

ENGSCI 711

QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

(...and other dynamical systems)

Oliver Maclaren

oliver.maclaren@auckland.ac.nz

LECTURE 4 - LINEAR SYSTEMS AND CLASSIFICATION

- Two-dimensional (phase plane) linear systems revisited
- Classification diagrams
- Simple examples

MODULE OVERVIEW

Qualitative analysis of differential equations (Oliver Maclaren)

[~17-18 lectures/tutorials]

2. Phase plane analysis and geometry of hyperbolic systems

[5 lectures/tutorials]

Analysis of two-dimensional linear and nonlinear systems - stability and classification of fixed points, periodic orbits. Geometry (invariant manifolds) and decoupling for linear and nonlinear hyperbolic systems. Connecting geometry of nonlinear and linearised hyperbolic systems.

RECALL - LINEAR STABILITY

Stability is *easy for linear systems*.

Given a *linear* system of the form $\dot{x} = Ax$ where A is an $n \times n$ matrix then, if all the *eigenvalues* of A have *negative real part*, the origin $x = 0$ is *asymptotically stable*.

RECALL - HYPERBOLIC FIXED POINTS

Fixed points for which all the *eigenvalues (of the linearisation) have non-zero real part* (i.e. don't lie on the imaginary axis) are called *hyperbolic*. These are the *robust* cases.

Non-hyperbolic points have zero real part and thus are *marginal* or 'sensitive' 'cases between 'true stability' and 'true instability'.

DIRECT SOLUTION

For *linear systems* we know to try solutions of the form

$$x(t) = e^{\lambda t} u$$

where u is a constant vector, here living in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Substituting this into our equation gives the *eigenvalue problem*

$$Au = \lambda u$$

TWO-DIMENSIONAL LINEAR SYSTEMS

Let's spend some time considering general *two-dimensional linear systems* in more detail:

$$\dot{x} = Ax$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1 &= ax_1 + bx_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= cx_1 + dx_2\end{aligned}$$

and where a, b, c, d are parameters.

CLASSIFICATION

We know that for *non-trivial solutions* to our eigenvalue problem we need

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} a - \lambda & b \\ c & d - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

Using $\text{tr } A = a + d$ and $\det A = ad - bc$ we can write this as

$$\lambda^2 - (\text{tr } A)\lambda + \det A = 0$$

CLASSIFICATION

This gives solutions


$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{tr } A \pm \sqrt{(\text{tr } A)^2 - 4\det A} \right)$$

The solutions are either *a) real and distinct, b) real and equal, c) complex conjugate with non-zero real part or d) purely imaginary.*


Stability is simply determined by the *real part* of the eigenvalues.

A diagram to help us organise things...

CLASSIFICATION DIAGRAM



CLASSIFICATION DIAGRAM



(Strogatz 1994, 5.2.8)

CLASSIFICATION NOTES

Typical/robust: nodes, spirals/foci, saddles

Borderline: stars, improper/degenerate nodes, non-isolated fixed points, centres

In particular: *centres and non-isolated fixed points may easily change stability and/or existence* under perturbations of the model - these *non-hyperbolic cases* are said to be *structurally unstable*.

EXAMPLES

See Strogatz 1994, Sections 5.2 and 5.3 (attached)

- Very simple example(s) today
- Read attached!

See also Tutorial 2/Assignment 1! (Soon...)

EngSci 711 L04

o The Phase Plane Intro/Recap

→ linear systems &
classification in 2D.

Goals:

- given a system
 - ↳ find fixed points
 - ↳ linearise (if nonlinear) near FP
 - ↳ classify fixed points
 - ↳ sketch nearby trajectories } L4-L6

Examples

2016 Exam

Question 4 (20 marks)

Consider an arbitrary nonlinear system of differential equations $\dot{x} = f(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= f_1(x_1, x_2) \\ \dot{x}_2 &= f_2(x_1, x_2) \end{aligned}$$

- (a) Show how to linearise this system about a fixed point $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^2$ by introducing the new variable η where $x = x^* + \eta$.

Suppose your linearised system is now written in the form

$$\dot{\eta} = A\eta$$

or, explicitly,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\eta}_1 \\ \dot{\eta}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ are constants.

- (b) By seeking solutions of the form $\eta(t) = e^{At}u$, where $u \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a constant vector, derive an appropriate eigenvalue problem that you could use to classify the fixed point of the linear system.

- (c) Write down the general form of the characteristic equation and associated solution for the eigenvalue problem in terms of the trace, $\text{tr}A$, and determinant, $\det A$, of the matrix A . What are the trace and determinant of A in terms of a, b, c, d ?

- (d) Draw a diagram with axes corresponding to $\text{tr}A$ and $\det A$ that shows how to classify the fixed point of the linear system. Notes: you should include stability properties and typical names of fixed point types. You should include centres but do not need to include other boundary cases. You do not need to draw phase portraits on this diagram.

- (e) Sketch a phase portrait for

- (i). A case where the (local/linearised) phase portrait for x^* would be structurally stable.
- (ii). A case where the (local/linearised) phase portrait for x^* would be structurally unstable.

2017 Exam

Consider the system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= x^2 + y^2 - 2 \\ \dot{y} &= x - 1 \end{aligned}$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (a) Find and classify all of the equilibria of the system. You do not need to draw any pictures (yet) or find any eigenvectors.

(6 marks)

strogatz → see end of handout

Assignment → soon !

Two-dimensional, linear systems

$$\dot{x} = Ax, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \& \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$\dot{x}_1 = ax_1 + bx_2$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = cx_1 + dx_2$$

Direct solution

Try $x = e^{\lambda t} \cdot u$


↑ ↑ ↑

vector number constant

$f(t)$ $f(t)$ vector

$$\text{i.e.} \quad \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = e^{\lambda t} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Eigen direction:



} motion along eigen direction
Eigen space is an invariant set! Once on, stay on)

Idea: Motion decomposes into sum of motions
along eigendirections
→ works for linear systems
(whole = sum of parts)

$$x = e^{\lambda t} u \quad \textcircled{1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{x} = \lambda e^{\lambda t} \cdot u \quad \textcircled{2}$$

↑
constant vector

so :

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{2} & \quad \dot{x} = Ax \\ & \quad \lambda e^{\lambda t} \cdot u = A \cdot e^{\lambda t} \cdot u \\ & \quad = e^{\lambda t} \cdot Au \\ & \quad \uparrow \text{number} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{Au = \lambda u}$$

- eigenvalue/eigenvector problem
for directions u & rates λ .

