

Course - Program Comparison



SUS - MATH - ASIA - Spring 2011 - Asia DE Session 1 - ONL

Course Rating

		MATH 012		A803		Instructor: Laurie, Robert Monroe						
Questions		Str Disagree		Str Agree		NA	NR	Mean	STD	No.		
05	Fac well prep	0	2	0	9	2	0	0	3.85	0.90	13	
06	Good pres	0	1	2	8	2	0	0	3.85	0.80	13	
07	Stim Intrst	1	2	2	8	0	0	0	3.31	1.03	13	
08	Fair Grade	0	0	2	9	2	0	0	4.00	0.58	13	
09	Hlpfl Fdbck	0	2	1	5	5	0	0	4.00	1.08	13	
10	Access	0	2	1	5	5	0	0	4.00	1.08	13	
11	Concrn	0	2	2	5	4	0	0	3.85	1.07	13	
12	Int Chlngng	1	0	2	8	2	0	0	3.77	1.01	13	
13	Clr Obj	0	1	0	7	5	0	0	4.23	0.83	13	
14	Clr Grd Crit	0	1	0	7	5	0	0	4.23	0.83	13	
15	Valu Asgmt	0	0	1	8	3	0	1	4.17	0.58	12	
16	Rqrd Text	0	0	0	6	7	0	0	4.54	0.52	13	
17	Othr Crs Mtrl	0	0	3	7	2	0	1	3.92	0.67	12	
18	Eff Use Tech	0	0	1	8	2	2	0	4.09	0.54	11	
19	Eff Writing	0	1	4	3	0	3	2	3.25	0.71	8	
20	Cmptr Skills	0	0	4	7	2	0	0	3.85	0.69	13	
21	Use Rsrch	0	0	4	3	2	3	1	3.78	0.83	9	
22	Glbl Prspctv	0	1	4	4	1	3	0	3.50	0.85	10	
23	Crit Thnkng	0	1	1	6	4	0	1	4.08	0.90	12	
24	Lab Actvty	0	1	3	4	1	4	0	3.56	0.88	9	
25	Rcmnd CORS	0	1	3	7	2	0	0	3.77	0.83	13	
26	Rcmnd FAC	1	1	3	5	3	0	0	3.62	1.19	13	
27	Pers Goals	0	1	2	8	2	0	0	3.85	0.80	13	
28	Prof Goals	0	1	1	8	3	0	0	4.00	0.82	13	
29	Struc to Lrng	0	2	1	7	3	0	0	3.85	0.99	13	
30	Stdnt Intract	0	1	2	5	5	0	0	4.08	0.95	13	
31	Fac-Stud Intr	0	1	4	7	1	0	0	3.62	0.77	13	
32	Tech on Own	0	0	1	7	5	0	0	4.31	0.63	13	

Program Rating

MATH										
Str Disagree			Str Agree		NA	NR	Mean	STD	No.	
4	4	19	95	126	0	13	4.35	0.82	248	
4	11	32	102	101	0	11	4.14	0.91	250	
9	17	53	100	71	0	11	3.83	1.03	250	
2	4	23	97	122	0	13	4.34	0.78	248	
5	12	30	98	104	0	12	4.14	0.95	249	
3	6	28	90	119	0	15	4.28	0.85	246	
5	9	58	85	81	0	23	3.96	0.96	238	
2	1	27	115	100	0	16	4.27	0.73	245	
0	4	11	111	121	0	14	4.41	0.66	247	
0	2	13	106	125	0	15	4.44	0.63	246	
3	7	21	121	93	0	16	4.20	0.81	245	
5	9	24	110	99	0	14	4.17	0.89	247	
2	6	31	95	57	47	23	4.04	0.83	191	
2	12	36	63	32	89	27	3.77	0.94	145	
6	19	37	38	14	119	28	3.31	1.06	114	
2	13	37	93	28	69	19	3.76	0.85	173	
4	15	37	56	21	107	21	3.56	0.99	133	
1	18	46	46	14	108	28	3.43	0.90	125	
2	9	39	116	57	0	38	3.97	0.82	223	
1	10	31	45	21	130	23	3.69	0.92	108	
6	12	48	111	66	0	18	3.90	0.94	243	
4	11	37	91	103	0	15	4.13	0.94	246	
1	19	44	119	55	0	23	3.87	0.87	238	
3	17	39	117	56	0	29	3.89	0.90	232	
4	13	45	125	58	0	16	3.90	0.88	245	
7	21	53	101	54	0	25	3.74	1.01	236	
5	11	55	106	57	0	27	3.85	0.92	234	
1	3	30	136	68	0	23	4.12	0.70	238	

Instructor Overall	3.81	0.82	4.15	0.75
Course Overall	3.90	0.55	4.01	0.56
Course Design	4.08	0.49	4.21	0.57
Pedagogy	3.77	0.69	4.16	0.68
Interaction	3.92	0.79	4.05	0.69
Course Objectives	3.75	0.60	3.74	0.74



UMUC

Course - Program Comparison

Data as of: 12/10/12 All ratings on 5-point scale; 1=Strongly Disagree, 5=Strongly Agree

Course: MATH 012 Section: A803

Strong Features

encourageing student to student interaction.

Great material

The myriad of resources listed and available if ever the student was in need o help

The quizzes and homework assignments.

THe strongest feature is the student to studebt interaction.

The use of the confrences was nice.

Improve/Recommend

I would recommend another method of completed assigned problems. The only way to get my homework done with out having to buy Microsoft was to go to the library which is fairly impossible with my schedule.

keep the assignment listings in the same place every time. other than that, great!

None

Organize class before term starts. There was much confusion at the beginning of this class in reference to submitting class work.

This was the first DE course for this instructor and it was obvious. He kept changing things and taking away participation points for things that he changed if we didn't update of work. He seemed very disorganized and it seemed like we suffered. I am thankful I didn't have a heavy course schedule, I don't think I would have been able to keep up with the constant changes. I understand new instructors have to find their way of doing things, it just seems like he could have been better prepared.