Gradually Verified Language with Recursive Predicates

Henry Blanchette

Contents

1	Weakest Predonditions										2		
	1.1	1 Concrete Weakest Liberal Precondition (WLP) Rules								2			
	1.2	2 Assumed and Tainted Logic							3				
		1.2.1 Handlir	g Method Calls										3
		1.2.2 Handlir	g While Loops										4
		1.2.3 Assump	otions										4
		1.2.4 Taints											4
	1.3	Utility Functio	ns										5

1 Weakest Predonditions

1.1 Concrete Weakest Liberal Precondition (WLP) Rules

 $\mathsf{WLP}:\ \mathsf{STATEMENT} \times \mathsf{FRMSATFORMULA} \to \mathsf{FRMSATFORMULA}$

```
\mathsf{WLP}(s,\phi) := \mathsf{match}\ s\ \mathsf{with}
 skip
                                                              \mapsto WLP(s_1, \text{WLP}(s_2, \phi))
 s_1; s_2
 T x
                                                              \mapsto assert x does not appear in \phi; \phi
                                                              \mapsto |e| \land [e/x]\phi
 x := e
                                                             \mapsto [\text{new}(C)/x]\phi
 x := \text{new } C
                                                             \mapsto |x.f| \wedge [y/x.f]\phi
 x.f := y
                                                             \mapsto |\overline{e}| \wedge z != \text{null} \wedge
 y := z.m_C(\overline{e})
                                                                     [z/\text{this}, \overline{e/x}] \text{pre}(m_C) *
                                                                     handleMethodCall(z.m_C(\overline{e}), \phi)
if (e) \{s_{\text{the}}\} else \{s_{\text{els}}\}
                                                             \mapsto |e| \wedge
                                                                      if (e) then \mathsf{WLP}(s_{\mathrm{the}},\phi) else \mathsf{WLP}(s_{\mathrm{els}},\phi)
                                                             \mapsto |e| \wedge
 while (e) invariant \phi_{\mathrm{inv}} \{s_{\mathrm{bod}}\}
                                                                      if (e) then \mathsf{WLP}(s_{\mathrm{bod}},\phi_{\mathrm{inv}}) else true *
                                                                     handleWhileLoop(e, \phi_{inv})
assert \phi_{\rm ass}
                                                              \mapsto [\phi_{\rm ass}] \land \phi_{\rm ass} \land \phi
```

Since WLP takes a framed, satisfiable formula and yields a framed, satisfiable formula, there is an implicit check that asserts these properties before and after WLP is computed. Note that the substitutions in the above rules do not substitute instances that appear inside of accesses (i.e. of the form acc(e.f)) or meta-predicates such as tainted, etc.

 \mapsto (unimplemented)

 $\mapsto \quad \text{(unimplemented)}$ $\mapsto \quad |\alpha_C(\overline{e})|_{\text{unf}} \land$

 $|\overline{e}| \wedge [\alpha_C(\overline{e})/\mathsf{body}(\alpha_C(\overline{e}))]\phi$

 $[\mathsf{body}(\alpha_C(\overline{e}))/\alpha_C(\overline{e}), \ \phi'/\mathsf{unfolding} \ \alpha_C(\overline{e}) \ \mathsf{in} \ \phi']\phi$

Additionally, note the following syntax rules:

hold ϕ_{hol} $\{s_{\mathrm{bod}}\}$

release ϕ_{rel}

unfold $\alpha_C(\overline{e})$

fold $\alpha_C(\overline{e})$

- The OCaml-inspired syntax of the form a; s for side-effects in evaluation is defined as "execute side-effect a, then evaluate as s."
- The meta-function assert · is executed imperitively, raising an error if the argument is false.

1.2 Assumed and Tainted Logic

Assumed logic concerns assumed formulas that do not result directly from statically verifying the visible code. Tainted logic concerns how references (variables and field references) may have their referenced values changed by sources external to the visible code. These logics are handled in the following cases:

- Method calls The specification of a called method is visible, but the body is not visible due to the (intended) modular structure of verification. So, the validity of the called method's implementation is assumed. Additionally, a method call taints references that it requires access to.
- While loops the actual execution of a while loop's body is statically invisible since the number of times the while loop's body will execute is not statically calculated. So, references that are set inside the while loop's body are tainted.

