

Framing Rules

Henry Blanchette

1 Definitions

Note: in this document “formula” refers to “precise formula,” however gradual formulas will eventually be supported.

A **permission** is to either access a field, written $\text{access}(e.f)$, or to assume a predicate holds of its arguments, written $\text{assume}(\alpha_C(\bar{e}))$.

A formula ϕ **requires** a permission π if ϕ contains an access or assumption that π permits. The set of all permissions that ϕ requires (the set of permissions required to frame ϕ) is called the **requirements** of ϕ .

A formula ϕ **grants** permission π if it contains an adjunct that yields π .

A set of permissions Π **frames** a formula ϕ if and only if ϕ requires only permissions contained in Π , written

$$\Pi \models_I \phi.$$

The **footprint** of a formula ϕ is the smallest permission mask that frames ϕ , written

$$[\phi].$$

A formula ϕ is **self-framing** if and only if for any set of permissions ϕ , $\Pi \models_I \phi$, written

$$\vdash_{\text{frm}I} \phi.$$

In other words, ϕ is self-framing if and only if it grants all the permissions that it requires.

2 Framing without Aliasing

For this section framing decisions do not consider aliasing, for the sake of an introduction.

2.1 Deciding Framing without Aliasing

The following algorithm decides $\Pi \models_I \phi$ for a given set of permissions Π and formula ϕ .

$\Pi \models_I \phi \iff$	match ϕ with	v, x	$\mapsto \top$
		$e_1 \oplus e_2$	$\mapsto \Pi \models_I e_1, e_2$
		$e_1 \odot e_2$	$\mapsto \Pi \models_I e_1, e_2$
		$e.f$	$\mapsto \Pi \models_I e \wedge \text{acc}(e.f) \in \Pi$
		$\text{acc}(e.f)$	$\mapsto \Pi \models_I e$
		$\phi_1 \circledast \phi_2$	$\mapsto \Pi \cup \text{granted}(\phi_1 \circledast \phi_2) \models_I \phi_1, \phi_2$
		$\alpha_C(\bar{e})$	$\mapsto \Pi \models_I \bar{e}$
		if e then ϕ_1 else ϕ_2	$\mapsto \Pi \models_I e, \phi_1, \phi_2$
		unfolding $\alpha_C(\bar{e})$ in ϕ	$\mapsto \text{assume}(\alpha_C(\bar{e})) \in \Pi \wedge \Pi \models_I \alpha_C(\bar{e}) \wedge \Pi \models_I \phi$

The following algorithm collects the set of permissions granted by a given formula ϕ .

$\text{granted}(\phi) :=$	match ϕ with	e	$\mapsto \emptyset$
		$\text{acc}(e.f)$	$\mapsto \{\text{access}(e.f)\}$
		$\phi_1 \circledast \phi_2$	$\mapsto \text{granted}(\phi_1) \cup \text{granted}(\phi_2)$
		$\alpha_C(\bar{e})$	$\mapsto \{\text{assume}(\alpha_C(\bar{e}))\}$
		if e then ϕ_1 else ϕ_2	$\mapsto \text{granted}(\phi_1) \cap \text{granted}(\phi_2)$
		unfolding $\alpha_C(\bar{e})$ in ϕ	$\mapsto \text{granted}(\phi)$

2.2 Notes

- The conditional expression e in a formula of the form (**if e then ϕ_1 else ϕ_2**) is considered indeteminant for the purposes of statically deciding framing.
- The body formula ϕ in a formula of the form (**unfolding $\text{acc}_C(\bar{e})$ in ϕ**) does not have to make use of the **assume**($\text{acc}_C(\bar{e})$) required by the structure.

2.3 Deciding Self-Framing without Aliasing

The following algorithm decides $\vdash_{\text{frm}I} \phi$ for a given formula ϕ .

$$\vdash_{\text{frm}I} \phi \iff \emptyset \models_I \phi$$

3 Aliasing

Let I be the set of identifiers. A pair of identifiers $x, y \in I$ are **unique** if they are not the same identifier. The proposition that x, y are unique is written $\text{unique}(x, y)$ (note that this is importantly different notation from $x = y$). The proposition that two identifiers refer to the same memory in the heap is written $x = y$. A set of identifiers $\{x_\alpha\}$ is **aliasing** if and only if each x_α refers to the same memory in the heap i.e.

$$x_{\alpha_1} = \dots = x_{\alpha_k} \text{ where } \{\alpha\} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}.$$

The proposition that $\{x_\alpha\}$ is aliasing is written $\text{aliasing } \{x_\alpha\}$.

