Gradually Verified Language with Recursive Predicates

Henry Blanchette

Contents

1	Weakest Predonditions		
	1.1	Concrete Weakest Liberal Precondition (WLP) Rules	2
		1.1.1 Utility Functions	9

1 Weakest Predonditions

1.1 Concrete Weakest Liberal Precondition (WLP) Rules

```
\mathsf{WLP}:\ \mathsf{STATEMENT} \times \mathsf{FRMSATFORMULA} \to \mathsf{FRMSATFORMULA}
\mathsf{WLP}(s,\phi) := \mathsf{match}\ s\ \mathsf{with}
 skip
                                                                  \mapsto WLP(s_1, \text{WLP}(s_2, \phi))
 s_1; s_2
 T x
                                                                  \mapsto \phi (assert that x does not appear in \phi)
                                                                 \mapsto |e| \land [e/x]\phi
 x := e
 x := \text{new } C
                                                                 \mapsto [\operatorname{new}(C)/x]\phi
                                                                 \mapsto |x.f| \wedge [y/x.f]\phi
 x.f := y
                                                                 \mapsto |\overline{e}| \land z != null \land
 y := z.m_C(\overline{e})
                                                                          [z/\text{this}, \overline{e/x}]\text{pre}(m_C) *
                                                                          handleMethodPermissions(z.m_C(\overline{e}), \phi)
 if (e) \{s_{
m the}\} else \{s_{
m els}\}
                                                                 \mapsto |e| \wedge
                                                                          if (e) then \mathsf{WLP}(s_{\mathrm{the}},\phi) else \mathsf{WLP}(s_{\mathrm{els}},\phi)
 while (e) invariant \phi_{\mathrm{inv}} \{s_{\mathrm{bod}}\} \;\mapsto\; [e] \land (\phi \setminus \sim e) \land
                                                                          if (e) then \mathsf{WLP}(s_{\mathrm{bod}},\phi_{\mathrm{inv}}) else true
                                                                 \mapsto [\phi_{\rm ass}] \land \phi_{\rm ass} \land \phi
 assert \phi_{\rm ass}
 hold \phi_{\mathrm{hol}} \{s_{\mathrm{bod}}\}
                                                                 \mapsto (unimplemented)
 release \phi_{\mathrm{rel}}
                                                                 \mapsto (unimplemented)
                                                                 \mapsto |\overline{e}| \land [\mathsf{unfolded}(\alpha_C(\overline{e}))/\alpha_C(\overline{e}),
 unfold \alpha_C(\overline{e})
                                                                           \phi'/unfolding \alpha_C(\overline{e}) in \phi']\phi
 fold \alpha_C(\overline{e})
                                                                 \mapsto \lfloor \overline{e} \rfloor \wedge [\alpha_C(\overline{e})/\mathsf{unfolded}(\alpha_C(\overline{e}))]\phi
```

Since WLP takes a framed, satisfiable formula and yields a framed, satisfiable formula, there is an implicit check that asserts these properties before and after WLP is computed.

1.1.1 Utility Functions

The implementations of the functions in this section can be made much more efficient than the naive definition here in mathematical notation. For example, calculating the footprint of expressions and formulas can avoid redundancy by not generating permission-subformulas that are already satisfied. This can be implemented as implicit in \wedge by a wrapper \wedge_{wrap} operation in some way similar to this:

$$\phi \wedge_{\text{wrap}} \phi' := \begin{cases} \phi & \text{if } \phi \implies \phi' \\ \phi \wedge \phi' & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The following functions are useful abbreviations for common constructs.

```
an object that is a new instance of class C,
new(C)
                                where all fields are assigned to their default values
                                [e/x]body(\alpha_C)
\mathsf{unfolded}(\alpha_C(\overline{e}))
                               [z/\text{this}, \overline{e/x}] \text{pre}(m_C)
\operatorname{pre}(z.m_C(\overline{e}))
pre(m_C)
                               the static-contract pre-condition of m_C
\mathsf{post}(z.m_C(\overline{e}))
                               [z/\text{this}, e/\text{old}(x)]\text{post}(m_C)
                        :=
                               the static-contract post-condition of m_C
post(m_C)
                        :=
                                the body formula of \alpha_C
\mathsf{body}(\alpha_C)
```

The footprint function, $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$, generates a formula containing all the permissions necessary to frame its argument. With efficient implementations of a wrapped \wedge , this can result in the smallest such formula.

The handleMethodPermissions helper function assists in generating the WLP for a method call. It yields a formula that asserts the following:

- (a) For all $\operatorname{acc}(e.f)$ or $\alpha_C(\overline{e})$ that appear in $\lfloor \phi \rfloor$, $\operatorname{acc}(e.f)$ or $\alpha_C(\overline{e})$ does not appear in $\operatorname{pre}(z.m_C(\overline{e})) \setminus \operatorname{post}(z.m_C(\overline{e}))$. This asserts that ϕ does not use access to a part of the heap whose access was required to call $z.m_C(\overline{e})$ and then not ensured after the call. Predicates are checked because they can possibly contain accesses.
- (b) For all e.f that appear in ϕ (not including than those appearing in acc(e.f)), acc(e.f) does not appear in $\lfloor pre(z.m_C(\overline{e})) \rfloor$. This asserts that ϕ does not rely on the value of a part of the heap that was accessed by $z.m_C(\overline{e})$ and then not implied by $post(z.m_C(\overline{e}))$.

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{handleMethodPermissions}(z.m_C(\overline{e}),\phi) := \\ & \bigwedge \left\{ \left( \mathsf{pre}(z.m_C(\overline{e})) \setminus \mathsf{post}(z.m_C(\overline{e})) \right) * \mathsf{acc}(e.f) : \lfloor \phi \rfloor \implies \mathsf{acc}(e.f) \right\} \ \land \\ & \bigwedge \left\{ \left( \mathsf{pre}(z.m_C(\overline{e})) \setminus \mathsf{post}(z.m_C(\overline{e})) \right) * \alpha_C(\overline{e}) : \lfloor \phi \rfloor \implies \alpha_C(\overline{e}) \right\} \ \land \\ & \bigwedge \left\{ \left( \mathsf{pre}(z.m_C(\overline{e})) \right) * \mathsf{acc}(e.f) : e.f \ \mathsf{appears} \ \mathsf{in} \ \phi \right\} \ \land \end{array}
```

TODO: define without function, $\phi \setminus e$.