

SSD Project threat modeling

Current Risk Summary report

Wed Apr 23 2025 09:20:52 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)

Project description: No description

Filtered by: No filters

Unique ID: ssd-project-threat-modeling-1745397657250

Owner: Ahmed Amjad
Workflow state: Draft

Tags: No tags







Content menu

Current risk summary

Components

Accepted Risks

Current Risks

- API Gateway
- Browser
- Data pre-processing
- IDS (Intrusion Detection System)
- Learning algorithm
- Load Balancer
- MongoDB NoSQL
- OAuth2 Authorization Server
- Trained model



Current Risk summary

Inherent risk description: The Inherent Risk before countermeasures were applied.

• Risk Rating: 69% ^ High

The Current Risk description (the risk we are at now): The Current Risk is based on the current implementation status of the countermeasures and test results.

Risk Rating: 8% V

Projected Risk description: The Projected Risk is the level of risk that would be reached should the required countermeasures be implemented.

• Risk Rating: 8% V Low

Components

API Gateway

Model questionnaire information:

- Credit Card Data: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Customer Data: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Does this component handle personally identifiable information from citizens of the European Union? No
- Does this component have to be CCPA-compliant? Yes
- Does this component have to be CCPA-compliant? No
- Is API traffic continuously monitored and analyzed? Yes, it is implemented
- Is a token translation service implemented between distributed gateways? Yes, it is implemented
- Is access token generation enabled for client requests? Yes, it is implemented
- Is the API gateway integrated with an identity management application? Yes, it is implemented
- Is the system integrated with an identity provider (IdP)? Yes, it is implemented
- Personally Identifiable Information: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Protected Health Information: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Know
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Correct
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Limit
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Delete

Browser

Model questionnaire information

- Credit Card Data: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Customer Data: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- $\bullet \ \mathsf{Does} \ \mathsf{this} \ \mathsf{component} \ \mathsf{handle} \ \mathsf{personally} \ \mathsf{identifiable} \ \mathsf{information} \ \mathsf{from} \ \mathsf{citizens} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{European} \ \mathsf{Union?} \ \ \mathsf{No} \\$
- Does this component have to be CCPA-compliant? Yes
- Is encrypted communication currently in place and regularly updated on all client machines? Yes, it is implemented
- $\bullet \ \mathsf{ls} \ \mathsf{strict} \ \mathsf{certificate} \ \mathsf{validation} \ \mathsf{currently} \ \mathsf{active} \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathsf{updated} \ \mathsf{on} \ \mathsf{all} \ \mathsf{client} \ \mathsf{machines?} \ \ \mathsf{Yes}, \mathsf{it} \ \mathsf{is} \ \mathsf{implemented}$
- Is the URL filtering mechanism currently active and updated on all client machines? Yes, it is implemented
- Is the activation and regular update of built-in browser security filters currently in place? Yes, it is implemented
- Is the anti-phishing protection system currently active and continuously updated? Not sure
- Is the automatic browser update configuration currently in place? Yes, it is implemented
- Is the client-side script blocker currently implemented and updated regularly on all client machines? Yes, it is implemented
- Is the extension whitelisting policy in place and regularly updated? Yes, it is implemented
- Is the secure management of browser extensions currently active and updated on client machines? Yes, it is implemented
- Is the security hardening measures update and configuration applied on all client machines? Yes, it is implemented
- Personally Identifiable Information: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Protected Health Information: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Know
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Correct
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Delete

Data pre-processing

Model questionnaire information:

- Are measures to maintain data quality, integrity, and representativeness during data pre-processing currently implemented? Yes, it is implemented
- Are the identification and mitigating measures for bias in data currently implemented? Yes, it is implemented
- \bullet Credit Card Data: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Customer Data: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Does the system monitor the data pipeline for drift? Yes, it is implemented
- Does this component handle personally identifiable information from citizens of the European Union? No
- Does this component have to be CCPA-compliant? Yes
- Personally Identifiable Information: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Protected Health Information: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Know
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Correct
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Delete

• IDS (Intrusion Detection System)

Model guestionnaire information

- Credit Card Data: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Customer Data: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- $\bullet \ \mathsf{Does} \ \mathsf{this} \ \mathsf{component} \ \mathsf{handle} \ \mathsf{personally} \ \mathsf{identifiable} \ \mathsf{information} \ \mathsf{from} \ \mathsf{citizens} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{European} \ \mathsf{Union?} \ \ \mathsf{No} \ \mathsf{od} \$