Solve $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ (for nontrivial soln)

(if $[A - \lambda I]$ invertible
then

$$[A - \lambda I] u = 0$$

has only

$$u = [A - \lambda I]^{-1} 0$$

$$= 0 - \text{vector} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix})$$

2D: $\begin{vmatrix} a-\lambda & b \\ c & d-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$

$$(a-\lambda)(d-\lambda) - bc = 0$$

i.e. characteristic equation:

$$\lambda^2 - (\underline{a+d})\lambda + (\underline{ad-bc}) = 0$$

note: $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, original matrix

has
$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \text{tr } A &= a+d \\ \det A &= ad - bc \end{aligned}}$$



So characteristic equation has form

$$\boxed{\lambda^2 - (\text{tr } A)\lambda + \det A = 0}$$

Solutions:

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\text{tr } A \pm \sqrt{(\text{tr } A)^2 - 4 \det A} \right]$$

Possibilities:

- real & distinct
 - real & repeated
 - complex conjugate
 - purely imaginary
- } different types of 'object' / orbits etc in phase space

But also: real part determines stability


$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \text{Re}(\lambda_1) &\& \text{Re}(\lambda_2) < 0 \\ \Rightarrow \text{stable!} \end{aligned}}$$

(regardless of rest of 'object' type).

Organising possibilities

Key:

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \text{tr } A &= \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \\ \det A &= \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \end{aligned}}$$



- can help quick calculations & / or writing down characteristic eqn
- often present det vs tr diagram
- ultimately though, want eigenvalues themselves

Various ways to view possibilities


- First: Real vs Complex/imag.
L & stable vs unstable
 - Second: In terms of det & tr plot
- } translate between

1. Real vs Complex

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\text{tr } A \pm \sqrt{(\text{tr } A)^2 - 4 \det A} \right]$$

\Rightarrow discriminant $= (\text{tr } A)^2 - 4 \det A$ determines real/complex

\Rightarrow consider $\det A = \frac{1}{4} (\text{tr } A)^2$ (ie zero discrimin.)
(quadratic relationship)



- Linear:
- Complex/imaginary: involve oscillations
 - real: just growth/decay

2. Stability

A. suppose real eigenvalues

\Rightarrow if $\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 < 0 \Rightarrow$ one +ve, one -ve \Rightarrow unstable


$\cdot \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 > 0 \Rightarrow$ both +ve or both -ve

$\hookrightarrow \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 < 0 \Rightarrow$ both -ve \Rightarrow stable

$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 > 0 \Rightarrow$ both +ve \Rightarrow unstable

So

• real is stable if $\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 > 0$ & $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 < 0$
ie $\det > 0$ & $\text{tr } < 0$



Stability


B. Suppose complex

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2 = \text{Re} + i\cdot\text{Im}, \text{Re} - i\cdot\text{Im} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{complex} \\ \text{conjugate} \end{matrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{tr} = 2 \cdot \text{Re}$$


i.e. $\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}$ gives real part, hence
stability

$$\Rightarrow \text{want } \text{tr} < 0 \text{ for stable}$$




⇒

So:




A key transition ('bifurcation'):

stable ↔ unstable via:




So what do solutions actually look like? Picture:




generic or 'typical' cases? [① vs ② esp.]

↪ don't lie on boundaries separating regions of qualitative behaviour

① o Typical & Hyperbolic: either grow or decay as $t \rightarrow \infty$




② o Non-hyperbolic (marginal/unusual): non-decaying components as $t \rightarrow \infty$



→ on boundary between stability & instability or existence & nonexistence

→ 'atypical' - but important! 'Partition model space'

↪ changes in qualitative behaviour require 'crossing' these boundary cases




Note:

bifurcations/model reduction
etc relate to
non-hyperbolic cases

see later sections

Other boundary cases (for completeness)

③ 'unusual' but still hyperbolic



When? Repeated eigenvalues

↳ sometimes called 'resonance'


Behaviour?

- on 'border' between 'oscillatory' growth/decay & 'pure' growth/decay
- stability & existence are unaffected ↳ robust in this sense
- 'topologically' same as ① cases but only via 'continuous' deformation, not 'smooth' (ie not differentiable)
- The 'degeneracy' just affects 'smoothness' of linear \leftrightarrow nonlinear relation.
 - ↳ a 'homeomorphism' instead of a 'diffeomorphism' when 'unfolding' a 'spiral' to a 'node'
 - ↳ difference between H-G theorem & Poincaré's original version.

Result : ③ same as ① in terms of stability/persistence

To Summarise:

All hyperbolic cases [① & ③] are robust/generic in terms of stability & persistence of fixed points



Hyperbolic, 'robust',
"structurally stable"
 $[\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_i) \neq 0 \text{ for all } i]$

Nonhyperbolic, nonrobust
"structurally unstable"
 $[\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_i) = 0 \text{ for some } i]$

→ Non-hyperbolic cases [②] are non-robust in terms of stability & persistence of fixed points

→ ③ are robust/generic in terms of stability & persistence of fixed points, but less common than ①.

→ Hence we focus mainly on ① & ② & transition between

→ Will deal with cases like ③ as they arise

(repeated eigenvalues)

Examples : Exam Qs -

- o Exam 2016 : exercise (just repeating this lecture!)
 - o Exam 2017, 2018 : see also assignment / tutorial
-

2016 Exam

Question 4 (20 marks)

Consider an arbitrary nonlinear system of differential equations $\dot{x} = f(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1 &= f_1(x_1, x_2) \\ \dot{x}_2 &= f_2(x_1, x_2)\end{aligned}$$

- (a) Show how to linearise this system about a fixed point $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^2$ by introducing the new variable η where $x = x^* + \eta$.

