Applicative Functors with Strings

Shunsuke Sogame

April 8, 2016

1 Introduction

We will show how applicative functors are depicted in *string diagrams*. Don't trust my poor mathematics. Any correction is welcome at github.com/okomok/strcat.

2 String Diagrams

We introduce *string diagrams*, which are useful for category theory. Don't be afraid. A string diagram in this document is just a kind of expression trees.

2.1 Vertical Composition

First we define how to join strings.

Definition 2.1 A type a is depicted as a string:

$$\Big|a$$

Type names are often omitted.

Definition 2.2 A function is depicted as a node:

$$\boxed{f} := f :: a \rightarrow b$$

Definition 2.3 An identity function is indistinguishable from a type:

$$\Big|a := \boxed{\texttt{id}}$$

Definition 2.4 (Vertical Composition) The function composition joins strings:

$$\begin{array}{c}\fbox{g} \\ \fbox{f}\end{array} := \fbox{$g\,.\,f$}$$

One can check that any diagram built upon these definitions has no ambiguity due to the famous laws:

$$h\,.\,(g\,.\,f) = (h\,.\,g)\,.\,f$$
$$\texttt{id}\,.\,f = f$$
$$g\,.\,\texttt{id} = g$$

Definition 2.5 (Value) Strings for the unit type `()` can be omitted so that a value $x :: a$ is represented as

$$\fbox{x}$$

For example, a function application $f\,x$ is depicted as

$$\begin{array}{c}\fbox{f}\\ \fbox{x}\end{array}$$

2.2 Functors

Definition 2.6 (Functional Box) Given a function $k :: (a \mathrel{-\!\!>} b) \mathrel{-\!\!>} (a' \mathrel{-\!\!>} b')$, an application $k\,h$ can be depicted as a *box*:

$$k\;\boxed{\fbox{h}}$$

rather than

$$\begin{array}{c}\fbox{k}\\ \fbox{h}\end{array}$$

2

Definition 2.7 (Functorial Tube) Given a `Functor` f, an application of `fmap` can be depicted as a *tube* defined by

$$f \; \boxed{h} \; := \; \text{fmap} \; \boxed{h}$$

Tube names are often omitted.

The functor laws state that "tube then join" equals to "join then tube" so that any diagram like

$$\boxed{h} \quad \boxed{g}$$

has no ambiguity.

2.3 Horizontal Composition

We will make string diagrams two-dimensional, equipped with the *horizontal composition*.

Definition 2.8 Parallel strings are pairs.

$$\Big|a_1 \; \Big|a_2 \; := \; \Big|(a_1,a_2)$$

Owing to the trivial bijections

- $(a_1,(a_2,a_3)) \cong ((a_1,a_2),a_3)$

- $(a,()) \cong a \cong ((),a)$

any tuple

$$\Big|a_1 \; \Big|a_2 \; \Big|a_3 \ldots \Big|a_n$$

is uniquely determined.

3

Remark 2.9 Of course these bijections must be explicitly inserted to your haskell code.

Definition 2.10 (Horizontal Composition) Parallel nodes are defined by

$$\boxed{f_1}\,\boxed{f_2} := \backslash (a_1, a_2) \texttt{->} (f_1 \, a_1, f_2 \, a_2)$$

With these definitions, it is easy to check that:

Proposition 2.11 (Sliding)

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\raisebox{0pt}{\includegraphics} & = & \raisebox{0pt}{\includegraphics}
\end{array}
$$

2.4 Currying

Definition 2.12 (Band) A special string for function types, a *band* is defined by

$$b \,\|\, a := \Big| a \texttt{->} b$$

Notice that the order of types is flipped. So we often write $b \leftarrow a$ as $a \texttt{->} b$.

Definition 2.13 (Currying) With bands, currying is represented by

$$\boxed{f} \quad \sim \quad \boxed{f}$$

$$f \mapsto \backslash a \texttt{->} \backslash b \texttt{->} f(a, b)$$
$$\backslash (a, b) \texttt{->} f\, a\, b \leftarrow\!\!\mapsto f$$

We don't distinguish these two diagrams, because "move the right-side leg up and down" works correct in any form of diagrams.

The following definitions make bands cute.

Definition 2.14 (Function Composition)

$$
\raisebox{0pt}{\includegraphics} := \raisebox{0pt}{\includegraphics}
$$

or you can use a *fat* form

$$c \qquad \cap b \qquad a$$

Definition 2.15 (Identity Function)

$$\|_a := {}_a\|\|_a^{\boxed{\text{id}}} =: \boxed{\Big|_a}$$

The following propositions are immediate.