- Does this component have to be CCPA-compliant? Yes
- Is the IDS configured to send alerts to a central location? Yes, it is implemented
- Is the Intrusion Detection System regularly updated? Yes, it is implemented
- Is there an out-of-band management channel for IDS in place? Yes, it is implemented
- Personally Identifiable Information: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Protected Health Information: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Know
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Correct
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Delete

Learning algorithm

Model questionnaire information:

- Credit Card Data: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Customer Data: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Does the system properly protect/restrict the model's parameters and hyperparameters? Yes, it is implemented
- Does this component handle personally identifiable information from citizens of the European Union? No
- Does this component have to be CCPA-compliant? Yes
- Have you carefully considered the model choice in your system? Yes, it is implemented
- Have you considered robust learning and defenses against poisoning attacks in your ML/AI system? Yes, it is implemented
- Have you reviewed the representational robustness of your modeling? Yes, it is implemented
- \bullet Personally Identifiable Information: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Protected Health Information: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Know
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Correct
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Delete

Load Balancer

Model questionnaire information:

- Are regular audits of Load Balancer configurations conducted to adhere to security best practices? Yes, it is implemented
- Credit Card Data: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Customer Data: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Does this component handle personally identifiable information from citizens of the European Union? No
- Does this component have to be CCPA-compliant? Yes
- Is DDoS protection service already integrated with your load balancer? Yes, it is implemented
- Is secure session handling through the load balancer currently implemented in your system? Yes, it is implemented
- Is the TLS encryption enforced for all traffic through the Load Balancer? Yes, it is implemented
- Is the monitoring system for tracking Load Balancer resource usage currently in place? Yes, it is implemented
- Personally Identifiable Information: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Protected Health Information: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- $\bullet \ \ \text{Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with?} \ \ \text{Right to Know}$
- $\bullet \ \ \text{Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with?} \ \ \text{Right to Correct}$
- $\bullet \ \ \text{Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with?} \ \ \text{Right to Delete} \\$

MongoDB NoSQL

Model questionnaire information:

- Credit Card Data: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Customer Data: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Does this component handle personally identifiable information from citizens of the European Union? No
- Does this component have to be CCPA-compliant? Yes
- Does this component have to be CCPA-compliant? No
- Is MongoDB protected by strong authentication and role-based access control implemented? Yes, it is implemented
- Is strict role management with audit logs in place for MongoDB? Yes, it is implemented
- Is the MongoDB configuration hardened and unnecessary features like HTTP interface or MongoDB shell disabled? Yes, it is implemented
- Is the MongoDB data encrypted both during transit and when stored? Yes, it is implemented
- Is the encryption and access limitation for MongoDB backups currently in place? Not sure
- Is the encryption and access limitation for MongoDB backups currently in place? Yes, it is implemented
- Is the input sanitization and use of parameterized queries for MongoDB implemented in your current project? Yes, it is implemented
- Is the rate limiting and connection pooling strategy for MongoDB currently in place? Yes, it is implemented
- Is the restrictive access and regular auditing process for MongoDB currently in place? Not sure
- Personally Identifiable Information: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Know
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Correct
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Delete

OAuth2 Authorization Server

Model questionnaire information

- Credit Card Data: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Customer Data: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Does this component handle personally identifiable information from citizens of the European Union? No
- Does this component have to be CCPA-compliant? Yes
- Is HTTPS and modern TLS enforced for secure communication in your system? Yes, it is implemented
- Is monitoring and logging of critical events currently set up in your OAuth2 Authorization Server? Yes, it is implemented
 Is the PKCE enforcement for public clients currently implemented in the security of OAuth2 Authorization Code Flow? Yes, it is implemented
- Is the high-entropy client secret protection and brute-force attack safeguard implemented in the OAuth2 Authorization Server? Yes, it is implemented
- Is the principle of least privilege enforced in scope management for OAuth2 Authorization Server configurations? Yes, it is implemented
- Is the process of using short-lived access tokens with refresh token rotation and token binding currently implemented? Yes, it is implemented



- Is the token validation as per OAuth2 Authorization Server regulations currently in place? Yes, it is implemented
- Is the validation of redirect URIs against a strict whitelist currently set up in the OAuth2 authorization process? Yes, it is implemented
- Personally Identifiable Information: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Protected Health Information: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Know
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Correct
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Delete

Trained model

Model questionnaire information:

