Name: Laurie , Robert
Course: EE 490A   Section: 01
CRN: 33491
Total Forms Completed: 30

MTU Student Rating of Instruction - Faculty Report
Quarter: Spring   Year: 2000

	n=	Mean	StDev	Strongly Agree 5	4	3	2	Strongly Disagree 1	Omit
1. I wanted to take this course.	30	4.83	0.37	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2. The pace of this course was consistent with my ability to learn.	30	4.57	0.72	66.7%	26.7%	3.3%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
3. Class sessions were thought provoking.	30	4.00	1.18	46.7%	26.7%	10.0%	13.3%	3.3%	0.0%
4. The organization of the course helped me to learn.	30	4.27	0.73	43.3%	40.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5. The instructors grading policies were fair.	30	4.43	0.72	53.3%	40.0%	3.3%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
6. The instructor made students aware of his/her scheduled office hours.	30	3.87	0.85	26.7%	36.7%	33.3%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
7. The instructor encouraged students to seek additional help outside of class.	30	4.20	0.79	40.0%	43.3%	13.3%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
8. The instructor communicated the course materials clearly.	30	4.43	0.67	53.3%	36.7%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
9. The instructor made students aware of the specific goals of the course.	30	4.47	0.67	56.7%	33.3%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
10. The instructor explained the importance of what we were learning.	30	4.43	0.72	56.7%	30.0%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
11. The instructor welcomed student questions in class.	30	4.53	0.56	56.7%	40.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12. The instructor made connections between new material and material previously covered in class.	30	4.40	0.66	50.0%	40.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13. Instructional resources (textbooks, handouts, etc.) furthered my learning.	30	4.53	0.62	60.0%	33.3%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14. The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching the subject matter of the course.	30	4.70	0.46	70.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
15. The instructor used class time effectively.	30	4.37	0.84	53.3%	36.7%	3.3%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
16. The instructor provided timely feedback on my work, (homework, assignments, exams, etc.).	30	4.43	0.56	46.7%	50.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
17. I am more interested in the subject now than I was before I took this class.	30	4.40	0.92	63.3%	20.0%	10.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
18. The classroom and equipment (if applicable) were adequate to support effective learning.	30	4.17	0.86	40.0%	43.3%	10.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
19. Given the opportunity, I would take another course from this instructor.	30	4.03	1.08	43.3%	30.0%	16.7%	6.7%	3.3%	0.0%
20. Taking everything into account, I consider this instructor to be an excellent teacher.	30	4.30	0.82	50.0%	33.3%	13.3%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
21. Optional Question I	22	4.41	0.94	43.3%	23.3%	3.3%	0.0%	3.3%	26.7%
22. Optional Question II	0	.	.	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %
23. Optional Question III	0	.	.	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %
24. Optional Question IV	0	.	.	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %
25. Optional Question V	0	.	.	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %
26. Optional Question VI	0	.	.	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %
27. Optional Question VII	0	.	.	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %
28. Optional Question VIII	0	.	.	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %
29. Optional Question IX	0	.	.	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %
30. Optional Question X	0	.	.	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %

Name: Laurie , Robert
Course: EE 306   Section: R01   Quarter: Spring   Year: 2000
CRN: 32873
Total Forms Completed: 11

