

Verifier WLP Definitions

Jenna Wise, Johannes Bader, Jonathan Aldrich, Éric Tanter

December 22, 2018

1 Weakest liberal precondition calculus definitions over self-framed non-gradual formulas

$$\text{WLP}(\text{skip}, \hat{\phi}) = \hat{\phi}$$

$$\text{WLP}(s_1; s_2, \hat{\phi}) = \text{WLP}(s_1, \text{WLP}(s_2, \hat{\phi}))$$

$$\text{WLP}(T \ x := e, \hat{\phi}) = \max_{\Rightarrow} \left\{ \hat{\phi}' \mid \hat{\phi}' \Rightarrow \hat{\phi}[e/x] \quad \wedge \quad \hat{\phi}' \Rightarrow \text{acc}(e) \right\}$$

$$\text{WLP}(\text{if } (x \odot y) \ \{s_1\} \ \text{else } \{s_2\}, \hat{\phi}) =$$

$$\text{WLP}(x.f := y, \hat{\phi}) = \text{acc}(x.f) * \max_{\Rightarrow} \left\{ \hat{\phi}' \mid \hat{\phi}' * \text{acc}(x.f) * (x.f = y) \Rightarrow \hat{\phi} \wedge \hat{\phi}' * \text{acc}(x.f) \in \text{SATFORMULA} \right\}$$

$$\text{WLP}(x := \text{new } C, \hat{\phi}) = \max_{\Rightarrow} \left\{ \hat{\phi}' \mid \hat{\phi}' * (x \neq \text{null}) * \overline{\text{acc}(x.f_i)} \Rightarrow \hat{\phi} \right\}$$

where $\text{fields}(C) = \overline{T_i \ f_i}$

$$\text{WLP}(y := z.m(\bar{x}), \hat{\phi}) = \text{undefined}$$

$$\text{WLP}(y := z.m_C(\bar{x}), \hat{\phi}) = \max_{\Rightarrow} \left\{ \hat{\phi}' \mid y \notin \text{FV}(\hat{\phi}') \quad \wedge \quad \hat{\phi}' \Rightarrow (z \neq \text{null}) * \text{pre}(C, m) \left[z/\text{this}, \overline{x_i/\text{params}(C, m)_i} \right] \right. \\ \left. \wedge \quad \hat{\phi}' * \text{post}(C, m) \left[z/\text{this}, \overline{x_i/\text{old}(\text{params}(C, m)_i)}, y/\text{result} \right] \Rightarrow \hat{\phi} \right\}$$

$$\text{WLP}(\text{assert } \phi_a, \hat{\phi}) = \max_{\Rightarrow} \left\{ \hat{\phi}' \mid \hat{\phi}' \Rightarrow \hat{\phi} \quad \wedge \quad \hat{\phi}' \Rightarrow \phi_a \right\}$$

$$\text{WLP}(\text{release } \phi_a, \hat{\phi}) =$$

$$\text{WLP}(\text{hold } \phi_a \ \{s\}, \hat{\phi}) =$$

Note:

Dynamic method calls. Dynamic method calls are left undefined, because we are not verifying programs with dynamic dispatch at this time (all method calls should be static method calls). They are included in the grammar for future implementation.

If & Release & hold. Definitions coming soon.

Predicates in the logic. Although the grammar allows for abstract predicate families, we do not support them yet. Therefore, we assume formulas look like:

$$\phi ::= \text{true} \mid e \odot e \mid \text{acc}(e.f) \mid \phi * \phi$$

2 Algorithmic WLP calculus definitions over self-framed non-gradual formulas

Note:

It may be helpful to check that the $\text{WLP}(s, \hat{\phi})$ is well-formed and/or self-framed for some of the more complicated rules, which may be buggy in implementation.

Note:

We do not always calculate the correct WLP due to a limitation in the expressiveness of our specification language. Concrete formulas which do not contain enough information to determine whether two or more objects alias, wrongly assume that those two or more objects do not alias. This is because our current specification language is missing a logical OR or a conditional construct, which would allow us to write self-framed concrete formulas that capture the unknown aliasing between two or more objects. A conditional construct will be added and the definitions below adjusted in the near future.

$$\text{WLP}(\text{skip}, \hat{\phi}) = \hat{\phi}$$

$$\text{WLP}(s_1; s_2, \hat{\phi}) = \text{WLP}(s_1, \text{WLP}(s_2, \hat{\phi}))$$

$$\text{WLP}(T \ x := e, \hat{\phi}) = \begin{cases} \hat{\phi}[e/x] & \text{if } \hat{\phi}[e/x] \Rightarrow \text{acc}(e) \\ \text{acc}(e) * \hat{\phi}[e/x] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Check that $\text{WLP}(T \ x := e, \hat{\phi}) * x = e \Rightarrow \hat{\phi}$ and that $\text{WLP}(T \ x := e, \hat{\phi})$ is satisfiable.

$$\text{WLP}(\text{if } (x \odot y) \ \{s_1\} \ \text{else } \{s_2\}, \hat{\phi}) =$$

$$\text{WLP}(x.f := y, \hat{\phi}) = \begin{cases} \hat{\phi}[y/x.f] & \text{if } \hat{\phi}[y/x.f] \Rightarrow \text{acc}(x.f) \\ \text{acc}(x.f) * \hat{\phi}[y/x.f] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Check that $\text{WLP}(x.f := y, \hat{\phi}) * x.f = y \Rightarrow \hat{\phi}$ and that $\text{WLP}(x.f := y, \hat{\phi})$ is satisfiable.