Suppose your linearised system is now written in the form

$$\dot{\eta} = A\eta$$

or, explicitly,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\eta}_1 \\ \dot{\eta}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ are constants.

- (b) By seeking solutions of the form $\eta(t) = e^{\lambda t} u$, where $u \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a constant vector, derive an appropriate eigenvalue problem that you could use to classify the fixed point of the linear system.

- (c) Write down the general form of the characteristic equation and associated solution for the eigenvalue problem in terms of the trace, $\text{tr}A$, and determinant, $\det A$, of the matrix A . What are the trace and determinant of A in terms of a, b, c, d ?

- (d) Draw a diagram with axes corresponding to $\text{tr}A$ and $\det A$ that shows how to classify the fixed point of the linear system. Notes: you should include stability properties and typical names of fixed point types. You should include centres but do not need to include other boundary cases. You do not need to draw phase portraits on this diagram.

- (e) Sketch a phase portrait for

- A case where the (local/linearised) phase portrait for x^* would be structurally stable.
- A case where the (local/linearised) phase portrait for x^* would be structurally unstable.

2017 Exam

Consider the system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= x^2 + y^2 - 2 \\ \dot{y} &= x - 1\end{aligned}$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (a) Find and classify all of the equilibria of the system. You do not need to draw any pictures (yet) or find any eigenvectors.

(6 marks)

2018 Exam

Question 6 (18 marks)

Consider the system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= x^2 - y - 1 \\ \dot{y} &= (x-2)y\end{aligned}$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (a) Find and classify all three of the equilibria of the system. You do not need to draw any pictures (yet) or find any eigenvectors.

(9 marks)

Examples (Strogatz)

- o Simple example from Strogatz:

5.2.1 (see attached →)

+ others in this section of Strogatz
(will revisit some of these in subs. lectures / tutorials)

homework:
read these!

$$\begin{aligned}5.2.1 \quad \dot{x} &= x + y \\ \dot{y} &= 4x - 2y\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{ie } \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 4 & -2 \end{pmatrix}}_A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

FP: $(0, 0)$ (only one → linear system)

$$\text{tr } A = -1 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$$

$$\det A = -6 = \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2$$

$$\text{discrim} = (\text{tr } A)^2 - 4 \det A = 1 - 4 \cdot (-6) = 25$$

> 0 (real)

Detail:

characteristic eqn

$$\lambda^2 - (\text{tr } A)\lambda + \det A = 0$$

$$\lambda^2 + \lambda - 6 = 0$$


$$(\lambda + 3)(\lambda - 2) = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$\lambda_1 = -3 \quad \lambda_2 = 2$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{or just:} \\ \lambda_1, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2} [\text{tr } A \pm \sqrt{(\text{tr } A)^2 - 4 \det A}] \end{array} \right]$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{saddle} \\ \text{point} \\ \text{(unstable)} \end{array} \right]$$

Something like:



(will cover eigenvectors/directions in detail in L5; see also attached)

5.3.1 Romeo & Juliet (Strogatz: see attached \rightarrow)

Let:
 $R(t)$ be Romeo's love/hate for Juliet at time t
 $J(t)$ be Juliet's love/hate for Romeo at time t

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{R} &= aR + bJ \\ \dot{J} &= cR + dJ \end{aligned} \quad \text{ie} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \dot{R} \\ \dot{J} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R \\ J \end{pmatrix}$$

a, b, c, d define 'lover types'

Eg. 'cautious lover' } encouraged by other's love $b, c > 0$
 'scared' by own love for other $a, d < 0$

Q: what happens when two
 'identically cautious' lovers get
 together?

Model


$$\begin{aligned} \dot{R} &= aR + bJ & \text{note: } \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} & a, b \text{ diff.} \\ \dot{J} &= bR + aJ & & \text{to above.} \\ a < 0 & \leftarrow \text{cautiousness} \\ b > 0 & \leftarrow \text{encouragement/responsiveness} \end{aligned}$$

Fixed point

$$(R, J) = (0, 0)$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$$

- $\text{tr } A = 2a < 0$
- $\det A = a^2 - b^2 = (a+b)(a-b)$
- discrimin. $= (\text{tr } A)^2 - 4 \det A$
 $= 4a^2 - 4(a^2 - b^2)$
 $= 4b^2 > 0 \Rightarrow \underline{\text{real solutions}}$



Possible solutions: stable node } depends on
 unstable saddle } sign of $\det A$.

If $a^2 > b^2 \rightarrow$ stable node

$a^2 < b^2 \rightarrow$ unstable saddle

'success' or not depends on

'cautiousness' a vs

'responsiveness' b

\rightarrow detail.

Eigenvalues (explicit)

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\text{tr} A \pm \sqrt{(\text{tr} A)^2 - 4 \det A} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[2a \pm \sqrt{4a^2 - 4(a^2 - b^2)} \right]$$

$$= a \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{4b^2}$$

$$= a \pm b$$

$$\boxed{\lambda_1 = a+b, \lambda_2 = a-b}$$

note : $b > -b$ since $b > 0$

$$\text{so } a+b > a-b \equiv \boxed{\lambda_1 > \lambda_2} \quad (+a \text{ each side})$$

$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda_1 \text{ is 'slower' when both -ve (node) } & \text{closer to 0} \\ \lambda_1 \text{ is 'unstable' when saddle } & \text{+ve} \end{cases}$

Eigenvectors

$$(A - \lambda I) u = \begin{pmatrix} a-\lambda & b \\ b & a-\lambda \end{pmatrix} u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda = \lambda_1 = a+b$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -b & b \\ b & -b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{one indep eqn}).$$

$$b(u_1 - u_2) = 0 \Rightarrow u_1 = u_2$$


$$\text{eg } e^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda = \lambda_2 = a-b$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} b & b \\ b & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$


$$\Rightarrow u_1 = -u_2 \Rightarrow e^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Possibilities : (remember $a \sim$ 'cautiousness', $b \sim$ 'responsiveness')




$$\boxed{|a^2 > b^2|}$$

stable node




$$\boxed{|a^2 < b^2|}$$

unstable saddle



- too cautious!
- approach 'meh'



- risk/reward!
- first impressions?
- one has to "love" the other initially more than the other 'hates'

(Strogatz 1994)

of trajectories for *all* time, not just as $t \rightarrow \infty$. We say that a fixed point \mathbf{x}^* is **Liapunov stable** if all trajectories that start sufficiently close to \mathbf{x}^* remain close to it for all time. In Figures 5.1.5a–d, the origin is Liapunov stable.

