

# Engsci 711

## Assignment 2

Oliver Maclaren  
oliver.maclaren@auckland.ac.nz

Due: Wednesday 8th June (or before; office 223)

## Question 1

*The purpose of this question is to understand how we can get to bifurcation theory via centre manifold theory using the idea of an ‘extended’ centre manifold.*

Really, everything works just the same as in normal centre manifold theory, we just ‘upgrade’ the parameter to a (still trivial!) state variable.

Don’t worry, I’ll guide you through!

Consider the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= y - x - x^2, \\ \dot{y} &= \mu x - y - y^2\end{aligned}$$

- Find a value  $\mu = \mu_c$ , for which the origin  $(0, 0)$  is non-hyperbolic.
- Linearise the system about the origin  $(0, 0)$  and with  $\mu$  fixed at  $\mu_c$ .
- Determine a linear change of coordinates  $(x, y) \rightarrow (u, v)$  that puts the linearised system into diagonal form. Hint: one of the tutorials (and handouts!) shows how to do this.
- Define a new parameter  $\lambda = \mu - \mu_c$  which is zero at the non-hyperbolic point. Write the *full, nonlinear* system in terms of your  $u, v$  above and your new parameter  $\lambda$ .

Now comes the key - yet simple - step.

- ‘Upgrade’ the parameter  $\lambda$  to a state variable. This means we take the  $u, v$  equations and add the trivial equation  $\dot{\lambda} = 0$ .

Note that, since we are in diagonal form this corresponds to adding another *centre* variable (eigenvalue = 0). In this case it is ‘super slow’ since *both* linear and nonlinear parts are zero (the other centre variable will have ‘zero-eigenvalue’ linear dynamics but non-trivial higher-order dynamics, so can be thought of as ‘slowly varying’).

You should now have a system of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{u} &= \dots \\ \dot{v} &= \dots \\ \dot{\lambda} &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Note that, while for the  $\lambda$ -as-parameter system a term like  $\lambda u$  is linear, when  $\lambda$  is considered as a state variable a term like this is considered *nonlinear*!

We should now have a diagonalised system where the (extended) centre manifold component is two-dimensional (check you understand why). Suppose  $u$  and  $\lambda$  are your centre manifold variables. Our centre manifold will be tangent to the  $(\lambda, u)$  plane at  $(u, v, \lambda) = (0, 0, 0)$ .

We can now proceed as normal in centre manifold theory

- Assume that the two-dimensional centre manifold is described by a restriction of three-dimensional  $(u, v, \lambda)$  space by one constraint  $v = h(\lambda, u)$ , and that  $h$  can be approximated using a two-variable Taylor series expansion. This takes the form

$$v = a + b\lambda + cu + d\lambda^2 + e\lambda u + fu^2$$

where  $a, b, c, d, e, f$  are constants.

- What are  $a, b, c$ ? You should be able to write these down instantly.
- Now use the usual procedure for finding the other coefficients. That is, use

$$\dot{v} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial \lambda} \dot{\lambda} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial u} \dot{u}$$

and substitute in what you know about  $\dot{v}, \dot{\lambda}, \dot{u}$ .

- Equate coefficients to determine  $d, e, f$ .
- Now, use the Reduction Principle to determine the dynamics on the extended  $(u, \lambda)$  centre manifold. That is, substitute your expression into the  $u$  equation (the  $\lambda$  equation remains trivial). Your answer should consist of writing down two differential equations.

Note: we have effectively obtained a one-dimensional bifurcation problem (as expected)! To see, note that since the  $\lambda$  dynamics are trivial, we can effectively downgrade  $\lambda$  back to a control parameter. That is, we fix it to different values and solve the  $u$  equation for each of these.

This can be considered as either a  $u$  vs  $\lambda$  phase-portrait OR a  $u$  vs  $\lambda$  bifurcation diagram (particularly when we just plot the equilibria of  $u$ ). The point of ‘upgrading’ it temporarily was to derive the bifurcation diagram from the centre manifold phase portrait. Interestingly, reducing it back to a parameter can be

thought of as an *additional* centre manifold reduction with  $\lambda$  as the (super) slow variable.

So we can now carry out the last step.

- Draw a bifurcation plot/ $(u, \lambda)$  phase portrait. What sort of bifurcation is this?

## Question 2

Carry out a bifurcation analysis for the systems

$$(a) \quad \dot{x} = x(\mu - x - x^2)$$

$$(b) \quad \dot{x} = x(\mu - x^2)$$

Remember to say what type of bifurcation occurs for each. Note - you do not need to use extended centre manifold theory for this!

## Question 3

Consider the system (from Tutorial 3)

$$\ddot{x} + \mu(x^2 - 1)\dot{x} + x = a$$

- Find the curves in  $(\mu, a)$  space at which you expect a Hopf bifurcation to occur.
- Fix a value of  $a$  and then verify, by plotting various phase portraits with XPPAut (or Matlab etc), that a Hopf bifurcation does occur for your predicted  $\mu$  values.
- Use XPPAut to determine whether the Hopf bifurcation is subcritical (appearing/disappearing periodic orbit is unstable), supercritical (appearing/disappearing periodic orbit is stable) or degenerate (periodic solution appears at bifurcation but disappears for all other parameter values).