

# **International Economics II: course notes \***

Olivier Cailloux and Name2

Université Paris-Dauphine, PSL Research University, CNRS, LAMSADE, 75016 PARIS,  
FRANCE  
olivier.cailloux@dauphine.psl.eu

Name3

Affil2

February 3, 2026

## **1 K79**

### **1.1 Set up**

- $U(\bar{c}) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} u(c_i)$ , with  $\bar{c} = \{c_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$
- $u(0) = 0, u'(0) > 0, u''(0) < 0$
- Elasticity of demand of good  $i$ :  $\epsilon_i = \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial p_i} \frac{p_i}{c_i}$ , we assume  $\epsilon_i < -1$
- Define  $\sigma(c_i) = -\epsilon_i$ , thus  $1 < \sigma(c_i)$
- Increasing Return to Scale (IRS): workload required for producing  $q_i$  units is  $l_i = f + q_i/\varphi$ ;  $f$  the fixed cost,  $\varphi$  the productivity

---

\*Course given by Gabriel Smagghe

## 1.2 Consumption

- From Lagrangien for utility maximization subject to revenue constraint, we obtain  $u'(c_i) = \lambda p_i$
- $d\lambda p_i + \lambda dp_i = u''(c_i)dc_i$
- We assume  $\frac{d\lambda}{dp_i} = 0$  (large number of varieties), whence  $\lambda = u''(c_i)\frac{dc_i}{dp_i}$
- Thus,  $u'(c_i)/p_i = u''(c_i)\frac{dc_i}{dp_i}$
- We obtain  $\sigma(c_i) = -\frac{u'(c_i)}{u''(c_i)c_i} > 0$

## 1.3 Production

- Cost of producing  $q_i$  units is  $wl_i$ ;  $w$  the wage
- $L$  identical consumers thus  $q_i = Lc_i$ ; whence  $\sigma(c_i) = -\frac{\partial q_i}{\partial p_i} \frac{p_i}{q_i}$
- Marginal Cost is  $MC = \frac{w}{\varphi}$
- Revenue of the firm on product  $i$  is  $R_i = p_i q_i$  (and  $R = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_i q_i$ )
- Marginal Revenue is  $MR_i = \frac{dp_i}{dq_i} q_i + p_i = p_i(1 - \frac{1}{\sigma(c_i)})$
- To optimize profit  $\pi_i = p_i q_i - w f - \frac{w}{\varphi} q_i$ , set  $MR_i = MC$
- We obtain the Profit max condition (PP):  $\frac{p_i}{w} = \frac{\sigma(c_i)}{\sigma(c_i)-1} \frac{1}{\varphi}$
- (Average Cost is  $AC_i = f/q_i + w/\varphi$ )

## 1.4 Solving

- From  $\pi_i = 0$ , we obtain the Free entry condition (ZZ):  $\frac{p_i}{w} = \frac{f}{Lc_i} + \frac{1}{\varphi}$
- $L = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} l_i = nf + \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} q_i}{\varphi}$
- By symmetry,  $c_i = c$ ,  $q_i = q$ ,  $p_i = p$
- $L = nf + \frac{nLc}{\varphi}$  thus  $n = \frac{L}{f + \frac{Lc}{\varphi}} = \frac{1}{\frac{f}{L} + \frac{c}{\varphi}}$

## 1.5 In the $(c, p/w)$ space

ZZ curve:

- strictly decreasing
- higher consumption, higher production, lower average costs, lower  $p/w$
- with  $c$  constant, bigger  $L$ , more sales, lower  $p/w$

PP curve:

- Flat iff  $\sigma'(c) = 0$
- Strictly increasing if  $\sigma'(c) < 0$  ( $\frac{\sigma(c_i)}{\sigma(c_i)-1} = 1 + \frac{1}{\sigma(c_i)-1}$ ,  $\frac{1}{\sigma(c_i)-1}$  composes two decreasing functions)
- $\sigma'(c) < 0$  behaviorally reasonable: bigger consumers are richer thus less sensitive to prices
- Higher consumption, less elastic demand, higher markup, more market power, higher prices

