


# A Self-Calibrating, Vision-based Navigation Assistant



**Olivier Koch**  
koch@csail.mit.edu

**Seth Teller**  
teller@csail.mit.edu

**Massachusetts Institute of Technology**  
Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence  
Laboratory (CSAIL)

# Motivation

- Navigation in GPS-denied environments
  - Indoors / underground / urban areas with limited sky visibility
- Exploration and path retracing for human users
  - Soldiers in the field / Visually impaired / Disabled people



# Related work

## Vision-based Simultaneous Localization and Mapping

- Wolf et al., Robust Vision-Based Localization by Combining an Image Retrieval System with Monte Carlo Localization, IEEE Transactions Robotics 2005
- Davison et al., MonoSLAM: Real-Time Single Camera SLAM, PAMI 2007
- J. Neira et al., Data association in  $O(n)$  for Divide and Conquer SLAM, RSS 2007
- Konolige, Agrawal et al., Mapping, Navigation and Learning for Off-road Traversal, Journal of Field Robotics 2008

# Related work

## **Hybrid metrical-topological localization**

- Bosse et al., SLAM in Large-Scale Cyclic Environments Using the Atlas Framework, IJRR 2004
- B. Kuipers, Using the topological skeleton for scalable global metrical map-building, IROS 2004
- Zhang & Kosecka, Hierarchical Building Recognition, Image and Vision Computing 2007

## **Appearance-based navigation**

- Cummins & Newman, Probabilistic Appearance-Based Navigation and Loop Closing, ICRA 2007


# Problem statement

## Inputs:

- Training sequence
- Live video sequence

## Outputs:

- Live walking guidance
- Helps user retrace path




# Novelty

- Interface:
  - Provides non-metrical walking guidance to humans
  - Guidance is “user-centered,” i.e., body-relative
- Purely vision-based:
  - Requires no camera calibration
  - Does not constrain the number of cameras or their relative positions on the capture rig
  - Novel method for correlating user motion with image feature motion

# Assumptions

- User motion is smooth
- Rigid-body transformation between cameras is fixed but can change slightly over time
- System requires a brief sequence with known user motion
  - Turning slowly in place for two revolutions
  - Required only once for any given camera configuration
- Environment is 2D, mostly static and contains distinctive visual features

# System Overview



# Capture Rig & User Interface



Four IEEE1394 PointGrey Firefly Cameras  
4 x 360 x 240 SIFT detection, tracking @ 4Hz  
FOV: 360° (horiz.) x 90° (vert.)



Wearable embedded PC cluster  
3 x 1.8Ghz Intel Core 2 Duo CPUs  
3 hours untethered operation




Tablet PC Interface  
With earphone

# Capture Rig & User Interface




# Qualitative guidance

- Our system provides human-understandable guidance





# Place Graph

- Node: place of strategic interest for navigation
- Edge: a direct physical path between nodes
- Graph built online and automatically during exploration




# Navigation Guidance

- Provide *rotation guidance* at nodes
- Provide *relative progress* along edges
- In a human-understandable fashion





# Place graph data structure



# Place Graph

- Graph nodes are created online and automatically:
  - Where rotation rate is high (i.e., user is turning)
  - Where scene appearance changes drastically (e.g., user exits room)



**Subset of Place Graph (INDOOR dataset),**  
with nodes overlaid manually for visualization

**Example node (INDOOR dataset)**

# Rotation classifier

## TRAINING

---

### Input

Known Motion Sequence

### Output

Classifier table relating user motion, feature evolution in image

## QUERY

---

### Input


Two feature sets  
Classifier table

### Output

User rotation that brings the two feature sets into maximal alignment

# Rotation classifier


## TRAINING




# Rotation classifier

## TRAINING

Feature matches  $t_1, t_2$



Camera image



Match source bin



Match destination bin


User rotation  
angle  $\alpha$



Source image




Destination image



# Rotation classifier

## TRAINING


---



**Known motion** - user rotates in place 1 minute @ 4 Hz = 240 frames

Needs  $O(n^2)$  storage:

$$n_{\text{tables}} = \binom{n}{2} + n$$



- Red : angle > 0
- Blue : angle < 0

### Classifier tables

Left: camera 0 – 0

Right: camera 0 – 2

# Rotation classifier

## TRAINING

---

**Input**

Known Video Sequence  
Coarse user motion

**Output**

Classifier table

## QUERY

---


**Input**

Two feature sets  
Classifier table


**Output**

User rotation that brings  
the two feature sets into  
maximal alignment

# Navigation at node



First visit ( $t = t_1$ )



Revisit ( $t = t_2$ )

## Method


Match features between visit  $t_1$  and time  $t_2$  (revisit)

For each match, query the classifier and return a rotation angle

~~Run RANSAC voting to determine optimal rotation angle  $\alpha$~~

Rotation guidance to align user with appropriate outgoing edge

# Navigation along edges



## Input

A series of observations  $S_o = \{o^1, \dots, o^n\}$  along edge (first visit)

Current observation  $o'^t$

## Output

Relative progress along the edge (normalized from 0 to 100%)

# Navigation along edges

## Method: recursive state estimator

---

State vector  $\mathcal{V}$ .

$\mathcal{V}_i$  represents the probability of the user standing at location of observation  $o^i$ .

### Initialization (user leaving node)

$\mathcal{V}_i = 1$  if  $i=0$  (i.e., user is at start of edge), 0 otherwise.

# Navigation along edges

At each time step, given a new observation  $o^t$ :



- **Transition update** (motion continuity assumption)

$$\tilde{v}^{t+1} = v^t \otimes \text{Gaussian}(0, \sigma)$$

where  $\sigma$  is a function of frame rate and typical user motion speed

- **Observation update**

$$v^{t+1}_i = \tilde{v}^{t+1}_i \times P(o^i, o^t)$$

where  $P(a, b)$  is the probability that  $a$  and  $b$  are observed from the same location

# Datasets

Name	Duration	Path length	Frame rate	# frames	# nodes
INDOOR	45 min	~2.5 km	4 Hz	11,000	280
OUTDOOR	12 min	~1 km	4 Hz	2,900	43



**INDOOR Dataset**  
MIT Tunnel network



**OUTDOOR Dataset**  
Kendall Square, Cambridge MA

# Rotation guidance at nodes




Fig. 1 - Rotation guidance output while user rotates in place in a new environment






Fig. 2 – Error distribution against IMU-ground truth. **Standard deviation = 12 deg.**

# Progress guidance along edges




Belief state propagation while user walks along an edge (INDOOR dataset)



Relative progress along several consecutive edges. Ground truth estimated using constant speed assumption. **Std. dev. is 3.3 frames (1 second, ~1.5m)**


# Topological map



Topological map automatically generated by the system (INDOOR dataset).  
Nodes manually overlaid on map for visualization.


# Failure modes

- Ambiguous configurations
- User leaves the exploration path
- Highly repetitive environments (featureless corridors)
- Significant change in lighting
- Dynamic scenes (e.g., crowds)
- Fast user motion (motion blur) or low lighting



# Future work

- Global localization
- Extend to 3D motion
- Path self-intersection (non-linear graphs / loop closure)
- Augmented reality applications (e.g., in situ virtual tagging)



# Summary

## Inputs:

- Training sequence
- Video sequence

## Outputs:

- Loose guidance in 2D
- Supports user retrace

- Requires no intrinsic or extrinsic camera calibration
- Generalizes to any number / configuration of cameras
  - Requires roughly fixed rigid-body transform between cameras
- New way of correlating user motion and image motion
- Provides loose guidance / directions to user

# Discussion

