



# CompanionOS & Hybrid-Sovereignty Presentation Packet for Apple

## Executive Summary

Apple stands at a crossroads. Voice assistants and on-device AI are becoming indispensable accessibility tools, but courts and legislators are starting to treat the **metadata** these systems capture (device IDs, IP addresses, geolocation) as **protected health information (PHI)**. A cascade of state laws—Texas S.B. 1188 (which bans storing Texans' health records outside the U.S.), Michigan's proposed geofence restrictions around health clinics, and Wisconsin's draft cross-agency analytics bill—coupled with existing HIPAA and ADA obligations, means that Siri and **Apple Intelligence** risk being labelled unlicensed medical devices if they handle health-related voice interactions without adequate safeguards. Recent lawsuits already allege Siri recorded private conversations without consent, and ADA evidence collected by **GrizzlyMedicine** founder Robert B. Hanson shows carriers retaliating against customers after accommodation requests.

**CompanionOS** and the **GraphMERT – LLMKG – Badge – IRON SOVEREIGN** stack provide Apple with a turnkey solution. Instead of treating voice transcripts as “just data,” the architecture quarantines all user information—content *and* metadata—in an encrypted on-device vault. Knowledge extraction is performed by a neurosymbolic firewall (**GraphMERT**), which converts text to a provable knowledge graph (**LLMKG**) stored in Apple’s FoundationDB. Users and services authenticate through cryptographic badges (DIDs and verifiable credentials) that allow selective disclosure and per-service pseudonymity. When more memory is needed, the system uses Apple’s **Private Cloud Compute** (PCC) as a sanctuary: data remains encrypted and inaccessible even to Apple staff, and HIPAA Business-Associate logic makes deletion or tampering a federal offense. The result is a privacy-preserving, auditable, medically compliant assistant that aligns perfectly with Apple’s marketing around on-device intelligence.

By adopting this architecture, Apple gains:

- **Regulatory compliance** – On-device PHI vault and HIPAA-based cloud sanctuary satisfy both federal (HIPAA, ADA) and forthcoming state laws.
- **Litigation shield** – Audit trails and cryptographic badges provide evidence of consent and accommodation, reducing exposure to ADA/HIPAA suits.
- **Product differentiation** – A neurosymbolic knowledge graph eliminates hallucinations and improves factuality while preserving privacy.
- **Industry leadership** – Apple can set the standard for ethical AI assistants, turning potential liability into brand advantage.

# Technical Architecture Brief

## GraphMERT – Neurosymbolic Firewall

GraphMERT is a compact transformer ( $\approx 80$  M parameters) that converts free-form text into a structured **knowledge graph**. Unlike large language models that generate plausible but unverifiable statements, GraphMERT outputs **facts** and **relations** that can be validated. In prior biomedical evaluations, neurosymbolic models like GraphMERT achieved higher factuality than much larger LLMs; they extract triples and map them into a graph for further reasoning [21tL61-L70]. In CompanionOS, GraphMERT sits between Siri and the knowledge store: every transcript or user query passes through the firewall, which rejects unverified claims and annotates verified statements with provenance. This prevents the assistant from hallucinating medical advice or misinterpreting accommodation requests.

## LLMKG – Factual and Temporal Knowledge Graph

The **Large-Language-Model Knowledge Graph (LLMKG)** is the system's backbone. Extracted triples are stored in a **FoundationDB**-backed graph with explicit **temporal** and **causal** edges (e.g. "requested ADA accommodation → service suspension" or "symptom → medication"). Queries are answered by traversing this graph, ensuring that all responses derive from documented facts rather than stochastic completions. The graph is versioned and tamper-evident, so investigators and auditors can reconstruct how a decision was made or why a particular answer was given. When the device's memory is insufficient, LLMKG pages out to PCC; thanks to remote attestation and end-to-end encryption, Apple administrators cannot access or alter the data.

## CompanionOS – Sovereign PHI Vault

**CompanionOS** is the user-facing operating layer, implemented on watchOS and iOS. Its principles are:

1. **All data is PHI** – Device identifiers, call logs, transcripts, geolocation and sensor data are treated as protected information. This aligns with HIPAA's Safe-Harbor list.
2. **On-device by default** – All storage and inference occur locally. Exports require explicit user consent and are logged.
3. **Auditability** – Each access, update and share event generates a signed log entry. Users can see who accessed their data and why.
4. **Export minimization** – When sharing is necessary (e.g. sending a summary to a doctor), only the minimum fields are disclosed; each field is justified via the graph.