Define a reference, r, to be an instance of x (a variable), e.f or $\alpha_C(\overline{e})$. Then access to a reference is defined as follows:

$$\mathsf{access}(r) := \begin{cases} \mathsf{false} & \text{if } r = x \\ \mathsf{acc}(e.f) & \text{if } r = e.f \\ \alpha_C(\overline{e}) & \text{if } r = \alpha_C(\overline{e}) \end{cases}$$

1.2.1 Handling Method Calls

The handleMethodCall helper function, for a given method call $z.m_C(\overline{e})$ and post-condition ϕ , does the following:

- assert that permissions in $|\phi|$ and granted by $\operatorname{pre}(z.m_C(\overline{e}))$ are also granted by $\operatorname{post}(z.m_C(\overline{e}))$
- assume taint-substituted $\operatorname{pre}(z.m_C(\overline{e}))$
- return taint-substituted ϕ

The following definition reflects the above descriptions, in order:

```
\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{handleMethodCall}(z.m_C(\overline{e}),\phi) := \\ \operatorname{assert\ granted}(\operatorname{post}(z.m_C(\overline{e}))),\ \forall \pi : \lfloor \phi \rfloor,\ \operatorname{granted}(\operatorname{pre}(z.m_C(\overline{e}))) \implies \pi; \\ \operatorname{assume\ [tainted}_{\operatorname{uid}(z.m_C(\overline{e}))}(r)/r : r \ \operatorname{isTaintedBy\ } z.m_C(\overline{e})]\operatorname{pre}(z.m_C(\overline{e})); \\ \operatorname{[tainted}_{\operatorname{uid}(z.m_C(\overline{e}))}(r)/r : r \ \operatorname{isTaintedBy\ } z.m_C(\overline{e})]\phi \end{array}
```

1.2.2 Handling While Loops

The handleWhileLoop helper function, for a given while loop with condition e, invariant ϕ_{inv} , and post-condition ϕ , does the following:

- taints all references that are set in the while loop's body
- assume taint-substituted ϕ_{inv}
- return taint-substituted ϕ

The following definition reflects the above descriptions, in order:

```
\begin{split} &\mathsf{handleWhileLoop}(z.m_C(\overline{e}), s_{\mathrm{bod}}, \phi) := \\ &\mathsf{assume} \ [\mathsf{tainted}_{\mathsf{uid}(\mathsf{while}(e, \phi_{\mathrm{inv}}))}(r)/r : r \ \mathsf{isTaintedBy} \ s_{\mathrm{bod}}] \phi_{\mathrm{inv}}; \\ &[\mathsf{tainted}_{\mathsf{uid}(\mathsf{while}(e, \phi_{\mathrm{inv}}))}(r)/r : r \ \mathsf{isTaintedBy} \ s_{\mathrm{bod}}] \phi \end{split}
```

1.2.3 Assumptions

The assumed formula, local to the encompassing highest-level $\mathsf{WLP}(s,\phi)$ calculation, represents the truths that are assumed via references external to the direct implications of s. For example, the post-condition of a method call appearing in s may yield truths that are accepted as assumptions due to the modular structure of verification — the method call is assumed to be verified separately (modularly).

These truths must be kept separate from $\phi_{\mathsf{WLP}} := \mathsf{WLP}(s, \phi)$ because they do not need to be implied by the pre-condition concerning ϕ_{WLP} . The $\mathsf{assume}(\phi)$ function is how these truths are accumulated during the WLP computation.

```
assume \phi := \text{ set the } assumed \text{ formula, } \phi_{ass}, \text{ to } \phi \wedge \phi_{ass}
```

1.2.4 Taints

The tainted meta-predicate indicates that the wrapped reference has been tainted by a source identified by the given unique identifier. A tainted reference is one that relies on the values of parts of the heap that may have been changed externally. For example, if a method call requires access to x.f, then x.f is tainted because the method call could have changed the value of x.f.

