Design of experiment exercise

Benjamin Cathelineau

25/12/2021

First approach

The first approach is to assume that the underlying function is of the form $f(x1, x2, ..., x11) = x1 \times c1 + x2 \times c2 + ... + x1 \times c11 + intercept + noise = y$

In that case, the best approach seems to figure out each of the of coefficient $(c1, c2, \ldots, c11, intercept)$, individually.

To do that, my approach was to set to 1 the coefficient that I wanted to figure out and to 0 all the others. To figure out the intercept, I set all the coefficients to 0.

```
cat first_experiment.csv
```

The idea is to repeat this experiment multiple time to minimize the effect of *noise* which is assumed to be random and uniform. I ran the experiment 8 times on the website and saved the result as a csv.

library(dplyr)

```
##
## Attachement du package : 'dplyr'
## Les objets suivants sont masqués depuis 'package:stats':
##
## filter, lag
## Les objets suivants sont masqués depuis 'package:base':
##
## intersect, setdiff, setequal, union
df =read.csv(file = "first_experiment_result.csv")
```

First, we figure out the intercept by using the experiments with all input set to 0.

```
intercept_exp=df
for (name in colnames(df)){
  name= toString(name)
  if(name != "y" && name != "Date"){
    intercept_exp= intercept_exp %>% filter(intercept_exp[[name]]==0)
  }
}
intercept=mean(intercept_exp$y)
intercept
```

[1] 1.015288

##

0.0007413

-0.0008766

Then we figure each individual coefficient by using the intercept:

```
for (name in colnames(df)){
  name= toString(name)
  if(name != "y" && name != "Date"){
    coeff_exp= df %>% filter(df[[name]]==1)
    print(paste(name,": ",mean(coeff_exp$y)-intercept))
  }
}
```

```
## [1] "x1 : -0.595411814400044"

## [1] "x2 : -0.00150854449204041"

## [1] "x3 : 0.00134383113797831"

## [1] "x4 : 1.00055712403771"

## [1] "x5 : 0.000488142660988178"

## [1] "x6 : 0.00074128407704821"

## [1] "x7 : -0.000876641022951707"

## [1] "x8 : -0.00145325712797417"

## [1] "x9 : -1.99949921112206"

## [1] "x10 : -0.000384207733760578"

## [1] "x11 : 0.000777744619766985"
```

We can use a linear regression for a sanity check and we see that we obtain exactly the same result. This linear regression will also allow us to predict.

```
form <- as.formula(paste("y~", paste0("x", 1:11, collapse="+")))</pre>
reg=lm(form,data=df)
reg
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = form, data = df)
##
## Coefficients:
## (Intercept)
                                          x2
                                                         x3
                                                                        x4
                                                                                      x5
                            x1
##
     1.0152882
                   -0.5954118
                                 -0.0015085
                                                 0.0013438
                                                                1.0005571
                                                                               0.0004881
##
             x6
                            <sub>x</sub>7
                                          x8
                                                         x9
                                                                       x10
                                                                                     x11
```

Now that we have a linear model, we can generate experiments to test it. We simply generate 10 set of 11 random number that we output to a csv file, so that we can copy paste it to the website. We fix a seed to avoid loosing our value by running the code multiple time.