- Credit Card Data: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- \bullet Customer Data: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Do you keep detailed documentation about the content used for training/fine-tuning models? Yes, it is implemented
- Does the modeling/application use privacy-preserving techniques and strategies? Not sure
- Does the modeling/application use privacy-preserving techniques and strategies? Yes, it is implemented
- Does this component handle personally identifiable information from citizens of the European Union? No
- Does this component have to be CCPA-compliant? Yes
- Is there a logging mechanism that records and securely manages all interactions with the model? Yes, it is implemented
- Is there a process in place for choosing the most appropriate algorithm for your use case/application? Yes, it is implemented
- Is there a process in place for maintaining detailed technical documentation about the model building and its usage? Yes, it is implemented
- Is there measures in place for protecting data and IP (Intellectual Property) when sharing or shipping models? Yes, it is implemented
- Is there measures in place to identify and avoid potentially trojanized models? Yes, it is implemented
- Personally Identifiable Information: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Protected Health Information: How is it handled by this component? Stored
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Know
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Correct
- Which of the following CCPA rights do you want this software component to be compliant with? Right to Delete



Tioo productions	Acce	pted	Risks
------------------	-------------	------	-------

No data



Current Risks

Component: API Gateway

CRT1. Threat name: Authentication bypass

• Inherent risk: ^ High

• Current risk:

✓ Very Low

• Projected risk:

Very Low

• State: Mitigate

. CR1. Countermeasure name: The API gateway should have a connector to an artifact that can generate an access token for the client request

Status: IMPLEMENTED

• CR2. Countermeasure name: Connectors should be provided for integrating with identity providers (IdPs)

• Status: IMPLEMENTED

• CR3. Countermeasure name: Integrate the API gateway with an identity management application

• Status: IMPLEMENTED

CR4. Countermeasure name: Distributed gateway deployments should have a token translation (exchange) service between gateways

Status: IMPLEMENTED

CRT2. Threat name: Exploitation of insufficient logging and monitoring

• Inherent risk: ^ High

• Current risk:

✓ Very Low

• Projected risk: ♥ Very Low

State: Mitigate

• CR5. Countermeasure name: Securely channel all traffic information to a monitoring and/or analytics application

• Status: IMPLEMENTED

Component: Browser

≪ Use case: Spoofing

CRT3. Threat name: Attackers conduct phishing attacks through deceptive websites

Inherent risk: ♠ Critical

• Current risk:

Medium

• Projected risk: = Medium

• State: Partly-Mitigated

CR6. Countermeasure name: Deploy anti-phishing protection

• Status: RECOMMENDED

CR7. Countermeasure name: Activate URL filtering mechanisms

• Status: IMPLEMENTED

 $\textbf{CRT4. Threat name:} \ \textbf{Attackers intercept browser communications through man-in-the-middle (MitM) attacks}$

• Current risk: Very Low

Projected risk:

Very Low

• State: Mitigate

CR8. Countermeasure name: Utilize encrypted communication tools

• Status: IMPLEMENTED

CR9. Countermeasure name: Enforce strict certificate validation

• Status: IMPLEMENTED

CRT5. Threat name: Attackers distribute malware through compromised browser extensions

• Current risk:

✓ Very Low

• **Projected risk:** ♥ Very Low

• State: Mitigate

CR10. Countermeasure name: Implement extension whitelisting policies

Status: IMPLEMENTED

CR11. Countermeasure name: Manage browser extensions securely

• Status: IMPLEMENTED

CRT6. Threat name: Attackers exploit browser vulnerabilities to execute malicious code

• Inherent risk: \wedge Critical

• Current risk: Very Low



- Projected risk:

 Very Low
- State: Mitigate
- CR12. Countermeasure name: Apply security hardening measures
- Status: IMPLEMENTED
- CR13. Countermeasure name: Configure automatic browser updates
- Status: IMPLEMENTED

og Use case: Information Disclosure

CRT7. Threat name: Attackers inject malicious scripts via cross-site scripting (XSS)

- Inherent risk: ^ High
- Current risk:

 ✓ Very Low
- State: Mitigate
- CR14. Countermeasure name: Activate built-in browser security filters
- Status: IMPLEMENTED
- CR15. Countermeasure name: Implement client-side script blockers
- Status: IMPLEMENTED

of Use case: CCPA Requirements

CRT8. Threat name: Client application does not offer any mechanism to let the user exercise their Right to Correct

