## The Spectral Theorem

Oliver Butterley

Yoh Tanimoto

February 14, 2025

## 0.1 The Spectral Theorem

Functional Analysis by Walter Rudin 1991, extract from Chapter 12

The principal assertion of the spectral theorem is that every bounded normal operator T on a Hilbert space induces (in a canonical way) a resolution E of the identity on the Borel subsets of its spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  and that T can be reconstructed from E by an integral of the type discussed in Theorem 12.21. A large part of the theory of normal operators depends on this fact.

It should perhaps be stated explicitly that the spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  of an operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  will always refer to the full algebra  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ . In other words,  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  if and only if  $T - \lambda I$  has no inverse in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ . Sometimes we shall also be concerned with closed subalgebras A of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  which have the additional property that  $I \in A$  and  $T^* \in A$  whenever  $T \in A$ . (Such algebras are sometimes called \*-algebras.)

Let A be such an algebra, and suppose that  $T \in A$  and  $T^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ . Since  $TT^*$  is self-adjoint,  $\sigma(TT^*)$  is a compact subset of the real line (Theorem 12.15), hence does not separate  $\mathbb{C}$ , and therefore  $\sigma_A(TT^*) = \sigma(TT^*)$ , by the corollary to Theorem 10.18. Since  $TT^*$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ , this equality shows that  $(TT^*)^{-1} \in A$ , and therefore  $T^{-1} = T(TT^*)^{-1}$  is also in A.

Thus T has the same spectrum relative to all closed \*-algebras in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  that contain T.

Theorem 12.23 will be obtained as a special case of the following result, which deals with normal algebras of operators rather than with individual ones.

**Theorem 1** (12.22). If A is a closed normal subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  which contains the identity operator I and if  $\Delta$  is the maximal ideal space of A, then the following assertions are true:

1. There exists a unique resolution E of the identity on the Borel subsets of  $\Delta$  which satisfies

$$T = \int_{\Delta} \widehat{T} \ dE \tag{1}$$

for every  $T \in A$ , where  $\widehat{T}$  is the Gelfand transform of T.

2. The inverse of the Gelfand transform (i.e., the map that takes  $\widehat{T}$  back to T) extends to an isometric \*-isomorphism of the algebra  $L^{\infty}(E)$  onto a closed subalgebra B of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ ,  $B \supset A$ , given by

$$\Phi f = \int_{\Lambda} f \ dE \quad (f \in L^{\infty}(E)). \tag{2}$$

Explicitly,  $\Phi$  is linear and multiplicative and satisfies

$$\Phi(\bar{f})=(\Phi f)^*, \|\Phi f\|=\|f\|_{\infty} \quad (f\in L^{\infty}(E)).$$

- 3. B is the closure [in the norm topology of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ ] of the set of all finite linear combinations of the projections  $E(\omega)$ .
- 4. If  $\omega \subset \Delta$  is open and nonempty, then  $E(\omega) \neq 0$ .
- 5. An operator  $S \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  commutes with every  $T \in A$  if and only if S commutes with every projection  $E(\omega)$ .

*Proof.* Recall that (1) is an abbreviation for

$$(Tx,y) = \int_{\Lambda} \widehat{T} dE_{x,y} \quad (x,y \in H, T \in A). \tag{3}$$

Since  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  is a  $B^*$ -algebra (Section 12.9), our given algebra A is a commutative  $B^*$ -algebra. The Gelfand-Naimark theorem 11.18 asserts therefore that  $T \to \widehat{T}$  is an isometric \*-isomorphism of A onto  $C(\Delta)$ .

This leads to an easy proof of the uniqueness of E. Suppose E satisfies (3). Since  $\widehat{T}$  ranges over all of  $C(\Delta)$ , the assumed regularity of the complex Borel measures  $E_{x,y}$  shows that each  $E_{x,y}$  is uniquely determined by (3); this follows from the uniqueness assertion that is part of the Riesz representation theorem ([23], Th. 6.19). Since, by definition,  $(E(\omega)x, y) = E_{x,y}(\omega)$ , each projection  $E(\omega)$  is also uniquely determined by (3).

This uniqueness proof motivates the following proof of the existence of E. If  $x \in H$  and  $y \in H$ , Theorem 11.18 shows that  $\widehat{T} \mapsto (Tx,y)$  is a bounded linear functional on  $C(\Delta)$ , of norm  $\leq \|x\| \|\|y\|$ , since  $\|\widehat{T}\|_{\infty} = \|T\|$ . The Riesz representation theorem supplies us therefore with unique regular complex Borel measures  $\mu_{x,y}$  on  $\Delta$  such that

$$(Tx,y) = \int_{\Delta} \widehat{T} \ d\mu_{x,y} \quad (x,y \in H, T \in A). \tag{4}$$

For fixed T, the left side of (4) is a bounded sesquilinear functional on H, hence so is the right side, and it remains so if the continuous function  $\widehat{T}$  is replaced by an arbitrary bounded Borel function f. To each such f corresponds therefore an operator  $\Phi f \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  (see Theorem 12.8) such that

$$((\Phi f)x, y) = \int_{\Lambda} f \ d\mu_{x,y} \quad (x, y \in H). \tag{5}$$

Comparison of (4) and (5) shows that  $\Phi \hat{T} = T$ . Thus  $\Phi$  is an extension of the inverse of the Gelfand transform.

