

Lecture 11.

class Monad m where

return :: a → m a

(>>=) :: m a → (a → m b) → m b

- Nondeterministic computation. ^{PB.} $[] a = [a]$

instance Monad [] where

-- return :: a → [a]

return $\underbrace{x}_a = \underbrace{[x]}_{[a]}$

-- (>>=) :: [a] → (a → [b]) → [b]

$\underbrace{xs}_{[a]} \gg= \underbrace{f}_{a \rightarrow [b]} = \text{concat} \left(\underbrace{\text{map } f \text{ } xs}_{[b]} \right)$

map :: (a → b) → [a] → [b]

concat :: [[a]] → [a]

List comprehension style:

triples :: [Triple] type Triple = (Int, Int, Int)

triples = [(a, b-a, n-b) | n <- [0..] a <- [0..n] b <- [a..n]]

Moned style :

```
triples = do
  n ← [0..]
  a ← [0..n]
  b ← [a..n]
  return (a, b-a, n-b)
```

State Monad

$$x = x + 1$$

newtype State s a = State { s -> (a, s) }

like "data", except 1 are shared

$$S \rightarrow (a, S)$$

$[0..] \Rightarrow \lambda n \rightarrow [0..n] \Rightarrow \lambda a \rightarrow [a..n] \Rightarrow b \rightarrow$
 $\quad \quad \quad \uparrow \quad \quad \quad \text{return } (a, b-a, n-b)$
 desugared version of the monad style

s stores the \exists state / variable

instance Monad (State s) where

return :: $a \rightarrow \text{State } s \ a$

return $\underbrace{x = a}_{a} = \text{State } (\underbrace{\lambda s \rightarrow (x, s)}_{s \rightarrow (a, s)})$

$f :: s \rightarrow (a, s)$

$f \ s = (x, s)$

alternatively, we could write

$f = \lambda s \rightarrow (x, s)$

↑ written '\ ' in ASCII

runState :: $\text{State } s \ a \rightarrow (s \rightarrow (a, s))$

runState (State f) = f

alternatively, we can write:

`newtype State s a = State { runState :: (s → (a, s)) }`

$(\gg) :: \text{State } s \ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow \text{State } s \ b) \rightarrow \text{State } s \ b$

$\underbrace{m \gg f}_{\text{State } s \ a \rightarrow \text{State } s \ b} = \underbrace{\text{State } \lambda s \rightarrow (a, s)}_{\text{State } s \ b}$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{MX} \gg f = \text{State } (\lambda s \rightarrow \text{runState } mx \ s \text{ in } \# \\
 \underbrace{\text{State } s \ a} \quad \underbrace{a \rightarrow \text{State } s \ b} \quad \underbrace{\text{State } s \ b}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{let } (x, s') = (\text{runState } mx) \ s \text{ in } \# \\
 \underbrace{\text{State } s \ a} \quad \underbrace{a \rightarrow \text{State } s \ b} \quad \underbrace{\text{State } s \ b} \\
 \underbrace{\text{runState } (f \ x) \ s'} \\
 \underbrace{\text{State } s \ b} \\
 \underbrace{s \rightarrow (b, s)}
 \end{array}$$

So, putting the pieces together,

$$\text{MX} \gg f = \text{State } (\lambda s \rightarrow \text{let } (x, s') = \text{runState } mx \ s \text{ in } \text{runState } (f \ x) \ s')$$

Morally, `int x;`

`x = x + 1;` in C

is like doing

`incr :: State Int ()`

`incr = do x <- get`
`put (x+1)`

in Haskell

`get :: State s s`

`get = State (\s → (s, s))`

`put :: s → State s ()`

`put s' = State (\s → ((), s'))`

