

6.6 Prove that if V is a real inner-product space, then

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \frac{\|u + v\|^2 - \|u - v\|^2}{4}$$

for all $u, v \in V$.

Proof. Let V be a real inner-product space and let $u, v \in V$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|u + v\|^2 - \|u - v\|^2}{4} &= \frac{\langle u + v, u + v \rangle - \langle u - v, u - v \rangle}{4} \\ &= \frac{\langle u, u + v \rangle + \langle v, u + v \rangle - \langle u, u - v \rangle - \langle -v, u - v \rangle}{4} \\ &= \frac{\langle \overline{u + v}, u \rangle + \langle \overline{u + v}, v \rangle - \langle \overline{u - v}, u \rangle + \langle v, u - v \rangle}{4} \\ &= \frac{\langle u + v, u \rangle + \langle u + v, v \rangle - \langle u - v, u \rangle + \langle v, u - v \rangle}{4} \\ &= \frac{\langle u, u \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle + \langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, v \rangle - \langle u, u \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle + \langle \overline{u - v}, v \rangle}{4} \\ &= \frac{\langle v, u \rangle + \langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, v \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle + \langle u - v, v \rangle}{4} \\ &= \frac{\langle v, u \rangle + \langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, v \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle + \langle u, v \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle}{4} \\ &= \frac{\langle v, u \rangle + \langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle + \langle u, v \rangle}{4} \\ &= \frac{\langle \overline{u}, v \rangle + \langle u, v \rangle + \langle \overline{u}, v \rangle + \langle u, v \rangle}{4} \\ &= \frac{\langle u, v \rangle + \langle u, v \rangle + \langle u, v \rangle + \langle u, v \rangle}{4} \\ &= \frac{4\langle u, v \rangle}{4} = \langle u, v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

6.10 On $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$, consider the inner product given by

$$\langle p, q \rangle = \int_0^1 p(x)q(x)dx.$$

Apply the Gram-Schmidt procedure to the basis $(1, x, x^2)$ to produce an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Solution. We want to construct an orthonormal basis (e_1, e_2, e_3) for $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$; so applying

the Gram-Schmidt procedure to the basis $(1, x, x^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= \frac{1}{\|1\|} \\ e_2 &= \frac{x - \langle x, e_1 \rangle e_1}{\|x - \langle x, e_1 \rangle e_1\|} \\ e_3 &= \frac{x^2 - \langle x^2, e_1 \rangle e_1 - \langle x^2, e_2 \rangle e_2}{\|x^2 - \langle x^2, e_1 \rangle e_1 - \langle x^2, e_2 \rangle e_2\|}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\|1\| = \sqrt{\langle 1, 1 \rangle} = \sqrt{\int_0^1 1 \, dx} = \sqrt{1} = 1,$$

so that $e_1 = 1$. Now

$$\langle x, e_1 \rangle = \int_0^1 x \, dx = \frac{1}{2},$$

and

$$\left\| x - \frac{1}{2} \right\| = \sqrt{\int_0^1 \left(x - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \, dx} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}.$$

$$\text{Thus } e_2 = \left(x - \frac{1}{2} \right) \cdot \frac{6}{\sqrt{3}} = 2x\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{3}.$$

Similarly we find that

$$\langle x^2, e_1 \rangle e_1 = \int_0^1 x^2 \, dx = \frac{1}{3},$$

and

$$x^2 - \langle x^2, e_2 \rangle e_2 = x^2 - \left(\int_0^1 (2x^3\sqrt{3} - x^2\sqrt{3}) \, dx \right) (2x\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{3}) = x^2 - x + \frac{1}{6},$$

so that

$$\left\| x^2 - x + \frac{1}{6} \right\| = \sqrt{\int_0^1 \left(x^2 - x + \frac{1}{6} \right)^2 \, dx} = \frac{1}{6\sqrt{5}}.$$

$$\text{Thus } e_3 = \left(x^2 - x + \frac{1}{6} \right) \cdot 6\sqrt{5} = 6x^2\sqrt{5} - 6x\sqrt{5} + \sqrt{5}.$$

Thus an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is

$$(1, 2x\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{3}, 6x^2\sqrt{5} - 6x\sqrt{5} + \sqrt{5}).$$

6.13 Suppose (e_1, \dots, e_m) is an orthonormal list of vectors in V . Let $v \in V$. Prove that

$$\|v\|^2 = |\langle v, e_1 \rangle|^2 + \dots + |\langle v, e_m \rangle|^2$$

if and only if $v \in \text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_m)$.

Proof. Suppose (e_1, \dots, e_m) is an orthonormal list of vectors in V and let $v \in V$.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that $v \in \text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_m)$. Therefore $v = a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_m e_m$ for some scalars a_1, \dots, a_m . By the orthonormality of (e_1, \dots, e_m) , it follows that $\langle v, e_j \rangle = a_j$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, so we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|^2 &= \|a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_m e_m\|^2 \\ &= \|\langle v, e_1 \rangle e_1 + \dots + \langle v, e_m \rangle e_m\|^2 \\ &= |\langle v, e_1 \rangle|^2 + \dots + |\langle v, e_m \rangle|^2 \end{aligned} \quad [\text{Proposition 6.15}]$$

(\Rightarrow) Now assume that $\|v\|^2 = |\langle v, e_1 \rangle|^2 + \dots + |\langle v, e_m \rangle|^2$. Extend the orthonormal list (e_1, \dots, e_m) to an orthonormal basis $(e_1, \dots, e_m, e_{m+1}, \dots, e_{m+n})$ for V . Thus there exist scalars b_1, \dots, b_{m+n} such that $v = b_1 e_1 + \dots + b_{m+n} e_{m+n}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |b_1|^2 + \dots + |b_m|^2 &= |\langle v, e_1 \rangle|^2 + \dots + |\langle v, e_m \rangle|^2 \\ &= \|v\|^2 \\ &= \|b_1 e_1 + \dots + b_{m+n} e_{m+n}\|^2 \\ &= |b_1|^2 + \dots + |b_{m+n}|^2 \quad [\text{Proposition 6.15}] \\ &= |b_1|^2 + \dots + |b_m|^2 + |b_{m+1}|^2 + \dots + |b_{m+n}|^2, \end{aligned}$$

so that $|b_{m+1}|^2 + \dots + |b_{m+n}|^2 = 0$. Since $|b_{m+i}|$ is nonnegative for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, it follows that $b_{m+1} = \dots = b_{m+n} = 0$, so that $v = b_1 e_1 + \dots + b_m e_m$. That is

$$v \in \text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_m).$$

□

6.17 Prove that if $P \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is such that $P^2 = P$ and every vector in null P is orthogonal to every vector in range P , then P is an orthogonal projection.

Proof. Suppose $P \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ such that $P^2 = P$. Also suppose that every vector in null P is orthogonal to every vector in range P . First we want to show that $V = \text{range } P \oplus \text{null } P$. Let $v \in \text{range } P \cap \text{null } P$. By our hypothesis, we must have that $\langle v, v \rangle = 0$, so that $v = 0$; i.e., $\text{range } P \cap \text{null } P = \{0\}$. Now let $\dim \text{range } P = m$ and let $\dim \text{null } P = n$. Then there exist a basis (b_1, \dots, b_m) for range P and a basis (e_1, \dots, e_n) for null P . We claim that the list $(b_1, \dots, b_m, e_1, \dots, e_n)$ is linearly independent. So consider the equation

$$\alpha_1 b_1 + \dots + \alpha_m b_m + \alpha_{m+1} e_1 + \dots + \alpha_{m+n} e_n = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\alpha_1 b_1 + \dots + \alpha_m b_m = \alpha_{m+1} e_1 + \dots + \alpha_{m+n} e_n$$

and since $\text{range } P \cap \text{null } P = \{0\}$, it must be the case that

$$\alpha_1 b_1 + \dots + \alpha_m b_m = \alpha_{m+1} e_1 + \dots + \alpha_{m+n} e_n = 0,$$

so that by the linear independence of (b_1, \dots, b_m) and (e_1, \dots, e_n) , we must have that

$$\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_m = \alpha_{m+1} = \dots = \alpha_{m+n} = 0.$$

By the Rank-Nullity Theorem, we have that $\dim V = m + n$. Thus the list $(b_1, \dots, b_m, e_1, \dots, e_n)$ forms a basis for V , so that $V = \text{range } P + \text{null } P$. We have thus shown that $V = \text{range } P \oplus \text{null } P$. To complete the proof, we now want to show that $P = P_{\text{range } P}$. Let $v \in V$. Then we can write $v = r + n$ for unique $r \in \text{range } P$ and $n \in \text{null } P$. To show that $P = P_{\text{range } P}$, it suffices to show that $Pv = r$. Now we have that $r - P(r) \in \text{null } P$ because

$$P(r - P(r)) = P(r) - P(P(r)) = P(r) - P(r) = 0.$$

Notice that $r = P(r) + (r - P(r))$, where $P(r) \in \text{range } P$ and $r - P(r) \in \text{null } P$. But $r = r + 0$, so that $r = P(r)$ by the uniqueness of decomposition in direct sums. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} P(v) &= P(r + n) \\ &= P(r) + P(n) \\ &= P(r) + 0 \\ &= P(r) = r, \end{aligned}$$

which is what we wanted to show. \square

- 6.29 Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$ and U is a subspace of V . Prove that U is invariant under T if and only if U^\perp is invariant under T^* .

Proof. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$ and U is a subspace of V .

(\Rightarrow) Assume that U is invariant under T . Let $v \in U^\perp$. In order to show that U^\perp is invariant under T^* , it suffices to show that $T^*v \in U^\perp$. That is, we must show that $\langle u, T^*v \rangle = 0$ for all $u \in U$. So let $u \in U$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, T^*v \rangle &= \langle Tu, v \rangle && \text{[Definition]} \\ &= 0 && [Tu \in U \text{ and } v \in U^\perp], \end{aligned}$$

which is what we wanted to show.

(\Leftarrow) Now assume that U^\perp is invariant under T^* . Let $u \in U$. We want to show that $Tu \in U$. Consider any $v \in U^\perp$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Tu, v \rangle &= \langle u, T^*v \rangle && \text{[Definition]} \\ &= 0 && [u \in U \text{ and } T^*v \in U^\perp], \end{aligned}$$

so that Tu is orthogonal to every vector in U^\perp . That is, $Tu \in (U^\perp)^\perp$; but $(U^\perp)^\perp = U$. Thus $Tu \in U$, so that U is invariant under T . \square