## 1.6 Doubling market size

Let's double  $L$

- ZZ curve shifts downwards
- $c_1 < c_0$
- More varieties:  $n_0 = \frac{1}{\frac{f}{L} + \frac{c_0}{\varphi}} < \frac{1}{\frac{f}{2L} + \frac{c_1}{\varphi}} = n_1$
- Under assumption  $\sigma'(c) < 0$ ,  $\sigma(c_0) < \sigma(c_1)$  thus  $(\frac{p}{w})_1 < (\frac{p}{w})_0$
- Under assumption  $\sigma'(c) < 0$ , some firms exit:  $n_1 = \frac{1}{\frac{f}{2L} + \frac{c_1}{\varphi}} < \frac{1}{\frac{f}{2L} + \frac{c_0}{2\varphi}} = 2n_0$ , equivalently,  $c_0 < 2c_1$ . Proof: let  $(c_1, (\frac{p}{w})_1)$  be the equilibrium after doubling  $L$ , thus  $(2c_1, (\frac{p}{w})_1)$  is on the ZZ curve before doubling  $L$ , and all points with  $c \geq 2c_1$  are strictly below the PP curve (because its derivative is positive) and not below the ZZ curve (because its derivative is negative) so not equilibrium points.

## 2 CES utility

### 2.1 Consumer

#### 2.1.1 Set up

- A single consumer utility is  $U(\bar{c}) = (\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} c_i^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}})^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}$  (a country utility is  $U(L\bar{c}) = LU(\bar{c})$ )
- Revenue constraint is  $w = \sum p_i c_i$

#### 2.1.2 Demand

- From the Lagrangian we obtain  $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1} U(\bar{c})^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma} c_i^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}-1} - \lambda p_i = 0$  (because  $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1} - 1 = \frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}$ ), thus  $c_i = \lambda^{-\sigma} p_i^{-\sigma} U(\bar{c})$ , so that  $\sum p_i c_i = w = \sum p_i^{1-\sigma} \lambda^{-\sigma} U(\bar{c})$
- Thus,  $\lambda^{-\sigma} U(\bar{c}) = \frac{w}{\sum p_i^{1-\sigma}}$ , then  $c_i = p_i^{-\sigma} \frac{w}{\sum p_k^{1-\sigma}}$ , and using  $q_i = L c_i$ , we obtain  $q_i = p_i^{-\sigma} \frac{wL}{\sum p_k^{1-\sigma}}$

#### 2.1.3 Price index

- $U(\bar{c}) = (\sum p_i^{1-\sigma})^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} \lambda^{-\sigma} U(\bar{c})$ , whence  $\lambda^{-\sigma} = (\sum p_i^{1-\sigma})^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}$ , and using  $\frac{w}{U(\bar{c})} = \sum p_i^{1-\sigma} \lambda^{-\sigma}$ , we get  $\frac{w}{U(\bar{c})} = (\sum p_i^{1-\sigma})^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}$
- Define the ideal price index as  $P = \frac{w}{U(\bar{c})} = (\sum p_i^{1-\sigma})^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}$ : it increases in the same way that the welfare decreases (price-index elasticity of utility is minus one); it is the cost of one unit of happiness
- We can write  $q_i = (\frac{p_i}{P})^{-\sigma} \frac{wL}{P}$
- We obtain elasticity of demand  $\epsilon_i = \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial p_i} \frac{p_i}{q_i} = \left( -\sigma q_i \left( \frac{p_i}{P} \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \left( \frac{p_i}{P} \right) \right) \frac{p_i}{q_i}$ , and, considering  $P$  as constant with respect to  $p_i$  (which seems to hold if considering a continuous world of varieties which each have null measure),  $\epsilon_i \approx -\sigma$
- Given goods  $i \neq j$ , elasticity of substitution  $\epsilon_{ij} = \frac{\partial \ln(q_i/q_j)}{\partial \ln(p_j/p_i)} = -\sigma$  (using  $\frac{q_i}{q_j} = (\frac{p_i}{p_j})^{-\sigma}$ )
- Using symmetric prices,  $P = n^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} p$
- We have  $U_j(\bar{q}) = \frac{Lw}{p} n^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}$  thus  $\frac{\partial \log U}{\partial \log n} = \frac{1}{\sigma-1}$
- When varieties increase, welfare increases at rate  $\frac{\partial \log U}{\partial \log n} = \frac{1}{\sigma-1}$