-1.9994992

-0.0003842

0.0007777

-0.0014533

```
set.seed(1)
new_random_experiment=t(runif(n=11))
```

```
for (n in 1:9){
  appendable=t(runif(n=11))
  new_random_experiment=rbind(new_random_experiment,appendable)
write.table(x=new_random_experiment,file = "first_experiment_test.csv",row.names = FALSE,col.names = FA
Before even going to the website, we will now try to use our model to predict y.
Here are our experiments:
colnames(new_random_experiment) <- c("x1","x2","x3","x4","x5","x6","x7","x8","x9","x10","x11")
new random experiment
##
                 x1
                           x2
                                       x3
                                                             x5
                                                                        x6
                                                                                  <sub>x</sub>7
                                                  x4
   [1,] 0.26550866 0.3721239 0.57285336 0.90820779 0.20168193 0.8983897 0.9446753
##
   [2,] 0.17655675 0.6870228 0.38410372 0.76984142 0.49769924 0.7176185 0.9919061
##
   [3,] 0.65167377 0.1255551 0.26722067 0.38611409 0.01339033 0.3823880 0.8696908
  [4,] 0.18621760 0.8273733 0.66846674 0.79423986 0.10794363 0.7237109 0.4112744
##
   [5,] 0.52971958 0.7893562 0.02333120 0.47723007 0.73231374 0.6927316 0.4776196
   [6,] 0.09946616 0.3162717 0.51863426 0.66200508 0.40683019 0.9128759 0.2936034
##
    [7,] 0.47854525 0.7663107 0.08424691 0.87532133 0.33907294 0.8394404 0.3466835
##
   [8,] 0.38998954 0.7773207 0.96061800 0.43465948 0.71251468 0.3999944 0.3253522
  [9,] 0.24548851 0.1433044 0.23962942 0.05893438 0.64228826 0.8762692 0.7789147
## [10,] 0.60493329 0.6547239 0.35319727 0.27026015 0.99268406 0.6334933 0.2132081
##
                8x
                          x9
                                    x10
##
   [1,] 0.6607978 0.6291140 0.06178627 0.20597457
  [2,] 0.3800352 0.7774452 0.93470523 0.21214252
   [3,] 0.3403490 0.4820801 0.59956583 0.49354131
## [4,] 0.8209463 0.6470602 0.78293276 0.55303631
## [5,] 0.8612095 0.4380971 0.24479728 0.07067905
## [6,] 0.4590657 0.3323947 0.65087047 0.25801678
##
   [7,] 0.3337749 0.4763512 0.89219834 0.86433947
## [8,] 0.7570871 0.2026923 0.71112122 0.12169192
## [9,] 0.7973088 0.4552745 0.41008408 0.81087024
## [10,] 0.1293723 0.4781180 0.92407447 0.59876097
Now let's get the prediction from our model:
for (n in 1:10){
  print(predict(object = reg,newdata=as.list(new_random_experiment[n,])))
}
##
           1
## 0.5073228
##
           1
## 0.1245721
##
## 0.04904047
##
           1
## 0.4041131
##
           1
## 0.2994102
##
           1
## 0.9539371
##
## 0.6529872
```

```
## 1
## 0.811901
## 1
## 0.01746822
## 1
## -0.03030531
```

Now we can try running the experiment on the website to see if we get similar results. We save the result as a csv file to keep it for later.

```
first_experiment_results=read.csv(file = "first_experiment_test_results.csv")
first_experiment_results
```

```
##
                     Date
                                                                             x5
                                  x1
                                            x2
                                                       x3
                                                                  x4
## 1
     2021-12-29-09:44:47 0.26550866 0.3721239 0.57285336 0.90820779 0.20168193
     2021-12-29-09:44:48 0.17655675 0.6870228 0.38410372 0.76984142 0.49769924
## 3 2021-12-29-09:44:49 0.65167377 0.1255551 0.26722067 0.38611409 0.01339033
## 4 2021-12-29-09:44:50 0.18621760 0.8273733 0.66846674 0.79423986 0.10794363
     2021-12-29-09:44:51 0.52971958 0.7893562 0.02333120 0.47723007 0.73231374
## 5
     2021-12-29-09:44:51 0.09946616 0.3162717 0.51863426 0.66200508 0.40683019
     2021-12-29-09:44:53 0.47854525 0.7663107 0.08424691 0.87532133 0.33907294
     2021-12-29-09:44:54 0.38998954 0.7773207 0.96061800 0.43465948 0.71251468
     2021-12-29-09:44:55 0.24548851 0.1433044 0.23962942 0.05893438 0.64228826
## 10 2021-12-29-09:44:56 0.60493329 0.6547239 0.35319727 0.27026015 0.99268406
                                 x8
                                           x9
                                                     x10
## 1 0.8983897 0.9446753 0.6607978 0.6291140 0.06178627 0.20597457 0.53893656
     0.7176185 0.9919061 0.3800352 0.7774452 0.93470523 0.21214252 0.05792859
## 3 0.3823880 0.8696908 0.3403490 0.4820801 0.59956583 0.49354131 1.75858588
## 4 0.7237109 0.4112744 0.8209463 0.6470602 0.78293276 0.55303631 0.48204202
## 5  0.6927316  0.4776196  0.8612095  0.4380971  0.24479728  0.07067905  1.31945078
## 6 0.9128759 0.2936034 0.4590657 0.3323947 0.65087047 0.25801678 0.98110610
## 7 0.8394404 0.3466835 0.3337749 0.4763512 0.89219834 0.86433947 1.41765069
## 8 0.3999944 0.3253522 0.7570871 0.2026923 0.71112122 0.12169192 1.32207435
## 9 0.8762692 0.7789147 0.7973088 0.4552745 0.41008408 0.81087024 0.25692749
## 10 0.6334933 0.2132081 0.1293723 0.4781180 0.92407447 0.59876097 1.47170471
```