Proposition 2.16 (Unitality)

$$\overset{b\ a}{\overset{}{\bigcap_a}} = b\|\|a = \overset{b\ a}{\overset{}{\bigcap}}_b$$

Proposition 2.17 (Associativity)

$$\overset{d\ a}{\bigcap\!\!\bigcap}_c^{\,b} = \overset{d\ a}{\bigcap}^c\!\!\bigcap_b$$

to which we assign

$$\overset{d\ a}{\bigcap}_{c\ b}$$

A band that has more forks is similarly defined. The equations for fat forms are left as an exercise.

For later use, we rephrase the two famous operators.

Definition 2.18 (Apply Operator)

$$\overset{b\ a}{\underset{b\ a}{\boxed{\$}}} := b\|\|a$$

5

Definition 2.19 (Comma Operator)

$$\boxed{,}\;(a_1, a_2) := a_1 \quad a_2$$

One can check immediately:

Proposition 2.20

$$\$ \circ f = f$$

3 Applicative Functors

3.1 The Definition

Using diagrams, an `Applicative` f consists of

1. `Functor` f

2. `pure`

3. `<*>`

satisfying the following laws, which we can never understand,

- `<*> ∘ pure = id`

- `<*> ∘ (pure, pure) = pure ∘ h`

Remark 3.1 The last law is redundant in case the *free theorem*[15] enabled.

3.2   Lax Functors

To depict applicative functors cuter, we represent an applicative functor as a fork-able tube, which is called a *lax functor* in category theory.

Definition 3.2

7

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} a \sqcap b \end{array}\right] := \left[\begin{array}{cc} \boxed{\texttt{<*>}} \\ (a,b) \mid b \\ \boxed{,} \\ a \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} a \quad b \\ \\ b \end{array}\right]$$

Under the applicative functor laws, one can check the following propositions that justify these pictures.

Proposition 3.3 (Naturality)

$$\left[\boxed{h} \quad \boxed{k}\right] = \left[\boxed{h} \quad \boxed{k}\right]$$

Proposition 3.4 (Unitality)

$$\left[\right] = \left[\right] = \left[\right]$$

Proposition 3.5 (Associativity)

$$\left[\right] = \left[\right]$$

to which we assign

$$\left[\right]$$

A tube that has more forks can be similarly defined without ambiguity.

Remark 3.6 In our diagrams, cutoff lines are preferred to parentheses.

Finally one can find our goal:

Proposition 3.7

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} a \\ \boxed{\texttt{pure}} \\ a \\ \boxed{a} \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} a \\ \boxed{a} \end{array}\right]$$

Thanks to these diagrams, you can immediately prove:

Proposition 3.8 (Lift)

$$= h \texttt{<\$>} x \texttt{<*>} y$$

References

[1] Jiri Adamek, Horst Herrlich, and George E Strecker. *Abstract and Concrete Categories: The Joy of Cats (Dover Books on Mathematics)*. Dover Publications, 8 2009.

[2] Steve Awodey. *Category Theory (Oxford Logic Guides)*. Oxford University Press, 2 edition, 8 2010.

[3] John Baez. Classical vs quantum computation (week 5). `https://golem.ph.utexas.edu/category/2006/11/classical_vs_quantum_computati_5.html`, 2006.

[4] Francis Borceux. *Handbook of Categorical Algebra: Volume 1, Basic Category Theory (Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications)*. Cambridge University Press, 1 edition, 4 2008.

[5] John Bourke and Micah Blake McCurdy. Frobenius morphisms of bicategories, 2009.

[6] Ralf Hinze. Kan extensions for program optimisation or: Art and dan explain an old trick. In *Mathematics of Program Construction*, pages 324–362. Springer, 2012.

[7] Max Kelly. *Basic Concepts of Enriched Category Theory (London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series)*. Cambridge University Press, 4 1982.

[8] Aleks Kissinger. Pictures of processes: automated graph rewriting for monoidal categories and applications to quantum computing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1203.0202*, 2012.

[9] Saunders Mac Lane. *Categories for the Working Mathematician (Graduate Texts in Mathematics)*. Springer, 2nd ed. 1978. softcover reprint of the original 2nd ed. 1978 edition, 11 2010.

[10] Dan Marsden. Category theory using string diagrams. *CoRR*, abs/1401.7220, 2014.

[11] Micah Blake McCurdy. Strings and stripes, graphical calculus for monoidal functors and monads, 2010.

[12] Paul-André Mellies. Functorial boxes in string diagrams. In *Computer science logic*, pages 1–30. Springer, 2006.

[13] Peter Selinger. A survey of graphical languages for monoidal categories. In *New structures for physics*, pages 289–355. Springer, 2010.

[14] Daniele Turi. Category theory lecture notes. *Laboratory for Foundations of Computer Science, University of Edinburgh*, 2001.

[15] Philip Wadler. Theorems for free! In *Proceedings of the fourth international conference on Functional programming languages and computer architecture*, pages 347–359. ACM, 1989.