATH - ASIA - Spring 2010 - Asia Session 2 - F2F

### Course Rating

		MATH 107		A261		Instructor: Laurie, Robert Monroe						
Questions		Str Disagree		Str Agree		NA	NR	Mean	STD	No.		
05	Fac well prep	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	4.57	0.53	7	
06	Good pres	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	4.29	0.49	7	
07	Stim Intrst	0	0	2	3	2	0	0	4.00	0.82	7	
08	Fair Grade	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	4.57	0.53	7	
09	Hlpfl Fdbck	0	0	0	4	3	0	0	4.43	0.53	7	
10	Access	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	4.29	0.49	7	
11	Concrn	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	4.57	0.53	7	
12	Int Chlngng	0	0	1	2	4	0	0	4.43	0.79	7	
13	Clr Obj	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	4.29	0.49	7	
14	Clr Grd Crit	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	4.71	0.49	7	
15	Valu Asgmt	1	0	0	2	4	0	0	4.14	1.46	7	
16	Rqrd Text	0	1	2	1	3	0	0	3.86	1.21	7	
17	Othr Crs Mtrl	0	0	1	3	2	1	0	4.17	0.75	6	
18	Eff Use Tech	0	0	2	2	0	3	0	3.50	0.58	4	
19	Eff Writing	0	0	2	0	0	5	0	3.00	0.00	2	
20	Cmptr Skills	0	0	2	0	0	5	0	3.00	0.00	2	
21	Use Rsrch	0	1	1	1	0	4	0	3.00	1.00	3	
22	Gbl Prspctv	0	0	2	1	0	4	0	3.33	0.58	3	
23	Crit Thnkg	0	0	2	1	1	0	3	3.75	0.96	4	
24	Lab Actvty	0	0	2	1	0	3	1	3.33	0.58	3	
25	Rcmnd CORS	0	0	3	1	1	0	2	3.60	0.89	5	
26	Rcmnd FAC	0	0	4	1	1	0	1	3.50	0.84	6	
27	Pers Goals	0	0	0	3	2	0	2	4.40	0.55	5	
28	Prof Goals	0	0	1	2	2	0	2	4.20	0.84	5	
29	Struc to Lrng	0	0	1	3	1	0	2	4.00	0.71	5	
30	Stdnt Intract	0	0	2	3	1	0	1	3.83	0.75	6	
31	Fac-Stud Intr	0	0	2	4	0	0	1	3.67	0.52	6	
32	Tech on Own	0	0	1	2	0	0	4	3.67	0.58	3	

### Program Rating

MATH										
Str Disagree			Str Agree		NA	NR	Mean	STD	No.	
1	1	14	35	86	0	8	4.49	0.77	137	
9	2	20	40	65	0	9	4.10	1.13	136	
5	7	19	42	62	0	10	4.10	1.07	135	
2	4	7	43	76	0	13	4.42	0.85	132	
6	4	8	45	68	0	14	4.26	1.03	131	
3	1	10	47	69	0	15	4.37	0.85	130	
2	5	19	38	67	0	14	4.24	0.95	131	
2	0	7	49	75	0	12	4.47	0.73	133	
1	0	14	49	70	0	11	4.40	0.74	134	
0	0	10	49	74	0	12	4.48	0.63	133	
5	5	9	42	72	0	12	4.29	1.01	133	
2	6	16	43	66	0	12	4.24	0.94	133	
1	6	17	39	35	29	18	4.03	0.94	98	
1	6	17	23	20	58	20	3.82	1.01	67	
2	6	14	16	13	74	20	3.63	1.11	51	
2	5	15	19	15	70	19	3.71	1.07	56	
2	6	18	16	14	69	20	3.61	1.09	56	
3	5	15	19	17	65	21	3.71	1.13	59	
1	3	17	43	52	0	29	4.22	0.86	116	
1	2	11	16	12	78	25	3.86	0.98	42	
6	6	17	50	48	0	18	4.01	1.07	127	
8	2	19	36	66	0	14	4.15	1.12	131	
5	9	15	49	46	0	21	3.98	1.07	124	
5	4	18	48	45	0	25	4.03	1.02	120	
7	5	16	53	46	0	18	3.99	1.07	127	
4	7	20	55	40	0	19	3.95	0.99	126	
5	4	19	51	48	0	18	4.05	1.01	127	
0	0	14	42	24	0	65	4.13	0.68	80	

Instructor Overall	4.29	0.46	4.24	0.85
Course Overall	3.94	0.50	4.09	0.77
Course Design	4.16	0.52	4.25	0.70
Pedagogy	4.29	0.53	4.27	0.81
Interaction	4.24	0.36	4.19	0.82
Course Objectives	3.43	0.69	3.95	0.89



## Course - Program Comparison

UMUC

Course: MATH 107 Section: A261

### Strong Features

"challenging" is a relative word. This course was excessive. I could not "master" any concept, the pace was too fast and too much information is given in the time. The text was not as helpful as the Math 009/0112. I like the way that text gave problems to work right with the "introductions"

None as of this moment.

### Improve/Recommend

I think these courses should be longer. Most students tend to be Military members and keeping up with the assigned workload is extremely challenging, almost undoable at times. Stretching out the class would allow students more time with the material and ease the burden participation causes/can cause.

lengthen the course time or reduce required material.