Tainted references can only be asserted in some specific ways. For example, the previously mentioned method call could ensure that x.f = v, where v is some value, and this would yield the assumption that $tainted_{uid(z.m_C(\bar{e}))}(x.f) = v$. The following rules define the isTaintedBy relation between references (left) and statements (right).

```
\begin{array}{lll} r \text{ isTaintedBy } r := e \\ r \text{ isTaintedBy } z.m_C(\overline{e}) & \Longleftrightarrow & \lfloor \operatorname{pre}(z.m_C(\overline{e})) \rfloor & \Longrightarrow \operatorname{access}(r) \\ r \text{ isTaintedBy } s_1 \text{ ; } s_2 & \Longleftrightarrow & r \text{ isTaintedBy } s_1 \ \lor \ r \text{ isTaintedBy } s_2 \end{array}
```

The $uid(\cdot)$ function generates a unique identifier for the given instance. This is needed because instances that contain the same arguments but appear in different parts of a program (where heap state may be different) must be treated as unique. The following function gathers all the references tainted via the arguments:

1.3 Utility Functions

The implementations of the functions in this section can be made much more efficient than the naive definition here in mathematical notation. For example, calculating the footprint of expressions and formulas can avoid redundancy by not generating permission-subformulas that are already satisfied. This can be implemented as implicit in \wedge by a wrapper \wedge_{wrap} operation in some way similar to this:

$$\phi \wedge_{\text{wrap}} \phi' := \begin{cases} \phi & \text{if } \phi \Longrightarrow \phi' \\ \phi \wedge \phi' & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The following functions are useful abbreviations for common constructs.

```
 \text{new}(C) \qquad := \qquad \text{an object that is a new instance of class } C, \\ \qquad \qquad \text{where all fields are assigned to their default values} \\ \text{pre}(z.m_C(\overline{e})) \qquad := \qquad [z/\text{this}, \ \overline{e/x}] \text{pre}(m_C) \\ \text{pre}(m_C) \qquad := \qquad \text{the static-contract pre-condition of } m_C \\ \text{post}(z.m_C(\overline{e})) \qquad := \qquad [z/\text{this}, \ \overline{e/\text{old}(x)}] \text{post}(m_C) \\ \text{post}(m_C) \qquad := \qquad \text{the static-contract post-condition of } m_C \\ \text{body}(\alpha_C) \qquad := \qquad \text{the body formula of } \alpha_C \\ \text{body}(\alpha_C(\overline{e})) \qquad := \qquad [\overline{e/x}] \text{body}(\alpha_C) \\ \end{aligned}
```

The footprint function, $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$, generates a formula containing all the permissions necessary to frame its argument. With efficient implementations of a wrapped \wedge , this can result in the smallest such formula.

```
 [e] \qquad := \quad \text{match } e \text{ with } \\ \qquad [e.f] \qquad \mapsto \qquad [e'] \land e' \text{ != null } \land \text{ acc}(e'.f) \\ \qquad [e_1 \oplus e_2 \mapsto \qquad [e_1] \land [e_2] \\ \qquad [e_1 \odot e_2 \mapsto \qquad [e_1] \land [e_2] \\ \qquad [e] \qquad \mapsto \qquad \text{ true } \\ \\ [e] \qquad := \qquad \land [e] \\ \qquad [\alpha_C(\overline{e})]_{\text{unf}} \qquad := \qquad [\overline{e}] \land \qquad [\text{body}(\alpha_C(\overline{e}))]_{\text{nunf}} \\ \qquad [\alpha_C(\overline{e})]_{\text{nunf}} \qquad := \qquad [\overline{e}] \\ [\phi] \qquad := \qquad \land \{[e] : e \text{ appears in } \phi\} \land \\ \qquad \land \{[\alpha_C(\overline{e})]_{\text{unf}} : \alpha_C(\overline{e}) \text{ appears in } \phi\} \land \\ \qquad \land \{\alpha_C(\overline{e}) : \text{unfolding } \alpha_C(\overline{e}) \text{ in } \phi' \text{ appears in } \phi\} \\ \qquad \land \{\alpha_C(\overline{e})]_{\text{nunf}} : \alpha_C(\overline{e}) \text{ appears in } \phi\} \land \\ \qquad \land \{\alpha_C(\overline{e}) : \text{unfolding } \alpha_C(\overline{e}) \text{ in } \phi' \text{ appears in } \phi\} \land \\ \qquad \land \{\alpha_C(\overline{e}) : \text{unfolding } \alpha_C(\overline{e}) \text{ in } \phi' \text{ appears in } \phi\} \end{cases}
```