	n=	Mean	StDev	Strongly Agree 5	4	3	2	Strongly Disagree 1	Omit
1. I wanted to take this course.	11	2.82	1.27	9.1%	27.3%	18.2%	27.3%	18.2%	0.0%
2. The pace of this course was consistent with my ability to learn.	11	4.27	0.62	36.4%	54.5%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
3. Class sessions were thought provoking.	11	3.82	0.57	9.1%	63.6%	27.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
4. The organization of the course helped me to learn.	11	4.36	0.48	36.4%	63.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5. The instructors grading policies were fair.	11	4.64	0.48	63.6%	36.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
6. The instructor made students aware of his/her scheduled office hours.	11	4.64	0.48	63.6%	36.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
7. The instructor encouraged students to seek additional help outside of class.	11	4.64	0.48	63.6%	36.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
8. The instructor communicated the course materials clearly.	11	4.27	0.45	27.3%	72.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
9. The instructor made students aware of the specific goals of the course.	11	4.09	0.51	18.2%	72.7%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
10. The instructor explained the importance of what we were learning.	11	4.36	0.48	36.4%	63.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
11. The instructor welcomed student questions in class.	11	4.64	0.48	63.6%	36.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12. The instructor made connections between new material and material previously covered in class.	11	4.55	0.50	54.5%	45.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13. Instructional resources (textbooks, handouts, etc.) furthered my learning.	11	4.45	0.50	45.5%	54.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14. The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching the subject matter of the course.	11	4.36	0.64	45.5%	45.5%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
15. The instructor used class time effectively.	11	4.27	0.62	36.4%	54.5%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
16. The instructor provided timely feedback on my work, (homework, assignments, exams, etc.).	11	4.82	0.39	81.8%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
17. I am more interested in the subject now than I was before I took this class.	11	3.64	1.07	18.2%	45.5%	27.3%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
18. The classroom and equipment (if applicable) were adequate to support effective learning.	10	3.90	0.54	9.1%	63.6%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%
19. Given the opportunity, I would take another course from this instructor.	11	4.27	0.62	36.4%	54.5%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
20. Taking everything into account, I consider this instructor to be an excellent teacher.	11	4.36	0.48	36.4%	63.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
21. Optional Question I	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%
22. Optional Question II	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%
23. Optional Question III	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%
24. Optional Question IV	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%
25. Optional Question V	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%
26. Optional Question VI	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%
27. Optional Question VII	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%
28. Optional Question VIII	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%
29. Optional Question IX	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%
30. Optional Question X	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%

Name: Laurie , Robert
Course: EE 3010   Section: 0A
CRN: 10182
Total Forms Completed: 49
MTU Student Rating of Instruction - Faculty Report
Semester: Spring   Year: 2001

	n=	Mean	StDev	Strongly Agree 5	4	3	2	Strongly Disagree 1	Omit
1. I wanted to take this course.	49	3.29	0.95	8.2%	36.7%	32.7%	20.4%	2.0%	0.0%
2. The pace of this course was consistent with my ability to learn.	49	4.14	0.57	24.5%	65.3%	10.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
3. Class sessions were thought provoking.	49	3.73	0.80	14.3%	53.1%	24.5%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%
4. The organization of the course helped me to learn.	49	4.02	0.74	24.5%	57.1%	14.3%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%
5. The instructors grading policies were fair.	49	4.43	0.57	46.9%	49.0%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
6. The instructor made students aware of his/her scheduled office hours.	49	4.39	0.56	42.9%	53.1%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
7. The instructor encouraged students to seek additional help outside of class.	49	4.33	0.65	42.9%	46.9%	10.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
8. The instructor communicated the course materials clearly.	49	4.14	0.83	36.7%	46.9%	10.2%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%
9. The instructor made students aware of the specific goals of the course.	49	4.08	0.72	28.6%	53.1%	16.3%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
10. The instructor explained the importance of what we were learning.	49	4.02	0.68	22.4%	59.2%	16.3%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
11. The instructor welcomed student questions in class.	49	4.41	0.57	44.9%	51.0%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12. The instructor made connections between new material and material previously covered in class.	49	4.29	0.61	36.7%	55.1%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13. Instructional resources (textbooks, handouts, etc.) furthered my learning.	49	3.71	0.93	18.4%	46.9%	24.5%	8.2%	2.0%	0.0%
14. The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching the subject matter of the course.	48	4.33	0.72	46.9%	36.7%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
15. The instructor used class time effectively.	49	4.22	0.58	30.6%	61.2%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
16. The instructor provided timely feedback on my work, (homework, assignments, exams, etc.).	49	4.33	0.74	46.9%	40.8%	10.2%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
17. I am more interested in the subject now than I was before I took this class.	49	3.65	0.89	10.2%	59.2%	20.4%	6.1%	4.1%	0.0%
18. The classroom and equipment (if applicable) were adequate to support effective learning.	48	3.75	0.78	12.2%	55.1%	26.5%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%
19. Given the opportunity, I would take another course from this instructor.	49	4.18	0.90	40.8%	44.9%	8.2%	4.1%	2.0%	0.0%
20. Taking everything into account, I consider this instructor to be an excellent teacher.	49	4.24	0.82	46.9%	32.7%	18.4%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
21. Optional Question I	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%
22. Optional Question II	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%
23. Optional Question III	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%
24. Optional Question IV	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%
25. Optional Question V	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%
26. Optional Question VI	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%
27. Optional Question VII	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%
28. Optional Question VIII	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%
29. Optional Question IX	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%
30. Optional Question X	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%

Course - Program Comparison

SUS - IFSM - ASIA - Summer 2010 - Asia Session 1 - F2F

Course Rating — IFSM 201 A281 — Instructor: Laurie, Robert Monroe
Program Rating — IFSM

