

## MA398 Matrix Analysis and Algorithms: Exercise Sheet 2

1. Create a Python function **p\_norm** that can calculate the p-norm and the inner product of two vectors. Hence, prove that the function **p\_norm** correctly implements the p-norm definition and the function inner product correctly implements the standard inner product.
2. Implement a function that verifies whether a given square matrix is unitary. Hence, prove that the function **is\_unitary** correctly implements the definition of a unitary matrix. Also, give an intuitive explanation of why this condition ensures the columns of  $Q$  are orthonormal.

3. Prove the following Theorem:

"If  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is Hermitian then there is a unitary  $Q$  and a real  $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that  $A = Q\Lambda Q^*$ ."

Hint: Remember that a Hermitian matrix is one that is equal to its own conjugate transpose, i.e.,  $A = A^*$ . You might want to utilize the Spectral Theorem for Hermitian matrices in your proof, which states that every Hermitian matrix can be diagonalized by a unitary matrix.

**Answer:** Firstly, we need to understand what Hermitian means in the context of complex matrices. A matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is Hermitian if it is equal to its own conjugate transpose, i.e.,  $A = A^*$ .

Now, let us prove the theorem:

**Proof:**

The Spectral Theorem for Hermitian matrices states that a Hermitian matrix  $A$  can be diagonalized by a unitary matrix, i.e., there exists a unitary matrix  $Q$  and a diagonal matrix  $\Lambda$  such that  $A = Q\Lambda Q^*$ .

We need to show that the diagonal elements of  $\Lambda$  are real. This can be deduced from the property of Hermitian matrices that their eigenvalues are real.

Consider the equation  $Ax = \lambda x$ , where  $x$  is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

Taking the complex conjugate transpose of both sides, we get  $(Ax)^* = \lambda^* x^*$ .

This becomes  $x^* A^* = \lambda^* x^*$ .

Since  $A$  is Hermitian, we can replace  $A^*$  with  $A$ . This gives us  $x^* A = \lambda^* x^*$ .

But we know from the original eigenvalue equation that  $x^* A = \lambda x^*$ . Therefore, we can equate  $\lambda = \lambda^*$ , which implies that  $\lambda$  is real.

Therefore, all the diagonal elements of  $\Lambda$ , which are the eigenvalues of  $A$ , are real. Thus, we have shown that if  $A$  is Hermitian, then there exists a unitary matrix  $Q$  and a real diagonal matrix  $\Lambda$  such that  $A = Q\Lambda Q^*$ .

This concludes the proof.

4. Implement a Python function **is\_normal(A)** that checks whether a given square matrix  $A$  is normal. Recall from the lecture notes that a matrix  $A$  is normal if it satisfies  $A^* A = A A^*$ . The function should take as input a NumPy array  $A$  and return a Boolean value (True or False).
5. (Geometric series for matrices) Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be a matrix norm on  $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . Assume that  $\|X\| < 1$  for some  $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . Show that,

- (a)  $I - X$  is invertible with  $(I - X)^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} X^i$ ,  
(b)  $\|(I - X)^{-1}\| \leq (1 - \|X\|)^{-1}$ .

**Answer:** For every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\left\| \sum_{i=0}^m X^i \right\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^m \|X^i\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^m \|X\|^i = \frac{1 - \|X\|^{m+1}}{1 - \|X\|} \quad (1)$$

where the properties of a matrix norm were used. The right hand side converges as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  since  $\|X\| < 1$  (geometric series). An analogous argument shows that  $n \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^n X^i$  is a Cauchy sequence. As a consequence,  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} X^i$  exists and  $X^i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . We infer that

$$(I - X) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} X^i = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (I - X) \sum_{i=0}^m X^i = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (I - X^{m+1}) = I.$$

Letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in the right hand side of (1) we obtain the second claim:

$$\|(I - X)^{-1}\| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{i=0}^m X^i \right\| \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - \|X\|^{m+1}}{1 - \|X\|} = (1 - \|X\|)^{-1}.$$

6. (Cholesky factorisation) If  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is Hermitian and positive definite then there exists a unique upper triangular matrix  $R \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  with (real and) positive diagonal elements such that  $A = R^* R$ . (Hint: Induction on  $n$ .)

**Answer: Base case:** For  $n = 1$  where  $A$  is a  $1 \times 1$  matrix, we can construct  $R$  as a  $1 \times 1$  matrix with  $R_{11} = \sqrt{A_{11}}$ . This satisfies the conditions of an upper triangular matrix with real and positive diagonal elements, and  $R^* R = A$ .

