

The generalized determinant and Fischer's inequality

by Horst Köhler, Thomas Streuer, Olaf Dreyer

1 Definition

We begin with the definition of the generalized determinant:

Definition 1 Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ ($\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, or \mathbb{C}). Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of A . Let $\lambda_i = 0$ for $n \geq i > r \geq 0$. Then we call the product of the nonzero eigenvalues of A ,

$$\det_+ A = \prod_{i=1}^r \lambda_i, \quad (1)$$

the generalized determinant of A . In the case of $r = 0$ we set $\det_+ A = 1$.

Remark 1 If A is nonsingular we have $\det_+ A = \det A$.

Remark 2 The generalized determinant does not share many of the properties with the usual determinant. While the determinant is a continuous function of A , the generalized determinant is not. This can be seen in the following simple example:

$$1 = \det_+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

$$\neq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \det_+ \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

$$= 0 \quad (4)$$

The determinant of a product is equal to the product of the determinants:

$$\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B) \quad (5)$$

This is not true for the generalized determinant:

$$\det_+ \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \right) = \det_+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

$$= 1 \quad (7)$$

$$\neq \det_+ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \det_+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

$$= ab \quad (9)$$

2 Basic properties

The generalized determinant does have some interesting properties though. For the following we will restrict ourselves to the case of a Hermitian matrix A . The following lemma will allow us to infer some properties of the generalized determinant through a limit argument:

Lemma 2.1 *Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be Hermitian with rank r . Then*

$$\det_+ A = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\det(A + \epsilon I_n)}{\epsilon^{n-r}} \quad (10)$$

Proof Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of A . Since the rank of A is r there are $n - r$ that are equal to zero. Let us assume that $\lambda_i > 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then we have

$$\det(A + \epsilon I_n) = \prod_i (\lambda_i + \epsilon) \quad (11)$$

$$= \epsilon^{n-r} \prod_{i=1}^r (\lambda_i + \epsilon) \quad (12)$$

Since the generalized determinant is the product of the nonzero eigenvalues we obtain the equality by canceling the ϵ^{n-r} and taking the limit. ■

Lemma 2.2 *We have the following properties (again, r is the rank of A):*

1. For $\rho \neq 0$ we have $\det_+(\rho A) = \rho^{n-r} \det_+ A$.
2. For $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $B \in M_m(\mathbb{C})$ we have

$$\det_+ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} = \det_+ A \det_+ B. \quad (13)$$

3. For any unitary U we have

$$\det_+ UAU^* = \det_+ A. \quad (14)$$

4. If $\mathcal{N}(A) = \{0\}$, i.e. if $\text{rank}(A) = n$ we have

$$\det_+ A = \det A. \quad (15)$$

3 Fischer's inequality

For a general Hermitian matrix

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{pmatrix} \in M_{n+m}(\mathbb{C}), \quad (16)$$

with $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $C \in M_m(\mathbb{C})$, we have

$$\det H \leq \det A \det C, \quad (17)$$

with equality if and only if $B = 0$.

Remark 3 This inequality is not true for the generalized determinant. Let

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & b \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

Then we have $\det_+ H = \det H = -1$, but

$$\det_+ 0 \det_+ b = b. \quad (19)$$

Choosing $b < -1$ gives a violation of the inequality for generalized determinants.

A similar inequality is valid if we further restrict the class of matrices that we are dealing with. We will show that Fischer's inequality is valid for positive semidefinite matrices. The key ingredient that makes this possible is that these matrices have the *row and column inclusion property*.

Definition 2 For every $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be partitioned as follows:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

with $A_{11} \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$. We say that A satisfies the *column inclusion property* if for all k we have $\text{range}(A_{12}) \subset \text{range}(A_{11})$. The matrix A has the *row inclusion property* if A^* has the column inclusion property.

Remark 4 The following statements are equivalent:

1. A has the column inclusion property.
2. If $\mathcal{N}(A)$ denotes the null space of the matrix A , then

$$\mathcal{N}(A_{11}^*) \subset \mathcal{N}(A_{12}^*). \quad (21)$$

3. Every column of A_{12} is a linear combination of the columns of A_{11} .
4. There is an $X \in M_{k,n-k}$ with $A_{12} = A_{11}X$.
5. $\text{rank}(A_{11}A_{12}) = \text{rank}(A_{11})$.

Remark 5 The matrix in the previous remark 3 is not positive semidefinite and it does not have the row inclusion property.

Lemma 3.1 *Every positive semidefinite matrix A has the row and column inclusion property.*

Proof Let A be

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{12}^* & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (22)$$

(note that because A is positive semidefinite it is also Hermitian). We will show that

$$\mathcal{N}(A_{11}) \subset \mathcal{N}(A_{12}^*). \quad (23)$$

(see remark 4). Let $v \in \mathcal{N}(A_{11})$. Then for $w = (v, 0)^T \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we have

$$w^*Aw = v^*A_{11}v = 0. \quad (24)$$

Since A is positive semidefinite this implies that

$$Av = (A_{11}v, A_{12}^*v)^T = 0, \quad (25)$$

or $A_{12}^*v = 0$. ■

Let us note the following consequence:

Lemma 3.2 *Let A be as above. Then we have*

$$\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} \\ A_{12}^* \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank}(A_{11}). \quad (26)$$

