

Course - Program Comparison

Data as of: 12/22/14 All ratings on 5-point scale; 1=Strongly Disagree, 5=Strongly Agree

TUS - MATH - ASIA - Fall 2014 - Asia Session 2 - F2F

	Course Rating												Program Rating											
		MATH 103	A221	Instructor: Laurie, Robert Monroe							MATH	MATH												
	Questions	Str Disagree			Str Aç	gree NA	NR		Mean	STD	No.	Str Dis	agree	•		Str	Agree NA	NR	N	lean	STD	No.		
05	Fac well prep	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	4.60	0.55	5 5	;	3	3	4	31	71	2	0	4.46	0.90	112		
06	Good pres	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	4.40	0.55	5 5	•	7	4	10	31	60	2	0	4.19	1.14	112		
07	Stim Intrst	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	4.60	0.55	5 5	•	7	5	6	40	53	3	0	4.14	1.13	111		
80	Fair Grade	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	4.40	0.55	5 5		3	4	7	29	68	3	0	4.40	0.96	111		
09	Hlpfl Fdback	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	4.40	0.89	5		4	6	11	28	62	3	0	4.24	1.07	111		
10	Access	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	4.40	0.89	5		2	5	8	33	64	2	0	4.36	0.93	112		
11	Concrn	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	4.40	0.89	5		2	5	15	33	53	6	0	4.20	0.97	108		
12	Int Chingng	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	4.80	0.45	5 5		4	1	9	37	61	2	0	4.34	0.94	112		
13	Clr Obj	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	4.60	0.55	5 5		2	2	6	35	64	5	0	4.44	0.83	109		
14	Clr Grd Crit	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	4.60	0.55	5 5		2	1	8	39	60	4	0	4.40	0.81	110		
15	Valu Asgmnt	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	4.75	0.50) 4	:	2	4	6	41	57	4	0	4.34	0.88	110		
16	Rqrd Text	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	4.60	0.55	5 5	;	3	5	7	39	58	2	0	4.29	0.96	112		
17	Othr Crs Mtrl	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	4.50	0.71	2		2	3	12	32	20	34	0	3.94	0.95	69		
18	Eff Use Tech	0	0	0	1	2	2	0	4.67	0.58	3		3	8	11	16	18	49	0	3.68	1.22	56		
19	Eff Writing	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	4.00	0.00	1		4	6	10	12	7	67	0	3.31	1.24	39		
20	Cmptr Sklls	0	0	0	0	0	5	0			0		5	9	11	8	7	64	0	3.08	1.29	40		
21	Use Rsrch	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	4.00	0.00	1		4	8	15	12	3	66	0	3.05	1.08	42		
22	Glbl Prspctv	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	3.00	0.00	1	;	3	4	17	17	8	58	0	3.47	1.06	49		
23	Crit Thnkng	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	4.50	0.58	3 4	;	3	1	9	38	46	15	0	4.27	0.91	97		
24	Lab Actvty	0	0	0	0	0	5	0			0		5	4	10	13	8	67	0	3.38	1.27	40		
25	Rcmnd CORS	0	0	1	1	2	1	0	4.25	0.96	3 4	;	8	5	13	35	49	4	0	4.02	1.19	110		
26	Rcmnd FAC	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	4.20	1.30	5		9	5	7	25	64	4	0	4.18	1.24	110		
27	Pers Goals	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	4.50	0.58	3 4		4	5	13	37	46	9	0	4.10	1.05	105		
28	Prof Goals	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	4.75	0.50) 4		5	5	13	40	42	9	0	4.04	1.07	105		
29	Struc to Lrng	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	4.40	0.55	5 5	;	3	7	10	42	47	5	0	4.13	1.01	109		
30	Stdnt Intract	0	1	1	0	2	1	0	3.75	1.50) 4		4	8	18	35	38	11	0	3.92	1.10	103		
31	Fac-Stud Intr	0	0	1	2	2	0	0	4.20	0.84	5	;	3	7	14	42	42	6	0	4.05	1.02	108		
32	Tech on Own	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	5.00	0.00	2	(0	4	9	23	13	56	0	3.92	0.89	49		
Inetru	Instructor Overall				4.43	0.68	3									4.27	0.90							
	Course Overall								4.38											4.11	0.74			
Course Design								4.64											4.25	0.68				
Pedagogy								4.61											4.29	0.84				
Intera									4.27											4.20	0.84			
	e Objectives								4.42											3.94	0.92			



Course - Program Comparison

Course: MATH 103 Section: A221

Strong Features

brain exercise

Faculty member explained subject matter clearly.

N/A

The instructor was very clear and precise. The lessons were well explained and I felt well prepared for the assignments given.

Improve/Recommend

Do not have the instructor send out an email depicting that 30% of students fail the class. I almost dropped the class due to the instructors view of the class. It made the decision to take this class very difficult. I assume that is why 8-10 students dropped the class before it even started.

Its at such a fast pace I would recommend it go to longer than a 6 week period or cover less material.

I was personally satisfied with this class.

take it a little slower. It was too much work for so little time.

The course was very effective at teaching the material and the extra credit helped ensure the best grade possible.