Church-Turing Thesis

All "reasonable" models of computation can be simulated by a Turing machine.

Equivalent Models of Computation

A model of computation A is <u>equivalent</u> to a model of computation B if we can

- 1. simulate every A-machine with a B-machine and
- 2. simulate every B-machine with an A-machine.

Equivalent Models of Computation

Examples we've seen already...

- 1. DFAs, NFAs, and Regular Expressions
 - Thomson's construction
 - Subset Construction
 - Arden's Rule
- 2. CFGs and PDAs
- 3. PDAs requiring empty stacks and PDAs that don't require empty stacks

Turing Complete

A model of computation is Turing complete if it can

- 1. simulate a Turing machine
- 2. be simulated by a Turing machine

For example, most programming languages are Turing complete.

Can we "upgrade" the Turing machine?

Potential "Upgrades"

- Adding more tapes
- Adding more heads
- Adding non-determinism
- Giving the head random access to the tape
- Adding randomness

All of these upgraded-TM models are Turing-complete!!!

We do not know if there is a real model of computation that recognizes languages that are not Turing-recognizable.