We immediately see that most of our prediction are completely of the mark. We can compare them with the actual result, and make an average of the error:

```
compare_prediction <- function(amount_experiment,model,data,result){
error_sum=0
for (n in 1:amount_experiment){
   prediction=predict(object = model,newdata=as.list(data[n,]))
   error=prediction-result$y[n]
   error_sum=error_sum+ abs(error)
   print(paste("prediction: ",prediction, ", Actual value: ",result$y[n],", Error: ",error))
}
error_sum/10
}
compare_prediction(amount_experiment = 10,model = reg, data = new_random_experiment,result = first_expe
## [1] "prediction: 0.507322792350404 , Actual value: 0.538936564882502 , Error: -0.0316137725320981</pre>
```

[1] "prediction: 0.124572121198922 , Actual value: 0.0579285899614864 , Error: 0.0666435312374352 ## [1] "prediction: 0.0490404708336144 , Actual value: 1.75858587841955 , Error: -1.70954540758594"

```
## [1] "prediction: 0.404113090568489 , Actual value: 0.482042015052203 , Error: -0.0779289244837144
                    0.299410217242801 , Actual value: 1.31945077968118 , Error: -1.02004056243838"
## [1] "prediction:
## [1] "prediction:
                    0.953937070920315 , Actual value: 0.981106101423224 , Error: -0.0271690305029091
## [1] "prediction:
                   0.652987183420856 , Actual value: 1.4176506916448 , Error:
                                                                                -0.764663508223944"
## [1] "prediction:
                   0.811901005890084 , Actual value:
                                                      1.32207434957929 , Error: -0.510173343689206"
## [1] "prediction: 0.017468217411889 , Actual value: 0.256927493123191 , Error: -0.239459275711302"
                    -0.0303053141737816 , Actual value: 1.47170470565657 , Error: -1.50201001983035
  [1] "prediction:
##
## 0.5949247
```

This is not surprising as, I asked the professor *Arnaud Legrand* on Mattermost and he told me that, while this was a decent first approach, the underlying function was *not* actually of the form $f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{11}) = x_1 \times c_1 + x_2 \times c_2 + ... + x_1 \times c_{11} + intercept + noise = y$

Second approach

Eliminate some coefficient

In my opinion we can use the results of the first experiment for the coefficient that have no effect, especially if we remember that $Arnaud\ Legrand$ gave us the hint that some coefficient (ie some inputs) don't really affect the output. In fact, from the original experiment, it seems that only 3 coefficient matters¹ (x_1, x_4, x_9)

This is risky, because theses coefficient that seem to not change the output (x2, x3, x5, x6, x7, x8, x10, x11) according to the first design, may actually change the output in a complex way that we do not yet see. Nonetheless this would greatly increase the efficiency of the experiment if the assumption is correct.

I am willing to take that risk.

First, instead of the completely non randomized design that I had before, I'm now going to use the *Latin Hyper Square* design presented in class. After a lengthy process to install the library, I just slightly modified the code to adapt it to the current problem.

```
library(DoE.wrapper);
                        set.seed(42);
## Le chargement a nécessité le package : FrF2
## Le chargement a nécessité le package : DoE.base
## Le chargement a nécessité le package : grid
## Le chargement a nécessité le package : conf.design
## Registered S3 method overwritten by 'DoE.base':
##
     method
                      from
##
     factorize.factor conf.design
##
## Attachement du package : 'DoE.base'
## Les objets suivants sont masqués depuis 'package:stats':
##
##
       aov, lm
## L'objet suivant est masqué depuis 'package:graphics':
##
##
       plot.design
```

¹ in the meaning that they change the output significantly, ie more that 10^{-2}

```
## L'objet suivant est masqué depuis 'package:base':
##
             lengths
##
## Le chargement a nécessité le package : rsm
d5_HD = lhs.design( type= "maximin" , nruns= 100 ,nfactors= 11,
       seed= 42, factor.names=list(x1=c(0,1),x2=c(0,0),x3=c(0,0),x4=c(0,1),x5=c(0,0),x6=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0,0),x7=c(0
d5 HD[is.na(d5 HD)] <- 0
write.table(x=d5_HD,file = "second_experiment.csv",row.names = FALSE,col.names = FALSE,sep = ",")
Now I run those experiment on the website and get the results:
library(dplyr)
df =read.csv(file = "second_experiment_results.csv")
newreg=lm(y~x1+x4+x9,data=df)
newreg
##
## Call:
## lm.default(formula = y \sim x1 + x4 + x9, data = df)
##
## Coefficients:
## (Intercept)
                                                                                                    x9
                                                 x1
                                                                          x4
               1.4583
                                         0.8377
                                                                  0.7301
I then run the prediction and compare them against the actual value:
compare_prediction(amount_experiment = 10, model = newreg, data = new_random_experiment, result = first_ex
## [1] "prediction: 0.84511166933275 , Actual value: 0.538936564882502 , Error: 0.306175104450248"
## [1] "prediction: 0.316213516398497 , Actual value: 0.0579285899614864 , Error: 0.258284926437011"
## [1] "prediction: 1.13770171680073 , Actual value: 1.75858587841955 , Error: -0.620884161618824"
## [1] "prediction: 0.652729064097538 , Actual value: 0.482042015052203 , Error: 0.170687049045335"
## [1] "prediction: 1.2068405439139 , Actual value: 1.31945077968118 , Error: -0.112610235767283"
## [1] "prediction: 1.23312355290295 , Actual value: 0.981106101423224 , Error: 0.252017451479727"
## [1] "prediction: 1.36348398568296 , Actual value: 1.4176506916448 , Error: -0.0541667059618383"
## [1] "prediction: 1.6194988312837 , Actual value: 1.32207434957929 , Error: 0.297424481704413"
## [1] "prediction: 0.622420694857796 , Actual value: 0.256927493123191 , Error: 0.365493201734605"
## [1] "prediction: 1.02340104915334 , Actual value: 1.47170470565657 , Error: -0.448303656503229"
##
## 0.2886047
The average error is 0.28. This is isn't perfect but it's a start, and it's \approx 2 times better than the previous
approach.
Remove x4
For some reason, the average error is slightly lower when we remove x4.
newreg3=lm(y~x1+x9,data=df)
```

newreg3

Call:

```
## lm.default(formula = y \sim x1 + x9, data = df)
##
## Coefficients:
## (Intercept)
                                     x9
                        x1
       1.8531
                    0.7986
                                -2.4025
compare_prediction(amount_experiment = 10, model = newreg3, data = new_random_experiment, result = first_e
## [1] "prediction: 0.553656511492916 , Actual value: 0.538936564882502 , Error: 0.014719946610414"
## [1] "prediction: 0.126249750040711 , Actual value: 0.0579285899614864 , Error: 0.0683211600792243
## [1] "prediction: 1.21531119552158 , Actual value: 1.75858587841955 , Error: -0.543274682897968"
## [1] "prediction: 0.447216214143494 , Actual value: 0.482042015052203 , Error: -0.0348258009087089
## [1] "prediction: 1.22358392996412 , Actual value: 1.31945077968118 , Error: -0.0958668497170552"
## [1] "prediction: 1.13391977033709 , Actual value: 0.981106101423224 , Error: 0.152813668913865"
## [1] "prediction: 1.09080852076207 , Actual value: 1.4176506916448 , Error: -0.326842170882734"
## [1] "prediction: 1.67755264339738 , Actual value: 1.32207434957929 , Error: 0.355478293818087"
## [1] "prediction: 0.955318624787866 , Actual value: 0.256927493123191 , Error: 0.698391131664675"
## [1] "prediction: 1.18750158939375 , Actual value: 1.47170470565657 , Error: -0.284203116262822"
## 0.2574737
```