Questions		Str Disagree			Str Agree	NA	NR	Mean	STD	No.	Str Disagree			Str Agree	NA	NR	Mean	STD	No.
05	Fac well prep	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	4.78	0.44	9	0	7	11	41	49	0	0	4.22	0.88	108
06	Good pres	0	0	0	1	8	0	0	4.89	0.33	9	2	7	16	35	48	0	0	4.11	1.01	108
07	Stim Intrst	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	4.78	0.44	9	2	10	18	35	43	0	0	3.99	1.05	108
08	Fair Grade	0	0	0	1	8	0	0	4.89	0.33	9	1	1	10	43	53	0	0	4.35	0.77	108
09	Hlpfl Fdback	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	4.78	0.44	9	1	8	10	41	48	0	0	4.18	0.95	108
10	Access	0	0	0	1	8	0	0	4.89	0.33	9	0	4	10	46	47	0	0	4.27	0.78	107
11	Concrn	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	4.78	0.44	9	0	8	17	39	42	0	0	4.08	0.93	106
12	Int Chlngng	0	0	0	3	6	0	0	4.67	0.50	9	3	8	15	41	42	0	0	4.02	1.04	109
13	Clr Obj	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	5.00	0.00	9	2	3	8	43	53	0	0	4.30	0.87	109
14	Clr Grd Crit	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	5.00	0.00	9	3	4	6	39	56	0	0	4.31	0.94	108
15	Valu Asgmnt	0	0	0	1	8	0	0	4.89	0.33	9	2	8	16	46	37	0	0	3.99	0.98	109
16	Rqrd Text	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	4.78	0.44	9	3	6	18	44	37	0	0	3.98	1.00	108
17	Othr Crs Mtrl	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	4.78	0.44	9	3	9	14	39	32	11	0	3.91	1.06	97
18	Eff Use Tech	0	0	0	1	8	0	0	4.89	0.33	9	1	7	14	36	27	23	0	3.95	0.96	85
19	Eff Writing	0	0	0	0	4	5	0	5.00	0.00	4	3	10	18	17	21	37	0	3.62	1.19	69
20	Cmptr Sklls	0	0	0	1	7	0	0	4.88	0.35	8	2	6	10	42	34	13	0	4.06	0.96	94
21	Use Rsrch	0	0	0	3	5	1	0	4.63	0.52	8	1	7	13	32	29	26	0	3.99	0.99	82
22	Glbl Prspctv	0	0	0	2	4	3	0	4.67	0.52	6	3	10	16	20	29	29	0	3.79	1.19	78
23	Crit Thnkng	0	0	0	1	6	2	0	4.86	0.38	7	2	7	16	38	29	16	0	3.92	1.00	92
24	Lab Actvty	0	0	0	1	6	2	0	4.86	0.38	7	2	4	14	35	35	17	0	4.08	0.96	90
25	Rcmnd CORS	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	4.78	0.44	9	4	6	15	48	35	0	0	3.96	1.01	108
26	Rcmnd FAC	0	0	0	1	8	0	0	4.89	0.33	9	4	9	15	38	42	0	0	3.97	1.10	108
27	Pers Goals	0	0	1	1	7	0	0	4.67	0.71	9	3	6	22	48	28	0	0	3.86	0.97	107
28	Prof Goals	0	0	1	1	7	0	0	4.67	0.71	9	2	5	27	45	28	0	0	3.86	0.93	107
29	Struc to Lrng	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	4.78	0.44	9	2	6	20	53	28	0	0	3.91	0.91	109
30	Stdnt Intract	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	4.78	0.44	9	1	6	16	54	31	0	0	4.00	0.86	108
31	Fac-Stud Intr	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	4.78	0.44	9	1	5	27	44	30	0	0	3.91	0.90	107
32	Tech on Own	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	4.25	0.96	4	1	1	5	34	20	3	0	4.16	0.78	61

	Mean	STD		Mean	STD
Instructor Overall	4.83	0.31		4.16	0.80
Course Overall	4.81	0.28		4.00	0.80
Course Design	4.86	0.23		4.08	0.77
Pedagogy	4.80	0.26		4.06	0.83
Interaction	4.81	0.38		4.13	0.71
Course Objectives	4.77	0.28		3.99	0.92

Course - Program Comparison

SUS - MATH - ASIA - Spring 2010 - Asia Session 2 - F2F

Course Rating — MATH 107 A261 Instructor: Laurie, Robert Monroe
Program Rating — MATH