Figure 5.1.5d shows that a fixed point can be Liapunov stable but not attracting. This situation comes up often enough that there is a special name for it. When a fixed point is Liapunov stable but not attracting, it is called **neutrally stable**. Nearby trajectories are neither attracted to nor repelled from a neutrally stable point. As a second example, the equilibrium point of the simple harmonic oscillator (Figure 5.1.3) is neutrally stable. Neutral stability is commonly encountered in mechanical systems in the absence of friction. Conversely, it's possible for a fixed point to be attracting but not Liapunov stable; thus, neither notion of stability implies the other. An example is given by the following vector field on the circle: $\dot{\theta} = 1 - \cos \theta$ (Figure 5.1.6). Here $\theta^* = 0$ attracts all trajectories as $t \rightarrow \infty$, but it is not Liapunov stable; there are trajectories that start infinitesimally close to θ^* but go on a very large excursion before returning to θ^* .




Figure 5.1.6

However, in practice the two types of stability often occur together. If a fixed point is *both* Liapunov stable and attracting, we'll call it **stable**, or sometimes **asymptotically stable**.

Finally, \mathbf{x}^* is **unstable** in Figure 5.1.5e, because it is neither attracting nor Liapunov stable.

A graphical convention: we'll use open dots to denote unstable fixed points, and solid black dots to denote Liapunov stable fixed points. This convention is consistent with that used in previous chapters.

5.2 Classification of Linear Systems

The examples in the last section had the special feature that two of the entries in the matrix A were zero. Now we want to study the general case of an arbitrary 2×2 matrix, with the aim of classifying all the possible phase portraits that can occur.

Example 5.1.2 provides a clue about how to proceed. Recall that the x and y axes played a crucial geometric role. They determined the direction of the trajectories as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$. They also contained special **straight-line trajectories**: a trajectory starting on one of the coordinate axes stayed on that axis forever, and exhibited simple exponential growth or decay along it.

For the general case, we would like to find the analog of these straight-line trajectories. That is, we seek trajectories of the form

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{\lambda t} \mathbf{v}, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ is some fixed vector to be determined, and λ is a growth rate, also to be determined. If such solutions exist, they correspond to exponential motion along the line spanned by the vector \mathbf{v} .

To find the conditions on \mathbf{v} and λ , we substitute $\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{\lambda t} \mathbf{v}$ into $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = A\mathbf{x}$, and obtain $\lambda e^{\lambda t} \mathbf{v} = e^{\lambda t} A\mathbf{v}$. Canceling the nonzero scalar factor $e^{\lambda t}$ yields

$$A\mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{v}, \quad (3)$$

which says that the desired straight line solutions exist if \mathbf{v} is an **eigenvector** of A with corresponding **eigenvalue** λ . In this case we call the solution (2) an **eigen-solution**.

Let's recall how to find eigenvalues and eigenvectors. (If your memory needs more refreshing, see any text on linear algebra.) In general, the eigenvalues of a matrix A are given by the **characteristic equation** $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$, where I is the identity matrix. For a 2×2 matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix},$$

the characteristic equation becomes

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a - \lambda & b \\ c & d - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Expanding the determinant yields

$$\lambda^2 - \tau\lambda + \Delta = 0 \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \text{trace}(A) = a + d, \\ \Delta &= \det(A) = ad - bc. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{\tau + \sqrt{\tau^2 - 4\Delta}}{2}, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{\tau - \sqrt{\tau^2 - 4\Delta}}{2} \quad (5)$$

are the solutions of the quadratic equation (4). In other words, the eigenvalues depend only on the trace and determinant of the matrix A .

The typical situation is for the eigenvalues to be distinct: $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$. In this case, a theorem of linear algebra states that the corresponding eigenvectors \mathbf{v}_1 and \mathbf{v}_2 are linearly independent, and hence span the entire plane (Figure 5.2.1). In particular, any initial condition \mathbf{x}_0 can be written as a linear combination of eigenvectors, say $\mathbf{x}_0 = c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{v}_2$.

(Strogatz 1994)




Figure 5.2.1

This observation allows us to write down the general solution for $\mathbf{x}(t)$ —it is simply

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = c_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 e^{\lambda_2 t} \mathbf{v}_2. \quad (6)$$

Why is this the general solution? First of all, it is a linear combination of solutions to $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = A\mathbf{x}$, and hence is itself a solution. Second, it satisfies the initial condition $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0$, and so by the existence and uniqueness theorem, it is the *only* solution. (See Section 6.2 for a general statement of the existence and uniqueness theorem.)

EXAMPLE 5.2.1:

Solve the initial value problem $\dot{x} = x + y$, $\dot{y} = 4x - 2y$, subject to the initial condition $(x_0, y_0) = (2, -3)$.

Solution: The corresponding matrix equation is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 4 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}.$$

First we find the eigenvalues of the matrix A . The matrix has $\tau = -1$ and $\Delta = -6$, so the characteristic equation is $\lambda^2 + \lambda - 6 = 0$. Hence

$$\lambda_1 = 2, \quad \lambda_2 = -3.$$

Next we find the eigenvectors. Given an eigenvalue λ , the corresponding eigenvector $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2)$ satisfies

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1-\lambda & 1 \\ 4 & -2-\lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $\lambda_1 = 2$, this yields $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 4 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, which has a nontrivial solution

$(v_1, v_2) = (1, 1)$, or any scalar multiple thereof. (Of course, any multiple of an eigenvector is always an eigenvector; we try to pick the simplest multiple, but any one will do.)