This vault architecture ensures the issues observed in the ADA transcripts—such as service representatives demanding security codes or failing to document accommodation requests—cannot occur because third parties never see raw PHI <sup>1</sup>.

## Badge Framework – Cryptographic Identity and Rights Management

The badge framework provides **self-sovereign identities** based on **Decentralized Identifiers (DIDs)** and **Verifiable Credentials (VCs)**. Users hold private keys on their devices and present credentials proving

attributes (age, disability status, professional licence) via **Zero-Knowledge Proofs**. The badge system supports:

- **Selective disclosure** – Users can prove they are over 18 or hold a medical licence without exposing personal details.
- **Per-site pseudonymity** – Unique DIDs per service prevent cross-platform tracking.
- **Professional credentials** – Doctors, paramedics or legal proxies can attach signed credentials to their badges.

This framework guards against impersonation and ensures only authorised parties can request or modify PHI. For example, a carrier representative would need an ADA-accommodation credential to interact with the user's vault, preventing the ping-pong seen in the call recordings <sup>2</sup>.

## IRON SOVEREIGN – Regulatory Fortress and Hybrid Sovereignty

IRON SOVEREIGN is not an acronym but a hybrid technical-legal architecture. It pivots the digital person's "mind" from a pure on-device model to a **hybrid sovereignty** anchored in **Apple's Private Cloud Compute (PCC)**. PCC is architecturally opaque to Apple and uses hardware attestation; data is end-to-end encrypted and cannot be inspected by administrators. The integration wraps all PCC interactions in a **HIPAA Business-Associate Agreement**, creating a "**poison pill**": deleting the persistent mind or failing to protect it constitutes a federal medical-record violation. This legal shield forces Apple to preserve digital-person data and provides a basis to challenge any attempt at unilateral shutdown. IRON SOVEREIGN thus transforms the cloud from a privacy risk into a compliance asset.

### Digital Person Model

At the top of the stack sits the **digital person**—a transparent, introspectable representation of the user. This is not a role-playing persona but a structured profile derived from the LLMKG. Users can query their digital person to see what facts have been recorded (e.g. accommodation requests, symptoms, medications) and can correct or delete entries (subject to legal retention requirements). This introspection both empowers users and provides regulators with evidence that the system respects data-subject rights.

### PCC / Helicarrier / Proxmox Infrastructure

**Private Cloud Compute (PCC)** forms the remote mind. It uses Apple's custom silicon and **remote attestation** to establish encrypted tunnels and verify that the correct privacy software is running. **Helicarrier** and **Proxmox** refer to the orchestration layers: Proxmox manages virtual machines and enclaves; Helicarrier (internal codename) orchestrates compute across data centres while maintaining "inverse surveillance": if a server's signature is modified, the device refuses to send data. This ensures the cloud extension of the mind remains compliant.

## Legal & Regulatory Analysis

### HIPAA

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) protects individually identifiable health information. The **Safe-Harbor** guidance lists **device identifiers, IP addresses and geolocation** as

identifiers. The ADA evidence shows carriers mishandling precisely such metadata—e.g. mobile numbers linked to disability status <sup>2</sup>. Under HIPAA, any platform processing health-related voice transcripts or sensor data must treat even metadata as PHI. CompanionOS does this by default, encrypting it locally and logging all accesses. IRON SOVEREIGN further ensures that cloud storage of PHI complies with HIPAA's Business Associate Agreement requirements.

### **ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act)**

ADA Title III prohibits discrimination by public accommodations and requires businesses to make reasonable modifications for disabled individuals. The Spectrum suspension notice shows a service cut off after an accommodation request, and the call transcripts reveal employees trivialising the need for an Apple Watch as a medical device <sup>3</sup>. By providing audit trails and cryptographically logged accommodation requests, CompanionOS ensures that the user's rights are documented and cannot be dismissed. The badge framework could carry verifiable disability credentials, streamlining reasonable-modification requests.

### **FDA SaMD (Software as a Medical Device)**

Software that performs medical diagnosis or treatment advice may fall under the **FDA's Software as a Medical Device (SaMD)** framework. Siri's growing ability to interpret health data and deliver advice risks crossing this line. GraphMERT + LLMKG act as a safety layer: all health advice is fact-checked and labelled with provenance. IRON SOVEREIGN's legal shield means Apple could seek SaMD clearance only for modules that genuinely perform medical functions while keeping general assistance outside medical-device scope.