- Inherent risk: = Medium
- Current risk: 🗖 Medium
- Projected risk: = Medium
- State: Expose
- CR16. Countermeasure name: Develop an online form that users can fill out to submit their request
- Status: RECOMMENDED

CRT9. Threat name: Client application does not offer any mechanism to let the user exercise their Right to Delete

- Inherent risk: = Medium
- Current risk:

 Medium
- Projected risk: = Medium
- State: Expose
- CR17. Countermeasure name: Develop an online form that users can fill out to submit their request
- Status: RECOMMENDED

CRT10. Threat name: Client application does not offer any mechanism to let the user exercise their Right to Know

- Inherent risk: = Medium
- Current risk:

 Medium
- Projected risk: = Medium
- State: Expose
- CR18. Countermeasure name: Inform the user about which data will be collected
- Status: RECOMMENDED
- CR19. Countermeasure name: Develop an online form that users can fill out to submit their request
- Status: RECOMMENDED

Component: Data pre-processing

CRT11. Threat name: Changing data distribution and properties will affect the performance of the future model

- Inherent risk: ^ High
- Current risk:

 ✓ Very Low
- Projected risk:

 ∀ Very Low
- State: Mitigate
- CR20. Countermeasure name: Monitor for data drift, especially for new data and future online models
- Status: IMPLEMENTED

CRT12. Threat name: Data encoding, normalization, filtering, feature selection, and annotation, may all introduce biases or affect the predictive and generalization qualities of the model

- Inherent risk: ♠ Critical
- Current risk:

 ✓ Very Low
- **Projected risk:** ♥ Very Low
- State: Mitigate
- CR21. Countermeasure name: Maintain data quality and integrity during data pre-processing
- Status: IMPLEMENTED
- CR22. Countermeasure name: Identify potential bias in the data
- Status: IMPLEMENTED

Component: IDS (Intrusion Detection System)



CRT13. Threat name: Accessing functionality not properly constrained by ACLs • Inherent risk: ^ High • Current risk: ■ Very Low • Projected risk: Very Low • State: Mitigate CR23. Countermeasure name: Use an out-of-band management connection for IDS • Status: IMPLEMENTED og Use case: Information Disclosure CRT14. Threat name: Attackers gain access to the system and are not detected • Inherent risk: ^ High • Current risk: Very Low • Projected risk: ¥ Very Low • State: Mitigate • CR24. Countermeasure name: Configure the IDS to send alerts to a central location Status: IMPLEMENTED CRT15. Threat name: Attackers gain access to unauthorised data by exploiting vulnerabilities in the service • Inherent risk: ^ High Current risk: ✓ Very Low • Projected risk: ♥ Very Low • State: Mitigate CR25. Countermeasure name: Update IDS regularly Status: IMPLEMENTED Component: Learning algorithm ≪ Use case: Information Disclosure CRT16. Threat name: Adversaries may exploit algorithmic leakage or data representation issues to extract data or attack the model • Current risk: Very Low • Projected risk: Very Low • State: Mitigate • CR26. Countermeasure name: Carefully consider the model choice Status: IMPLEMENTED

≪ Use case: Tampering

CRT17. Threat name: An adversary may be able to manipulate an online learning system

CR27. Countermeasure name: Review the representation robustness

• Inherent risk: ♠ Critical

Status: IMPLEMENTED

- Current risk: Very Low
- **Projected risk:** ♥ Very Low
- State: Mitigate
- CR28. Countermeasure name: Consider robust learning and defenses against poisoning attacks for online models
- Status: IMPLEMENTED

CRT18. Threat name: An adversary may be able to manipulate model parameters or hyperparameters

- Inherent risk: ♠ Critical
- Current risk:

 ✓ Very Low
- **Projected risk:** ♥ Very Low
- State: Mitigate
- CR29. Countermeasure name: Perform sensitivity analyses and secure/restrict the set of model parameters and hyperparameters
- Status: IMPLEMENTED

Component: Load Balancer

∘ **Use case:** Spoofing

CRT19. Threat name: Attackers can hijack sessions by gaining unauthorized access to a user's active session

- Inherent risk: ♠ Critical
- Current risk: 💆 Very Low
- Projected risk: \forall Very Low
- State: Mitigate
- CR30. Countermeasure name: Implement secure session handling to prevent session hijacking through the Load Balancer
- Status: IMPLEMENTED
- « Use case: Information Disclosure