Similarly, for $\lambda_2 = -3$, the eigenvector equation becomes $\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$,

which has a nontrivial solution $(v_1, v_2) = (1, -4)$. In summary,

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next we write the general solution as a linear combination of eigensolutions. From (6), the general solution is

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = c_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{2t} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix} e^{-3t}. \quad (7)$$

Finally, we compute c_1 and c_2 to satisfy the initial condition $(x_0, y_0) = (2, -3)$. At $t = 0$, (7) becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix} = c_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is equivalent to the algebraic system

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &= c_1 + c_2, \\ -3 &= c_1 - 4c_2. \end{aligned}$$

The solution is $c_1 = 1$, $c_2 = 1$. Substituting back into (7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= e^{2t} + e^{-3t}, \\ y(t) &= e^{2t} - 4e^{-3t} \end{aligned}$$

for the solution to the initial value problem. ■

Whew! Fortunately we don't need to go through all this to draw the phase portrait of a linear system. All we need to know are the eigenvectors and eigenvalues.

EXAMPLE 5.2.2:

Draw the phase portrait for the system of Example 5.2.1.

Solution: The system has eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = 2$, $\lambda_2 = -3$. Hence the first eigensolution grows exponentially, and the second eigensolution decays. This means the origin is a *saddle point*. Its stable manifold is the line spanned by the eigenvector $\mathbf{v}_2 = (1, -4)$, corresponding to the decaying eigensolution. Similarly, the unstable

(Strogatz 1994)

manifold is the line spanned by $\mathbf{v}_1 = (1, 1)$. As with all saddle points, a typical trajectory approaches the unstable manifold as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and the stable manifold as $t \rightarrow -\infty$. Figure 5.2.2 shows the phase portrait. ■




Figure 5.2.2

EXAMPLE 5.2.3:

Sketch a typical phase portrait for the case $\lambda_2 < \lambda_1 < 0$.

Solution: First suppose $\lambda_2 < \lambda_1 < 0$. Then both eigensolutions decay exponentially. The fixed point is a stable node, as in Figures 5.1.5a and 5.1.5c, except now the eigenvectors are not mutually perpendicular, in general. Trajectories typically approach the origin tangent to the *slow eigendirection*, defined as the direction spanned by the eigenvector with the smaller $|\lambda|$. In backwards time ($t \rightarrow -\infty$), the trajectories become parallel to the *fast eigendirection*. Figure 5.2.3

Figure 5.2.3

shows the phase portrait. (If we reverse all the arrows in Figure 5.2.3, we obtain a typical phase portrait for an *unstable node*.) ■

EXAMPLE 5.2.4:

What happens if the eigenvalues are *complex numbers*?

Solution: If the eigenvalues are complex, the fixed point is either a **center** (Figure 5.2.4a) or a **spiral** (Figure 5.2.4b). We've already seen an example of a center in the simple harmonic oscillator of Section 5.1; the origin is surrounded by a family of closed orbits. Note that centers are *neutrally stable*, since nearby trajectories are neither attracted to nor repelled from the fixed point. A spiral would occur if the harmonic oscillator were lightly damped. Then the trajectory would just fail to

close, because the oscillator loses a bit of energy on each cycle.

To justify these statements, recall that the eigenvalues are $\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2}(\tau \pm \sqrt{\tau^2 - 4\Delta})$. Thus complex eigenvalues occur when

$$\tau^2 - 4\Delta < 0.$$

To simplify the notation, let's write the eigenvalues as

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \alpha \pm i\omega$$

where

$$\alpha = \tau/2, \quad \omega = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4\Delta - \tau^2}.$$

By assumption, $\omega \neq 0$. Then the eigenvalues are distinct and so the general solution is still given by

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = c_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 e^{\lambda_2 t} \mathbf{v}_2.$$

But now the c 's and \mathbf{v} 's are *complex*, since the λ 's are. This means that $\mathbf{x}(t)$ involves linear combinations of $e^{(\alpha \pm i\omega)t}$. By Euler's formula, $e^{i\omega t} = \cos \omega t + i \sin \omega t$. Hence $\mathbf{x}(t)$ is a combination of terms involving $e^{\alpha t} \cos \omega t$ and $e^{\alpha t} \sin \omega t$. Such terms represent *exponentially decaying oscillations* if $\alpha = \text{Re}(\lambda) < 0$ and *growing oscillations* if $\alpha > 0$. The corresponding fixed points are **stable** and **unstable spirals**, respectively. Figure 5.2.4b shows the stable case.

If the eigenvalues are pure imaginary ($\alpha = 0$), then all the solutions are periodic with period $T = 2\pi/\omega$. The oscillations have fixed amplitude and the fixed point is a center.

For both centers and spirals, it's easy to determine whether the rotation is clockwise or counterclockwise; just compute a few vectors in the vector field and the sense of rotation should be obvious. ■




Figure 5.2.4

close, because the oscillator loses a bit of energy on each cycle.

To justify these statements, recall that the eigenvalues are $\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2}(\tau \pm \sqrt{\tau^2 - 4\Delta})$. Thus complex eigenvalues occur when

$$\tau^2 - 4\Delta < 0.$$

To simplify the notation, let's write the eigenvalues as

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \alpha \pm i\omega$$

where

$$\alpha = \tau/2, \quad \omega = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4\Delta - \tau^2}.$$

By assumption, $\omega \neq 0$. Then the eigenvalues are distinct and so the general solution is still given by

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = c_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 e^{\lambda_2 t} \mathbf{v}_2.$$

But now the c 's and \mathbf{v} 's are *complex*, since the λ 's are. This means that $\mathbf{x}(t)$ involves linear combinations of $e^{(\alpha \pm i\omega)t}$. By Euler's formula, $e^{i\omega t} = \cos \omega t + i \sin \omega t$. Hence $\mathbf{x}(t)$ is a combination of terms involving $e^{\alpha t} \cos \omega t$ and $e^{\alpha t} \sin \omega t$. Such terms represent *exponentially decaying oscillations* if $\alpha = \text{Re}(\lambda) < 0$ and *growing oscillations* if $\alpha > 0$. The corresponding fixed points are **stable** and **unstable spirals**, respectively. Figure 5.2.4b shows the stable case.

