

MTU Student Rating of Instruction - Faculty Report
Quarter: Spring Year: 2000

CRN: 33491		Section: 01		Quarter: Spring		Year: 2008				
Total Forms Completed: 30						Strongly Agree-----Disagree				
		n=	Mean	StDev	5	4	3	2	1	Omit
1. I wanted to take this course.		30	4.83	0.37	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2. The pace of this course was consistent with my ability to learn.		30	4.57	0.72	66.7%	26.7%	3.3%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
3. Class sessions were thought provoking.		30	4.00	1.18	46.7%	26.7%	10.0%	13.3%	3.3%	0.0%
4. The organization of the course helped me to learn.		30	4.27	0.73	43.3%	40.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5. The instructors grading policies were fair.		30	4.43	0.72	53.3%	40.0%	3.3%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
6. The instructor made students aware of his/her scheduled office hours.		30	3.87	0.85	26.7%	36.7%	33.3%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
7. The instructor encouraged students to seek additional help outside of class.		30	4.20	0.79	40.0%	43.3%	13.3%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
8. The instructor communicated the course materials clearly.		30	4.43	0.67	53.3%	36.7%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
9. The instructor made students aware of the specific goals of the course.		30	4.47	0.67	56.7%	33.3%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
10. The instructor explained the importance of what we were learning.		30	4.43	0.72	56.7%	30.0%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
11. The instructor welcomed student questions in class.		30	4.53	0.56	56.7%	40.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12. The instructor made connections between new material and material previously covered in class.		30	4.40	0.66	50.0%	40.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13. Instructional resources (textbooks, handouts, etc.) furthered my learning.		30	4.53	0.62	60.0%	33.3%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14. The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching the subject matter of the course.		30	4.70	0.46	70.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
15. The instructor used class time effectively.		30	4.37	0.84	53.3%	36.7%	3.3%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
16. The instructor provided timely feedback on my work, (homework, assignments, exams, etc.).		30	4.43	0.56	46.7%	50.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
17. I am more interested in the subject now than I was before I took this class.		30	4.40	0.92	63.3%	20.0%	10.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
18. The classroom and equipment (if applicable) were adequate to support effective learning.		30	4.17	0.86	40.0%	43.3%	10.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
19. Given the opportunity, I would take another course from this instructor.		30	4.03	1.08	43.3%	30.0%	16.7%	6.7%	3.3%	0.0%
20. Taking everything into account, I consider this instructor to be an excellent teacher.		30	4.30	0.82	50.0%	33.3%	13.3%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
21. Optional Question I		22	4.41	0.94	43.3%	23.3%	3.3%	0.0%	3.3%	26.7%
22. Optional Question II		0	.	.	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %
23. Optional Question III		0	.	.	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %
24. Optional Question IV		0	.	.	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %
25. Optional Question V		0	.	.	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %
26. Optional Question VI		0	.	.	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %
27. Optional Question VII		0	.	.	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %
28. Optional Question VIII		0	.	.	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %
29. Optional Question IX		0	.	.	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %
30. Optional Question X		0	.	.	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %	. %

Name: Laurie , Robert
 Course: EE 306 Section: R01
 CRN: 32873
 Total Forms Completed: 11

MTU Student Rating of Instruction - Faculty Report
 Quarter: Spring Year: 2000

CRN: 32873				Strongly			Strongly			
Total Forms Completed: 11				Agree-----			Disagree			
	n=	Mean	StDev	5	4	3	2	1	Omit	
1. I wanted to take this course.	11	2.82	1.27	9.1%	27.3%	18.2%	27.3%	18.2%	0.0%	
2. The pace of this course was consistent with my ability to learn.	11	4.27	0.62	36.4%	54.5%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
3. Class sessions were thought provoking.	11	3.82	0.57	9.1%	63.6%	27.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
4. The organization of the course helped me to learn.	11	4.36	0.48	36.4%	63.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
5. The instructors grading policies were fair.	11	4.64	0.48	63.6%	36.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
6. The instructor made students aware of his/her scheduled office hours.	11	4.64	0.48	63.6%	36.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
7. The instructor encouraged students to seek additional help outside of class.	11	4.64	0.48	63.6%	36.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
8. The instructor communicated the course materials clearly.	11	4.27	0.45	27.3%	72.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
9. The instructor made students aware of the specific goals of the course.	11	4.09	0.51	18.2%	72.7%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
10. The instructor explained the importance of what we were learning.	11	4.36	0.48	36.4%	63.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
11. The instructor welcomed student questions in class.	11	4.64	0.48	63.6%	36.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
12. The instructor made connections between new material and material previously covered in class.	11	4.55	0.50	54.5%	45.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
13. Instructional resources (textbooks, handouts, etc.) furthered my learning.	11	4.45	0.50	45.5%	54.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
14. The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching the subject matter of the course.	11	4.36	0.64	45.5%	45.5%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
15. The instructor used class time effectively.	11	4.27	0.62	36.4%	54.5%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
16. The instructor provided timely feedback on my work, (homework, assignments, exams, etc.).	11	4.82	0.39	81.8%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
17. I am more interested in the subject now than I was before I took this class.	11	3.64	1.07	18.2%	45.5%	27.3%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	
18. The classroom and equipment (if applicable) were adequate to support effective learning.	10	3.90	0.54	9.1%	63.6%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	
19. Given the opportunity, I would take another course from this instructor.	11	4.27	0.62	36.4%	54.5%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
20. Taking everything into account, I consider this instructor to be an excellent teacher.	11	4.36	0.48	36.4%	63.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
21. Optional Question I	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	
22. Optional Question II	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	
23. Optional Question III	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	
24. Optional Question IV	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	
25. Optional Question V	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	
26. Optional Question VI	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	
27. Optional Question VII	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	
28. Optional Question VIII	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	
29. Optional Question IX	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	
30. Optional Question X	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	