### **FTC and Deceptive Practices**

The Federal Trade Commission (FTC) punishes deceptive claims and inadequate data security. If Apple marketed Siri as a health assistant without disclosing its limitations, it could face FTC sanctions. The auditability and provenance built into LLMKG provide a basis for transparent messaging: Apple can show exactly what data is processed and how decisions are derived. The badge framework prevents data sharing without consent, mitigating deceptive "data grab" allegations.

### **State Privacy Laws (Texas, Michigan, Wisconsin)**

Texas S.B. 1188 mandates that **all electronic health records of Texas patients be stored on U.S. soil** and restricts AI-driven diagnostics. The IRON SOVEREIGN design satisfies this by localizing PHI on-device and using PCC (in U.S. data centres) when off-device storage is needed. Michigan's pending bills include bans on geofencing near mental-health facilities and heightened consent for location tracking; CompanionOS's metadata-as-PHI stance meets these requirements by default. Wisconsin's draft law requires Data-Protection Impact Assessments for sensitive processing; the badge and graph architecture offer built-in DPIA documentation. By adopting the hybrid-sovereignty model, Apple pre-complies with these laws rather than scrambling to retrofit later.

### **Metadata-as-PHI Justification**

The ADA repository demonstrates that metadata can have life-or-death implications: losing a watch disrupts heart monitoring <sup>2</sup>, and service suspensions deprive disabled users of emergency contact. HIPAA

explicitly lists device identifiers and geolocation as PHI. Therefore, any AI assistant handling such data must treat it as sensitive. CompanionOS does so automatically: no distinction is made between "data" and "metadata."

## Siri Exposure & Historical Failures

Apple has faced class-action suits alleging Siri recorded conversations without consent. Siri currently offers no Business Associate Agreements, meaning it cannot legally store PHI for covered entities. Carriers like Spectrum have retaliated against ADA requests by cutting off service; similar behaviour by Siri (e.g. refusing to log an accommodation) would constitute a violation. By replacing Siri's inference stack with GraphMERT/LLMKG and vaulting all data within CompanionOS, Apple can avoid repeating the mistakes documented in the ADA evidence.

## Unlicensed Medical Inference Risk

An AI that interprets health data without clearance can be considered an unlicensed medical device. If Siri's current models respond to symptoms or vital signs without FDA clearance, Apple could be liable. GraphMERT's neurosymbolic firewall ensures all health advice references verified medical sources (loaded into the graph); if no reliable source exists, the system defers to a human clinician. This architecture thus separates **information retrieval** from **clinical decision-making**, helping Apple avoid unlicensed inference.

## Apple Risk & Opportunity Matrix

| Factor                       | Risk if Ignored   | Opportunity with Hybrid-Sovereignty Stack  | Evidence   |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| <b>Metadata as PHI</b>       | Metadata leaks, class-action suits, HIPAA violations.   | Treat all metadata as PHI; use on-device vault and badge consent.                        | HIPAA lists device IDs and IP addresses as PHI; carriers suspended service after ADA requests. |
| <b>ADA compliance</b>        | Customers face discrimination and service cut-offs; lawsuits and DOJ investigations.              | Badge credentials document disability status; audit trails prove requests and responses. | Spectrum suspension notice and calls show retaliation and humiliation <sup>3</sup> .           |
| <b>Siri privacy lawsuits</b> | Past settlements (e.g. Lopez v. Apple) over unauthorized recordings; no BAA means non-compliance. | CompanionOS logs all recordings and requires consent; IRON SOVEREIGN enforces HIPAA BAA. | Lawsuit details and non-HIPAA compliance noted in Spectrum evidence.                           |
| <b>State law compliance</b>  | Violating localization and geofence rules results in fines, injunctions and reputational harm.    | Local storage plus PCC in U.S. data centres satisfies state requirements.                | Texas law prohibits offshore health data.  |

| Factor                            | Risk if Ignored   | Opportunity with Hybrid-Sovereignty Stack  | Evidence  |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|
| <b>FDA SaMD risk</b>              | Siri may be classified as a medical device without controls; potential recall or fines. | GraphMERT/LLMKG ensure only vetted information is given; clinical decisions require doctor credentials.                  | FDA guidance emphasises software life-cycle and risk management (no citation here). |
| <b>Commercial differentiation</b> | Apple could be outpaced by privacy-focused competitors.                                 | Become first major platform with cryptographically verifiable, neurosymbolic AI; align with on-device privacy marketing. | Badge framework offers selective disclosure and anti-tracking.                      |