If the eigenvalues are pure imaginary ($\alpha = 0$), then all the solutions are periodic with period $T = 2\pi/\omega$. The oscillations have fixed amplitude and the fixed point is a center.

For both centers and spirals, it's easy to determine whether the rotation is clockwise or counterclockwise; just compute a few vectors in the vector field and the sense of rotation should be obvious. ■

EXAMPLE 5.2.5:

In our analysis of the general case, we have been assuming that the eigenvalues are distinct. What happens if the eigenvalues are *equal*?

Solution: Suppose $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$. There are two possibilities: either there are two independent eigenvectors corresponding to λ , or there's only one.

If there are two independent eigenvectors, then they span the plane and so *every vector is an eigenvector with this same eigenvalue λ* . To see this, write an arbitrary vector \mathbf{x}_0 as a linear combination of the two eigenvectors: $\mathbf{x}_0 = c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{v}_2$. Then

$$A\mathbf{x}_0 = A(c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{v}_2) = c_1 \lambda \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \lambda \mathbf{v}_2 = \lambda \mathbf{x}_0$$

so \mathbf{x}_0 is also an eigenvector with eigenvalue λ . Since multiplication by A simply stretches every vector by a factor λ , the matrix must be a multiple of the identity:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then if $\lambda \neq 0$, all trajectories are straight lines through the origin ($\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{\lambda t} \mathbf{x}_0$) and the fixed point is a **star node** (Figure 5.2.5).




Figure 5.2.5

On the other hand, if $\lambda = 0$, the whole plane is filled with fixed points! (No surprise—the system is $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{0}$.)

The other possibility is that there's only one eigenvector (more accurately, the eigenspace corresponding to λ is one-dimensional.) For example, any matrix of the form $A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & b \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$, with $b \neq 0$ has only a one-dimensional eigenspace (Exercise 5.2.11).

When there's only one eigendirection, the fixed point is a **degenerate node**. A





Figure 5.2.6


typical phase portrait is shown in Figure 5.2.6. As $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and also as $t \rightarrow -\infty$, all trajectories become parallel to the one available eigendirection.

A good way to think about the degenerate node is to imagine that it has been created by deforming an ordinary node. The ordinary node

has two independent eigendirections; all trajectories are parallel to the slow eigendirection as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and to the fast eigendirection as $t \rightarrow -\infty$ (Figure 5.2.7a).



(a) node



(b) degenerate node

Figure 5.2.7

Now suppose we start changing the parameters of the system in such a way that the two eigendirections are scissored together. Then some of the trajectories will get squashed in the collapsing region between the two eigendirections, while the surviving trajectories get pulled around to form the degenerate node (Figure 5.2.7b).

Another way to get intuition about this case is to realize that the degenerate node is on the *borderline between a spiral and a node*. The trajectories are trying to wind around in a spiral, but they don't quite make it. ■

Classification of Fixed Points

By now you're probably tired of all the examples and ready for a simple classification scheme. Happily, there is one. We can show the type and stability of all the different fixed points on a single diagram (Figure 5.2.8).

(Strogatz 1994)




Figure 5.2.8

The axes are the trace τ and the determinant Δ of the matrix A . All of the information in the diagram is implied by the following formulas:

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2}(\tau \pm \sqrt{\tau^2 - 4\Delta}), \quad \Delta = \lambda_1 \lambda_2, \quad \tau = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2.$$

The first equation is just (5). The second and third can be obtained by writing the characteristic equation in the form $(\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2) = \lambda^2 - \tau\lambda + \Delta = 0$.

To arrive at Figure 5.2.8, we make the following observations:

If $\Delta < 0$, the eigenvalues are real and have opposite signs; hence the fixed point is a *saddle point*.

If $\Delta > 0$, the eigenvalues are either real with the same sign (*nodes*), or complex conjugate (*spirals* and *centers*). Nodes satisfy $\tau^2 - 4\Delta > 0$ and spirals satisfy $\tau^2 - 4\Delta < 0$. The parabola $\tau^2 - 4\Delta = 0$ is the borderline between nodes and spirals; star nodes and degenerate nodes live on this parabola. The stability of the nodes and spirals is determined by τ . When $\tau < 0$, both eigenvalues have negative real parts, so the fixed point is stable. Unstable spirals and nodes have $\tau > 0$. Neutrally stable centers live on the borderline $\tau = 0$, where the eigenvalues are purely imaginary.

If $\Delta = 0$, at least one of the eigenvalues is zero. Then the origin is not an isolated fixed point. There is either a whole line of fixed points, as in Figure 5.1.5d, or a plane of fixed points, if $A = 0$.

Figure 5.2.8 shows that saddle points, nodes, and spirals are the major types of fixed points; they occur in large open regions of the (Δ, τ) plane. Centers, stars, degenerate nodes, and non-isolated fixed points are *borderline cases* that occur along curves in the (Δ, τ) plane. Of these borderline cases, centers are by far the most important. They occur very commonly in frictionless mechanical systems where energy is conserved.

EXAMPLE 5.2.6:

Classify the fixed point $\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{0}$ for the system $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = A\mathbf{x}$, where $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$.

Solution: The matrix has $\Delta = -2$; hence the fixed point is a saddle point. ■

EXAMPLE 5.2.7:

Redo Example 5.2.6 for $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$.

Solution: Now $\Delta = 5$ and $\tau = 6$. Since $\Delta > 0$ and $\tau^2 - 4\Delta = 16 > 0$, the fixed point is a node. It is unstable, since $\tau > 0$. ■

5.3 Love Affairs

To arouse your interest in the classification of linear systems, we now discuss a simple model for the dynamics of love affairs (Strogatz 1988). The following story illustrates the idea.

