

# Course - Program Comparison

Data as of: 1/12/10 All ratings on 5-point scale; 1=Strongly Disagree, 5=Strongly Agree

## SUS - MATH - ASIA - Fall 2009 - Asia Session 2 - F2F

### Course Rating

		MATH 009		A221		Instructor: Laurie, Robert Monroe						
Questions		Str Disagree		Str Agree		NA	NR	Mean	STD	No.		
05	Fac well prep	0	0	4	3	5	0	1	4.08	0.90	12	
06	Good pres	0	0	4	2	6	0	1	4.17	0.94	12	
07	Stim Intrst	0	0	5	3	4	0	1	3.92	0.90	12	
08	Fair Grade	0	1	2	2	7	0	1	4.25	1.06	12	
09	Hlpfl Fdbck	0	0	4	4	4	0	1	4.00	0.85	12	
10	Access	0	0	2	5	5	0	1	4.25	0.75	12	
11	Concrn	0	1	2	3	5	0	2	4.09	1.04	11	
12	Int Chlngng	0	2	4	4	2	0	1	3.50	1.00	12	
13	Clr Obj	0	1	3	3	5	0	1	4.00	1.04	12	
14	Clr Grd Crit	0	0	1	6	5	0	1	4.33	0.65	12	
15	Valu Asgmt	0	1	1	4	6	0	1	4.25	0.97	12	
16	Rqrd Text	0	0	1	3	8	0	1	4.58	0.67	12	
17	Othr Crs Mtrl	0	1	4	1	0	5	2	3.00	0.63	6	
18	Eff Use Tech	0	0	4	2	0	4	3	3.33	0.52	6	
19	Eff Writing	0	1	4	1	0	5	2	3.00	0.63	6	
20	Cmptr Skills	0	1	4	1	0	5	2	3.00	0.63	6	
21	Use Rsrch	0	1	4	1	0	5	2	3.00	0.63	6	
22	Glbl Prspctv	0	1	3	2	0	5	2	3.17	0.75	6	
23	Crit Thnkng	0	0	3	5	1	0	4	3.78	0.67	9	
24	Lab Actvty	0	1	3	2	0	5	2	3.17	0.75	6	
25	Rcmnd CORS	0	1	3	6	1	0	2	3.64	0.81	11	
26	Rcmnd FAC	0	1	2	6	3	0	1	3.92	0.90	12	
27	Pers Goals	0	0	3	6	3	0	1	4.00	0.74	12	
28	Prof Goals	0	1	4	4	2	0	2	3.64	0.92	11	
29	Struc to Lrng	0	0	4	5	3	0	1	3.92	0.79	12	
30	Stdnt Intract	0	1	2	7	2	0	1	3.83	0.83	12	
31	Fac-Stud Intr	0	0	3	7	2	0	1	3.92	0.67	12	
32	Tech on Own	0	0	4	5	2	0	2	3.82	0.75	11	

### Program Rating

MATH										
Str Disagree			Str Agree			NA	NR	Mean	STD	No.
1	4	14	48	70	0	10	4.33	0.83	137	
3	6	17	47	65	0	9	4.20	0.97	138	
3	8	28	45	51	0	12	3.99	1.01	135	
2	4	13	51	67	0	10	4.29	0.87	137	
4	8	16	47	59	0	13	4.11	1.03	134	
4	2	16	53	60	0	12	4.21	0.92	135	
7	4	26	47	49	0	14	3.95	1.08	133	
2	3	16	53	61	0	12	4.24	0.86	135	
0	3	12	60	60	0	12	4.31	0.73	135	
1	1	7	62	65	0	11	4.39	0.69	136	
2	3	16	58	55	0	13	4.20	0.85	134	
6	3	14	58	55	0	11	4.13	0.99	136	
2	3	23	39	29	31	20	3.94	0.93	96	
4	4	21	17	19	58	24	3.66	1.15	65	
3	9	17	11	10	78	19	3.32	1.17	50	
4	6	14	19	12	70	22	3.53	1.17	55	
3	6	16	16	9	76	21	3.44	1.11	50	
2	5	13	20	11	75	21	3.65	1.05	51	
2	2	22	59	39	0	23	4.06	0.84	124	
3	4	16	16	10	76	22	3.53	1.10	49	
4	3	25	50	51	0	14	4.06	0.97	133	
6	4	17	45	62	0	13	4.14	1.05	134	
4	7	16	61	43	0	16	4.01	0.97	131	
3	4	21	60	40	0	19	4.02	0.90	128	
2	4	25	58	43	0	15	4.03	0.88	132	
3	12	22	49	42	0	19	3.90	1.04	128	
3	5	26	52	42	0	19	3.98	0.95	128	
2	1	19	37	22	0	66	3.94	0.89	81	

Instructor Overall	4.09	0.81	4.15	0.82
Course Overall	3.90	0.68	4.09	0.72
Course Design	4.01	0.74	4.19	0.68
Pedagogy	3.98	0.82	4.20	0.75
Interaction	4.06	0.74	4.07	0.82
Course Objectives	3.53	0.75	3.89	0.90



## Course - Program Comparison

UMUC

Course: MATH 009 Section: A221

### Strong Features

I was not challenged by this course. I am not sure why I placed as low as I did not the placement, but the course work was cake. I barely paid attention because I was able to work ahead of the instructor. Not fault of his own, but I didn't need this class.

n/A

no response

The instructors ability to interact with students

### Improve/Recommend

More focus on the same faculty for the next level of Math

n/a

no response

The placement exams are not sufficient for placement into this course. I would like a refund.