## On the Complexity of SNP Block Partitioning Under the Perfect Phylogeny Model

Jens Gramm<sup>1</sup> Tzvika Hartman<sup>2</sup> Till Nierhoff<sup>3</sup> Roded Sharan<sup>4</sup> Till Tantau<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universität Tübingen, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan, Israel

<sup>3</sup>International Computer Science Institute, Berkeley, USA

<sup>4</sup>Tel-Aviv University, Israel

<sup>5</sup>Universität zu Lübeck, Germany

Workshop on Algorithms in Bioinformatics, 2006



#### **Outline**

- 1 Introduction
  - The Model and the Problem
  - The Integrated Approach
- 2 Bad News: Hardness Results
  - Hardness of PP-Partitioning of Haplotype Matrices
  - Hardness of PP-Partitioning of Genotype Matrices
- 3 Good News: Tractability Results
  - Perfect Path Phylogenies
  - Tractability of PPP-Partitioning of Genotype Matrices

## What is haplotyping and why is it important?

You hopefully know this after the previous three talks...

#### General formalization of haplotyping.

### Inputs

- A genotype matrix *G*.
- The rows of the matrix are taxa / individuals.
- The columns of the matrix are SNP sites / characters.

#### **Outputs**

- A haplotype matrix H.
- $\blacksquare$  Pairs of rows in H explain the rows of G.
- $\blacksquare$  The haplotypes in H are biologically plausible.

#### Our formalization of haplotyping.

#### Inputs

- A genotype matrix G.
- The rows of the matrix are individuals / taxa.
- The columns of the matrix are SNP sites / characters.
- The problem is directed: one haplotype is known.
- The input is biallelic: there are only two homozygous states (0 and 1) and one heterozygous state (2).

#### **Outputs**

- A haplotype matrix H.
- Pairs of rows in *H* explain the rows of *G*.
- $\blacksquare$  The haplotypes in H form a perfect phylogeny.

#### We can do perfect phylogeny haplotyping efficiently, but ...

- Data may be missing.
  - This makes the problem NP-complete . . .
  - ... even for very restricted cases.


#### Solutions:

- Additional assumption like the rich data hypothesis.
- 2 No perfect phylogeny is possible.
  - This can be caused by chromosomal crossing-over effects.
  - This can be caused by incorrect data.
  - This can be caused by multiple mutations at the same sites.


#### Solutions:

- Look for phylogenetic networks.
- Correct data.
- Find blocks where a perfect phylogeny is possible.


- 1 Partition the site set into overlapping contiguous blocks.
- Compute a perfect phylogeny for each block and combine them.
- 3 Use *dynamic* programming for finding the partition.




- 1 Partition the site set into overlapping contiguous blocks.
- Compute a perfect phylogeny for each block and combine them.
- 3 Use *dynamic* programming for finding the partition.



- 1 Partition the site set into overlapping contiguous blocks.
- Compute a perfect phylogeny for each block and combine them.
- 3 Use *dynamic* programming for finding the partition.




- 1 Partition the site set into overlapping contiguous blocks.
- Compute a perfect phylogeny for each block and combine them.
- 3 Use *dynamic* programming for finding the partition.




#### Objective of the integrated approach.

- Partition the site set into noncontiguous blocks.
- Compute a perfect phylogeny for each block and combine them.
- 3 Compute partition while computing perfect phylogenies.



#### Objective of the integrated approach.

- Partition the site set into noncontiguous blocks.
- Compute a perfect phylogeny for each block and combine them.
- 3 Compute partition while computing perfect phylogenies.



#### The formal computational problem.

We are interested in the computational complexity of the function  $\chi_{PP}$ :

- It gets genotype matrices as input.
- It maps them to a number k.
- This number is minimal such that the sites can be covered by k sets, each admitting a perfect phylogeny. (We call this a pp-partition.)

#### Finding pp-partitions of haplotype matrices.

#### We start with a special case:

- lacktriangle The inputs M are already haplotype matrices.
- The inputs *M* do not allow a perfect phylogeny.
- What is  $\chi_{PP}(M)$ ?


#### Example

No perfect phylogeny is possible.

#### Finding pp-partitions of haplotype matrices.

#### We start with a special case:

- The inputs *M* are already haplotype matrices.
- The inputs *M* do not allow a perfect phylogeny.
- What is  $\chi_{PP}(M)$ ?



Perfect phylogeny Perfect phylogeny  $\chi_{\mathrm{PP}}(M)=2.$ 

#### Bad news about pp-partitions of haplotype matrices.

#### **Theorem**

Finding optimal pp-partition of haplotype matrices is equivalent to finding optimal graph colorings.