Questions	Str Disagree				Str Agree	NA	NR	Mean	STD	No.	Str Disagree				Str Agree	NA	NR	Mean	STD	No.
05 Fac well prep	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	4.57	0.53	7	1	1	14	35	86	0	8	4.49	0.77	137
06 Good pres	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	4.29	0.49	7	9	2	20	40	65	0	9	4.10	1.13	136
07 Stim Intrst	0	0	2	3	2	0	0	4.00	0.82	7	5	7	19	42	62	0	10	4.10	1.07	135
08 Fair Grade	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	4.57	0.53	7	2	4	7	43	76	0	13	4.42	0.85	132
09 Hlpfl Fdback	0	0	0	4	3	0	0	4.43	0.53	7	6	4	8	45	68	0	14	4.26	1.03	131
10 Access	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	4.29	0.49	7	3	1	10	47	69	0	15	4.37	0.85	130
11 Concrn	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	4.57	0.53	7	2	5	19	38	67	0	14	4.24	0.95	131
12 Int Chlngng	0	0	1	2	4	0	0	4.43	0.79	7	2	0	7	49	75	0	12	4.47	0.73	133
13 Clr Obj	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	4.29	0.49	7	1	0	14	49	70	0	11	4.40	0.74	134
14 Clr Grd Crit	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	4.71	0.49	7	0	0	10	49	74	0	12	4.48	0.63	133
15 Valu Asgmnt	1	0	0	2	4	0	0	4.14	1.46	7	5	5	9	42	72	0	12	4.29	1.01	133
16 Rqrd Text	0	1	2	1	3	0	0	3.86	1.21	7	2	6	16	43	66	0	12	4.24	0.94	133
17 Othr Crs Mtrl	0	0	1	3	2	1	0	4.17	0.75	6	1	6	17	39	35	29	18	4.03	0.94	98
18 Eff Use Tech	0	0	2	2	0	3	0	3.50	0.58	4	1	6	17	23	20	58	20	3.82	1.01	67
19 Eff Writing	0	0	2	0	0	5	0	3.00	0.00	2	2	6	14	16	13	74	20	3.63	1.11	51
20 Cmptr Sklls	0	0	2	0	0	5	0	3.00	0.00	2	2	5	15	19	15	70	19	3.71	1.07	56
21 Use Rsrch	0	1	1	1	0	4	0	3.00	1.00	3	2	6	18	16	14	69	20	3.61	1.09	56
22 Glbl Prspctv	0	0	2	1	0	4	0	3.33	0.58	3	3	5	15	19	17	65	21	3.71	1.13	59
23 Crit Thnkng	0	0	2	1	1	0	3	3.75	0.96	4	1	3	17	43	52	0	29	4.22	0.86	116
24 Lab Actvty	0	0	2	1	0	3	1	3.33	0.58	3	1	2	11	16	12	78	25	3.86	0.98	42
25 Rcmnd CORS	0	0	3	1	1	0	2	3.60	0.89	5	6	6	17	50	48	0	18	4.01	1.07	127
26 Rcmnd FAC	0	0	4	1	1	0	1	3.50	0.84	6	8	2	19	36	66	0	14	4.15	1.12	131
27 Pers Goals	0	0	0	3	2	0	2	4.40	0.55	5	5	9	15	49	46	0	21	3.98	1.07	124
28 Prof Goals	0	0	1	2	2	0	2	4.20	0.84	5	5	4	18	48	45	0	25	4.03	1.02	120
29 Struc to Lrng	0	0	1	3	1	0	2	4.00	0.71	5	7	5	16	53	46	0	18	3.99	1.07	127
30 Stdnt Intract	0	0	2	3	1	0	1	3.83	0.75	6	4	7	20	55	40	0	19	3.95	0.99	126
31 Fac-Stud Intr	0	0	2	4	0	0	1	3.67	0.52	6	5	4	19	51	48	0	18	4.05	1.01	127
32 Tech on Own	0	0	1	2	0	0	4	3.67	0.58	3	0	0	14	42	24	0	65	4.13	0.68	80

	Mean	STD		Mean	STD
Instructor Overall	4.29	0.46		4.24	0.85
Course Overall	3.94	0.50		4.09	0.77
Course Design	4.16	0.52		4.25	0.70
Pedagogy	4.29	0.53		4.27	0.81
Interaction	4.24	0.36		4.19	0.82
Course Objectives	3.43	0.69		3.95	0.89