Important cases to consider:

$$\hat{\phi} = \text{acc}(x.f) * x.f = p * x.f = q * a = b$$

$$\widehat{\phi} = acc(x.f) * acc(x.f.f) * x = y$$

$$WLP(x := new\ C, \widehat{\phi}) = \begin{cases} \widehat{\phi} \div x & \text{if } (\widehat{\phi} \div x) * x \neq null * \overline{x \neq e_i} * \overline{acc(x.f_i)} \Rightarrow \widehat{\phi} \\ undefined & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $fields(C) = \overline{T_i\ f_i}$, $\widehat{\phi} \div x$ means to transitively expand (in-)equalities (\odot) and then remove conjunctive terms containing x , and $x \neq e_i$ are conjunctive terms in $\widehat{\phi}$.

Check $WLP(x := new\ C, \widehat{\phi})$ is satisfiable.

Important cases to consider:

$$\widehat{\phi} = x \neq null * acc(x.f)$$

$$\widehat{\phi} = x \neq null * acc(x.f) * x.f = 1 * x.f = y \text{ --- should fail, bad postcondition}$$

$$\widehat{\phi} = x \neq null * acc(x.f) * x = y * x = z \text{ --- should fail, bad postcondition}$$

$$\widehat{\phi} = x \neq null * acc(x.f) * x = y * y = z \text{ --- should fail, bad postcondition}$$

$$\widehat{\phi} = x \neq null * acc(x.f) * x \neq y * y = z$$

$$\widehat{\phi} = x \neq null * acc(x.f) * acc(x.f.f) * x.f.f \neq y \text{ --- should fail, bad postcondition}$$

$$\widehat{\phi} = x \neq null * acc(y.f) * x = y \text{ --- should fail, bad postcondition}$$

$$\widehat{\phi} = x \neq null * acc(x.f) * y > x.f * x.f > z * r \geq x.f * x.f \geq s \text{ --- should fail, bad postcondition}$$

Note:

$x := new\ C$ creates a fresh object and assigns it to x without setting default values to the object's fields; therefore, postconditions cannot say anything about the value of x other than it does not equal other values (no aliasing with x) and they cannot say anything about the values of the fields of x .

$$WLP(y := z.m(\overline{x}), \widehat{\phi}) = undefined$$

$$WLP(y := z.m_C(\overline{x}), \widehat{\phi}) = \text{IN PROGRESS}$$

$$WLP(assert\ \phi_a, \widehat{\phi}) = \widehat{\phi}_{acc} * |\phi_a| * |\widehat{\phi}|$$

where $|\phi|$ means the formula ϕ without accessibility predicates and $\widehat{\phi}_{acc}$ is the self-framed formula which contains the accessibility predicates that frame $|\phi_a| * |\widehat{\phi}|$ and, in general, the accessibility predicates in ϕ_a and $\widehat{\phi}$ that are not duplicated (even with respect to aliasing).

Also, check $WLP(assert\ \phi_a, \widehat{\phi}) \Rightarrow \phi_a$, $WLP(assert\ \phi_a, \widehat{\phi}) \Rightarrow \widehat{\phi}$, and $WLP(assert\ \phi_a, \widehat{\phi})$ is satisfiable.

Advice on how to compute $\widehat{\phi}_{acc}$:

1. Add aliasing information from accessibility predicates in ϕ_a and $\widehat{\phi}$ to the non-accessibility parts of ϕ_a and $\widehat{\phi}$ respectively. In other words, the accessibility predicates in ϕ_a ($\widehat{\phi}$) separated by the separating conjunction indicate that if the fields ultimately being accessed within two or more of them are the same, then the objects being dereferenced in those field accesses do not alias, so add this information to the non-accessibility part of ϕ_a ($\widehat{\phi}$) to not lose information

$$(a) \ acc(x.f) * acc(y.f) * x.f = 2 \text{ implies } acc(x.f) * acc(y.f) * x.f = 2 * x \neq y$$

$$(b) \ acc(x.f) * acc(x.f.g) * acc(y.g.f) * x.f = 2 \text{ implies } acc(x.f) * acc(x.f.g) * acc(y.g.f) * x.f = 2 * x \neq y.g$$

2. Determine the list of aliases and the list of non-aliases to each variable or field access dereferenced to a final field value in an accessibility predicate in ϕ_a and $\hat{\phi}$ using $|\phi_a| * |\hat{\phi}|$
 - (a) Keep in mind that if $x.f \neq y.f$ then $x \neq y$ and that $x.f \neq y.f$ can be determined in many different ways, including through $x.f = 3 * y.f = 4$, so the SMT solver should be helpful and necessary for this
3. Start with the accessibility predicates in ϕ_a and $\hat{\phi}$
4. Remove duplicate accessibility predicates, including accessibility predicates which are duplicate due to aliasing (the list of aliases and list of non-aliases for each variable and field access dereferenced to a final field value should help)
 - (a) If it is unknown whether two objects alias due to missing information in ϕ_a and $\hat{\phi}$, then assume (for now) that they do not alias
5. $\hat{\phi}_{acc}$ is the list of non-duplicated accessibility predicates conjoined with the separating conjunction