Name: Laurie , Robert
 Course: EE 3010 Section: 0A
 CRN: 10182
 Total Forms Completed: 49

MTU Student Rating of Instruction - Faculty Report
 Semester: Spring Year: 2001

SRN: 10182				Strongly			Strongly			
Total Forms Completed: 49				Agree-----			Disagree			
	n=	Mean	StDev	5	4	3	2	1	Omit	
1. I wanted to take this course.	49	3.29	0.95	8.2%	36.7%	32.7%	20.4%	2.0%	0.0%	
2. The pace of this course was consistent with my ability to learn.	49	4.14	0.57	24.5%	65.3%	10.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
3. Class sessions were thought provoking.	49	3.73	0.80	14.3%	53.1%	24.5%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%	
4. The organization of the course helped me to learn.	49	4.02	0.74	24.5%	57.1%	14.3%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	
5. The instructors grading policies were fair.	49	4.43	0.57	46.9%	49.0%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
6. The instructor made students aware of his/her scheduled office hours.	49	4.39	0.56	42.9%	53.1%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
7. The instructor encouraged students to seek additional help outside of class.	49	4.33	0.65	42.9%	46.9%	10.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
8. The instructor communicated the course materials clearly.	49	4.14	0.83	36.7%	46.9%	10.2%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	
9. The instructor made students aware of the specific goals of the course.	49	4.08	0.72	28.6%	53.1%	16.3%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
10. The instructor explained the importance of what we were learning.	49	4.02	0.68	22.4%	59.2%	16.3%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
11. The instructor welcomed student questions in class.	49	4.41	0.57	44.9%	51.0%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
12. The instructor made connections between new material and material previously covered in class.	49	4.29	0.61	36.7%	55.1%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
13. Instructional resources (textbooks, handouts, etc.) furthered my learning.	49	3.71	0.93	18.4%	46.9%	24.5%	8.2%	2.0%	0.0%	
14. The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching the subject matter of the course.	48	4.33	0.72	46.9%	36.7%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	
15. The instructor used class time effectively.	49	4.22	0.58	30.6%	61.2%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
16. The instructor provided timely feedback on my work, (homework, assignments, exams, etc.).	49	4.33	0.74	46.9%	40.8%	10.2%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
17. I am more interested in the subject now than I was before I took this class.	49	3.65	0.89	10.2%	59.2%	20.4%	6.1%	4.1%	0.0%	
18. The classroom and equipment (if applicable) were adequate to support effective learning.	48	3.75	0.78	12.2%	55.1%	26.5%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	
19. Given the opportunity, I would take another course from this instructor.	49	4.18	0.90	40.8%	44.9%	8.2%	4.1%	2.0%	0.0%	
20. Taking everything into account, I consider this instructor to be an excellent teacher.	49	4.24	0.82	46.9%	32.7%	18.4%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
21. Optional Question I	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	
22. Optional Question II	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	
23. Optional Question III	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	
24. Optional Question IV	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	
25. Optional Question V	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	
26. Optional Question VI	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	
27. Optional Question VII	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	
28. Optional Question VIII	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	
29. Optional Question IX	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	
30. Optional Question X	0	.	.	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	.%	

Course - Program Comparison

Data as of: 9/27/10 All ratings on 5-point scale; 1=Strongly Disagree, 5=Strongly Agree

