# IEEE CEC Competition Report: A Fitness-assignment Method for Evolutionary Constrained Multi-objective Optimization

Oladayo S. Ajani <sup>1</sup>, Sri Srinivasa Raju M <sup>2</sup>, Rammohan Mallipeddi <sup>3</sup>

Abstract—This report presents  $I_{cSDE}^+$ , a single-population fitness-assignment-based algorithm designed for constrained multi-objective optimization. The method effectively integrates constraint violation, sum of objectives, and shift-based density estimation to guide the search toward feasible regions while preserving diversity and convergence.

#### I. THE PROPOSED METHOD

We present a constrained multi-objective evolutionary algorithm based on a novel fitness-assignment strategy, named  $I_{cSDE}^+$ . The algorithm balances constraint satisfaction, convergence, and diversity within a single-population framework. It integrates three core components: constraint violation (CV), sum of objectives (SOB), and shift-based density estimation (SDE). Solutions are ranked first by feasibility, then by normalized SOB, with SDE guiding toward sparse, feasible areas.

The fitness value  $I_{cSDE}^+(x)$  for a solution x is defined as [1]:

$$I_{SDE^{+}}^{c}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \min_{\boldsymbol{y} \in P_{SC}(\boldsymbol{x})} \left\| f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \hat{f}(\boldsymbol{y}) \right\| \tag{1}$$

where  $P_{SC}(\boldsymbol{x}) \subseteq P$  and  $\boldsymbol{y} \in P_{SC}(\boldsymbol{x})$  such that

$$\begin{cases} CV(\mathbf{y}) < CV(\mathbf{x}) \\ SOB(\mathbf{y}) < SOB(\mathbf{x}) & \text{if } CV(\mathbf{y}) = CV(\mathbf{x}) \end{cases}$$
 (2)

By setting CV of solutions to zero, which is the case in UMOPs, (1) degenerates into (3), and  $I_{SDE^+}^c$  can be viewed as a generalized version of  $I_{SDE^+}$  [2].

$$I_{SDE^{+}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \min_{\boldsymbol{y} \in P_{SOB}(\boldsymbol{x})} \left\| f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \hat{f}(\boldsymbol{y}) \right\|$$
(3)

where  $P_{SOB}(x) \subseteq P$  and  $y \in P_{SOB}(x)$  such that SOB(y) < SOB(x).

### A. Framework of of the proposed $I_{SDE^+}^c$ algorithm

Algorithm 1 presents the general structure of CMOEA with  $I^c_{SDE^+}$ . After the initial parameter setting (Line 1), starting with a uniformly initialized population (P) of size N (Line 2),  $I^c_{SDE^+}$  values are evaluated (Line 3). Until a predefined stopping criterion is met, operations such as mating selection, variation, fitness evaluation and environmental

selection are iterated (Lines 4-9). Finally, the population (*P*) is returned.

# **Algorithm 1:** Framework of $I^c_{SDE^+}$

```
1 Input: N
2 P \leftarrow InitializePopulation(N)
3 I_{SDE}^c \leftarrow FitnessEvaluation(P)
4 while not done do
5 P' \leftarrow MatingSelection(P, N, I_{SDE}^c)
// \text{Algorithm 2}
6 Q \leftarrow P \cup Variation(P', N)
7 I_{SDE}^c \leftarrow FitnessEvaluation(Q)
8 [P, I_{SDE}^c] \leftarrow EnvironmentalSelection(Q, N, I_{SDE}^c)
// \text{Algorithm 3}
9 end
10 Output: P
```

### **Algorithm 2:** $I_{SDE^+}^c$ based Mating Selection

```
1 Input: P, N, I_{SDE}^c

2 P \leftarrow \phi

3 while |P'| < N do

4 | select two individuals x and y randomly from P

5 | if I_{SDE+}^c(x) > I_{SDE+}^c(y) then

6 | P' \leftarrow P' \cup (x)

7 | else

8 | P' \leftarrow P' \cup (y)

9 | end

10 end

11 Output: P'
```

## **Algorithm 3:** $I_{SDE^+}^c$ based Environmental Selection

```
    Input: Q, N, I<sup>c</sup><sub>SDE</sub>+
    sort solutions in Q in descending order of I<sup>c</sup><sub>SDE</sub>+
    [P, I<sup>c</sup><sub>SDE</sub>+] ← N solutions with large fitness values are selected and ties are resolved randomly
    Output: P, I<sup>c</sup><sub>SDE</sub>+
```

As in Algorithm 2, during mating selection, based on  $I_{SDE^+}^c$  promising solutions from the immediate population are selected through binary tournament selection. In binary tournament selection, out of the two randomly selected solutions, the solution with highest  $I_{SDE^+}^c$ -based fitness value is preferred (lines 4–9). The solutions selected during mating selection are used to produce new solutions using the Differential Evolution (DE) operator and polynomial mutation. Finally, during environmental selection (Algorithm 3), N individuals with the highest  $I_{SDE^+}^c$ -based fitness values

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Oladayo S. Ajani is with the Department of Artificial Intelligence, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, South Korea. oladayoslomon@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Sri Srinivasa Raju M is with Sichuan University, Chengdu, China msrisrinivasaraju@qmail.com

 $<sup>^2</sup>Rammohan\ Mallipeddi$  is with the Department of Artificial Intelligence, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, South Korea. mallipeddi.ram@gmail.com

are picked from the union of the current population and offspring population produced through mating and variation operators.

#### REFERENCES

- O. S. Ajani, R. Mallipeddi *et al.*, "Icsde+–an indicator for constrained multi-objective optimization," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.18734*, 2023.
   T. Pamulapati, R. Mallipeddi, and P. N. Suganthan, "i<sub>sde</sub> +—an indicator for multi and many-objective optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 346–352, 2019.