

HW 1Ex. 1

1) Suppose $x, y \in A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \alpha_i \leq x_i \leq \beta_i, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ and $\theta \in [0, 1]$. Then $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{\theta \min\{x_i, y_i\} + (1-\theta) \max\{x_i, y_i\}}_{= \min\{x_i, y_i\}} \leq \theta x_i + (1-\theta) y_i \\ & \underbrace{\theta x_i + (1-\theta) y_i}_{= \max\{x_i, y_i\}} \leq \theta \max\{x_i, y_i\} + (1-\theta) \max\{x_i, y_i\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_i \leq \min\{x_i, y_i\} \leq \theta x_i + (1-\theta) y_i \leq \max\{x_i, y_i\} \leq \beta_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta x + (1-\theta) y \in A$$

2) Since if $x_1 = 0$ or $x_2 = 0$ the equation never holds, we may consider the set:

$$B = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^2 \mid \frac{1}{x} \leq t\}$$

Consider the function

$$f: \mathbb{R}_{++} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$x \mapsto \frac{1}{x}$$

Since $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$:

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) &\geq f(x) + f'(x)(y-x) \\ \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{y} &\geq \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x^2}(y-x) \\ \Leftrightarrow \frac{(x-y)^2}{y} &\geq 0 \quad \text{True since } y \in \mathbb{R}_{++}! \end{aligned}$$

So by the 1-st order condition f is convex ($\text{dom}(f) = \mathbb{R}_{++}$ which is convex).

Since f is convex, $\text{epi } f = B$ is a convex set.

3) Case 1: $x_0 \in S$

The set would simply be

$$C = \{x_0\}$$

since every point x in the set would have to verify:

$$\|x - x_0\|_2 \leq \|x_0 - \underbrace{x_0}_{\in S}\|_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x = x_0$$

Case 2: $x_0 \notin S$

Fix $y \in S$. Consider the set

$$C_y = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x - x_0\|_2^2 \leq \|x - y\|_2^2\}$$

We have:

$$\|x - x_0\|_2^2 = x^T x - 2x^T x_0 + x_0^T x_0$$

$$\|x - y\|_2^2 = x^T x - 2x^T y + y^T y$$

Thus the inequality becomes:

$$x^T x - 2x^T x_0 + x_0^T x_0 \leq x^T x - 2x^T y + y^T y$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 2(y - x_0)^T x \leq y^T y - x_0^T x_0$$

Hence, we can conclude that C_y is a halfspace, so it is convex.

Since the original set only contains $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ st.:

$$\|x - x_0\|_2 \leq \|x - y\|_2 \quad \forall y \in S$$

we can write it as:

$$C = \bigcap_{y \in S} C_y$$

Thus, C is convex since it is the intersection of convex sets.

4) Consider the sets $S = (-\infty, -1] \cup [1, +\infty)$ and $T = \{0\}$ in \mathbb{R} . Then our set would be:

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \{x \mid \text{dist}(x, S) \leq \text{dist}(x, T)\} \\ &= (-\infty, -\frac{1}{2}] \cup [\frac{1}{2}, +\infty) \end{aligned}$$

Take $x = -\frac{1}{2}$, $y = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$. Then:

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot (-\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 0 \notin D$$

Thus, D is not convex.

5) The set can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \{x \mid x + S_2 \subseteq S_1\} \\ &= \{x \mid \forall y \in S_2 : x + y \in S_1\} \\ &= \bigcap_{y \in S_2} \{x \mid x + y \in S_1\} \\ &= \bigcap_{y \in S_2} (S_1 - y) \end{aligned}$$

Since $(S_1 - y)$ is the image of a convex set under an affine function (translation by $-y$), we can say that $(S_1 - y)$ is convex $\forall y \in S_2$. Thus, the intersection $\bigcap_{y \in S_2} (S_1 - y)$ is also convex.

Ex. 2

1) We have that \mathbb{R}_{++}^2 is open and

$$\nabla^2(f(x_1, x_2)) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = A$$

$$\det(\lambda \cdot I - A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda = \pm 1$$

$\Rightarrow A$ is neither positive / negative semi-definite

Thus, by the second order condition f is neither convex, nor concave.