## 2.2 Producer

- As above,  $l_i = f + q_i/\varphi$ ; to optimize profit set  $MR_i = \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial q_i}q_i + p_i = \frac{p_i}{\epsilon_i} + p_i = MC = \frac{w}{\varphi}$ , obtain  $\frac{p_i}{w} = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1} \frac{1}{\varphi}$
- At zero profit  $\frac{\pi_i}{w} = \frac{p_i}{w}q_i - f - \frac{1}{\varphi}q_i = 0$ , we obtain a constant output per variety,  $q_i = \varphi f(\sigma - 1)$
- Using symmetric goods and market clearing condition  $L = nf + n\frac{q}{\varphi}$ , we obtain  $n = \frac{L}{\sigma f}$

## 2.3 Solving, in autarky

- We get  $P = (\frac{\sigma f}{L})^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}} \frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1} \frac{w}{\varphi}$
- We see that increasing  $n$ , or increasing  $L$ , decreases the price index, thus increases welfare

## 2.4 Iceberg trading

Two countries  $\{D, X\}$  with same parameters except for sizes  $L_j$  ( $j \in \{D, X\}$ ) open up and starts trading; we write their initial variable levels (in autarky) as  $v_j^{(A)}$  and resulting variable levels (under exchange) as  $v_j$ ; given  $j \in \{D, X\}$ , let  $\bar{j}$  denote the other country.

- Prices of goods produced and sold in a given country  $j \in \{D, X\}$  are still  $p_j = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1} \frac{w_j}{\varphi}$
- Iceberg type trading cost: goods sold in the other country have price  $p_{j \rightarrow \bar{j}} = p_{\bar{j} \rightarrow j} = \tau p_j$ , with  $1 \leq \tau$
- Total production of a good produced in  $j$  becomes  $q_j = q_{j \rightarrow j} + \tau q_{\bar{j} \rightarrow j}$ , with  $q_{j \rightarrow \bar{j}} = q_{\bar{j} \rightarrow j}$  the quantity that effectively arrives abroad
- Thus the enterprise sells  $q_j$  at price  $p_j$ , equivalently,  $q_{j \rightarrow j}$  at price  $p_j$  domestically and  $q_{\bar{j} \rightarrow j}$  at price  $\tau p_j$  abroad
- It makes profit  $\pi_j = p_j q_j - w_j(f + \frac{q_j}{\varphi}) = \frac{1}{\sigma-1} \frac{w_j q_j}{\varphi} - w_j f$
- From  $\pi_j = 0$  we obtain  $q_j = q_j^{(A)} = \varphi f(\sigma - 1)$
- Using  $L_j = n_j f + n_j \frac{q_j}{\varphi}$ , we obtain  $n_j = n_j = \frac{L_j}{\sigma f} = n_j^{(A)}$
- $P_j = [n_j p_j^{1-\sigma} + n_{\bar{j}} (\tau p_{\bar{j}})^{1-\sigma}]^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}$
- With  $\tau = 1$  and  $n_j = n_{\bar{j}}$ , we get  $P_j = \frac{P_j^{(A)}}{2^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}}$ : price index decreases
- No pro-competitive effect:  $\sigma$  fixed, does not contribute to lowering prices

