

MA398 Both October, 2023.

Exercise sheet 4

1. Prove that the condition number of a scalar function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is invariant under scaling of the function. That is, show that if $f(x) = ag(x)$ for some constant a and function g , then $\kappa_f(x) = \kappa_g(x)$.

Hint: Use the fact that the derivative of $f(x)$ with respect to x is $f'(x) = ag'(x)$ and apply the definition of the condition number.

Answer: Proof:

Given the function $f(x) = ag(x)$, where a is a constant, we want to show that the condition number $\kappa_f(x) = \kappa_g(x)$.

Recall the definition of the condition number:

$$\kappa_f(x) = \left\| \frac{xf'(x)}{f(x)} \right\|$$

→ from before notes

The derivative of $f(x)$ is $f'(x) = ag'(x)$. Therefore, we can express the condition number of f as:

$$\kappa_f(x) = \left\| \frac{xag'(x)}{ag(x)} \right\|$$

The constant a can be cancelled from the numerator and the denominator:

$$\kappa_f(x) = \left\| \frac{xg'(x)}{g(x)} \right\|$$

But this is just the condition number of g :

$$\kappa_f(x) = \kappa_g(x)$$

This completes the proof. It shows that the condition number of a function is invariant under scaling – i.e., multiplying the function by a constant does not change its condition number.

3. Analyze the backward stability of the division operation in floating-point arithmetic similar to the subtraction operation shown in Example 1 in lecture 11. Assume that the exact input is $x = (x_1, x_2)^T$, and exact operation is $f(x) = x_1/x_2 = y$. Define and calculate the backward error, discuss the stability of this operation and any potential limitations or special cases that need to be considered.

Answer: The exact operation is $x = (x_1, x_2)^T$ and $f(x) = x_1/x_2 = y$. Suppose we have the computed version as $\theta = fl(x_1) \oslash fl(x_2)$, where \oslash represents the division operation in floating-point arithmetic and $fl(\cdot)$ represents the floating-point approximation of a number.

In floating-point arithmetic, each operation is subject to a small rounding error. Let's denote these rounding errors as $\epsilon^{(1)}$ and $\epsilon^{(2)}$ for $fl(x_1)$ and $fl(x_2)$ respectively, with $|\epsilon^{(i)}| \leq \epsilon_m$, $i = 1, 2$. Then we can write $fl(x_1) = x_1(1 + \epsilon^{(1)})$ and $fl(x_2) = x_2(1 + \epsilon^{(2)})$.

Now, the computed division is $\theta = fl(x_1) \oslash fl(x_2) = \xi_1 \oslash \xi_2 = (\xi_1/\xi_2)(1 + \epsilon^{(3)})$, where $\epsilon^{(3)}$ is another rounding error introduced by the division operation.

This simplifies to:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= (x_1(1 + \epsilon^{(1)})/x_2(1 + \epsilon^{(2)}))(1 + \epsilon^{(3)}) \\ &= (x_1/x_2)(1 + \epsilon^{(1)} - \epsilon^{(2)} + \epsilon^{(1)}\epsilon^{(2)})(1 + \epsilon^{(3)}) \\ &= y(1 + \epsilon^{(4)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1 + \epsilon_1}{1 + \epsilon_2} \times \frac{1 - \epsilon_2}{1 - \epsilon_2} \\ &= \frac{1 + \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1\epsilon_2}{1 - \epsilon_2^2} \end{aligned}$$

↓ 0

where $\epsilon^{(4)} = \epsilon^{(1)} - \epsilon^{(2)} + \epsilon^{(1)}\epsilon^{(2)} + \epsilon^{(3)} + \epsilon^{(1)}\epsilon^{(3)} - \epsilon^{(2)}\epsilon^{(3)} + \epsilon^{(1)}\epsilon^{(2)}\epsilon^{(3)} = O(\epsilon_m)$ as $\epsilon_m \searrow 0$.

Thus, the computed division can be written as an exact division with perturbed input data: $\theta = f(\zeta)$ for $\zeta = (x_1(1 + \epsilon^{(4)}), x_2)^T$. The absolute backward error is $|\zeta - x| = |(\epsilon^{(4)}x_1, 0)^T| \leq \epsilon_m|x_1|$. The relative backward error is $|\zeta - x|/|x| \leq \epsilon_m$.

This means that the division operation is backward stable under the assumption that $x_2 \neq 0$.

5. (Growth factor) Show that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -1 & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

has growth factor $g_n(A) = 2^{n-1}$. Hint: Denoting the U matrix in algorithm LU after step K by $U^{(k)}$, show by induction that $U_{n,n}^{(k)} = 2^k$.

Note that $g(n) \leq 2^{n-1} \quad \forall A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ [Lemma 12.1 notes]

Numerical stability of the GE depends on the growth factor.

This is useful when we need to obtain a bound for the backward error of a computed solution, particularly as it concerns the unit round-off of the floating-point arithmetic.

[Theorem 12.4 notes]

Note that a 4×4 example of the matrix A above is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proceeding to reduce the above matrix to upper triangular:

$$\begin{array}{l} R_1 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2 \\ R_1 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3 \\ R_1 + R_4 \rightarrow R_4 \end{array} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} R_2 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3 \\ R_2 + R_4 \rightarrow R_4 \end{array} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_3 + R_4 \rightarrow R_4 \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \simeq U$$

In general, for some matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -1 & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 2^{n-2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 2^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$g_n(A) = \frac{\|U\|_{\max}}{\|A\|_{\max}} = \frac{2^{n-1}}{1} = \underline{\underline{2^{n-1}}}$$

Definition 12.1