SUS - IFSM - ASIA - Summer 2010 - Asia Session 1 - F2F

Course Rating

Questions		IFSM 201			A281		Instructor: Laurie, Robert Monroe						
		Str Disagree			Str Agree		NA	NR	Mean	STD	No.		
05	Fac well prep	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	4.78	0.44	9		
06	Good pres	0	0	0	1	8	0	0	4.89	0.33	9		
07	Stim Intrst	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	4.78	0.44	9		
08	Fair Grade	0	0	0	1	8	0	0	4.89	0.33	9		
09	Hlpfl Fdback	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	4.78	0.44	9		
10	Access	0	0	0	1	8	0	0	4.89	0.33	9		
11	Concrn	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	4.78	0.44	9		
12	Int Chlngng	0	0	0	3	6	0	0	4.67	0.50	9		
13	Clr Obj	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	5.00	0.00	9		
14	Clr Grd Crit	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	5.00	0.00	9		
15	Valu Asgmnt	0	0	0	1	8	0	0	4.89	0.33	9		
16	Rqrd Text	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	4.78	0.44	9		
17	Othr Crs Mtrl	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	4.78	0.44	9		
18	Eff Use Tech	0	0	0	1	8	0	0	4.89	0.33	9		
19	Eff Writing	0	0	0	0	4	5	0	5.00	0.00	4		
20	Cmptr Skills	0	0	0	1	7	0	0	4.88	0.35	8		
21	Use Rsrch	0	0	0	3	5	1	0	4.63	0.52	8		
22	Glbl Prspctv	0	0	0	2	4	3	0	4.67	0.52	6		
23	Crit Thnkng	0	0	0	1	6	2	0	4.86	0.38	7		
24	Lab Actvty	0	0	0	1	6	2	0	4.86	0.38	7		
25	Rcmnd CORS	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	4.78	0.44	9		
26	Rcmnd FAC	0	0	0	1	8	0	0	4.89	0.33	9		
27	Pers Goals	0	0	1	1	7	0	0	4.67	0.71	9		
28	Prof Goals	0	0	1	1	7	0	0	4.67	0.71	9		
29	Struc to Lrng	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	4.78	0.44	9		
30	Stdnt Intract	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	4.78	0.44	9		
31	Fac-Stud Intr	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	4.78	0.44	9		
32	Tech on Own	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	4.25	0.96	4		

Program Rating

IFSM		Str Disagree		Str Agree		NA	NR	Mean	STD	No.
0	7	11	41	49	0	0	0	4.22	0.88	108
2	7	16	35	48	0	0	0	4.11	1.01	108
2	10	18	35	43	0	0	0	3.99	1.05	108
1	1	10	43	53	0	0	0	4.35	0.77	108
1	8	10	41	48	0	0	0	4.18	0.95	108
0	4	10	46	47	0	0	0	4.27	0.78	107
0	8	17	39	42	0	0	0	4.08	0.93	106
3	8	15	41	42	0	0	0	4.02	1.04	109
2	3	8	43	53	0	0	0	4.30	0.87	109
3	4	6	39	56	0	0	0	4.31	0.94	108
2	8	16	46	37	0	0	0	3.99	0.98	109
3	6	18	44	37	0	0	0	3.98	1.00	108
3	9	14	39	32	11	0	0	3.91	1.06	97
1	7	14	36	27	23	0	0	3.95	0.96	85
3	10	18	17	21	37	0	0	3.62	1.19	69
2	6	10	42	34	13	0	0	4.06	0.96	94
1	7	13	32	29	26	0	0	3.99	0.99	82
3	10	16	20	29	29	0	0	3.79	1.19	78
2	7	16	38	29	16	0	0	3.92	1.00	92
2	4	14	35	35	17	0	0	4.08	0.96	90
4	6	15	48	35	0	0	0	3.96	1.01	108
4	9	15	38	42	0	0	0	3.97	1.10	108
3	6	22	48	28	0	0	0	3.86	0.97	107
2	5	27	45	28	0	0	0	3.86	0.93	107
2	6	20	53	28	0	0	0	3.91	0.91	109
1	6	16	54	31	0	0	0	4.00	0.86	108
1	5	27	44	30	0	0	0	3.91	0.90	107
1	1	5	34	20	3	0	0	4.16	0.78	61

Instructor Overall	4.83	0.31	4.16	0.80
Course Overall	4.81	0.28	4.00	0.80
Course Design	4.86	0.23	4.08	0.77
Pedagogy	4.80	0.26	4.06	0.83
Interaction	4.81	0.38	4.13	0.71
Course Objectives	4.77	0.28	3.99	0.92

Course - Program Comparison

Data as of: 6/1/10 All ratings on 5-point scale; 1=Strongly Disagree, 5=Strongly Agree