**Inductive step:** Assume that the theorem holds for  $n = k$  and let us prove it for  $n = k + 1$ . Consider an  $(k + 1) \times (k + 1)$  Hermitian positive definite matrix  $A$ . We can write  $A$  in block form as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A' & b \\ b^* & a \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $A'$  is a  $k \times k$  submatrix,  $b$  is a  $k \times 1$  column vector,  $b^*$  is its conjugate transpose ( $1 \times k$  row vector), and  $a$  is a scalar.

Since  $A$  is Hermitian positive definite, the Schur complement  $a - b^* A'^{-1} b$  must be positive. Therefore,  $B$  is also positive definite.

By the induction hypothesis, there exists an upper triangular matrix  $R'$  of size  $k \times k$  with real and positive diagonal elements such that  $A' = R'^* R'$ .

Now, define a new matrix  $R$  as:

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} R' & v \\ 0 & r \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $v$  is a  $k \times 1$  column vector and  $r$  is a scalar.

We want to find  $v$  and  $r$  such that  $R$  is an upper triangular matrix with real and positive diagonal elements, and  $A = R^* R$ .

Using the block matrix multiplication, we have:

$$R^* R = \begin{bmatrix} R'^* & 0 \\ v^* & r^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R' & v \\ 0 & r \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R'^* R' & R'^* v \\ v^* R' & v^* v + r^* r \end{bmatrix}$$

We want this to be equal to  $A$ . Equating corresponding entries, we have:

$$R'^* R' = A', \quad R'^* v = b, \quad v^* R' = b^*, \quad v^* v + r^* r = a$$

From the first equation, we know that  $R'^*R' = A'$ . From the second equation, we can solve for  $v$  as  $v = (R'^*)^{-1}b$ , where  $(R'^*)^{-1}$  is the inverse of  $R'^*$  (since  $R'$  is invertible).

Now, let's examine the equation  $v^*v + r^*r = a$ , where  $v = (R'^*)^{-1}b$  and  $R'$  is the upper triangular matrix that satisfies  $A' = R'^*R'$ .

Substituting the expression for  $v$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} v^*v + r^*r &= ((R'^*)^{-1}b)^*((R'^*)^{-1}b) + r^*r \\ &= b^*(R'^*)^{-T}(R'^*)^{-1}b + r^*r. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $R'$  is an upper triangular matrix, its conjugate transpose  $(R'^*)^{-T}$  is a lower triangular matrix. Let's denote  $(R'^*)^{-T}$  as  $L$ . Then the equation becomes:

$$b^*L^TLb + r^*r$$

Now, we need to choose  $r$  such that  $b^*L^TLb + r^*r = a$ . In order to do that, let's choose  $r$  as  $r = \sqrt{a - b^*L^TLb}$ , where we take the positive square root since  $a - b^*L^TLb$  is positive (as  $A$  is positive definite). With this choice of  $r$ , the equation becomes:

$$b^*L^TLb + (\sqrt{a - b^*L^TLb})^2 = a$$

Hence, we have found a suitable choice of  $v$  and  $r$  such that  $v^*v + r^*r = a$ . This completes the proof.

## 7. (Norms)

(a) Is  $\|A\|_{\max} = \max_{i,j} |a_{ij}|$  a matrix norm?

**Answer:** In order to show that the function  $|A|_{\max} = \max_{i,j} |a_{ij}|$  defines a matrix norm, we need to check if it satisfies the following four properties:

- i.  $|A|_{\max} \geq 0$ , and  $|A|_{\max} = 0$  if and only if  $A$  is the zero matrix.
- ii.  $|\alpha A|_{\max} = |\alpha| |A|_{\max}$  for all scalars  $\alpha$ .
- iii.  $|A + B|_{\max} \leq |A|_{\max} + |B|_{\max}$  (Triangle inequality).
- iv.  $|AB|_{\max} \leq |A|_{\max} |B|_{\max}$  (Submultiplicativity).

We can easily verify the first three properties:

- i. It's clear that  $|A|_{\max}$  is always nonnegative, since it's the maximum of absolute values. It's also clear that  $|A|_{\max} = 0$  if and only if all entries of  $A$  are zero, i.e.,  $A$  is the zero matrix.
- ii. If we multiply  $A$  by a scalar  $\alpha$ , all entries  $a_{ij}$  of  $A$  get multiplied by  $\alpha$ , so the maximum absolute value gets multiplied by  $|\alpha|$ .
- iii. If we add two matrices  $A$  and  $B$ , any entry of the resulting matrix is the sum of corresponding entries in  $A$  and  $B$ . The absolute value of a sum is always less than or equal to the sum of absolute values, so the maximum absolute value in  $A + B$  is less than or equal to the sum of maximum absolute values in  $A$  and  $B$ .

