There Is No Largest Prime Number

Euclid of Alexandria euclid@alexandria.edu 27th International Symposium of Prime Numbers

There Is No Largest Prime Number The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

Suppose p were the largest prime number.

But q + 1 is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first p numbers.

There Is No Largest Prime Number The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

- Suppose p were the largest prime number.
- But q + 1 is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first p numbers.

There Is No Largest Prime Number The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

- Suppose p were the largest prime number.
- \square Let q be the product of the first p numbers.
- Then q+1 is not divisible by any of them.
- But q + 1 is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first p numbers.

A longer title

- one
- two