

NAME: LAURIE, ROBERT M

MTU FACULTY EVALUATION SYSTEM

COURSE: EE260 SECTION: 01 QUARTER: SPRING

YEAR: 1989 SEC. CODE: 431

Fall

TOTAL FORMS COMPLETED: 27

## DEMOGRAPHIC DATA IN PERCENTAGES

## 1. PRE-COURSE OPINION TOWARD:

	POS	NO OP	NEG	OMIT
INSTRUCTOR	41%	59%	0%	0%
COURSE	48%	37%	7%	7%

2. COURSE IN: MAJOR MINOR OTHER OMIT  
93% 7% 0% 0%

3. SEX: MALE FEMALE OMIT  
81% 11% 7%

## 4. THIS COURSE WAS:

SPECIFICALLY REQUIRED BUT  
REQUIRED A CHOICE ELECTIVE OMIT  
85% 4% 0% 11%

5. CLASS: FR SO JR SR GRAD OTHER OMIT  
0% 41% 59% 0% 0% 0% 0%

6. EXPECTED GRADE: A B C D F OMIT  
48% 41% 7% 0% 0% 0%

## 7. P/F GRADE:

P	F
4%	0%

## 8. CURRENT GPA:

NOT ESTABLISHED...	30%
1.00 - 1.90.....	4%
1.91 - 2.24.....	0%
2.25 - 2.49.....	4%
2.50 - 2.89.....	19%
2.90 - 3.24.....	11%
3.25 - 3.49.....	19%
3.50 - 4.00.....	15%
OMIT.....	0%

## 9. TEACHER'S RANK:

TEACHING ASST.....	0%
LECTURER.....	0%
FACULTY ASST.....	0%
INSTRUCTOR.....	100%
ASST. PROFESSOR...	0%
ASSOC. PROFESSOR..	0%
PROFESSOR.....	0%
OMIT.....	0%

## RESULTS OF MTU CORE ITEMS

## #AVERAGES WEIGHTED BY NUMBER OF RESPONSES

1. HOW WOULD YOU CHARACTERIZE THE INSTRUCTOR'S  
ABILITY TO EXPLAIN?

EXCELLENT 5 4 3 2 1 POOR

5	4	3	2	1	N/A
37%	48%	15%	0%	0%	0%

#INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE 4.22  
#MTU AVG. 4.1

## 2. THIS COURSE WAS WELL-ORGANIZED

STRONGLY AGREE 5 4 3 2 1 STRONGLY DISAGREE

5	4	3	2	1	N/A
44%	41%	7%	7%	0%	0%

#INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE 4.22  
#MTU AVG. 4.0

## 3. DID THE INSTRUCTOR TREAT YOU WITH RESPECT?

ALWAYS 5 4 3 2 1 NEVER

5	4	3	2	1	N/A
70%	26%	4%	0%	0%	0%

#INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE 4.67  
#MTU AVG. 4.5

4. THE INSTRUCTOR ENCOURAGES STUDENTS'  
ENTHUSIASM FOR CONTINUED LEARNING.

ALWAYS 5 4 3 2 1 NEVER

5	4	3	2	1	N/A
63%	33%	0%	0%	0%	4%

#INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE 4.65  
#MTU AVG. 4.1

5. TAKING EVERYTHING INTO ACCOUNT, I CONSIDER  
THIS INSTRUCTOR

EXCELLENT 5 4 3 2 1 POOR

5	4	3	2	1	N/A
48%	41%	11%	0%	0%	0%

#INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE 4.37  
#MTU AVG. 4.1

PAGE 2 RESULTS OF ELECTRICAL ENGG. (CLASS) CORE QUESTIONS						SEC. CODE: 431
6. THE COURSE WAS:	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
ORGANIZED 5 4 3 2 1 DISORGANIZED	37%	52%	4%	7%	0%	0%
	*INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE					4.19
7. DID THE INSTRUCTOR FOLLOW A COURSE OUTLINE?	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
YES, VERY MUCH 5 4 3 2 1 NO, NOT AT ALL	63%	15%	19%	4%	0%	0%
	*INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE					4.37
8. RATE THE TEXT(S) USED IN THIS COURSE.	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
EXCELLENT 5 4 3 2 1 POOR	4%	37%	41%	11%	7%	0%
	*INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE					3.19
9. HOW BENEFICIAL WERE THE HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENTS?	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
VERY BENEFICIAL 5 4 3 2 1 JUST BUSY WORK	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	*INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE					4.67
10. SHOULD MORE OR LESS HOMEWORK BE ASSIGNED FOR THIS COURSE?	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
MUCH MORE 5 4 3 2 1 MUCH LESS	0%	30%	70%	0%	0%	0%
	*INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE					3.30
11. THE GRADING PROCEDURES FOR THE COURSE WERE:	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
VERY FAIR 5 4 3 2 1 VERY UNFAIR	41%	48%	11%	0%	0%	0%
	*INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE					4.30
12. HOW WELL DID EXAMINATION QUESTIONS REFLECT CONTENT AND EMPHASIS OF THIS COURSE?	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
WELL RELATED 5 4 3 2 1 POORLY RELATED	70%	26%	4%	0%	0%	0%
	*INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE					4.67
13. COMPARED TO OTHER COURSES, HOW MUCH DID YOU LEARN IN THIS COURSE?	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
MUCH MORE, 5 4 3 2 1 MUCH LESS	33%	44%	15%	4%	0%	4%
	*INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE					4.12
14. DID THIS COURSE INCREASE YOUR INTEREST IN THE SUBJECT MATTER?	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
YES, GREATLY 5 4 3 2 1 NO, NOT MUCH	33%	44%	15%	4%	4%	0%
	*INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE					4.00
15. I HAD THE APPROPRIATE PREREQUISITE AND TECHNICAL SKILLS FOR THIS COURSE.	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
STRONGLY AGREE 5 4 3 2 1 STRONGLY DISAGREE	52%	44%	0%	4%	0%	0%
	*INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE					4.44
16. THE INSTRUCTOR SEEMED WELL PREPARED FOR CLASSES.	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
YES, ALWAYS 5 4 3 2 1 NO, SELDOM	52%	48%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	*INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE					4.52
17. IT WAS EASY TO HEAR AND UNDERSTAND THE INSTRUCTOR.	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
ALMOST ALWAYS 5 4 3 2 1 ALMOST NEVER	74%	26%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	*INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE					4.74
18. WAS THE INSTRUCTOR'S USE OF BLACKBOARD AND OTHER MATERIALS (HANDOUTS, ETC) EFFECTIVE?	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
VERY HELPFUL 5 4 3 2 1 CONFUSING, INADEQUATE	48%	44%	7%	0%	0%	0%
	*INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE					4.41
19. HOW ACCESSIBLE WAS THE INSTRUCTOR FOR STUDENT CONFERENCES ABOUT THE COURSE?	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
AVAILABLE REGULARLY 5 4 3 2 1 NEVER AVAILABLE	48%	44%	7%	0%	0%	0%
	*INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE					4.41
20. THE ATMOSPHERE IN THE CLASSROOM SEEMED:	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
RELAXED, FRIENDLY 5 4 3 2 1 TENSE, UNFRIENDLY	41%	48%	11%	0%	0%	0%
	*INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE					4.30

TOTAL FORMS COMPLETED: 35

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA IN PERCENTAGES

1. PRE-COURSE OPINION TOWARD:

	POS	NO OP	NEG	OMIT
INSTRUCTOR	40%	60%	0%	0%
COURSE	43%	43%	11%	3%

7. P/F GRADE:

P	F
6%	0%

8. CURRENT GPA:

NOT ESTABLISHED...	0%
1.00 - 1.90.....	0%
1.91 - 2.24.....	0%
2.25 - 2.49.....	6%
2.50 - 2.89.....	6%
2.90 - 3.24.....	23%
3.25 - 3.49.....	17%
3.50 - 4.00.....	49%
OMIT.....	0%