Try with all coefficients

We redo a experiment design, this time with all the coefficient

```
library(DoE.wrapper);
                                                                     set.seed(42);
d5_HD = lhs.design( type= "maximin" , nruns= 100 ,nfactors= 11,
           seed=42, factor.names=list(x1=c(0,1),x2=c(0,1),x3=c(0,1),x4=c(0,1),x5=c(0,1),x6=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,1),x7=c(0,
d5 HD[is.na(d5 HD)] <- 0
write.table(x=d5 HD,file = "third experiment.csv",row.names = FALSE,col.names = FALSE,sep = ",")
df =read.csv(file = "third experiment results.csv")
newreg2=lm(form, data=df)
newreg2
##
## Call:
## lm.default(formula = form, data = df)
## Coefficients:
        (Intercept)
                                                                         x1
                                                                                                               x2
                                                                                                                                                     xЗ
                                                                                                                                                                                           x4
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 x5
##
                    1.04849
                                                          0.69197
                                                                                                0.05872
                                                                                                                                   -0.23648
                                                                                                                                                                            1.04127
                                                                                                                                                                                                                   0.11842
##
                                   x6
                                                                         x7
                                                                                                               8x
                                                                                                                                                     x9
                                                                                                                                                                                        x10
                                                                                                                                                                                                                              x11
                                                       -0.03209
##
                 -0.07546
                                                                                                0.02593
                                                                                                                                   -1.95942
                                                                                                                                                                            0.04781
                                                                                                                                                                                                                   0.12570
compare_prediction(amount_experiment = 10,model = newreg2,data = new_random_experiment,result = first_ex
## [1] "prediction: 0.803341676877893 , Actual value: 0.538936564882502 , Error: 0.264405111995392"
## [1] "prediction: 0.452608183748029 , Actual value: 0.0579285899614864 , Error: 0.394679593786542"
## [1] "prediction: 0.945413139902232 , Actual value: 1.75858587841955 , Error: -0.813172738517318"
```

[1] "prediction: 0.700218399738827 , Actual value: 0.482042015052203 , Error: 0.218176384686624" ## [1] "prediction: 1.15641878443127 , Actual value: 1.31945077968118 , Error: -0.163031995249912"

The average error is barely better than the x1 + x4 + x9 model, but worse than the x1 + x9 model...