None as of this moment.

# Course - Program Comparison

Data as of: 6/1/10 All ratings on 5-point scale; 1=Strongly Disagree, 5=Strongly Agree

## SUS - MATH - ASIA - Spring 2010 - Asia Session 2 - F2F

### Course Rating

		MATH 107		A262		Instructor: Laurie, Robert Monroe						
Questions		Str Disagree		Str Agree		NA	NR	Mean	STD	No.		
05	Fac well prep	0	0	1	2	3	0	0	4.33	0.82	6	
06	Good pres	1	0	0	2	3	0	0	4.00	1.55	6	
07	Stim Intrst	1	0	1	1	3	0	0	3.83	1.60	6	
08	Fair Grade	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	4.50	0.55	6	
09	Hlpfl Fdbck	0	1	0	2	3	0	0	4.17	1.17	6	
10	Access	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	4.67	0.52	6	
11	Concrn	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	4.67	0.52	6	
12	Int Chlngng	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	4.67	0.52	6	
13	Clr Obj	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	4.67	0.52	6	
14	Clr Grd Crit	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	4.67	0.52	6	
15	Valu Asgmt	0	1	0	1	4	0	0	4.33	1.21	6	
16	Rqrd Text	0	1	0	3	2	0	0	4.00	1.10	6	
17	Othr Crs Mtrl	0	0	0	3	1	2	0	4.25	0.50	4	
18	Eff Use Tech	0	1	0	3	0	2	0	3.50	1.00	4	
19	Eff Writing	0	0	0	1	1	4	0	4.50	0.71	2	
20	Cmptr Skills	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	4.00	0.00	3	
21	Use Rsrch	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	4.33	0.58	3	
22	Gbl Prspctv	0	0	1	2	0	3	0	3.67	0.58	3	
23	Crit Thnkng	0	0	1	3	2	0	0	4.17	0.75	6	
24	Lab Actvty	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	4.00	0.00	3	
25	Rcmnd CORS	1	1	1	2	1	0	0	3.17	1.47	6	
26	Rcmnd FAC	0	1	0	1	4	0	0	4.33	1.21	6	
27	Pers Goals	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	3.83	1.17	6	
28	Prof Goals	0	1	0	2	3	0	0	4.17	1.17	6	
29	Struc to Lrng	1	0	0	3	2	0	0	3.83	1.47	6	
30	Stdnt Intract	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	4.00	0.00	6	
31	Fac-Stud Intr	0	0	0	4	2	0	0	4.33	0.52	6	
32	Tech on Own	0	0	1	4	0	0	1	3.80	0.45	5	

### Program Rating

MATH										
Str Disagree			Str Agree		NA	NR	Mean	STD	No.	
1	1	14	35	86	0	8	4.49	0.77	137	
9	2	20	40	65	0	9	4.10	1.13	136	
5	7	19	42	62	0	10	4.10	1.07	135	
2	4	7	43	76	0	13	4.42	0.85	132	
6	4	8	45	68	0	14	4.26	1.03	131	
3	1	10	47	69	0	15	4.37	0.85	130	
2	5	19	38	67	0	14	4.24	0.95	131	
2	0	7	49	75	0	12	4.47	0.73	133	
1	0	14	49	70	0	11	4.40	0.74	134	
0	0	10	49	74	0	12	4.48	0.63	133	
5	5	9	42	72	0	12	4.29	1.01	133	
2	6	16	43	66	0	12	4.24	0.94	133	
1	6	17	39	35	29	18	4.03	0.94	98	
1	6	17	23	20	58	20	3.82	1.01	67	
2	6	14	16	13	74	20	3.63	1.11	51	
2	5	15	19	15	70	19	3.71	1.07	56	
2	6	18	16	14	69	20	3.61	1.09	56	
3	5	15	19	17	65	21	3.71	1.13	59	
1	3	17	43	52	0	29	4.22	0.86	116	
1	2	11	16	12	78	25	3.86	0.98	42	
6	6	17	50	48	0	18	4.01	1.07	127	
8	2	19	36	66	0	14	4.15	1.12	131	
5	9	15	49	46	0	21	3.98	1.07	124	
5	4	18	48	45	0	25	4.03	1.02	120	
7	5	16	53	46	0	18	3.99	1.07	127	
4	7	20	55	40	0	19	3.95	0.99	126	
5	4	19	51	48	0	18	4.05	1.01	127	
0	0	14	42	24	0	65	4.13	0.68	80	

Instructor Overall	4.31	0.91	4.24	0.85
Course Overall	4.14	0.66	4.09	0.77
Course Design	4.32	0.73	4.25	0.70
Pedagogy	4.23	1.06	4.27	0.81
Interaction	4.39	0.48	4.19	0.82
Course Objectives	4.06	0.69	3.95	0.89



## Course - Program Comparison

UMUC

Course: MATH 107 Section: A262

### Strong Features

Definitely the instructor, MR LAURIE!

There were no strong features to this course. It was absolutely horrible.

### Improve/Recommend

Get an instructor who has taught this course before. I felt like a trial and error case!!!! I paid for this course and self taught throughout!!! Completely disappointing!!!

N/A