2. COURSE IN: MAJOR MINOR OTHER OMIT

MAJOR	MINOR	OTHER	OMIT
91%	0%	3%	6%

3. SEX: MALE FEMALE OMIT

MALE	FEMALE	OMIT
89%	9%	3%

4. THIS COURSE WAS:  
SPECIFICALLY REQUIRED BUT  
REQUIRED A CHOICE ELECTIVE OMIT

86%	6%	0%	9%
-----	----	----	----

9. TEACHER'S RANK:  
TEACHING ASST..... 0%

LECTURER.....	3%
FACULTY ASST.....	0%

5. CLASS: FR SO JR SR GRAD OTHER OMIT

FR	SO	JR	SR	GRAD	OTHER	OMIT
6%	63%	26%	6%	0%	0%	0%

INSTRUCTOR..... 94%  
ASST. PROFESSOR... 0%  
ASSOC. PROFESSOR.. 3%  
PROFESSOR..... 0%  
OMIT..... 0%

6. EXPECTED GRADE: A B C D F OMIT

A	B	C	D	F	OMIT
60%	29%	0%	3%	0%	3%

RESULTS OF MTU CORE ITEMS

\*AVERAGES WEIGHTED BY NUMBER OF RESPONSES

1. HOW WOULD YOU CHARACTERIZE THE INSTRUCTOR'S  
ABILITY TO EXPLAIN?

EXCELLENT 5 4 3 2 1 POOR

5	4	3	2	1	N/A
51%	34%	6%	9%	0%	0%

#INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE 4.29

#MTU AVG. 4.0

2. THIS COURSE WAS WELL-ORGANIZED

STRONGLY AGREE 5 4 3 2 1 STRONGLY DISAGREE

5	4	3	2	1	N/A
57%	29%	3%	6%	0%	0%

#INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE 4.37

#MTU AVG. 4.0

3. DID THE INSTRUCTOR TREAT YOU WITH RESPECT?

ALWAYS 5 4 3 2 1 NEVER

5	4	3	2	1	N/A
63%	37%	12%	0%	0%	0%

#INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE 4.63

#MTU AVG. 4.4

4. THE INSTRUCTOR ENCOURAGES STUDENTS'  
ENTHUSIASM FOR CONTINUED LEARNING.

ALWAYS 5 4 3 2 1 NEVER

5	4	3	2	1	N/A
63%	26%	11%	0%	0%	0%

#INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE 4.51

#MTU AVG. 4.0

5. TAKING EVERYTHING INTO ACCOUNT, I CONSIDER  
THIS INSTRUCTOR

EXCELLENT 5 4 3 2 1 POOR

5	4	3	2	1	N/A
57%	31%	6%	6%	0%	0%

#INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE 4.40

#MTU AVG. 4.0

TOTAL FORMS COMPLETED: 34

## DEMOGRAPHIC DATA IN PERCENTAGES

## 1. PRE-COURSE OPINION TOWARD:

INSTRUCTOR	POS 56%	NO OP 32%	NEG 3%	OMIT 6%
COURSE			6%	6%

2. COURSE IN: MAJOR MINOR OTHER OMIT

MAJOR 97%	MINOR 0%	OTHER 3%	OMIT 0%
--------------	-------------	-------------	------------

3. SEX: MALE FEMALE OMIT

MALE 94%	FEMALE 0%	OMIT 6%
-------------	--------------	------------

4. THIS COURSE WAS:  
SPECIFICALLY REQUIRED BUT  
REQUIRED A CHOICE ELECTIVE OMIT

SPECIFICALLY REQUIRED 97%	A CHOICE 0%	ELECTIVE 0%	OMIT 3%
------------------------------	----------------	----------------	------------

5. CLASS: FR SO JR SR GRAD OTHER OMIT

FR 0%	SO 0%	JR 35%	SR 65%	GRAD 0%	OTHER 0%	OMIT 0%
----------	----------	-----------	-----------	------------	-------------	------------

6. EXPECTED GRADE: A B C D F OMIT

A 32%	B 50%	C 9%	D 0%	F 0%	OMIT 6%
----------	----------	---------	---------	---------	------------

## RESULTS OF MTU CORE ITEMS

## \*AVERAGES WEIGHTED BY NUMBER OF RESPONSES

## 1. HOW WOULD YOU CHARACTERIZE THE INSTRUCTOR'S

ABILITY TO EXPLAIN?

EXCELLENT 5 4 3 2 1 POOR

5	4	3	2	1	N/A
---	---	---	---	---	-----

47%	50%	3%	0%	0%	0%
-----	-----	----	----	----	----

<b>*INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE</b>					4.44
----------------------------	--	--	--	--	------

<b>*MTU AVG.</b>					4.0
------------------	--	--	--	--	-----

## 2. THIS COURSE WAS WELL-ORGANIZED

STRONGLY AGREE 5 4 3 2 1 STRONGLY DISAGREE

5	4	3	2	1	N/A
---	---	---	---	---	-----

38%	53%	9%	0%	0%	0%
-----	-----	----	----	----	----

<b>*INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE</b>					4.29
----------------------------	--	--	--	--	------

<b>*MTU AVG.</b>					4.1
------------------	--	--	--	--	-----

## 3. DID THE INSTRUCTOR TREAT YOU WITH RESPECT?

ALWAYS 5 4 3 2 1 NEVER

5	4	3	2	1	N/A
---	---	---	---	---	-----

74%	24%	3%	0%	0%	0%
-----	-----	----	----	----	----

<b>*INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE</b>					4.71
----------------------------	--	--	--	--	------

<b>*MTU AVG.</b>					4.4
------------------	--	--	--	--	-----

## 4. THE INSTRUCTOR ENCOURAGES STUDENTS' ENTHUSIASM FOR CONTINUED LEARNING.

ALWAYS 5 4 3 2 1 NEVER

5	4	3	2	1	N/A
---	---	---	---	---	-----

53%	41%	3%	3%	0%	0%
-----	-----	----	----	----	----

<b>*INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE</b>					4.44
----------------------------	--	--	--	--	------