An **aliasing context** is a set A of aliasing propositions. As a set of propositions, the consistency of A can be considered. Explicitly, A is consistent if and only if

$$\nexists x, y \in I : \text{unique}(x, y) \wedge A \vdash \text{aliasing } \{x, y\} \wedge \sim \text{aliasing } \{x, y\}$$

The proposition that A is consistent is written $\text{consistent}(A)$.

An alias context is **overlapping** if and only if there exist at least two unique sets of identifiers such that they have a non-empty intersection and both are asserted aliasing in A i.e.

$$\exists I_1, I_2 \subset I : (I_1 \neq I_2) \wedge (I_1 \cap I_2 \neq \emptyset) \wedge (\text{aliasing}(I_1) \in A) \wedge (\text{aliasing}(I_2) \in A)$$

An overlapping alias context is inefficient for deciding the propositions that it entails. Fortunately the framing-deciding algorithm I present ensures that its tracked alias context never becomes overlapping.

A alias context A is **full** if and only if

$$\forall I_\alpha \subset I : A \vdash P(I_\alpha) \implies \exists P(I_{\alpha'}) \in A : I_\alpha \subset I_{\alpha'}$$

where P is an aliasing predicate (either aliasing or $\sim \text{aliasing}$). In other words, a full alias context is the most efficient representation of its total propositional strength. Note that, of course, a full alias context that contains $\text{aliasing } \{x\}$ need not contain $\sim \sim \text{aliasing } \{x\}, \sim \sim \sim \text{aliasing } \{x\}, \dots$ although it does indeed entail these propositions. This is useful for efficient computation, as demonstrated in the following.

Given A an alias context and $x \in I$ an identifier, define:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{aliases-of}(x) &:= \text{the largest set such that } x \in \text{aliases-of}(x) \wedge A \vdash \text{aliasing}(\text{aliases-of}(x)) \\ \text{not-aliases-of}(x) &:= \text{the largest set such that } \forall x' \in \text{not-aliases-of}(x) : A \vdash \sim \text{aliasing } \{x, x'\} \end{aligned}$$

If A is non-overlapping and full, the computation of $\text{aliases-of}(x)$ is simply the extraction from A the proposition that asserts aliasing of a set of identifiers that contains x and the computation of $\text{not-aliases-of}(x)$ is the collection of all identifiers other than x mentioned in propositions of A that assert the negation of aliasing with x . For example,

$$A := \{\text{aliasing } \{x, y\}, \text{aliasing } \{z\}, \sim \text{aliasing } \{x, z\}, \sim \text{aliasing } \{y, z\}\}$$

id	aliases-of(id)	not-aliases-of(id)
x	$\{x, y\}$	$\{z\}$
y	$\{x, y\}$	$\{z\}$
z	$\{z\}$	$\{x, y\}$

4 Framing with Aliasing

For this section framing decisions *do* consider aliasing.

4.1 New Permissions

4.2 Deciding Framing with Aliasing

Given Π a permission set and ϕ a formula, the proposition that Π **frames** ϕ is written

$$\Pi \models_I \phi$$

The following algorithm decides $\Pi \models_I \phi$.