Step reg model

I remembered the bat exercise and decided to try to use the step command.

```
reg0=lm(y~1,data = df)
stepreg= step(reg0,scope = form, direction = "forward")
## Start: AIC=-98.47
## y ~ 1
##
##
          Df Sum of Sq
                           RSS
                                    AIC
## + x9
                74.639 146.68 -222.751
## + x4
           1
                15.393 205.93 -118.596
## + x1
           1
                 5.149 216.17 -103.691
## <none>
                        221.32
                               -98.465
## + x3
           1
                 1.199 220.12
                                -98.133
                 0.373 220.94
## + x5
           1
                                -96.983
## + x7
           1
                 0.361 220.96
                                -96.966
## + x11
           1
                 0.080 221.24
                                -96.577
## + x6
           1
                 0.044 221.27
                                -96.526
## + x10
           1
                 0.038 221.28
                                -96.518
## + x8
           1
                 0.002 221.32
                                -96.468
## + x2
           1
                 0.001 221.32
                               -96.466
##
## Step:
         AIC=-222.75
## y \sim x9
##
##
          Df Sum of Sq
                           RSS
                                   AIC
                46.051 100.63 -336.43
## + x4
                26.476 120.20 -281.86
## + x1
           1
## + x5
           1
                 1.955 144.72 -224.87
## + x10
           1
                 1.895 144.78 -224.74
## + x11
           1
                 1.890 144.79 -224.73
## + x8
           1
                 1.348 145.33 -223.58
## + x2
                 1.236 145.44 -223.35
           1
## <none>
                        146.68 -222.75
## + x6
           1
                 0.887 145.79 -222.61
## + x7
           1
                 0.489 146.19 -221.78
## + x3
                 0.087 146.59 -220.93
           1
##
## Step: AIC=-336.43
## y \sim x9 + x4
##
##
          Df Sum of Sq
                            RSS
                                    AIC
## + x1
               15.1952 85.432 -384.69
           1
```

```
## + x11
               0.6794 99.948 -336.51
## <none>
                      100.628 -336.43
## + x5
              0.5833 100.044 -336.22
## + x2
              0.2762 100.351 -335.28
          1
## + x10
         1
               0.2452 100.382 -335.18
## + x3
          1
             0.1304 100.497 -334.83
## + x8
             0.1156 100.512 -334.79
         1
## + x7
             0.0429 100.585 -334.57
         1
## + x6
          1
             0.0352 100.592 -334.54
##
## Step: AIC=-384.69
## y \sim x9 + x4 + x1
##
##
         Df Sum of Sq
                         RSS
                                 AIC
                      85.432 -384.69
## <none>
## + x3
              0.40596 85.026 -384.15
## + x11
          1 0.23295 85.199 -383.53
## + x5
          1 0.17028 85.262 -383.30
## + x2
             0.06358 85.369 -382.92
          1
## + x10
          1
             0.05317 85.379 -382.88
## + x8
          1 0.02377 85.409 -382.78
## + x6
          1 0.01532 85.417 -382.75
## + x7
              0.00045 85.432 -382.69
          1
summary(stepreg)
##
## Call:
## lm.default(formula = y \sim x9 + x4 + x1, data = df)
## Residuals:
                 1Q
                     Median
## -1.47850 -0.13429 -0.04331 0.13640 1.13419
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 1.05628
                          0.05410 19.523 < 2e-16 ***
                          0.09592 -20.534 < 2e-16 ***
## x9
              -1.96958
                          0.09388 11.105 < 2e-16 ***
## x4
              1.04258
                                    7.341 1.96e-12 ***
## x1
               0.69792
                          0.09507
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.531 on 303 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.614, Adjusted R-squared: 0.6102
## F-statistic: 160.6 on 3 and 303 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
Notice that it does land on a x9 + x4 + x1.
Let's test this model:
compare_prediction(amount_experiment = 10, model = stepreg, data = new_random_experiment, result = first_e
## [1] "prediction: 0.949366005965204 , Actual value: 0.538936564882502 , Error: 0.410429441082702"
## [1] "prediction: 0.450877503797669 , Actual value: 0.0579285899614864 , Error: 0.392948913836183"
```

[1] "prediction: 0.96415001566739 , Actual value: 1.75858587841955 , Error: -0.79443586275216"

```
## [1] "prediction: 0.739860865333163 , Actual value: 0.482042015052203 , Error: 0.25781885028096" ## [1] "prediction: 1.06065943941762 , Actual value: 1.31945077968118 , Error: -0.258791340263555" ## [1] "prediction: 1.16120952678661 , Actual value: 0.981106101423224 , Error: 0.180103425363388" ## [1] "prediction: 1.36463970940028 , Actual value: 1.4176506916448 , Error: -0.0530109822445184" ## [1] "prediction: 1.38240497435419 , Actual value: 1.32207434957929 , Error: 0.0603306247749045" ## [1] "prediction: 0.392352681947729 , Actual value: 0.256927493123191 , Error: 0.135425188824538" ## [1] "prediction: 0.818546168651092 , Actual value: 1.47170470565657 , Error: -0.653158537005478" ## 1 ## 0.3196453

It's worse than the x1 + x9 model...:(.
```