Proof Because A is positive semidefinite we have

$$\mathcal{N}(A_{11}) \subset \mathcal{N}(A_{12}^*). \quad (27)$$

We then obtain:

$$\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} \\ A_{12}^* \end{pmatrix} = k - \dim \mathcal{N} \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} \\ A_{12}^* \end{pmatrix} \quad (28)$$

$$= k - \dim(\mathcal{N}(A_{11}) \cap \mathcal{N}(A_{12}^*)) \quad (29)$$

$$= k - \dim(\mathcal{N}(A_{11})) \quad (30)$$

$$= \text{rank}(A_{11}). \quad (31)$$

To get to equation (30) we have used equation (27). ■

The same result holds for A_{22} and we obtain:

Lemma 3.3 *Let A be as above then*

$$\text{rank}(A) \leq \text{rank}(A_{11}) + \text{rank}(A_{12}). \quad (32)$$

Proof We have

$$\text{rank}(A) \leq \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{12}^* & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad (33)$$

$$= \text{rank}(A_{11}) + \text{rank}(A_{22}). \quad \blacksquare \quad (34)$$

From this we get the first version of the Fischer inequality:

Theorem 3.4 *Let $H \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a positive semidefinite matrix that is partitioned as follows:*

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{pmatrix}, \quad (35)$$

with $A \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$ and $1 \leq k < n$. Assume that

$$\text{rank}(H) = \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(C), \quad (36)$$

then

$$\det_+ H \leq \det_+ A \det_+ C \quad (37)$$

Proof Because of equation (46) we have

$$\det_+ H = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\det(H + \epsilon I_n)}{\epsilon^{n-\text{rank}(H)}} \quad (38)$$

$$\leq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\det(A_{11} + \epsilon I_k) \det(A_{22} + \epsilon I_{n-k})}{\epsilon^{n-\text{rank}(H)}} \quad (39)$$

$$= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\det(A_{11} + \epsilon I_k)}{\epsilon^{k-\text{rank}(A_{11})}} \cdot \frac{\det(A_{22} + \epsilon I_{n-k})}{\epsilon^{n-k-\text{rank}(A_{22})}} \quad (40)$$

$$= \det_+ A_{11} \det_+ A_{22}. \quad (41)$$

Which is the result. \blacksquare

Remark 6 A simple example illustrates the importance of the condition on the ranks. Let

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ a & a^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (42)$$

Then $\det H = 0$ and $\det_+ H = 1 + a^2$ (the two eigenvalues of H are 0 and $1 + a^2$ with eigenvectors $(a, -1)^T$ and $(1, a)^T$ respectively). For $a > 0$ we have

$$\det_+ 1 \det_+ a^2 = a^2. \quad (43)$$

H is Hermitian and positive semidefinite but Fischer's inequality does not hold for the generalized determinant. Note that

$$\text{rank}(H) = 1, \quad (44)$$

while $\text{rank}(1) + \text{rank}(a^2) = 2$.

4 Improvement

We now want to improve the theorem by dealing with case of equality:

Theorem 4.1 *Let H be Hermitian and positive semidefinite and let H be partitioned as follows:*

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{pmatrix}, \quad (45)$$

with $A \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$ and $1 \leq k < n$. Assume that

$$\text{rank}(H) = \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(C), \quad (46)$$

then

$$\det_+ H \leq \det_+ A \det_+ C, \quad (47)$$

with equality if and only if $B = 0$.

Proof Since A and C are Hermitian there are unitary matrices $U = U^* \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$ and $V = V^* \in M_{n-k}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$UAU^* = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_k \end{pmatrix} \quad (48)$$

$$VCV^* = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{n-k} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (49)$$

With

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} \quad (50)$$

we have

$$WHW^* = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & \lambda_k & & \\ & & & \mu_1 & \\ & VB^*U^* & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & \mu_{n-k} \end{pmatrix} \quad (51)$$

We now make use of the fact that WHW^* is positive semidefinite (since H is positive semidefinite). Let r_A be the rank of A and r_C be the rank of C . We assume that we have ordered the eigenvalues in such a way that

$$\lambda_i = 0, \text{ for } i > r_A, \quad (52)$$

and

$$\mu_j = 0, \text{ for } j > r_C. \quad (53)$$

Because WHW^* is positive semidefinite it follows that

$$(UBV^*)_{i,j} = 0, \text{ for } i > r_A \text{ or } j > r_C. \quad (54)$$

Note the condition here. It is sufficient that either $i > r_A$ or $j > r_C$ for $(UBV^*)_{i,j}$ to vanish (this follows from lemma 3.1).

We now concentrate on the elements of WHW^* that are not zero. Let us define the following set of indices

$$\iota = \{1, \dots, r_A, k+1, \dots, k+r_C\}, \quad (55)$$

and let us set $G = WHW^*$. We have just established that

$$G[\iota^c] = 0. \quad (56)$$

The remaining nonzero components are contained in $G[\iota]$. Because we are assuming that

$$\text{rank}(H) = \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(C), \quad (57)$$

and since

$$\text{rank}(G[\iota]) = \text{rank}(H), \quad (58)$$

$G[\iota]$ is a Hermitian positive definite matrix. Furthermore we have

$$\det_+ H = \det G[\iota] \quad (59)$$

$$\leq \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{r_A} \cdot \mu_1 \cdots \mu_{r_C} \quad (60)$$

$$= \det_+ A \det_+ C, \quad (61)$$

with equality if and only if the rest of UBV^* is zero. ■

Remark 7 Equation (54) is equivalent to $\mathcal{N}(C) \subset \mathcal{N}(B)$ and $\mathcal{N}(A) \subset \mathcal{N}(B^*)$. These inclusions were established in lemma 3.1.