- From above,  $q_{j \rightarrow \bar{j}} = \left( \frac{p_{j \rightarrow \bar{j}}}{P_{\bar{j}}} \right)^{-\sigma} \frac{w_j L_{\bar{j}}}{P_{\bar{j}}}$ , thus  $q_{j \rightarrow \bar{j}} = \left( \frac{\tau p_j}{P_{\bar{j}}} \right)^{-\sigma} \frac{w_j L_{\bar{j}}}{P_{\bar{j}}}$
- It follows that the value  $X_j = \tau p_j n_j q_{j \rightarrow \bar{j}}$  of aggregate exports (the gravity equation) depends linearly on  $L_j L_{\bar{j}}$  (shouldn't account for  $P$  which depends on  $n$  which depends on  $L$ ?) and on  $\tau^{1-\sigma}$  (note also that  $0 \leq \tau^{1-\sigma} \leq 1$ )
- From trade balance  $X_j = X_{\bar{j}}$ ,  $\frac{p_j}{P_{\bar{j}}} = \frac{w_j}{w_{\bar{j}}}$ ,  $\frac{n_j}{n_{\bar{j}}} = \frac{L_j}{L_{\bar{j}}}$  and  $\frac{q_{j \rightarrow \bar{j}}}{q_{\bar{j} \rightarrow j}} = \frac{w_j^{-\sigma} w_{\bar{j}} L_{\bar{j}} P_j^{1-\sigma}}{w_{\bar{j}}^{-\sigma} w_j L_j P_{\bar{j}}^{1-\sigma}}$ , it follows that  $\frac{X_j}{X_{\bar{j}}} = 1 = \frac{w_j^{-\sigma} P_j^{1-\sigma}}{w_{\bar{j}}^{-\sigma} P_{\bar{j}}^{1-\sigma}}$ , whence  $\left( \frac{w_j}{w_{\bar{j}}} \right)^\sigma = \left( \frac{P_j}{P_{\bar{j}}} \right)^{1-\sigma}$
- Recall that largest country had smaller prices (Sl. 20, do we mean higher real wages  $\frac{w_j^{(A)}}{P_j^{(A)}}$ ? Can we compare utilities? True even with  $\tau = 1$ , right?); trade balance obliges to keep wages higher in the biggest country, which lowers its exports (unless  $\tau = 1$ , why?)
- With  $\tau = 1$ , we get that  $w_j = w_{\bar{j}}$
- With  $1 < \tau$  (thus  $t = \tau^{1-\sigma} < 1$ ), we can see that the largest country has higher wages: from  $w^\sigma = \frac{Lw^{1-\sigma} + t}{1+tLw^{1-\sigma}}$ , with  $w = \frac{w_j}{w_{\bar{j}}}$  and  $L = \frac{L_j}{L_{\bar{j}}}$ , thus  $w^\sigma + tLw - Lw^{1-\sigma} - t = 0$ , plugging  $w = 1$  yields  $w^\sigma + tLw - Lw^{1-\sigma} - t = (1-t)(1-L) = (t-1)(L-1)$ , whose sign is the inverse of  $L-1$ , and the expression is increasing in  $w$  (its derivative is positive), thus  $0 < L-1 \Leftrightarrow 1 < L \Leftrightarrow 1 < w$  (is there an easier argument?)
- With  $\tau = \infty$  (thus  $\tau^{1-\sigma} = 0$ ), we can conclude more directly:  $\left( \frac{w_j}{w_{\bar{j}}} \right)^\sigma = \frac{n_j}{n_{\bar{j}}} \left( \frac{p_j}{p_{\bar{j}}} \right)^{1-\sigma} = \frac{L_j}{L_{\bar{j}}} \left( \frac{w_j}{w_{\bar{j}}} \right)^{1-\sigma}$ , thus  $\frac{w_j}{w_{\bar{j}}} = \left( \frac{L_j}{L_{\bar{j}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\sigma-1}}$

Mechanisms through which trade increases:

$\tau$  **finite** Trade increases through extensive margins: countries start exchanging every varieties

$\tau$  **reduces** Trade increases through intensive margins: higher export in quantity but constant number of varieties

## 2.5 Example

- Set  $p = 1$ ,  $\varphi = \sigma = 2$  and  $f = 1/2$
- Producer:  $w^{(A)} = 1$ ,  $q = 1$
- Solving:  $n_j = L_j$ ,  $P_j^{(A)} = 1/L_j$
- Consumer:  $c_j = 1/L_j$ ,  $U(c_j) = L_j$

- Set  $L_D = 1$  and  $L_X = 2$
- $n_D = P_D^{(A)} = c_D = U(c_D) = 1$
- $n_X = U(c_X) = 2, P_X^{(A)} = c_X = \frac{1}{2}$
- $p_{j \rightarrow \bar{j}} = \tau$
- $P_D^{(E)} = 1/(1 + 2/\tau) = \tau/(\tau + 2)$
- $P_X^{(E)} = 1/(2 + 1/\tau) = \tau/(2\tau + 1)$

## 3 Melitz

### 3.1 Various notes

Problem is that more productive firms seem to export, which the model does not say; this is not solvable even with different trading costs (not sure why)

Here we implicitly normalize by setting  $w = 1$ .