2.) We have that \mathbb{R}_{++}^2 is open and

$$\nabla^2(f(x_1, x_2)) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{x_1^3 x_2} & \frac{1}{x_1^2 x_2^2} \\ \frac{x_1^2 x_2^2}{x_1^3 x_2} & \frac{3}{x_1 x_2^3} \end{pmatrix} = B(x_1, x_2)$$

By Sylvester's criterion $\forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$:

$$\cdot \det\left(\frac{2}{x_1^3 x_2}\right) = \frac{2}{x_1^3 x_2} > 0$$

$$\cdot \det(B) = \frac{4}{x_1^4 x_2^4} - \frac{1}{x_1^4 x_2^4} = \frac{3}{x_1^4 x_2^4} > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow B(x_1, x_2) \succeq 0 \quad \forall (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^2$$

$\Rightarrow f$ is convex (and quasiconvex)

3) We have that \mathbb{R}_{++}^2 is open and

$$\nabla(f(x_1, x_2)) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{x_2^2} \\ \frac{1}{x_2^2} & \frac{2x_1}{x_2^3} \end{pmatrix} = C$$

Since $\det(C) = -\frac{1}{x_2^4} < 0$, we can conclude by Sylvester's criterion that C is not semi-positive definite, so f is not convex.

Similarly:

$$\nabla(-f(x_1, x_2)) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{x_2^2} \\ \frac{1}{x_2^2} & -\frac{2x_1}{x_2^3} \end{pmatrix} = C'$$

Since

$$\det\left(-\frac{2x_1}{x_2^3}\right) = -\frac{2x_1}{x_2^3} < 0$$

we know by Sylvester's criterion that C' is not semi-positive definite, so $-f$ is not convex. Hence, f is not concave.

4) We have that \mathbb{R}_{++}^2 is open and for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$:

$$\nabla(-f(x_1, x_2)) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(1-\alpha)x_1^{\alpha-2}x_2^{1-\alpha} & : \alpha(\alpha-1)x_1^{\alpha-1}x_2^{-\alpha} \\ \alpha(\alpha-1)x_1^{\alpha-1}x_2^{-\alpha} & : \alpha(1-\alpha)x_1^{\alpha-1-\alpha} \end{pmatrix} = D$$

By Sylvester's criterion

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot \det(\alpha(1-\alpha)x_1^{\alpha-2}x_2^{1-\alpha}) \\ &= \underset{>0}{\cancel{\alpha}} \underset{>0}{\cancel{(1-\alpha)}} x_1^{\alpha-1}x_2^{1-\alpha} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\cdot \det(\alpha(1-\alpha)x_1^{\alpha}x_2^{1-\alpha})$$

$$= \alpha(1-\alpha)x_1^{\alpha}x_2^{1-\alpha} > 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot \det(D) &= \alpha^2(1-\alpha)^2 x_1^{2\alpha-2}x_2^{-2\alpha} - \alpha^2(1-\alpha)^2 x_1^{2\alpha-2}x_2^{-2\alpha} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

we can conclude that D is positive semi-definite. Hence, $-f$ is convex, so f is concave.

If $\alpha = 0$ or $\alpha = 1$, then f is linear,
so both convex and concave.

(Remark: If f is not an affine function
then convexity excludes concavity and vice
versa.)

Ex. 3

1) As seen in class, to show that f is convex
it is sufficient to show that

$$g: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$t \mapsto f(X + tV) \quad \text{for } X \in S_{++}, V \in S^n$$

is convex in t with $D = \{t \mid X + tV \in \text{dom } f\}$

Then:

$$g(t) = \text{Tr}((X + tV)^{-1})$$

$$= \text{Tr}\left[X^{\frac{1}{2}}(I_n + tX^{-\frac{1}{2}}VX^{-\frac{1}{2}})X^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{-1}$$

$$(*) = \text{Tr}(X^{-\frac{1}{2}}(I_n + tX^{-\frac{1}{2}}VX^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-1}X^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$

Since $\text{Tr}(ABC) = \text{Tr}(CAB) = \text{Tr}(BCA)$

for matrices A, B, C of some size, we get:

$$(*) = \text{Tr}(X^{-1}(I_n + tX^{-\frac{1}{2}}VX^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-1})$$

$$\text{Since } (X^{-\frac{1}{2}}VX^{-\frac{1}{2}})^T = (X^{-\frac{1}{2}})^T V^T (X^{-\frac{1}{2}})^T = X^{-\frac{1}{2}}VX^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

we know that $X^{-\frac{1}{2}}VX^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in S_n$, so by

the eigenvalue decomposition $\exists Q$ an $n \times n$
orthogonal matrix and Σ a diagonal matrix
with eigenvalues λ_i of the matrix $X^{-\frac{1}{2}}VX^{-\frac{1}{2}}$
such that:

$$X^{-\frac{1}{2}}VX^{-\frac{1}{2}} = Q \Sigma Q^T$$

Thus:

$$(*) = \text{Tr}(X^{-1}(I_n + tQ \Sigma Q^T)^{-1})$$

$$= \text{Tr}(X^{-1}(Q^T)^{-1}(I_n + t\Sigma)^{-1}Q^{-1}) \quad || Q^{-1} = Q^T$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Tr} (X^{-1} Q (I + t \Sigma)^{-1} Q^T) \\
 &= \text{Tr} (Q^T X^{-1} Q (I + t \Sigma)^{-1}) \quad \| \text{Tr}(AB) = \sum_i A_{ii} B_{ii} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (Q^T X^{-1} Q)_{ii} \underbrace{(I + t \Sigma)^{-1}}_{\text{diagonal matrix with entries } 1+t\lambda_i}_{ii} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (Q^T X^{-1} Q)_{ii} \frac{1}{1+t\lambda_i}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $X \in S_{++}^n$, $X^{-1} \in S_{++}^n$.