• Projected risk: Very Low • State: Mitigate • CR31. Countermeasure name: Enforce TLS encryption for all traffic through the Load Balancer to prevent interception • Status: IMPLEMENTED CRT21. Threat name: Attackers exploit security misconfigurations • Inherent risk: ♠ Critical Current risk: ✓ Very Low • Projected risk: Very Low • State: Mitigate CR32. Countermeasure name: Conduct regular audits of Load Balancer configurations to ensure adherence to security best practices Status: IMPLEMENTED of Use case: Denial of Service CRT22. Threat name: Attackers use DDoS attacks to overwhelm the Load Balancer • Inherent risk: ^ High Current risk: ✓ Very Low • Projected risk: ♥ Very Low • State: Mitigate • CR33. Countermeasure name: Implement DDoS protection services to safeguard Load Balancers against attacks CRT23. Threat name: Attackers use resource exhaustion tactics to overwhelm the Load Balancer • Inherent risk: ^ High • Current risk: Very Low • Projected risk: Very Low • State: Mitigate . CR34. Countermeasure name: Implement monitoring tools to track Load Balancer resource usage and prevent exhaustion • Status: IMPLEMENTED Component: MongoDB NoSQL ≪ Use case: Information Disclosure CRT24. Threat name: Attackers can access unsecured backups • Inherent risk: ^ High • Current risk: ✓ Very Low • Projected risk: ♥ Very Low • State: Mitigate CR35. Countermeasure name: Encrypt backups and limit backup access • Status: IMPLEMENTED CRT25. Threat name: Attackers can intercept unencrypted data Inherent risk: Critical • Current risk: ✓ Very Low Projected risk: Very Low • State: Mitigate CR36. Countermeasure name: Enable encryption for data in transit and at rest • Status: IMPLEMENTED og Use case: Elevation of Privilege CRT26. Threat name: Attackers can exploit default configurations • Current risk: ✓ Very Low • Projected risk: Very Low • State: Mitigate • CR37. Countermeasure name: Harden configuration and disable unused features • Status: IMPLEMENTED CRT27. Threat name: Attackers can gain unauthorized access • Inherent risk: ^ High • Current risk: ✓ Very Low • Projected risk: Very Low • State: Mitigate CR38. Countermeasure name: Implement strong authentication and RBAC • Status: IMPLEMENTED CRT28. Threat name: Malicious users can escalate privileges Inherent risk: = Medium

CRT20. Threat name: Attackers can intercept traffic through Load Balancer vulnerabilities

Inherent risk: ^ HighCurrent risk: Very Low



- Current risk: Very Low
- Projected risk: ♥ Very Low
- State: Mitigate
- CR39. Countermeasure name: Enforce strict role management and audit logs
- Status: IMPLEMENTED

CRT29. Threat name: Attackers can overload the database with DoS attacks

- Inherent risk: ^ High
- Current risk: Very Low
- Projected risk: ♥ Very Low
- State: Mitigate
- CR40. Countermeasure name: Use rate limiting and connection pooling
- Status: IMPLEMENTED

of Use case: Tampering

CRT30. Threat name: Attackers take advantage of injection vulnerabilities

- Inherent risk: ^ High
- Current risk: Very Low
- Projected risk:

 ✓ Very Low
- State: Mitigate
- CR41. Countermeasure name: Sanitize inputs and use parameterized queries
- Status: IMPLEMENTED

CRT31. Threat name: Insider threats can compromise data integrity

- Inherent risk: ^ High
- Current risk: 🔼 High
- Projected risk: ^ High
- State: Expose
- CR42. Countermeasure name: Restrict access and conduct regular audits
- Status: RECOMMENDED

Component: OAuth2 Authorization Server

≪ Use case: Repudiation

CRT32. Threat name: Attackers bypass detection due to lack of logging and monitoring

- Inherent risk: Medium
- Current risk: Very Low
- Projected risk: \forall Very Low
- State: Mitigate
- CR43. Countermeasure name: Log key actions and monitor for unusual activity
- Status: IMPLEMENTED

√§ Use case: Tampering

CRT33. Threat name: Attackers exploit insufficient token validation

- Inherent risk: ^ High
- Current risk:

 ✓ Very Low
- Projected risk:

 Very Low
- State: Mitigate
- CR44. Countermeasure name: Validate tokens by verifying signature, claims, and expiration
- Status: IMPLEMENTED

∘**§ Use case:** Information Disclosure

CRT34. Threat name: Attackers exploit open redirects

- Inherent risk: = Medium
- Current risk: Very Low
- Projected risk:

