



NOPIOID:

Identification And Visualization Of High Residual OPIOID Prescribers

CSE6242- DATA & VISUAL ANALYTICS

TEAM 122

ZACH OLIVIER, MATT LIEDTKE, PRASANTA LENKA, PALLAVI JOSHI, SUPRIYA BELAGURU SURESHA

CREATING THE NEXT®

Objectives and Limitation



1. Objective

- Identify medical providers prescribing opioids, above the expected levels
- Provide insight into the factors driving high residual Opioid prescriptions

2. Limitations of current practices

- Fails to identify potentially inappropriate opioid prescribing (PIP) providers.
- Existing predictive analysis tends to focus on patient basis, based on their risk factors [1].
 - Enable predictive analysis on providers data in conjunction with prescription data.

[1] https://biodatamining.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13040-019-0193-0

Innovation and Who Cares?



3. Innovation

- Identify providers who prescribe opioid above expected levels.
 - Based on the Prescribers attributes such as geographical location, education, distance from peers and other factors ^[2].

4. Who cares?

- Facts -
 - According to CDC, in 2017, around 68% of more than 70K drug overdose deaths involved an opioid
 - Opioid related harm costs about \$78 billion annually^[4] to the US
- Identifying opioid prescription providers can help policy makers target resources at specific locations to reduce opioid overdose related risks.
- [2] https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6258178/
- [3] https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/epidemic/index.html
- [4] https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6090487/pdf/10.1177 1178221818784294.pdf

Measure success, Risks and Cost



5. Measuring success

- Provide interactive insights about opioid prescribing providers
 - To enable users to identify high residual prescription writers

6. Risks and payoffs

- Study is limited to the US population aged 65 and above.
- Evidence shows opioid prescription providers is becoming less significant of solving drugs overdose issues [5].

7. Cost implications

- Planning to use existing tools to analyze the data
- Developing our model and analyzing it is not expensive

Execution duration and Exam check



8. Execution duration

9 weeks

9. Exam check

- Midterm Develop a standardized dataset and draft model
 - Develop relevant dataset to analyze
 - Identify attributes to predict and draft models
- Final Rigorously train the model and provide an interactive visualization interface
 - Develop a good trained model with relevant supporting analysis
 - Model explanation and targeting landscape in an easy-to-use interactive interface

Planned Activities



Week	Activity
Wee 1-2	Develop a standardized training dataset based on provider data from the CMS API [6].
Week 3-4	Use feature extraction methods like vTreat to encode categorical data in a format suitable for predictive modeling ^[7] .
Week 4-5	 Use various clustering algorithms to analyze the data [8]. Helps to understand relationships between provider attributes and prescription rates.
Week 5-6	Develop a model to estimate prescription rate based on provider attributes.
Week 6-9	 Visualize the outputs of predictive model. Employ model interpretation methods like SHAP ^[9] and LIME ^[10]. Enable identification of opioid PIP providers geographically using visualization toolkits such as D3.js, Plotly and ECharts ^[11].

- [6] https://dev.socrata.com/foundry/data.cms.gov/6wg9-kwip
- [7] https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.09477
- [8] http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~hinton/absps/tsne.pdf
- [9] https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.07874
- [10] https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.04938
- [11] https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2468502X18300068



Thank You!