Thus $Q^T X^{-1} Q \in S_{++}^n$, which implies that the diagonal entries of $Q^T X^{-1} Q$ are positive.

Furthermore, $\forall t \in \text{dom}(g): 1 + t \lambda_i > 0$

So, $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$:

$$t \mapsto (Q^T X^{-1} Q)_{ii} \frac{1}{1+t\lambda_i}$$

is a convex function in t ($t \in \mathbb{R}_+$).

Finally, g is convex since it is a non-negative weighted sum.

2) Let us start off by proving:

$$y^T X^{-1} y = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ 2y^T z - z^T X z \}$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &z^T X z - 2y^T z \\
 &= (z - X^{-1} y)^T X (z - X^{-1} y) - y^T X^{-1} y
 \end{aligned}$$

The infimum in terms of z is reached if

$$z = X^{-1} y$$

Thus:

$$\inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ z^T X z - 2y^T z \} = -y^T X^{-1} y$$

$$\Leftrightarrow y^T X^{-1} y = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ 2y^T z - z^T X z \}$$

Since the function

$$g: S_{++}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$(X, y, z) \mapsto 2y^T z - z^T X z$$

is affine in both X and y , we know that it is convex in (X, y) $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Thus:

$$(X, y) \mapsto \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{2y^T z - z^T X z\}$$

is convex.

3) Consider the singular value decomposition of X :

$$X = U \Sigma V^T$$

where U, V are $n \times n$ orthogonal matrices and Σ is a diagonal matrix with entries $\sigma_1(X), \dots, \sigma_n(X)$

Then:

$$f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(X)$$

$$= \text{Tr}(\Sigma)$$

$$= \text{Tr}(U^T U \Sigma V^T V) \quad \| \text{Tr}(ABC) = \text{Tr}(CBA) \}$$

$$= \text{Tr}(V U^T U \Sigma V^T)$$

$$= \text{Tr}(V U^T X)$$

Since U and V^T are orthogonal, we have for

$$A = UV^T :$$

$$\|A\| = 1 \quad \text{where } \|\cdot\| \text{ is the spectral norm}$$

Thus:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(X) \leq \sup_{\|Y\| \leq 1} (\text{Tr}(Y^T X))$$

On the other hand, consider $\|Y\| \leq 1$. Then:

$$\text{Tr}(Y^T X) = \text{Tr}(Y^T U \Sigma V^T)$$

$$= \text{Tr}(V^T Y^T U \Sigma)$$

$$\text{Set } Z = V^T Y^T U.$$

Since U and V are orthogonal:

$$\|Z\| = \|Y\| \leq 1$$

Thus, by von Neumann's trace inequality:

$$\text{Tr}(Y^T X) = \text{Tr}(Z \Sigma)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\text{tr}(Z)}_{\leq 1} \underbrace{\sigma_i(\Sigma)}_{=\sigma_i(X)}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(X)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{\|Y\| \leq 1} (\text{Tr}(Y^T X)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(X)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{\|Y\| \leq 1} (\text{Tr}(Y^T X)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(X)$$

For $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ fixed

$$X \mapsto \text{Tr}(Y^T X)$$

is the composition of two linear functions,
so is also linear, thus convex in X .

Then

$$f(X) = \sup_{\|Y\| \leq 1} (\text{Tr}(Y^T X))$$

is also convex.

* Remark (Ex. 3. 1)

To show that $1 + t \lambda_i > 0 \quad \forall t \in \text{dom}(g)$,
it suffices to show that

$$I_n + t X^{-\frac{1}{2}} V X^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

is positive definite.

By assumption, we have that $X, X + tV \in S_+^\infty$.

$$\text{Set } A = \underbrace{X^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{\succ 0} \text{ and } B = I_n + t X^{-\frac{1}{2}} V X^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then:

$$X + tV = A B A$$

Since $X + tV \succ 0$, we have:

$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$y^T A B A y > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (A y)^T B (A y) > 0$$

$$\forall y' \in \mathbb{R}^n, \exists y \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ st. } y = A^{-1} y'$$

So $\forall y' \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$(\gamma')^T B \gamma' > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow B = I_n + t X^{-\frac{1}{2}} V X^{-\frac{1}{2}} > 0$$