SUS - MATH - ASIA - Spring 2010 - Asia Session 2 - F2F

Course Rating

		MATH 107		A261		Instructor: Laurie, Robert Monroe						
Questions		Str Disagree		Str Agree		NA	NR	Mean	STD	No.		
05	Fac well prep	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	4.57	0.53	7	
06	Good pres	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	4.29	0.49	7	
07	Stim Intrst	0	0	2	3	2	0	0	4.00	0.82	7	
08	Fair Grade	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	4.57	0.53	7	
09	Hlpfl Fdbck	0	0	0	4	3	0	0	4.43	0.53	7	
10	Access	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	4.29	0.49	7	
11	Concrn	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	4.57	0.53	7	
12	Int Chlngng	0	0	1	2	4	0	0	4.43	0.79	7	
13	Clr Obj	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	4.29	0.49	7	
14	Clr Grd Crit	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	4.71	0.49	7	
15	Valu Asgmt	1	0	0	2	4	0	0	4.14	1.46	7	
16	Rqrd Text	0	1	2	1	3	0	0	3.86	1.21	7	
17	Othr Crs Mtrl	0	0	1	3	2	1	0	4.17	0.75	6	
18	Eff Use Tech	0	0	2	2	0	3	0	3.50	0.58	4	
19	Eff Writing	0	0	2	0	0	5	0	3.00	0.00	2	
20	Cmptr Skills	0	0	2	0	0	5	0	3.00	0.00	2	
21	Use Rsrch	0	1	1	1	0	4	0	3.00	1.00	3	
22	Gbl Prspctv	0	0	2	1	0	4	0	3.33	0.58	3	
23	Crit Thnkg	0	0	2	1	1	0	3	3.75	0.96	4	
24	Lab Actvty	0	0	2	1	0	3	1	3.33	0.58	3	
25	Rcmnd CORS	0	0	3	1	1	0	2	3.60	0.89	5	
26	Rcmnd FAC	0	0	4	1	1	0	1	3.50	0.84	6	
27	Pers Goals	0	0	0	3	2	0	2	4.40	0.55	5	
28	Prof Goals	0	0	1	2	2	0	2	4.20	0.84	5	
29	Struc to Lrng	0	0	1	3	1	0	2	4.00	0.71	5	
30	Stdnt Intract	0	0	2	3	1	0	1	3.83	0.75	6	
31	Fac-Stud Intr	0	0	2	4	0	0	1	3.67	0.52	6	
32	Tech on Own	0	0	1	2	0	0	4	3.67	0.58	3	

Program Rating

MATH										
Str Disagree			Str Agree		NA	NR	Mean	STD	No.	
1	1	14	35	86	0	8	4.49	0.77	137	
9	2	20	40	65	0	9	4.10	1.13	136	
5	7	19	42	62	0	10	4.10	1.07	135	
2	4	7	43	76	0	13	4.42	0.85	132	
6	4	8	45	68	0	14	4.26	1.03	131	
3	1	10	47	69	0	15	4.37	0.85	130	
2	5	19	38	67	0	14	4.24	0.95	131	
2	0	7	49	75	0	12	4.47	0.73	133	
1	0	14	49	70	0	11	4.40	0.74	134	
0	0	10	49	74	0	12	4.48	0.63	133	
5	5	9	42	72	0	12	4.29	1.01	133	
2	6	16	43	66	0	12	4.24	0.94	133	
1	6	17	39	35	29	18	4.03	0.94	98	
1	6	17	23	20	58	20	3.82	1.01	67	
2	6	14	16	13	74	20	3.63	1.11	51	
2	5	15	19	15	70	19	3.71	1.07	56	
2	6	18	16	14	69	20	3.61	1.09	56	
3	5	15	19	17	65	21	3.71	1.13	59	
1	3	17	43	52	0	29	4.22	0.86	116	
1	2	11	16	12	78	25	3.86	0.98	42	
6	6	17	50	48	0	18	4.01	1.07	127	
8	2	19	36	66	0	14	4.15	1.12	131	
5	9	15	49	46	0	21	3.98	1.07	124	
5	4	18	48	45	0	25	4.03	1.02	120	
7	5	16	53	46	0	18	3.99	1.07	127	
4	7	20	55	40	0	19	3.95	0.99	126	
5	4	19	51	48	0	18	4.05	1.01	127	
0	0	14	42	24	0	65	4.13	0.68	80	

Instructor Overall	4.29	0.46	4.24	0.85
Course Overall	3.94	0.50	4.09	0.77
Course Design	4.16	0.52	4.25	0.70
Pedagogy	4.29	0.53	4.27	0.81
Interaction	4.24	0.36	4.19	0.82
Course Objectives	3.43	0.69	3.95	0.89