Romeo is in love with Juliet, but in our version of this story, Juliet is a fickle lover. The more Romeo loves her, the more Juliet wants to run away and hide. But when Romeo gets discouraged and backs off, Juliet begins to find him strangely attractive. Romeo, on the other hand, tends to echo her: he warms up when she loves him, and grows cold when she hates him.

Let

$$R(t) = \text{Romeo's love/hate for Juliet at time } t$$

$$J(t) = \text{Juliet's love/hate for Romeo at time } t.$$

Positive values of R, J signify love, negative values signify hate. Then a model for their star-crossed romance is

$$\dot{R} = aJ$$

$$\dot{J} = -bR$$

where the parameters a and b are positive, to be consistent with the story.

The sad outcome of their affair is, of course, a neverending cycle of love and hate; the governing system has a center at $(R, J) = (0, 0)$. At least they manage to achieve simultaneous love one-quarter of the time (Figure 5.3.1).

(Strogatz 1994)




Figure 5.3.1

Now consider the forecast for lovers governed by the general linear system

$$\dot{R} = aR + bJ$$

$$\dot{J} = cR + dJ$$

where the parameters a, b, c, d may have either sign. A choice of signs specifies the romantic styles. As named by one of my students, the choice $a > 0, b > 0$ means that Romeo is an “eager beaver”—he gets excited by Juliet’s love for him, and is further spurred on by his own affectionate feelings for her. It’s entertaining to name the other three romantic styles, and to predict the outcomes for the various pairings. For example, can a “cautious lover” ($a < 0, b > 0$) find true love with an eager beaver? These and other pressing questions will be considered in the exercises.

EXAMPLE 5.3.1:

What happens when two identically cautious lovers get together?

Solution: The system is

$$\dot{R} = aR + bJ$$

$$\dot{J} = bR + aJ$$

with $a < 0, b > 0$. Here a is a measure of cautiousness (they each try to avoid throwing themselves at the other) and b is a measure of responsiveness (they both get excited by the other’s advances). We might suspect that the outcome depends on the relative size of a and b . Let’s see what happens.

The corresponding matrix is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$$


which has

$$\tau = 2a < 0, \quad \Delta = a^2 - b^2, \quad \tau^2 - 4\Delta = 4b^2 > 0.$$


Hence the fixed point $(R, J) = (0, 0)$ is a saddle point if $a^2 < b^2$ and a stable node if $a^2 > b^2$. The eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors are

$$\lambda_1 = a + b, \quad \mathbf{v}_1 = (1, 1), \quad \lambda_2 = a - b, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = (1, -1).$$

Since $a + b > a - b$, the eigenvector $(1, 1)$ spans the unstable manifold when the origin is a saddle point, and it spans the slow eigendirection when the origin is a stable node. Figure 5.3.2 shows the phase portrait for the two cases.



$$a^2 > b^2$$



$$a^2 < b^2$$

Figure 5.3.2

If $a^2 > b^2$, the relationship always fizzles out to mutual indifference. The lesson seems to be that excessive caution can lead to apathy.

If $a^2 < b^2$, the lovers are more daring, or perhaps more sensitive to each other. Now the relationship is explosive. Depending on their feelings initially, their relationship either becomes a love fest or a war. In either case, all trajectories approach the line $R = J$, so their feelings are eventually mutual. ■

EXERCISES FOR CHAPTER 5

5.1 Definitions and Examples

5.1.1 (Ellipses and energy conservation for the harmonic oscillator) Consider the harmonic oscillator $\dot{x} = v$, $\dot{v} = -\omega^2 x$.

- a) Show that the orbits are given by ellipses $\omega^2 x^2 + v^2 = C$, where C is any non-negative constant. (Hint: Divide the \dot{x} equation by the \dot{v} equation, separate the v ’s from the x ’s, and integrate the resulting separable equation.)
- b) Show that this condition is equivalent to conservation of energy.

(Strogatz 1994)

5.1.2 Consider the system $\dot{x} = ax$, $\dot{y} = -y$, where $a < -1$. Show that all trajectories become parallel to the y -direction as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and parallel to the x -direction as $t \rightarrow -\infty$.

(Hint: Examine the slope $dy/dx = \dot{y}/\dot{x}$.)

Write the following systems in matrix form.

5.1.3 $\dot{x} = -y$, $\dot{y} = -x$

5.1.4 $\dot{x} = 3x - 2y$, $\dot{y} = 2y - x$

5.1.5 $\dot{x} = 0$, $\dot{y} = x + y$

5.1.6 $\dot{x} = x$, $\dot{y} = 5x + y$

Sketch the vector field for the following systems. Indicate the length and direction of the vectors with reasonable accuracy. Sketch some typical trajectories.

5.1.7 $\dot{x} = x$, $\dot{y} = x + y$

5.1.8 $\dot{x} = -2y$, $\dot{y} = x$

5.1.9 Consider the system $\dot{x} = -y$, $\dot{y} = -x$.

- Sketch the vector field.
- Show that the trajectories of the system are hyperbolas of the form $x^2 - y^2 = C$. (Hint: Show that the governing equations imply $x\dot{x} - y\dot{y} = 0$ and then integrate both sides.)
- The origin is a saddle point; find equations for its stable and unstable manifolds.
- The system can be decoupled and solved as follows. Introduce new variables u and v , where $u = x + y$, $v = x - y$. Then rewrite the system in terms of u and v . Solve for $u(t)$ and $v(t)$, starting from an arbitrary initial condition (u_0, v_0) .
- What are the equations for the stable and unstable manifolds in terms of u and v ?
- Finally, using the answer to (d), write the general solution for $x(t)$ and $y(t)$, starting from an initial condition (x_0, y_0) .

5.1.10 (Attracting and Liapunov stable) Here are the official definitions of the various types of stability. Consider a fixed point \mathbf{x}^* of a system $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$.

