FizzBuzz in Haskell

Owen Lynch

April 9, 2018

```
fact :: Int -> Int
fact 0 = 1
fact n = n * fact (n-1)
```

```
fact :: Int -> Int
fact 0 = 1
fact n = n * fact (n-1)
```

1. Types

```
fact :: Int -> Int
fact 0 = 1
fact n = n * fact (n-1)
```

- 1. Types
- 2. Functions

```
fact :: Int -> Int
fact 0 = 1
fact n = n * fact (n-1)
```

- 1. Types
- 2. Functions
- 3. Pattern Matching

```
fact :: Int -> Int
fact 0 = 1
fact n = n * fact (n-1)
```

- 1. Types
- 2. Functions
- 3. Pattern Matching
- 4. Recursion

1. Haskell is hard

- 1. Haskell is hard
 - 1.1 Learning Haskell is hard, programming Haskell is easy!

1. Haskell is hard

- 1.1 Learning Haskell is hard, programming Haskell is easy!
- 1.2 Haskell lets you choose the level of abstraction that you are comfortable with, and you can slowly increase this while being productive the whole time

- 1. Haskell is hard
 - 1.1 Learning Haskell is hard, programming Haskell is easy!
 - 1.2 Haskell lets you choose the level of abstraction that you are comfortable with, and you can slowly increase this while being productive the whole time
- 2. Haskell is for techbro superprogrammers

- 1. Haskell is hard
 - 1.1 Learning Haskell is hard, programming Haskell is easy!
 - 1.2 Haskell lets you choose the level of abstraction that you are comfortable with, and you can slowly increase this while being productive the whole time
- 2. Haskell is for techbro superprogrammers
 - 2.1 Haskell is for people who can't keep lots of stuff in their head at once and want the compiler to make sure they aren't messing things up

1. Haskell is hard

- 1.1 Learning Haskell is hard, programming Haskell is easy!
- 1.2 Haskell lets you choose the level of abstraction that you are comfortable with, and you can slowly increase this while being productive the whole time
- 2. Haskell is for techbro superprogrammers
 - 2.1 Haskell is for people who can't keep lots of stuff in their head at once and want the compiler to make sure they aren't messing things up
 - 2.2 The Haskell community is one of the nicest and friendliest online communities I've been a part of, in part because there is so much to learn that everyone is comparatively a noob

- 1. Haskell is hard
 - 1.1 Learning Haskell is hard, programming Haskell is easy!
 - 1.2 Haskell lets you choose the level of abstraction that you are comfortable with, and you can slowly increase this while being productive the whole time
- 2. Haskell is for techbro superprogrammers
 - 2.1 Haskell is for people who can't keep lots of stuff in their head at once and want the compiler to make sure they aren't messing things up
 - 2.2 The Haskell community is one of the nicest and friendliest online communities I've been a part of, in part because there is so much to learn that everyone is comparatively a noob
- 3. Haskell is for academic ivory-towerists who do too much category theory for their own good

1. Haskell is hard

- 1.1 Learning Haskell is hard, programming Haskell is easy!
- 1.2 Haskell lets you choose the level of abstraction that you are comfortable with, and you can slowly increase this while being productive the whole time
- 2. Haskell is for techbro superprogrammers
 - 2.1 Haskell is for people who can't keep lots of stuff in their head at once and want the compiler to make sure they aren't messing things up
 - 2.2 The Haskell community is one of the nicest and friendliest online communities I've been a part of, in part because there is so much to learn that everyone is comparatively a noob
- 3. Haskell is for academic ivory-towerists who do too much category theory for their own good
 - 3.1 Haskell is actually a very practical language for all sorts of tasks, and it has been battletested in industry for decades

Make a list of the numbers from 1 to 100, except...