Final attempt: step without x4

x4 is suspicious.

```
reg0=lm(y~1,data = df)
stepreg2= step(reg0,scope = y \sim x1+x2+x3+x5+x6+x7+x8+x9+x10+x11, direction = "forward")
## Start:
          AIC=-98.47
## y ~ 1
##
##
          Df Sum of Sq
                          RSS
                                    AIC
                74.639 146.68 -222.751
## + x9
## + x1
           1
                 5.149 216.17 -103.691
## <none>
                       221.32 -98.465
                 1.199 220.12
## + x3
           1
                               -98.133
                               -96.983
## + x5
           1
                 0.373 220.94
## + x7
           1
                 0.361 220.96 -96.966
## + x11
           1
                 0.080 221.24
                               -96.577
## + x6
           1
                 0.044 221.27
                               -96.526
## + x10
           1
                 0.038 221.28
                               -96.518
## + x8
           1
                 0.002 221.32 -96.468
## + x2
                 0.001 221.32 -96.466
           1
##
## Step:
         AIC=-222.75
## y ~ x9
##
          Df Sum of Sq
                          RSS
##
## + x1
               26.4761 120.20 -281.86
## + x5
           1
               1.9546 144.72 -224.87
## + x10
           1
                1.8949 144.78 -224.74
## + x11
           1
                1.8905 144.79 -224.73
## + x8
           1
                1.3477 145.33 -223.59
## + x2
           1
                1.2355 145.44 -223.35
## <none>
                       146.68 -222.75
## + x6
           1
                0.8866 145.79 -222.61
## + x7
           1
                0.4893 146.19 -221.78
## + x3
                0.0868 146.59 -220.93
           1
##
## Step: AIC=-281.86
## y \sim x9 + x1
##
##
          Df Sum of Sq
                          RSS
                                   AIC
          1 0.82672 119.38 -281.98
## + x10
```

```
## <none>
                      120.20 -281.86
## + x11
             0.73001 119.47 -281.73
          1
## + x5
          1 0.72937 119.47 -281.73
## + x8
          1 0.64361 119.56 -281.51
## + x2
              0.45391 119.75 -281.02
## + x6
         1 0.18666 120.02 -280.34
## + x7
         1 0.11069 120.09 -280.15
## + x3
          1 0.02443 120.18 -279.93
##
## Step: AIC=-281.98
## y \sim x9 + x1 + x10
##
         Df Sum of Sq
##
                         RSS
                                 AIC
                      119.38 -281.98
## <none>
## + x3
              0.38011 119.00 -280.96
          1
## + x11
          1
              0.25489 119.12 -280.64
## + x5
          1
              0.25245 119.12 -280.63
## + x8
              0.19977 119.18 -280.50
## + x2
              0.07980 119.30 -280.19
          1
## + x7
              0.00708 119.37 -280.00
## + x6
          1
              0.00006 119.38 -279.98
summary(stepreg2)
##
## Call:
## lm.default(formula = y \sim x9 + x1 + x10, data = df)
## Residuals:
      Min
               1Q Median
                               30
## -1.7288 -0.2421 -0.1158 0.3868 1.7193
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 1.25335
                          0.06151 20.375 < 2e-16 ***
                          0.11081 -15.673 < 2e-16 ***
## x9
              -1.73684
                                   8.031 2.17e-14 ***
## x1
               0.88892
                          0.11069
               0.16157
## x10
                          0.11153
                                    1.449
                                             0.148
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.6277 on 303 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.4606, Adjusted R-squared: 0.4553
## F-statistic: 86.25 on 3 and 303 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
compare_prediction(amount_experiment = 10,model = stepreg2,data = new_random_experiment,result = first_
## [1] "prediction: 0.406670827707663 , Actual value: 0.538936564882502 , Error: -0.132265737174839"
## [1] "prediction: 0.211006264068574 , Actual value: 0.0579285899614864 , Error: 0.153077674107087"
## [1] "prediction: 1.09220071278805 , Actual value: 1.75858587841955 , Error: -0.666385165631499"
## [1] "prediction: 0.421531023624567 , Actual value: 0.482042015052203 , Error: -0.0605109914276361
## [1] "prediction: 1.00286680211418 , Actual value: 1.31945077968118 , Error: -0.316583977567005"
## [1] "prediction: 0.869604304517497 , Actual value: 0.981106101423224 , Error: -0.111501796905726"
## [1] "prediction: 0.995533821454845 , Actual value: 1.4176506916448 , Error: -0.422116870189955"
## [1] "prediction: 1.36286220683669 , Actual value: 1.32207434957929 , Error: 0.0407878572574025"
```

Third approach

??