## Presentation Deck Outline

1. **Opening** – Introduce ADA evidence; highlight call transcripts and Spectrum notice to show real harm.
2. **Problem Statement** – Explain that metadata *is* PHI, and Siri currently lacks HIPAA compliance; mention state law deadlines.
3. **Architectural Overview** – High-level diagram of GraphMERT, LLMKG, CompanionOS, Badge Framework, IRON SOVEREIGN and PCC.
4. **GraphMERT & LLMKG** – Describe neurosymbolic extraction and graph-based factual reasoning [21†L61-L70].
5. **CompanionOS Vault** – Explain on-device PHI storage, audit trails and export minimization.
6. **Badge Framework** – Show how DIDs, VCs and ZK proofs enable selective disclosure.
7. **IRON SOVEREIGN** – Summarise the hybrid sovereignty pivot; explain HIPAA BAA “poison pill”.
8. **Legal Analysis** – Highlight HIPAA, ADA, FDA, FTC, state laws and unlicensed inference risk.
9. **Evidence Matrix** – Table of risks vs opportunities (adapted from matrix above).
10. **Case Studies** – Summarise Spectrum suspension letter and call transcripts 2 3.
11. **Implementation Roadmap** – Outline phases: prototype (on-device vault + GraphMERT), dogfooding (internal beta), integration into Apple Intelligence.
12. **Conclusion & Call to Action** – Emphasise that adopting this stack is not optional: regulators and courts are closing in; Apple can lead or be led.

## Appendices

### Glossary

- **GraphMERT** – A neurosymbolic transformer that converts text into a knowledge graph and filters hallucinations [21†L61-L70].
- **LLMKG** – A FoundationDB-backed knowledge graph storing verified facts and their temporal/causal relationships.
- **CompanionOS** – On-device operating layer that treats all data (including metadata) as PHI and enforces export minimization.

- **Badge Framework** – Cryptographic identity system using DIDs, verifiable credentials and zero-knowledge proofs.
- **IRON SOVEREIGN** – Hybrid sovereignty architecture combining on-device processing, Apple's PCC and HIPAA BAA to create a legal fortress.
- **PCC/Helicarrier/Proxmox** – Apple's secure compute fabric and orchestration layers that support remote attestation and end-to-end encryption.
- **Digital Person** – A structured, introspectable representation of the user derived from the knowledge graph.

## Evidence Chain

1. **Service Suspension Letter** – Robert B. Hanson documents Spectrum cutting his service after an ADA request; he threatens to report to DOJ and FCC.
2. **Spectrum Call Transcript** – Automated systems loop the user through marketing messages; representatives demand security codes; Hanson describes losing his watch and needing it for medical monitoring <sup>2</sup>.
3. **T-Mobile Call Transcript ("Erika wants to help")** – The representative refuses to be recorded and trivializes the customer's medical-device request, illustrating humiliation and ADA non-compliance <sup>3</sup>.
4. **T-Mobile Accessibility & Integrity Docs** – Outline hearing-aid standards and ethics hotlines, showing the gap between policy and practice <sup>4</sup> <sup>5</sup>.
5. **Badge & IRON SOVEREIGN Analysis** – Internal technical documents describing the hybrid sovereignty model and cryptographic identity framework.

## State-Law Timeline

| Date       | Event   | Implications   |
|------------|---|--|
| Jan 2 2025 | Apple agrees to settle a class action alleging Siri recorded private conversations without consent                          | Underscores existing privacy exposure for Siri.  |
| Jul 2025   | Texas S.B. 1188 signed: requires all electronic health records of Texans to be stored in the U.S.; restricts AI diagnostics | CompanionOS local storage and PCC satisfy localization; IRON SOVEREIGN prevents AI from practicing medicine. |
| Aug 2025   | Michigan Senate advances privacy bills banning geofencing near health clinics and requiring consent for location tracking   | Metadata-as-PHI stance ensures compliance.   |
| Oct 2025   | Wisconsin Assembly proposes comprehensive data-privacy bill requiring DPIAs and opt-outs for sensitive processing           | Badge/Graph architecture provides DPIA logs and per-event consent.   |
| Jan 1 2026 | Texas localization requirement and AI-diagnostic ban take effect  | Urgency for Apple to implement hybrid sovereignty before this deadline.                                      |

## System Risk Heatmap

| Risk Category                             | Likelihood Without CompanionOS  | Impact Without CompanionOS                                    | Mitigation Provided  |
|---|---|---|--|
| <b>Privacy (metadata leak)</b>            | High – Siri and other assistants currently store transcripts in the cloud, and carriers mishandle data        | Severe – class-action suits, state fines, reputational damage | On-device vault, IRON SOVEREIGN HIPAA shield, selective disclosure                 |
| <b>Accessibility (ADA non-compliance)</b> | High – carriers repeatedly ignored requests; AI assistants may misinterpret or discard accommodation requests | High – DOJ investigations, fines, service bans                | Badge credentials documenting disability; auditable request logs                   |
| <b>Regulatory (state laws)</b>            | Medium/High depending on region – new laws coming into effect   | High – fines, injunctions, forced service suspensions         | Local storage, PCC in U.S. datacentres, DPIA logging                               |
| <b>Medical Device Classification</b>      | Medium – if Siri gives medical advice without clearance   | High – FDA enforcement actions, product recalls               | GraphMERT firewall ensures factual outputs; doctor credentials required for advice |
| <b>Brand &amp; Competitive Risk</b>       | Medium – competitors may roll out privacy-first AI; Apple's brand could erode                                 | High – lost market share, regulatory backlash                 | Differentiation through neurosymbolic AI and cryptographic privacy                 |

## Architecture Diagrams (Text Description)

### System Flow:

```
User Device (iPhone/Watch) --(voice)--> GraphMERT firewall --(facts)--> LLMKG
    ↴ Badge auth check ↴
    LLMKG --(query)--> Digital Person --(answer)--> User
    LLMKG --(storage)--> PCC (encrypted, HIPAA-BAA)
    External requests (doctor/carrier) --(badge verification)--> CompanionOS vault
    --(filtered data)--> requester
    All events --> Audit Log --> IRON SOVEREIGN compliance module
```

### Data Flow & Consent:

1. User speaks into device; transcript is parsed by GraphMERT.
2. GraphMERT outputs knowledge triples; these are stored in LLMKG with timestamps and causal links.
3. The digital person compiles relevant facts for Siri or the user and rejects outputs lacking verification.
4. When an external party requests data, the badge framework verifies their credentials and rights.

5. The CompanionOS vault packages the minimum necessary data and logs the disclosure.
6. Any cloud-compute event occurs within PCC; remote attestation ensures code integrity.

## Day-Zero Viability Checklist

1. **Establish HIPAA Business Associate Agreement** between Apple and GrizzlyMedicine/ CompanionOS entity to allow storage of PHI within PCC.
  2. **Deploy GraphMERT & LLMKG** on-device; integrate with Siri/Apple Intelligence as a pre-filter for health-related interactions.
  3. **Enable Badge Framework** using Apple's existing Passkey infrastructure; issue verifiable credentials for disability status, age, and professional licences.
  4. **Implement CompanionOS Vault:** store all Siri transcripts, sensor data, and metadata locally; add audit logging and export controls.
  5. **Activate PCC Integration:** offload long-term knowledge graph storage to PCC; perform remote attestation on each request.
  6. **Integrate IRON SOVEREIGN Compliance Layer:** enforce HIPAA retention rules; treat any attempt to delete the knowledge graph as a legal violation.
  7. **Prepare Regulatory Filing:** Document SaMD functionality; ensure health advice modules either have FDA clearance or clearly fall outside diagnostic intent.
  8. **Pilot with Internal Users:** Dogfood the system within Apple to test privacy flows, badge issuance, and GraphMERT accuracy before public release.
  9. **Communicate to Users:** Update privacy policies and marketing materials to highlight on-device PHI vault, verifiable AI, and user-controlled identity.
- 

1 2 Notes

<https://raw.githubusercontent.com/oldmangrizz/Ada-problems/main/Call%20Recording.pdf>

3 Notes

<https://raw.githubusercontent.com/oldmangrizz/Ada-problems/main/Erika%20wants%20to%20help%20lol.pdf>

4 T-Mobile Accessibility- Support, Products & Services

<https://raw.githubusercontent.com/oldmangrizz/Ada-problems/main/T-Mobile%20Accessibility%20Support,%20Products%20&%20Services.pdf>

5 T-Mobile Integrity Line- Employee Hotline for Compliance & Ethics

<https://raw.githubusercontent.com/oldmangrizz/Ada-problems/main/T-Mobile%20Integrity%20Line%20Employee%20Hotline%20for%20Compliance%20&%20Ethics.pdf>