1. For every number divisible by 3, put "Fizz" instead

- 1. For every number divisible by 3, put "Fizz" instead
- 2. For every number divisible by 5, put "Buzz" instead

- 1. For every number divisible by 3, put "Fizz" instead
- 2. For every number divisible by 5, put "Buzz" instead
- 3. For every number divisible by 5 and 3, put "FizzBuzz" instead

- 1. For every number divisible by 3, put "Fizz" instead
- 2. For every number divisible by 5, put "Buzz" instead
- 3. For every number divisible by 5 and 3, put "FizzBuzz" instead How would you solve FizzBuzz?

```
data [a] = [] | a : [a]
-- data List a = Empty | Cons a (List a)
-- (:) x xs == x : xs
-- ([]) a == [a]
```

```
data [a] = [] | a : [a]
-- data List a = Empty | Cons a (List a)
-- (:) x xs == x : xs
-- ([]) a == [a]
```

1. Data declarations

```
data [a] = [] | a : [a]
-- data List a = Empty | Cons a (List a)
-- (:) x xs == x : xs
-- ([]) a == [a]
```

- 1. Data declarations
- 2. Parametric data declarations

```
data [a] = [] | a : [a]
-- data List a = Empty | Cons a (List a)
-- (:) x xs == x : xs
-- ([]) a == [a]
```

- 1. Data declarations
- 2. Parametric data declarations
- 3. Special syntax for stuff

What does it look like?

```
firstFourPrimes :: [Int]
firstFourPrimes = 2:(3:(5:(7:[])))

everFlavoredBeanFlavors :: [String]
everFlavoredBeanFlavors =
   ["earwax", "vomit", "marmalade", "spinach"]
```

(put drawing of a linked list here)

A useful function

A useful function

1. Currying

A useful function

- 1. Currying
- 2. Guard Notation

Functors! (Scary? No!)

```
class Functor f where
  fmap :: (a -> b) -> f a -> f b

instance Functor ([]) where
  fmap g [] = []
  fmap g (x:xs) = (g x):(fmap g xs)
  -- fmap :: (a -> b) -> ([]) a -> ([]) b
  -- fmap :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
```

What does it look like?

(put drawing of a functor diagram here)

Luke, use the fmap!

```
-- String == [Char]
fizzbuzz1 :: Int -> String
fizzbuzz1 n
  rem n 15 == 0 = "FizzBuzz"
  | rem n 5 == 0 = "Buzz"
  | rem n 3 == 0 = "Fizz"
  | otherwise = show n
sol1 :: [String]
sol1 = fmap describeNumber [1..100]
```

1. What did we like?

- 1. What did we like?
 - 1.1 Types and Typeclasses!

- 1. What did we like?
 - 1.1 Types and Typeclasses!
 - 1.2 Recursion!

- 1. What did we like?
 - 1.1 Types and Typeclasses!
 - 1.2 Recursion!
 - 1.3 Combinators that allowed us to not do recursion!

- 1. What did we like?
 - 1.1 Types and Typeclasses!
 - 1.2 Recursion!
 - 1.3 Combinators that allowed us to not do recursion!
 - 1.4 Case syntax!

Discuss first solution

- 1. What did we like?
 - 1.1 Types and Typeclasses!
 - 1.2 Recursion!
 - 1.3 Combinators that allowed us to not do recursion!
 - 1.4 Case syntax!
- 2. What did we not like?

Discuss first solution

- 1. What did we like?
 - 1.1 Types and Typeclasses!
 - 1.2 Recursion!
 - 1.3 Combinators that allowed us to not do recursion!
 - 1.4 Case syntax!
- 2. What did we not like?
 - 2.1 Not extensible enough! (What about Bazz??)

FizzBuzzBazz

```
fizzbuzzbazz1 :: Int -> String
fizzbuzzbazz1 n
   rem n 105 == 0 = "FizzBuzzBazz"
   rem n 35 == 0 = "BuzzBazz"
   rem n 21 == 0 = "FizzBazz"
   rem n 15 == 0 = "FizzBuzz"
  rem n 7 == 0 = "Bazz"
  rem n 5 == 0 = "Buzz"
   rem n = 3 == 0 = "Fizz"
   otherwise = show n
```

FizzBuzzBazz

```
fizzbuzzbazz1 :: Int -> String
fizzbuzzbazz1 n
   rem n 105 == 0 = "FizzBuzzBazz"
   rem n 35 == 0 = "BuzzBazz"
   rem n 21 == 0 = "FizzBazz"
  rem n 15 == 0 = "FizzBuzz"
  rem n 7 == 0 = "Bazz"
  rem n 5 == 0 = "Buzz"
   rem n = 3 == 0 = "Fizz"
   otherwise = show n
```