<b>*MTU AVG.</b>					4.1
------------------	--	--	--	--	-----

## 5. TAKING EVERYTHING INTO ACCOUNT, I CONSIDER THIS INSTRUCTOR

EXCELLENT 5 4 3 2 1 POOR

5	4	3	2	1	N/A
---	---	---	---	---	-----

50%	44%	3%	0%	0%	3%
-----	-----	----	----	----	----

<b>*INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE</b>					4.48
----------------------------	--	--	--	--	------

<b>*MTU AVG.</b>					4.1
------------------	--	--	--	--	-----

--	--	--	--	--	--

6. THE COURSE WAS: ORGANIZED 5 4 3 2 1 DISORGANIZED	5 4 3 2 1 56% 38% 6% 0% 0% *INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE	N/A 0% 4.50
7. DID THE INSTRUCTOR FOLLOW A COURSE OUTLINE? YES, VERY MUCH 5 4 3 2 1 NO, NOT AT ALL	5 4 3 2 1 53% 41% 6% 0% 0% *INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE	N/A 0% 4.47
8. RATE THE TEXT(S) USED IN THIS COURSE. EXCELLENT 5 4 3 2 1 POOR	5 4 3 2 1 15% 44% 21% 15% 6% *INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE	N/A 0% 3.47
9. HOW BENEFICIAL WERE THE HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENTS? VERY BENEFICIAL 5 4 3 2 1 JUST BUSY WORK	5 4 3 2 1 59% 24% 12% 3% 3% *INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE	N/A 0% 4.32
10. SHOULD MORE OR LESS HOMEWORK BE ASSIGNED FOR THIS COURSE? MUCH MORE 5 4 3 2 1 MUCH LESS	5 4 3 2 1 0% 24% 71% 3% 3% *INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE	N/A 0% 3.15
11. THE GRADING PROCEDURES FOR THE COURSE WERE: VERY FAIR 5 4 3 2 1 VERY UNFAIR	5 4 3 2 1 35% 41% 21% 3% 0% *INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE	N/A 0% 4.09
12. HOW WELL DID EXAMINATION QUESTIONS REFLECT CONTENT AND EMPHASIS OF THIS COURSE? WELL RELATED 5 4 3 2 1 POORLY RELATED	5 4 3 2 1 53% 38% 9% 0% 0% *INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE	N/A 0% 4.44
13. COMPARED TO OTHER COURSES, HOW MUCH DID YOU LEARN IN THIS COURSE? MUCH MORE 5 4 3 2 1 MUCH LESS	5 4 3 2 1 24% 50% 26% 0% 0% *INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE	N/A 0% 3.97
14. DID THIS COURSE INCREASE YOUR INTEREST IN THE SUBJECT MATTER? YES, GREATLY 5 4 3 2 1 NO, NOT MUCH	5 4 3 2 1 41% 32% 18% 3% 6% *INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE	N/A 0% 4.00
15. I HAD THE APPROPRIATE PREREQUISITE AND TECHNICAL SKILLS FOR THIS COURSE. STRONGLY AGREE 5 4 3 2 1 STRONGLY DISAGREE	5 4 3 2 1 68% 26% 6% 0% 0% *INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE	N/A 0% 4.62
16. THE INSTRUCTOR SEEMED WELL PREPARED FOR CLASSES. YES, ALWAYS 5 4 3 2 1 NO, SELDOM	5 4 3 2 1 65% 32% 32% 0% 0% *INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE	N/A 0% 4.62
17. IT WAS EASY TO HEAR AND UNDERSTAND THE INSTRUCTOR. ALMOST ALWAYS 5 4 3 2 1 ALMOST NEVER	5 4 3 2 1 74% 24% 32% 0% 0% *INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE	N/A 0% 4.71
18. WAS THE INSTRUCTOR'S USE OF BLACKBOARD AND OTHER MATERIALS (HANDOUTS, ETC.) EFFECTIVE? VERY HELPFUL 5 4 3 2 1 CONFUSING, INADEQUATE	5 4 3 2 1 50% 44% 32% 32% 0% *INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE	N/A 0% 4.41
19. HOW ACCESSIBLE WAS THE INSTRUCTOR FOR STUDENT CONFERENCE ABOUT THE COURSE? AVAILABLE REGULARLY 5 4 3 2 1 NEVER AVAILABLE	5 4 3 2 1 53% 32% 15% 0% 0% *INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE	N/A 0% 4.38
20. THE ATMOSPHERE IN THE CLASSROOM SEEMED RELAXED, FRIENDLY 5 4 3 2 1 TENSE, UNFRIENDLY	5 4 3 2 1 79% 18% 32% 0% 0% *INSTRUCTOR AVERAGE	N/A 0% 4.76