 Very Low
- State: Mitigate
- CR45. Countermeasure name: Validate redirect URIs against a strict whitelist
- Status: IMPLEMENTED

CRT35. Threat name: Attackers intercept tokens over unencrypted communication channels

- Inherent risk: ^ High
- Current risk:

 ✓ Very Low
- Projected risk: \forall Very Low
- State: Mitigate
- CR46. Countermeasure name: Enforce HTTPS and modern TLS for secure communication



• Status: IMPLEMENTED

∘ **Use case:** Elevation of Privilege

CRT36. Threat name: Attackers exploit weak access policies

- Inherent risk:
 Critical
- Current risk:

 ✓ Very Low
- Projected risk:

 Very Low
- State: Mitigate
- CR47. Countermeasure name: Enforce principle of least privilege in scope management
- Status: IMPLEMENTED

∘ Use case: Spoofing

CRT37. Threat name: Attackers inject malicious authorization codes

- Inherent risk: ^ High
- Current risk:

 ✓ Very Low
- Projected risk: ♥ Very Low
- State: Mitigate
- CR48. Countermeasure name: Bind authorization codes to specific clients and enforce PKCE for public clients
- Status: IMPLEMENTED

CRT38. Threat name: Attackers perform brute force on client credentials

- Inherent risk: ♠ Critical
- Current risk:

 ✓ Very Low
- Projected risk:

 Very Low
- State: Mitigate
- CR49. Countermeasure name: Mandate high-entropy client secrets and protect against brute-force attacks
- Status: IMPLEMENTED

CRT39. Threat name: Attackers perform token replay attacks

- Inherent risk: ^ High
- Current risk: Very Low
- Projected risk: ♥ Very Low
- State: Mitigate
- CR50. Countermeasure name: Use short-lived access tokens with refresh token rotation and validate token binding
- Status: IMPLEMENTED

og Use case: CCPA Requirements

CRT40. Threat name: Server application does not offer any mechanism to let the user exercise their Right to Correct

- Inherent risk: = Medium
- Current risk: 📮 Medium
- Projected risk: = Medium
- State: Expose
- CR51. Countermeasure name: Develop a dashboard or reporting tool to track and monitor requests
- Status: RECOMMENDED

CRT41. Threat name: Server application does not offer any mechanism to let the user exercise their Right to Delete

- Inherent risk: = Medium
- Current risk: 🗖 Medium
- Projected risk: = Medium
- State: Expose
- CR52. Countermeasure name: Develop a dashboard or reporting tool to track and monitor requests
- Status: RECOMMENDED

CRT42. Threat name: Server application does not offer any mechanism to let the user exercise their Right to Know

- Inherent risk: = Medium
- Current risk:

 Medium
- Projected risk:

 Medium
- State: Expose
- CR53. Countermeasure name: Develop a dashboard or reporting tool to track and monitor requests
- Status: RECOMMENDED

Component: Trained model

∘ **g Use case:** Information Disclosure

CRT43. Threat name: An adversary may be able to reveal sensitive information available in the model or the data used to build it

- Current risk:

 ✓ Very Low
- Projected risk: \forall Very Low
- State: Mitigate



- CR54. Countermeasure name: Carefully consider the model choice
- Status: IMPLEMENTED
- CR55. Countermeasure name: Keep a history of gueries to the model
- Status: IMPLEMENTED
- CR56. Countermeasure name: Consider privacy-preserving techniques and strategies
- Status: IMPLEMENTED

■ Use case: Tampering

CRT44. Threat name: An adversary may take advantage of model sharing and/or transfer

- Inherent risk:
 Critical
- Current risk: 💆 Very Low
- Projected risk: ♥ Very Low
- State: Mitigate
- CR57. Countermeasure name: Consider possible trojanized versions when acquiring shared models
- Status: IMPLEMENTED
- CR58. Countermeasure name: Protect data and IP (Intellectual Property) when sharing or shipping models
- Status: IMPLEMENTED

∘ **Use case:** Repudiation

CRT45. Threat name: Lack of sufficient details about the model (algorithm), its architecture, or its data

- Inherent risk: ^ High
- Current risk: Very Low
- Projected risk:

 Very Low
- State: Mitigate
- CR59. Countermeasure name: Keep sufficient details and documentation about the content used for training/fine-tuning models
- Status: IMPLEMENTED
- CR60. Countermeasure name: Maintain sufficient technical documentation about the model building and usage
- Status: IMPLEMENTED



End of Current Risk Report