#### Proof sketch for first direction.

- 1 Let G be a graph.
- 2 Build a matrix with a column for each vertex of G.
- For each edge of G add four rows inducing the submatrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .
- 4 The submatrix enforces that the columns lie in different perfect phylogenies.

#### Implications for pp-partitions of haplotype matrices.

#### Corollary

If  $\chi_{\rm PP}(M)=2$  for a haplotype matrix M, we can find an optimal pp-partition in polynomial time.

#### Corollary

Computing  $\chi_{\rm PP}$  for haplotype matrices is

- NP-hard,
- $\blacksquare$  not fixed-parameter tractable, unless P = NP,
- very hard to approximate.

#### Finding pp-partitions of genotype matrices.

#### Now comes the general case:

- $\blacksquare$  The inputs M are genotype matrices.
- $\blacksquare$  The inputs M do not allow a perfect phylogeny.
- What is  $\chi_{PP}(M)$ ?

#### Example

No perfect phylogeny is possible.

#### Finding pp-partitions of genotype matrices.

#### Now comes the general case:

- $\blacksquare$  The inputs M are genotype matrices.
- $\blacksquare$  The inputs M do not allow a perfect phylogeny.
- What is  $\chi_{PP}(M)$ ?

Example



Perfect phylogeny Perfect phylogeny  $\chi_{PP}(M)=2.$ 

Bad news about pp-partitions of haplotype matrices.

#### **Theorem**

Finding optimal pp-partition of genotype matrices is at least as hard as finding optimal colorings of 3-uniform hypergraphs.

#### Proof sketch.

- 1 Let G be a 3-uniform hypergraph.
- 2 Build a matrix with a column for each vertex of G.
- For each hyperedge of G add four rows inducing the submatrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .
- The submatrix enforces that the three columns do not all lie in the same perfect phylogeny.

Implications for pp-partitions of genotype matrices.

### Corollary

Even if we know  $\chi_{PP}(M) = 2$  for a genotype matrix M, finding a pp-partition of any fixed size is still

- NP-hard,
- $\blacksquare$  not fixed-parameter tractable, unless P = NP,
- very hard to approximate.

#### Automatic optimal pp-partitioning is hopeless, but...

- The hardness results are worst-case results for highly artificial inputs.
- Real biological data might have special properties that make the problem tractable.
- One such property is that perfect phylogenies are often perfect path phylogenies: In HapMap data, in 70% of the blocks where a perfect phylogeny is possible a perfect path phylogeny is also possible.

#### Example of a perfect path phylogeny.

H


#### Genotype matrix

	А	D	C
	2	2	2
G:	0	2	0
	2	0	0
	Λ	2	2

### Haplotype matrix

	Α	В	С
	1	0	0
	0	1	1
	0	0	0
:	0	1	0
	0	0	0
	1	0	0
	0	0	0
	0	1	1

#### Perfect path phylogeny



#### The modified formal computational problem.

We are interested in the computational complexity of the function  $\chi_{PPP}$ :

- It gets genotype matrices as input.
- It maps them to a number k.
- This number is minimal such that the sites can be covered by k sets, each admitting a perfect path phylogeny. (We call this a ppp-partition.)

#### Good news about ppp-partitions of genotype matrices.

#### **Theorem**

Optimal ppp-partitions of genotype matrices can be computed in polynomial time.

#### Algorithm

- Build the following partial order:
  - Can one column be above the other in a phylogeny?
  - Can the columns be the two children of the root of a perfect path phylogeny?
- Cover the partial order with as few compatible chain pairs as possible.
  - For this, a maximal matching in a special graph needs to be computed.

► The algorithm in action

#### **Summary**


- Finding optimal pp-partitions is intractable.
- It is even intractable to find a pp-partition when just two noncontiguous blocks are known to suffice.
- For perfect path phylogenies, optimal partitions can be computed in polynomial time.

# The algorithm in action. Computation of the partial order.

### Genotype matrix

	Α	В	С	D	Е	
	2	2	2	2	2	
G:	0	1	2	1	0	
	1	0	0	1	2	
	0	2	2	0	0	

#### Partial order




Partial order: →

# The algorithm in action. Computation of the partial order.

#### Genotype matrix

	Α	В	С	D	Е	
	2	2	2	2	2	
G:	0	1	2	1	0	
	1	0	0	1	2	
	0	2	2	0	0	


#### Partial order



Partial order: →


Compatible as children of root: —

# The algorithm in action. The matching in the special graph.



◆ Return

# The algorithm in action. The matching in the special graph.




A maximal matching in the matching graph

Return
 Re

## The algorithm in action.

The matching in the special graph.



A maximal matching in the matching graph induces perfect path phylogenies.

**4** Beturn