✓ **You finished this assignment**
Grade received 89.09%

Go to next item

Mid-term Coursework 1 submission [001]

☰ **Instructions**

---

1. Please upload your report for **PART 1** here. It should be a typed report in **PDF** format, approximately 500 words. Word count does not include source code extracts.

   10 / 10 points

   Part 1: Module coupling and cohesion
   [Part 1: Module coupling and cohesion](#)
   By 200312082

   Grading Rubric

Did they upload a report in PDF format?

◯ **0 points**	No

◉ **1 point**	Yes

Does the report contain a description of the purpose of the program and the technology it uses?

◯ **0 points**	No

◯ **0.5 points**	Yes but it is not very clear or has obvious problems.

◉ **1 point**	Yes and it is written clearly.

Does the report contain **module coupling example 1**: code extract and explanation

◯ **0 points**	No

◯ **1 point**	Yes but there are technical issues or it is not clear.

◉ **2 points**	Yes it seems technically correct and is written clearly.

Does the report contain **module coupling example 2**: code extract and explanation

◯ **0 points**	No

◯ **1 point**	Yes but there are technical issues or it is not clear.

◉ **2 points**	Yes it seems technically correct and is written clearly.

Does the report contain **module cohesion example 1**: code extract and explanation

◯ **0 points**	No

◯ **1 point**	Yes but there are technical issues or it is not clear.

◉ **2 points**	Yes it seems technically correct and is written clearly.

   Does the report contain **module cohesion example 2**: code extract and explanation

○ 0 points	No
○ 1 point	Yes but there are technical issues or it is not clear.
● 2 points	Yes it seems technically correct and is written clearly.

2. Please upload your report for **PART 2** here. It should be a typed report in **PDF** format, approximately 500 words. Word count does not include source code extracts.

6.5 / 6.5 points

Part 2: Unit testing activity
Part 2: Unit testing activity
By 200312082

Grading Rubric

Did they upload their report in PDF format?

| ○ 0 points | No |
| ● 1 point | Yes |

Did they provide a **test set 1** 'written explanation of strategy'?

○ 0 points	No
○ 0.5 points	Yes but there are technical issues or it is not clear.
● 1 point	Yes it seems technically correct and is written clearly.

Did they write three tests in **test set 1** (refer to code submitted below if needed)

○ 0 points	No
○ 0.5 points	Attempt made but obvious problems or incomplete.
● 1.5 points	Three tests written and they look reasonable and correct.

Did they write three tests in **test set 2** (refer to code submitted below if needed)

○ 0 points	No attempt.
○ 0.5 points	Attempt made but obvious problems or incomplete.
● 1.5 points	Three tests written and they look reasonable and correct.

Did they write three tests in **test set 3** (refer to code submitted below if needed)

○ 0 points	No attempt.
○ 0.5 points	Attempt made but obvious problems or incomplete.
● 1.5 points	Three tests written and they look reasonable and correct.

3. Upload your source code for **PART 2** (the unit tests) in zip format, not 7z, not rar.

Part 2: Unit testing activity
Part 2: Unit testing activity
By 200312082

Grading Rubric

Did they upload their code in zip format?

○ 0 points	No or the code is not the same as that discussed in the report.
◉ 1 point	Yes and it is the same code discussed in the report.

4. Please upload your report for **PART 3** here. It should be a typed report in **PDF** format, approximately 500 words. Word count does not include source code extracts.

Part 3: Secure programming
Part 3: Secure programming
By 200312082

Grading Rubric

Did they submit a report in PDf format for Part 3?

○ 0 points	No
◉ 1 point	Yes

Rate "**Secure programming recommendation 1**: what is the problem? What should change and how?"

○ 0 points	No attempt or irrelevant text.
○ 1 point	Basic attempt with obvious limitations
◉ 2 points	Solid attempt but does not go beyond what was taught in the course.
○ 3 points	Excellent attempt, clearly goes beyond what was taught in the course, e.g. makes effective use of additional references.

Rate "**Secure programming recommendation 2**: what is the problem? What should change and how?"

○ 0 points	No attempt or irrelevant text.
○ 1 point	Basic attempt with obvious limitations
◉ 2 points	Solid attempt but does not go beyond what was taught in the course.
○ 3 points	Excellent attempt, clearly goes beyond what was taught in the course, e.g. makes effective use of additional references.

Rate "**Secure programming recommendation 3**: what is the problem? What should change and how?"

○ 0 points	No attempt or irrelevant text.
○ 1 point	Basic attempt with obvious limitations
◉ 2 points	Solid attempt but does not go beyond what was taught in the course.
○ 3 points	Excellent attempt, clearly goes beyond what was taught in the course, e.g. makes effective use of additional references.