

## HOMEWORK - SCHEME I

22.  $(\text{append}(\text{append } xs \ ys) \ zs) == (\text{append } xs (\text{append } ys \ zs))$

Case 1. null case

$$xs = '()$$

$$(\text{append}(\text{append } xs \ ys) \ zs) = \{ \text{by assumption, } xs = '() \}$$

$$(\text{append}(\text{append } '() \ ys) \ zs) = \{ \text{append-empty law} \}$$

$$(\text{append } ys \ zs) = \{ \text{by assumption, } ys = '() \}$$

$$ys = '()$$

$$(\text{append } '() \ zs) = \{ \text{append-empty law} \}$$

$$zs = (\text{cons } w \ ws)$$

$$(\text{cons } w \ ws)$$

Case 2. single-element list

$$xs = (\text{cons } w \ ws)$$

$$ws = '()$$

$$(\text{append}(\text{append } xs \ ys) \ zs) = \{ \text{by assumption, } xs = \text{cons } w \ ws, \text{ append-list law} \}$$

$$(\text{append}(\text{cons } w \ (\text{append } ws \ ys)) \ zs) = \{ \text{by assumption, } ws = '() \}$$

$$(\text{append}(\text{cons } w \ (\text{append } '() \ ys)) \ zs) = \{ \text{append-empty law} \}$$

$$(\text{append}(\text{cons } w \ ys) \ zs) = \{ \text{append-list law} \}$$

$$(\text{cons } w \ (\text{append } ys \ zs)) = \{ \text{by assumption, } ys = \text{cons } v \ vs, \text{ append-list law} \}$$

$$ys = (\text{cons } v \ vs)$$

$$vs = '()$$

$$(\text{cons } w \ (\text{append}(\text{cons } v \ vs) \ zs)) = \{ \text{append-list law} \}$$

$$(\text{cons } w \ (\text{cons } v \ (\text{append } vs \ zs))) = \{ \text{by assumption, } vs = '() \}$$

$$(\text{cons } w \ (\text{cons } v \ (\text{append } '() \ zs))) = \{ \text{append-empty law} \}$$

$(\text{cons } w (\text{cons } v zs))$

### Case 1. null case

$ys = '()$

$(\text{append } xs (\text{append } ys zs)) = \{ \text{by assumption, } ys = '() \}$

$(\text{append } xs (\text{append } '() zs)) = \{ \text{append-empty law} \}$

$(\text{append } xs zs) = \{ \text{by assumption, } xs = '() \}$

$xs = '()$

$(\text{append } '() zs) = \{ \text{append-empty law} \}$

$zs = (\text{cons } w ws)$

$(\text{cons } w ws)$

### Case 2. single-element list

$ys = (\text{cons } v vs)$

$vs = '()$

$(\text{append } xs (\text{append } ys zs)) = \{ \text{by assumption, } ys = \text{cons } vs, \text{ append-list law} \}$

$(\text{append } xs (\text{cons } v (\text{append } vs zs))) = \{ \text{by assumption, } vs = '() \}$

$(\text{append } xs (\text{cons } v (\text{append } '() zs))) = \{ \text{append-empty law} \}$

$(\text{append } xs (\text{cons } v zs)) = \{ \text{append-list law} \}$

$xs = (\text{cons } w ws)$

$ws = '()$

$(\text{append } (\text{cons } w ws) (\text{cons } v zs)) = \{ \text{by assumption, } xs = \text{cons } w ws, \text{ append-list law} \}$

$(\text{cons } w (\text{append } ws (\text{cons } v zs))) = \{ \text{append-list law} \}$

$(\text{cons } w (\text{append } '() (\text{cons } v zs))) = \{ \text{by assumption, } ws = '() \}$

$(\text{cons } w (\text{cons } v zs)) = \{ \text{append-empty law} \}$

A.  $(\text{cdr} (\text{cons } x \text{ xs})) = x \text{ s}$

a)  $\langle e, p, \sigma_0 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \text{PRIMITIVE}(\text{cdr}), \sigma_1 \rangle$   
 $\langle e, p, \sigma_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \text{PAIR}(l_1, l_2), \sigma_2 \rangle$

CDR

---

$\langle \text{APPLY}(e, e_1), p, \sigma_0 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma_2(l_2), \sigma_2 \rangle$

v of CDR is represented by  $\sigma_2(l_2)$ , which maps to the same  $l_2$  as  $\langle \text{PAIR}(l_1, l_2), \sigma_2 \rangle$  in the initial evaluation.

Thus,  $(\text{cdr} (\text{cons } x \text{ xs})) = x \text{ s}$

b)  $e_1 = ((\text{val } x \text{ 2}) (\text{val } y \text{ 1}) x)$

$e_2 = (\text{let* } [x \text{ 3}] [y \text{ 4}] [x \text{ y}]) x)$

$p = \langle \text{PAIR}(l_1, l_2) \rangle$

$\sigma = \{ l_1 \mapsto 2, l_2 \mapsto 4 \}$

$(\text{cdr} (\text{cons } e_1 e_2)) \neq e_2$