We write  $\varphi_d$  the equivalent of  $\varphi_a$  under non-autarky so actually  $\varphi_a^{(1)}$  as compared to  $\varphi_a^{(0)}$

Note that  $\pi(\varphi)$  is the profit of a single firm of the type  $\varphi$ ; to get the profit of the whole type  $\varphi$  we'd need to consider the density  $g$ .

Note that there is no aggregate profit to redistribute because the total profit is precisely the total entry cost (zero entry condition).

### 3.2 Set up

- We normalize the wage to  $w = 1$
- Productivity  $\varphi$  is drawn from a productivity space  $\Phi \subseteq (0, \infty)$  under probability measure  $\mu : \mathcal{B}(\Phi) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  (thus  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0, \mu(\Phi) = 1$ ); we write its CDF, given  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , as  $G(\varphi) = \mu((0, \varphi])$ , corresponding to the probability that productivity is at most  $\varphi$

### 3.3 Consumer

- The demand of a single customer of a good from firm with productivity  $\varphi$  is  $c_\varphi$
- A single consumer utility is  $U(\bar{c}) = (\int_{\Phi} c_\varphi^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} d\varphi)^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}$  (a country utility is  $U(L\bar{c}) = LU(\bar{c})$ )
- Revenue constraint is  $1 = \sum p_i c_i$
- As above, we define  $P = \frac{1}{U(\bar{c})} = (\int_{\Phi} p_\varphi^{1-\sigma} d\varphi)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}$  and obtain  $c_\varphi = \frac{1}{P^{1-\sigma}} \frac{1}{p_\varphi^\sigma}$  and  $q_\varphi = \frac{L}{P^{1-\sigma}} \frac{1}{p_\varphi^\sigma}$