However, the function  $|A|_{\max}$  fails to satisfy the submultiplicativity property, i.e.,  $|AB|_{\max} \leq |A|_{\max} |B|_{\max}$  does not necessarily hold. For example, consider two  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then,  $AB = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , so  $|AB|_{\max} = 2$ , while  $|A|_{\max} = |B|_{\max} = 1$ , and hence  $|A|_{\max} |B|_{\max} = 1 < |AB|_{\max}$ .

So, the function  $|A|_{\max}$  does not define a matrix norm because it doesn't satisfy all four required properties.

(b) Show that  $\|uv^*\|_2 = \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2$  for all  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Does this also hold true if  $\|\cdot\|_2$  is replaced by the Frobenius norm  $\|\cdot\|_F$ ?

**Answer:** Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^n$  be arbitrary. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
|uv|_2 &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n |(uv^*)_i|^2} \\
&= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i v_i^*|^2} && \text{(because } (uv^*)_i = u_i v_i^* \text{)} \\
&= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i|^2 |v_i|^2} && \text{(because } |u_i v_i^*| = |u_i| |v_i^*| = |u_i| |v_i| \text{)} \\
&= \sqrt{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i|^2\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^2\right)} \\
&= |u|_2 |v|_2
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have shown that  $|uv^*|_2 = |u|_2 |v|_2$  for all  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .

We want to show that for all  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $|uv^*|_F = |u|_F |v|_F$ . Since  $uv^*$  is a scalar (an  $1 \times 1$  matrix), its Frobenius norm is simply the magnitude of the scalar. Therefore, we can rewrite the equation as:

$$(1) |uv^*| = |u|_F |v|_F$$

Now, let's compute the left-hand side of the equation:

$$(2) |uv^*| = |u_1 v_1^* + u_2 v_2^* + \dots + u_n v_n^*|$$

To compute the right-hand side of the equation, we first find the Frobenius norms of  $u$  and  $v$ :

$$(3) |u|_F = \sqrt{|u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2 + \dots + |u_n|^2}$$

$$(4) |v|_F = \sqrt{|v_1|^2 + |v_2|^2 + \dots + |v_n|^2}$$

Then, we compute their product:

$$(5) |u|_F |v|_F = \sqrt{(|u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2 + \dots + |u_n|^2)(|v_1|^2 + |v_2|^2 + \dots + |v_n|^2)}$$

Now, let's square both sides of the equation (1) to simplify the expression:

$$(6) |uv^*|^2 = (|u|_F |v|_F)^2$$

Substituting the expressions for  $|uv^*|$  and  $|u|_F |v|_F$  from equations (2) and (5) into equation (6), we have:

$$(7) (u_1 v_1^* + u_2 v_2^* + \dots + u_n v_n^*)(u_1 v_1^* + u_2 v_2^* + \dots + u_n v_n^*)^* = (|u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2 + \dots + |u_n|^2)(|v_1|^2 + |v_2|^2 + \dots + |v_n|^2)$$

Expanding the left-hand side, we get:

$$(8) \sum_{i=1}^n u_i v_i^* \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^* v_i = \sum_{i=1}^n |u_i|^2 |v_i|^2$$

And the right-hand side is:

$$(9) \sum_{i=1}^n |u_i|^2 |v_i|^2$$

Since the left-hand side (equation 8) and the right-hand side (equation 9) are equal, we can conclude that:

$$|uv^*|_F = |u|_F |v|_F$$

for all  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .

(c) Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . Prove the following statement:

$$\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_p \leq \sqrt[p]{n} \|x\|_\infty \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

**Answer:** Firstly, we will show that  $|x|_\infty \leq |x|_p$ .

The  $\infty$ -norm of a vector  $x$  is defined as the maximum absolute value of its elements, i.e.  $|x|_\infty = \max_i |x_i|$ . The  $p$ -norm is defined as  $|x|_p = (\sum_i |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . Since  $|x_i|^p \leq (\max_i |x_i|)^p = |x|_\infty^p$  for all  $i$  and  $p \geq 1$ , summing over all elements gives  $\sum_i |x_i|^p \leq n|x|_\infty^p$ , and hence  $|x|_p = (\sum_i |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq |x|_\infty$ .

Secondly, we will show that  $|x|_p \leq \sqrt[p]{n}|x|_\infty$ .

By definition,  $|x|_p = (\sum_i |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . We have  $|x_i|^p \leq |x|_\infty^p$  for all  $i$ , so  $\sum_i |x_i|^p \leq \sum_i |x|_\infty^p = n|x|_\infty^p$ . Hence,  $|x|_p = (\sum_i |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq (n|x|_\infty^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \sqrt[p]{n}|x|_\infty$ .

Therefore, we have  $|x|_\infty \leq |x|_p \leq \sqrt[p]{n}|x|_\infty$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .