## **B Teacher evaluation (Oleks Shturmov)**

You can fill out the evaluation below. If there are questions that are not relevant to you, you can choose not to answer those.

119 could answer this evaluation schema.

45 have answered this evaluation schema.

1 have answered that they did not have this teacher.

The answer percentage is 38.14%.: 45 / (119-1)

## 1

1.1 In my opinion, the lecturer was good at communicating the course content in a clear and precise manner

2	4.8%
4	9.5%
14	33.3%
13	31.0%
9	21.4%

1.2 In my opinion, the lecturer took an interest in the students' learning outcome from the course

Strongly disagree	2	4.8%
Disagree	3	7.1%
Neither agree nor disagree	10	23.8%
Agree	14	33.3%
Strongly agree	13	31.0%

1.3 In my opinion, the lecturer was good at expressing him/herself clearly in the language of instruction (Danish/English)

Strongly disagree	2	4.8%
Disagree	2	4.8%
Neither agree nor disagree	8	19.0%
Agree	15	35.7%
Strongly agree	15	35.7%

## 1.4 Additional comments:

- He canceled a lecture without any warning, and we did not get the lecture later on. Sometimes when things go very fast on the blackboard/screen, it is difficult to hear what he is saying. He has a tendency to cut off endings of sentences.
- Oleks seem to be very stressed and confused during his lectures. I did not get a lot from it. He tried to write some code during the lecture but it was confusing to follow all the windows he was switching, making mistakes etc..
- The lectures were fine but the slides could have used a little more text for when we looked at

## them afterwards

- I didn't find that Oleksander was a very good lecturer at all.
- Difficult to judge as there was only one lecture.
- Is it the point that the name is the KU'ID of this lecturer, although i know who he is by elimination of choice.
- I don't know who dfz719 is.
- I would assume this is Oleks, even though the name I am supposed to evaluate here says "dfz719 dfz719". Great lecturer, really liked the practical examples and that his lectures strictly focused on the assignments and helped us understand more details about them.
- Watching Oleks build the simpleParser from scratch was extremely educating and really helped me understand how to approach writing a parser. I like it when the lecturers take time to "live code" during a lecture because it is easier for me to keep track of a module this way.
- You should probably write "Oleks" instead of dfz719 as the lecturer name :p
  - A little nervous during lectures, minor thing which meant he sometimes didn't formulate himself coherently.
- I assume this random numberplate is Oleks. It's not easy to have a TA with no public available email address (not listed on profile)
- It says "dfz719" in the name of teacher. I googled and it is Oleks.
  - I wished his recorded lecture was published. In my opinión code-dynamic lectures are hard to follow because you can never see the whole code. Sharing the file as read-only through dropbox or some other mean would be so helpful so that students can see it in their laptops and scroll to where they need when it is not in the screen but necessarity to make "rational connections" when trying to understand
- Im quessing it's not ken or andrejz.
- the lectures were quite disorganized
- dfz719 who?
- Excellent job, including the answers provided in the assignments.
- I don't know who "dfz719 dfz719" is.