It is clear that  $\Phi$  is linear.

Part of the Gelfand-Naimark theorem states that T is self-adjoint if and only if  $\hat{T}$  is real-valued. For such T,

$$\int_{\Delta} \widehat{T} \ d\mu_{x,y} = (Tx,y) = (x,Ty) = \overline{(Ty,x)} = \overline{\int_{\Delta} \widehat{T} d\mu_{y,x}},$$

and this implies that  $\mu_{y,x} = \overline{\mu_{x,y}}$ . Hence,

$$((\Phi\overline{f})x,y)=\int_{\Delta}\bar{f}\ d\mu_{x,y}=\overline{\int_{\Delta}f\,d\mu_{y,x}}=\overline{((\Phi f)y,x)}=(x,(\Phi f)y)$$

for all  $x, y \in H$ , so that

$$\Phi \bar{f} = (\Phi f)^*. \tag{6}$$

Our next objective is the equality

$$\Phi(fg) = (\Phi f)(\Phi g) \tag{7}$$

for bounded Borel functions f, g on  $\Delta$ . If  $S \in A$  and  $T \in A$ , then  $(ST)^{\wedge} = \widehat{ST}$ ; hence

$$\int_{\Delta} \hat{S} \hat{T} \ d\mu_{x,y} = (STx,y) = \int_{\Delta} \hat{S} \ d\mu_{Tx,y}.$$

This holds for every  $\widehat{S} \in C(\Delta)$ ; hence the two integrals are equal if  $\widehat{S}$  is replaced by any bounded Borel function f. Thus

$$\int_{\Lambda}f\widehat{T}d\mu_{x,y}=\int_{\Lambda}f\ d\mu_{Tx,y}=((\Phi f)Tx,y)=(Tx,z)=\int_{\Lambda}\widehat{T}d\mu_{x,z},$$

where we put  $z = (\Phi f)^* y$ . Again, the first and last integrals remain equal if  $\widehat{T}$  is replaced by q. This gives

$$\begin{split} (\Phi(fg)x,y) &= \int_{\Delta} fg \ d\mu_{x,y} = \int_{\Delta} g \ d\mu_{x,z} \\ &= ((\Phi g)x,z) = ((\Phi g)x,(\Phi f)^*y) = (\Phi(f)\Phi(g)x,y), \end{split}$$

and (7) is proved.

We are finally ready to define E: If  $\omega$  is a Borel subset of  $\Delta$ , let  $\chi_{\omega}$  be its characteristic function, and put

$$E(\omega) = \Phi(\chi_{\omega}).$$

By (7),  $E(\omega \cap \omega') = E(\omega)E(\omega')$ . With  $\omega' = \omega$ , this shows that each  $E(\omega)$  is a projection. Since  $\Phi f$  is self-adjoint when f is real, by (6), each  $E(\omega)$  is self-adjoint. It is clear that  $E(\emptyset) = \Phi(0) = 0$ . That  $E(\Delta) = I$  follows from (4) and (5). The finite additivity of E is a consequence of (5), and, for all  $x, y \in H$ ,

$$E_{x,y}(\omega) = (E(\omega)x,y) = \int_{\Lambda} \chi_{\omega} \ d\mu_{x,y} = \mu_{x,y}(\omega).$$

Thus (5) becomes (2). That  $\|\Phi f\| = \|f\|_{\infty}$  follows now from Theorem 12.21.

This completes the proof of (1) and (2).

Part (3) is now clear because every  $f \in L^{\infty}(E)$  is a uniform limit of simple functions (i.e., of functions with only finitely many values).

Suppose next that  $\omega$  is open and  $E(\omega) = 0$ . If  $T \in A$  and  $\widehat{T}$  has its support in  $\omega$ , (1) implies that T = 0; hence  $\widehat{T} = 0$ . Since  $\widehat{A} = C(\Delta)$ , Urysohn's lemma implies now that  $\omega = \emptyset$ . This proves (4).

To prove (5), choose  $S \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ ,  $x \in H$ ,  $y \in H$ , and put  $z = S^*y$ . For any  $T \in A$  and any Borel set  $\omega \subset \Delta$  we then have

$$(STx, y) = (Tx, z) = \int_{\Delta} \widehat{T} dE_{x,z}, \tag{8}$$

$$(TSx, y) = \int_{\Delta} \hat{T} dE_{Sx,y}, \tag{9}$$

$$(SE(\omega)x,y)=(E(\omega)x,z)=E_{x,z}(\omega),$$

$$(E(\omega)Sx, y) = E_{Sx,y}(\omega).$$

If ST = TS for every  $T \in A$ , the measures in (8) and (9) are equal, so that  $SE(\omega) = E(\omega)S$ . The same argument establishes the converse. This completes the proof.