

1a) $\bar{x} = \frac{2260}{28} \approx 80.7$ Median = middle value = 84.5
 $\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{N}} = 12.60$ Left skewed, higher concentration of scores in upper range.

b) $Q_1 = \text{Data}_{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)} = 70.5$
 $Q_3 = \text{Data}_{\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)} = 90.25$
 $IQR = Q_3 - Q_1 = 19.75$

c) No outliers in the data, based on IQR

2a) $P(\text{Under 25} | \text{Male}) = \frac{P(\text{Under 25 and Male})}{P(\text{Male})} = \frac{\# \text{ of Males under 25}}{\# \text{ of Males}}$
 $= 0.375 = 37.5\%$

b) $\frac{\# \text{ of Females} + \# \text{ over 40}}{\# \text{ of Females above 40}} = 0.72 - 72\%$

c) Probability of a shopper being a male under 25 doesn't align with the product of probabilities of being male and under 25.
 (Not independent)

3a) ~~1000 - 375 = 625~~

$Z = 1.28$

$IQ = \mu + 1.28 \times \sigma$
 $= 400 + 1.28 \times 80 = 502.4$

b) $Z(20^{\text{th}}) = -0.84$
 $Z(80^{\text{th}}) = 0.84$
 $= 400 - 0.84 \times 80 = 332.8 \rightarrow \text{lower bound}$
 $= 400 + 0.84 \times 80 = 467.2 \rightarrow \text{upper bound}$

c) $Z = \frac{375 - 400}{80} \rightarrow P(x > 375) = 1 - P(Z < -0.3125)$
 $= 62.3\%$

h

4) $n = 160$
 $k = 0 \text{ to } 155$ (number of passengers showing up)
 $p = 0.95$

$$P(X = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

$$P(X = 155) = \frac{160!}{155!5!} \cdot 0.95^{155} \cdot (0.05)^5$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 0.81\% \\ &\approx 0.9039 \\ &= 0.9039 \end{aligned}$$

$$E = 90.61\%$$

b) $E = 0.09$

$Z = 0.90$

$\sigma = \sqrt{p(1-p)}$

$$n = \left(\frac{Z \times \sigma}{E}\right)^2 = 84 \text{ observations}$$

5a) Mean of \hat{p} : $\mu_{\hat{p}} = p = 0.85$

Standard Deviation ($\sigma_{\hat{p}}$) of \hat{p} : $\sigma_{\hat{p}} = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}} = 0.016$

b) satisfied because both np and $n(1-p)$ are greater than 10

↓

$$500(0.85) \text{ and } 500(1 - 0.85) > 10$$

c) $P(\hat{p} \text{ lying between } 83\% \text{ and } 88\%)$
 $= 86.47\%$

d) Lower limit $\rightarrow 80.87\%$

Upper limit $\rightarrow 89.11\%$

4 6a)

The samples are not independent as they are paired measurements from the same adults before and after medication, establishing a dependency in the data.

b)

H_0 : Medication has no effect on glucose levels

H_1 : Medication decreases blood glucose levels.

Significance level (α) = 0.05

Mean Difference \bar{D} = mean of (Before - After) ≈ 1.84

$S_0 = \sigma$ of $(B - A) \approx 0.257$

t -statistic $t = \frac{\bar{D}}{S_D/\sqrt{n}} \approx 7.16$

Critical Value $t_{0.05, 9} \approx 2.26$

Since t -statistic is greater than the critical value, we reject H_0 . The data provides sufficient evidence that medication decreases blood glucose levels.

7a

$H_0: \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$

$H_1: \sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2$

$\alpha = 0.05$

$F = \frac{s_1^2}{s_2^2} = 4.74$ p-value ≈ 0.00053

b) $t = 2.22$

p-value ≈ 0.0266

We can reject the null hypothesis.

4 (b)

$H_0: \sigma^2$ is less than or equal to $0.64 \text{ mmol}^2/\text{L}$

$H_A: \sigma^2 > 0.64$

$\alpha = 0.05$

$$X^2 = \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma_0^2} \approx 2.26$$

Critical value ≈ 12.59

b) p-value ≈ 0.894

$0.894 > 0.05 \therefore$ we reject the null hypothesis.

There is Not enough evidence.

c) 90% confidence interval

$$CI = \left(\frac{(n-1)s^2}{X_{\alpha/2}^2}, \frac{(n-1)s^2}{X_{1-\alpha/2}^2} \right)$$

$$\approx (0.115, 0.886) \text{ mmol}^2/\text{L}$$