$\Pi \models_I \phi$	\iff	match ϕ with	
		v	$\mapsto \top$
		x	$\mapsto \top$
		$x = y$	$\mapsto \Pi \vdash \sim (\sim \text{aliased } \{x, y\})$
		$x \odot y$	$\mapsto \Pi \vdash \sim (\sim (\sim \text{aliased } \{x, y\}))$
		$e_1 \oplus e_2$	$\mapsto (\Pi \sqcup \text{granted}_\Pi(e_1) \models_I e_1) \wedge (\Pi \sqcup \text{granted}_\Pi(e_2) \models_I e_2)$
		$e_1 \& e_2$	$\mapsto (\Pi \sqcup \text{granted}_\Pi(e_1) \models_I e_1) \wedge (\Pi \sqcup \text{granted}_\Pi(e_2) \models_I e_2)$
		$e_2 \parallel e_2$	$\mapsto (\Pi \sqcup \text{granted}_\Pi(e_1) \models_I e_1) \vee (\Pi \sqcup \text{granted}_\Pi(e_2) \models_I e_2)$
		$e_2 \odot e_2$	$\mapsto (\Pi \sqcup \text{granted}_\Pi(e_1) \models_I e_1) \wedge (\Pi \sqcup \text{granted}_\Pi(e_2) \models_I e_2)$
		$\text{acc}(e.f)$	$\mapsto (\Pi \vdash \text{reserved}(e.f))$
		$\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2$	$\mapsto \Pi \sqcup \text{granted}_\Pi(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2) \models_I \phi_1, \phi_2$
		$\alpha_C(\bar{e})$	$\mapsto \Pi \sqcup \models_I \bar{e}$
		if e then ϕ_1 else ϕ_2	$\mapsto (\Pi \models_I e) \wedge (\Pi \sqcup \text{granted}_\Pi(e) \models_I \phi_1) \wedge (\Pi \sqcup \{\sim \pi \mid \pi \in \text{granted}_\Pi(e)\} \models_I \phi_2)$
		unfolding $\alpha_C(\bar{e})$ in ϕ'	$\mapsto (\Pi \models_I \alpha_C(\bar{e})) \wedge (\Pi \sqcup \{\text{assumed}(\alpha_C(\bar{e}))\} \models_I \phi')$

$\text{granted}_{\Pi}(\phi) := \text{match } \phi \text{ with}$	
v	$\mapsto \emptyset$
x	$\mapsto \emptyset$
$x = y$	$\mapsto \{\text{aliased}(\text{aliases}_{\Pi}(x) \cup \text{aliases}_{\Pi}(y))\}$ $\sqcup \{\sim \text{aliased}\{x', \tilde{y}\} \mid x' \in \text{aliases}_{\Pi}(x), \tilde{y} \in \text{non-aliases}_{\Pi}(y)\}$ $\sqcup \{\sim \text{aliased}\{\tilde{x}, y'\} \mid \tilde{x} \in \text{non-aliases}_{\Pi}(x), y' \in \text{aliases}_{\Pi}(y)\}$
$x \oplus y$	$\mapsto \{\sim \text{aliased}(\{x'\} \cup \text{aliases}_{\Pi}(y)) \mid x' \in \text{aliases}_{\Pi}(x)\}$ $\sqcup \{\sim \text{aliased}(\{y'\} \cup \text{aliases}_{\Pi}(x)) \mid y' \in \text{aliases}_{\Pi}(x)\}$
$e_1 \oplus e_2$	\mapsto
$e_2 \odot e_2$	\mapsto
$\text{acc}(e.f)$	$\mapsto \{\text{reserved}(x'.f) \mid x' \in \text{aliases}_{\Pi}(x)\}$
$\phi_1 * \phi_2$	$\mapsto \text{granted}_{\Pi}(\phi_1) \sqcup^* \text{granted}_{\Pi}(\phi_2)$
$\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2$	$\mapsto \text{granted}_{\Pi}(\phi_1) \sqcup^{\wedge} \text{granted}_{\Pi}(\phi_2)$
$\alpha_C(\bar{e})$	$\mapsto \emptyset$
$\text{if } e \text{ then } \phi_1 \text{ else } \phi_2$	$\mapsto (\text{granted}_{\Pi}(e) \sqcup \text{granted}_{\Pi}(\phi_1))$ $\sqcup (\{\sim \pi \mid \pi \in \text{granted}_{\Pi}(e)\} \sqcup \text{granted}_{\Pi}(\phi_2))$
$\text{unfolding } \alpha_C(\bar{e}) \text{ in } \phi'$	$\mapsto \{\text{assumed}(\alpha_C(\bar{e}))\} \sqcup \text{granted}_{\Pi}(\phi')$

4.3 Notes

4.4 Deciding Self-Framing with Aliasing

The following algorithm decides $\vdash_{\text{frm}I} \phi$ for a given formula ϕ .