Important cases to consider:

$$|\phi_a| * |\hat{\phi}| = x \neq null * x.f = 1 * x.f = y.f * y.f \neq p * y.f.f > 1$$

$$|\phi_a| * |\hat{\phi}| = x = y * x = z * x.f = 8 * y.f > 4$$

$$|\phi_a| * |\hat{\phi}| = x = y * y = z * z.f + 1 \leq 10 * \text{true}$$

$$|\phi_a| * |\hat{\phi}| = x.f.f \neq y * x \neq p * p = q * x.f > y * y > z$$

$$|\phi_a| * |\hat{\phi}| = y > x.f * x.f > z * r \geq x.f * x.f \geq s$$

$$\phi_a = acc(x.f) * y = 2 \text{ and } \hat{\phi} = acc(z.f) * z.f = 4$$

$$\phi_a = acc(x.f) * x.f = 4 \text{ and } \hat{\phi} = acc(z.f) * z.f = 4$$

Assumptions:

We assume that objects are only referred to in formulas through variables or as field accesses, variables or field accesses which refer to objects are not used in binary operations (\oplus), and variables or field accesses which refer to objects are not used in comparison operators other than \neq and $=$.

$$\text{WLP}(\text{release } \phi_a, \hat{\phi}) =$$

$$\text{WLP}(\text{hold } \phi_a \{s\}, \hat{\phi}) =$$

3 Algorithmic WLP calculus definitions over gradual formulas

$$\widetilde{\text{WLP}}(s, \hat{\phi}) = \text{WLP}(s, \hat{\phi}) \text{ where } s \text{ is not a call statement}$$

$$\widetilde{\text{WLP}}(\text{skip}, ? * \phi) = ? * \phi$$

$$\widetilde{\text{WLP}}(s_1; s_2, ? * \phi) = \widetilde{\text{WLP}}(s_1, \widetilde{\text{WLP}}(s_2, ? * \phi))$$

$$\widetilde{\text{WLP}}(T x := e, ? * \phi) = ? * \phi[e/x] * e = e$$

Important cases to consider:

$? * \phi = ? * p = q * y.f = 2$ — no mention of e or x for substitution; need extra $* e = e$ because of this case

OR

$$\widetilde{\text{WLP}}(T x := e, ? * \phi) = \begin{cases} ? * \phi[e/x] & \text{if } \phi[e/x] \Rightarrow \text{acc}(e) \\ ? * \text{acc}(e) * \phi[e/x] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Check that $\widetilde{\text{WLP}}(T x := e, ? * \phi) * x = e \widetilde{\Rightarrow} ? * \phi$ and that $\widetilde{\text{WLP}}(T x := e, ? * \phi)$ is satisfiable.

$$\widetilde{\text{WLP}}(x.f := y, ? * \phi) = \begin{cases} ? * \phi[y/x.f] & \text{if } \phi[y/x.f] \Rightarrow \text{acc}(x.f) \\ ? * \text{acc}(x.f) * \phi[y/x.f] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Check that $\widetilde{\text{WLP}}(x.f := y, ? * \phi) * x.f = y \widetilde{\Rightarrow} ? * \phi$ and that $\widetilde{\text{WLP}}(x.f := y, ? * \phi)$ is satisfiable.

Important cases to consider:

$$? * \phi = ? * \text{acc}(x.f) * \text{acc}(x.f.f) * x = y$$

$$\widetilde{\text{WLP}}(x := \text{new } C, ? * \phi) = \begin{cases} ? * \phi \div x & \text{if } (\phi \div x) * x \neq \text{null} * \overline{x \neq e_i} * \overline{\text{acc}(x.f_i)} \Rightarrow \phi \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\text{fields}(C) = \overline{T_i f_i}$, $\phi \div x$ means to transitively expand (in-)equalities (\odot) and then remove conjunctive terms containing x , and $\overline{x \neq e_i}$ are conjunctive terms in ϕ .

Check that $\widetilde{\text{WLP}}(x := \text{new } C, ? * \phi) * x \neq \text{null} * \overline{x \neq e_i} * \overline{\text{acc}(x.f_i)} \widetilde{\Rightarrow} ? * \phi$ and that $\widetilde{\text{WLP}}(x := \text{new } C, ? * \phi)$ is satisfiable.

Important cases to consider:

Similar to the ones for the non-gradual version; replacing $\hat{\phi}$ with ϕ .

$$\widetilde{\text{WLP}}(\text{assert } \phi_a, ? * \phi) =$$

Note: The static parts of gradual formulas do not need to be self-framed unless the gradual formula is completely precise (completely static). The imprecision can account for the framing.

4 Gradual formula implication and satisfiability

TBD