This is terrible!

```
class Monoid m where
  mempty :: m
  mappend :: m -> m -> m
  -- (<>) == mappend
```

```
class Monoid m where
  mempty :: m
  mappend :: m -> m -> m
  -- (<>) == mappend
```

We require a couple laws

```
class Monoid m where
  mempty :: m
  mappend :: m -> m -> m
  -- (<>) == mappend
```

We require a couple laws

1. Identity

```
class Monoid m where
  mempty :: m
  mappend :: m -> m -> m
  -- (<>) == mappend
```

We require a couple laws

1. Identity

```
a \iff mempty == a == mempty \iff a
```

```
class Monoid m where
  mempty :: m
  mappend :: m -> m -> m
  -- (<>) == mappend
```

We require a couple laws

1. Identity

```
a \iff mempty == a == mempty \iff a
```

2. Associativity

```
class Monoid m where
  mempty :: m
  mappend :: m -> m -> m
  -- (<>) == mappend
```

We require a couple laws

1. Identity

$$a \iff mempty == a == mempty \iff a$$

2. Associativity

$$(a \Leftrightarrow b) \Leftrightarrow c == a \Leftrightarrow (b \Leftrightarrow c)$$

You already know lots of monoids!

Take a couple minutes and think of monoids.

List Monoid

```
(++) :: [a] -> [a] -> [a]
[] ++ ys = ys
(x:xs) ++ ys = x:(xs ++ ys)

instance Monoid [a] where
  mempty = []
  mappend = (++)
```

Maybe Monoid

```
data Maybe a = Just a | Nothing

instance (Monoid a) => Monoid (Maybe a) where
  mempty = Nothing
  mappend Nothing Nothing = Nothing
  mappend (Just a) Nothing = Just a
  mappend Nothing (Just b) = Just b
  mappend (Just a) (Just b) = Just (a <> b)
```

Maybe Monoid

```
instance (Monoid a) => Monoid (Maybe a) where
mempty = Nothing
mappend Nothing Nothing = Nothing
mappend (Just a) Nothing = Just a
mappend Nothing (Just b) = Just b
mappend (Just a) (Just b) = Just (a <> b)
```

Note the "type constraint" in the instance for the Maybe monoid; we need this in order to deal with the last case.

Two useful functions

```
foldl :: (a -> b -> a) -> a -> [b] -> a
foldl f acc [] = acc
foldl f acc (x:xs) = foldl f (f acc x) xs
-- sum == foldl (+) 0
-- length == foldl (\n _ -> n + 1) 0

mconcat :: (Monoid m) -> [m] -> m
mconcat = foldl mappend mempty
-- mconcat ["tweedle", "dee"] == "tweedledee"
```

Working with Maybes

```
fromMaybe :: a -> Maybe a -> a
fromMaybe def Nothing = def
fromMaybe _ (Just y) = y

makeMaybe :: Bool -> a -> Maybe a
makeMaybe True x = Just x
makeMaybe False _ = Nothing
```

FizzBuzz revisited

```
zzer1 :: [(Int, String)] -> Int -> String
zzer1 zzConds n = fromMaybe (show n) zzs
  where
    zzs = mconcat (fmap maybeZz zzConds)
    maybeZz (k, zz) = makeMaybe (rem n k == 0) zz

sol2 :: [String]
sol2 = fmap (zzer1 fizzbuzz) [1..100]
  where fizzbuzz = [(3, "Fizz"), (5, "Buzz")]
```

What do we like?

What do we like?

1. Much more extensible!

What do we like?

- 1. Much more extensible!
- 2. Shorter!

What do we like?

- 1. Much more extensible!
- 2. Shorter!

What do we not like?

What do we like?

- 1. Much more extensible!
- 2. Shorter!

What do we not like?

1. Division

Infinity and Beyond!

(Talk about infinite lists)

FizzBuzz: The Final Showdown

```
looper :: Int -> a -> [Maybe a]
looper n = loop (n-1)
 where
    loop 0 = (Just s):(loop (n-1))
    loop k = Nothing: (loop k-1)
zzer2 :: [(Int, String)] -> [Maybe String]
zzer2 zzConds = foldl (zip (<>)) zzLists
  where zzLists = map (uncurry looper) zzConds
sol3 :: [String]
sol3 = zip fromMaybe (fmap show [1..100]) zzs
 where zzs = zzer2 [(3, "Fizz"), (5, "Buzz")]
```