### 3.4 Producer

- Fixed costs  $f_d$  to serve domestic market and  $f_x$  to serve foreign market
- As above, we obtain  $p_\varphi = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1} \frac{1}{\varphi}$
- Revenue of firm of type  $\varphi$  is  $r(\varphi) = p_\varphi q_\varphi = \frac{L}{P^{1-\sigma}} \frac{1}{p_\varphi^{\sigma-1}} = A\varphi^{\sigma-1}$  with  $A = \frac{L}{P^{1-\sigma}} \left(\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma-1}$
- Profit is  $\pi(\varphi) = p_\varphi q_\varphi - \frac{q_\varphi}{\varphi} - f_d = p_\varphi q_\varphi \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_\varphi \varphi}\right) - f_d = p_\varphi q_\varphi \left(1 - \frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}\right) - f_d = A\varphi^{\sigma-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right) - f_d = B\varphi^{\sigma-1} - f_d$  with  $B = \frac{A}{\sigma}$
- Zero Cutoff Profit condition: introduce the level  $\varphi_a$  such that  $\pi(\varphi_a) = 0$
- Firms in  $(0, \varphi_a)$  exit, set with measure  $G(\varphi_a)$ ; define  $\Phi^* = (\varphi_a, \infty)$  as the active firms, with measure  $\mu(\Phi^*)$ ; define  $\mu^* = \frac{\mu}{\mu(\Phi^*)}$  as the measure normalized for the active firms (thus, the conditional distribution of productivity given entry)
- Define fixed entry cost  $f_e = \int_{\Phi^*} \pi d\mu$  as the expected profit of entering
- Let  $M_e$  be the mass of drawers (potential entrants), then the mass of producers (entered) is  $M_a = M_e \mu(\Phi^*)$ ; define  $\nu^* = M_a \mu^*$  as the measure  $\nu^*(F)$  of firms of kind  $F$  conditional to activity
- Define aggregate revenue as  $R_a = \int r(\varphi) d\nu^* = M_a \int r(\varphi) d\mu^*$
- Aggregate productivity is defined as the productivity  $\bar{\varphi}(\varphi_a) = r^{-1}(\frac{R_a}{M_a})$  that yields average revenue, and, from  $\frac{R_a}{M_a} = A \int \varphi^{\sigma-1} d\mu^*$ ,  $\bar{\varphi}(\varphi_a)^{\sigma-1} = \int \varphi^{\sigma-1} d\mu^*$
- Similarly, with  $\Pi_a$  the aggregate profit, expected profit conditional on entry is  $\frac{\Pi_a}{M_a} = \int \pi(\varphi) d\mu^* = B \int \varphi^{\sigma-1} d\mu^* - f_d = \pi(\bar{\varphi}(\varphi_a))$
- Expected profit (unconditionally) is  $\int \pi(\varphi) d\mu = \frac{\Pi_a}{M_e} = \mu(\Phi^*) \pi(\bar{\varphi}(\varphi_a))$ , thus  $\Pi_a = M_e \mu(\Phi^*) \pi(\bar{\varphi}(\varphi_a)) = M_a \pi(\bar{\varphi}(\varphi_a)) = M_a \int \pi(\varphi) d\mu^* = \frac{M_a}{\mu(\Phi^*)} \int_{\Phi^*} \pi(\varphi) d\mu = M_e \int_{\Phi^*} \pi(\varphi) d\mu = M_e f_e$
- Price index under autarky of producers (?) is  $P_a = (M_a \int_{\Phi^*} p_\varphi^{1-\sigma} d\mu^*)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} = M_a^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1} \left(\int_{\Phi^*} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi}\right)^{1-\sigma} d\mu^*\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} = M_a^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1} \frac{1}{\bar{\varphi}(\varphi_a)}$
- We also use  $B_a \varphi^{\sigma-1} = \frac{\varphi^{\sigma-1} - \varphi_a^{\sigma-1}}{\varphi_a^{\sigma-1}}$  (dunno why)
- Obtain  $f_e = f_d J(\varphi_a)$  with  $J(\varphi_a) = \int_{\Phi^*} \left(\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi_a}\right)^{\sigma-1} - 1\right) d\mu$ , as  $f_e = \int_{\Phi^*} \pi d\mu = \int_{\Phi^*} \left(\frac{r(\varphi)}{\sigma} - f_d\right) d\mu = \int_{\Phi^*} \left(\frac{A\varphi^{\sigma-1}}{\sigma} - f_d\right) d\mu$  and as  $\pi(\varphi_a) = 0$ , thus  $\frac{A}{\sigma} \varphi_a^{\sigma-1} - f_d = 0$
- Also,  $\frac{\partial f_e}{\partial \varphi_a} = -\frac{\sigma-1}{\varphi_a^{\sigma-1}} (-\varphi_a^{\sigma-1}) g(\varphi_a)$

## 4 Gravity equation

- $X_{ij}$  the exports from  $i$  to  $j$
- $Y_i = \sum_j X_{ij}$  is all the exports from  $i$  to the world, including to itself, so it's the production
- $X_j = \sum_i X_{ij}$  is all the imports from the world to  $j$ , including from itself, so it's total consumption
- Here we do not assume that  $Y_i = X_i$ : some countries have imbalance, for reasons not explained by this approach (capital investments, which could compensate, are not considered); though we do assume market clear, so the sum of trade deficits is zero
- With some models we will assume that the quality of the good  $\omega$  is  $a_{ij\omega}$  thus reflects intrinsic quality of the good and its appreciation by consumers
- There is some competition that bring profit to zero, within one country, for a given variety
- Note that on slide 28 there should be no  $\omega$
- We assume a single  $\omega$  per source country, so  $\omega$  and  $i$  are the same thing

When total production and total consumption are equal, we can equal  $Y_j$  and  $X_j$   $X_{ij} = \frac{Y_i}{Y_j}$