We say that \mathbf{x}^* is **attracting** if there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{x}^*$ whenever $\|\mathbf{x}(0) - \mathbf{x}^*\| < \delta$. In other words, any trajectory that starts within a distance δ of \mathbf{x}^* is guaranteed to converge to \mathbf{x}^* eventually. As shown schematically in Figure 1, trajectories that start nearby are allowed to stray from \mathbf{x}^* in the short run, but they must approach \mathbf{x}^* in the long run.

In contrast, Liapunov stability requires that nearby trajectories remain close for all time. We say that \mathbf{x}^* is **Liapunov stable** if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $\|\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{x}^*\| < \varepsilon$ whenever $t \geq 0$ and $\|\mathbf{x}(0) - \mathbf{x}^*\| < \delta$. Thus, trajectories that start within δ of \mathbf{x}^* remain within ε of \mathbf{x}^* for all positive time (Figure 1).




Figure 1

Finally, \mathbf{x}^* is **asymptotically stable** if it is both attracting and Liapunov stable.

For each of the following systems, decide whether the origin is attracting, Liapunov stable, asymptotically stable, or none of the above.

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| a) $\dot{x} = y$, $\dot{y} = -4x$ | b) $\dot{x} = 2y$, $\dot{y} = x$ |
| c) $\dot{x} = 0$, $\dot{y} = x$ | d) $\dot{x} = 0$, $\dot{y} = -y$ |
| e) $\dot{x} = -x$, $\dot{y} = -5y$ | f) $\dot{x} = x$, $\dot{y} = y$ |

5.1.11 (Stability proofs) Prove that your answers to 5.1.10 are correct, using the definitions of the different types of stability. (You must produce a suitable δ to prove that the origin is attracting, or a suitable $\delta(\varepsilon)$ to prove Liapunov stability.)

5.1.12 (Closed orbits from symmetry arguments) Give a simple proof that orbits are closed for the simple harmonic oscillator $\dot{x} = v$, $\dot{v} = -x$, using *only* the symmetry properties of the vector field. (Hint: Consider a trajectory that starts on the v -axis at $(0, -v_0)$, and suppose that the trajectory intersects the x -axis at $(x, 0)$. Then use symmetry arguments to find the subsequent intersections with the v -axis and x -axis.)

5.1.13 Why do you think a “saddle point” is called by that name? What’s the connection to real saddles (the kind used on horses)?

5.2 Classification of Linear Systems

5.2.1 Consider the system $\dot{x} = 4x - y$, $\dot{y} = 2x + y$.

- Write the system as $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = A\mathbf{x}$. Show that the characteristic polynomial is $\lambda^2 - 5\lambda + 6$, and find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A .
- Find the general solution of the system.
- Classify the fixed point at the origin.
- Solve the system subject to the initial condition $(x_0, y_0) = (3, 4)$.

5.2.2 (Complex eigenvalues) This exercise leads you through the solution of a

linear system where the eigenvalues are complex. The system is $\dot{x} = x - y$, $\dot{y} = x + y$.

- a) Find A and show that it has eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = 1+i$, $\lambda_2 = 1-i$, with eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_1 = (i, 1)$, $\mathbf{v}_2 = (-i, 1)$. (Note that the eigenvalues are complex conjugates, and so are the eigenvectors—this is always the case for real A with complex eigenvalues.)
- b) The general solution is $\mathbf{x}(t) = c_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 e^{\lambda_2 t} \mathbf{v}_2$. So in one sense we're done! But this way of writing $\mathbf{x}(t)$ involves complex coefficients and looks unfamiliar. Express $\mathbf{x}(t)$ purely in terms of real-valued functions. (Hint: Use $e^{i\omega t} = \cos \omega t + i \sin \omega t$ to rewrite $\mathbf{x}(t)$ in terms of sines and cosines, and then separate the terms that have a prefactor of i from those that don't.)

Plot the phase portrait and classify the fixed point of the following linear systems. If the eigenvectors are real, indicate them in your sketch.

5.2.3 $\dot{x} = y$, $\dot{y} = -2x - 3y$

5.2.4 $\dot{x} = 5x + 10y$, $\dot{y} = -x - y$

5.2.5 $\dot{x} = 3x - 4y$, $\dot{y} = x - y$

5.2.6 $\dot{x} = -3x + 2y$, $\dot{y} = x - 2y$

5.2.7 $\dot{x} = 5x + 2y$, $\dot{y} = -17x - 5y$

5.2.8 $\dot{x} = -3x + 4y$, $\dot{y} = -2x + 3y$

5.2.9 $\dot{x} = 4x - 3y$, $\dot{y} = 8x - 6y$

5.2.10 $\dot{x} = y$, $\dot{y} = -x - 2y$.

5.2.11 Show that any matrix of the form $A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & b \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$, with $b \neq 0$, has only a one-dimensional eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue λ . Then solve the system $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = A\mathbf{x}$ and sketch the phase portrait.

5.2.12 (LRC circuit) Consider the circuit equation $L\ddot{I} + R\dot{I} + I/C = 0$, where $L, C > 0$ and $R \geq 0$.

- a) Rewrite the equation as a two-dimensional linear system.
- b) Show that the origin is asymptotically stable if $R > 0$ and neutrally stable if $R = 0$.
- c) Classify the fixed point at the origin, depending on whether $R^2C - 4L$ is positive, negative, or zero, and sketch the phase portrait in all three cases.

5.2.13 (Damped harmonic oscillator) The motion of a damped harmonic oscillator is described by $m\ddot{x} + b\dot{x} + kx = 0$, where $b > 0$ is the damping constant.

- a) Rewrite the equation as a two-dimensional linear system.
- b) Classify the fixed point at the origin and sketch the phase portrait. Be sure to show all the different cases that can occur, depending on the relative sizes of the parameters.
- c) How do your results relate to the standard notions of overdamped, critically damped, and underdamped vibrations?

5.2.14 (A project about random systems) Suppose we pick a linear system at

random; what's the probability that the origin will be, say, an unstable spiral? To be more specific, consider the system $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = A\mathbf{x}$, where $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. Suppose we pick the entries a, b, c, d independently and at random from a uniform distribution on the interval $[-1, 1]$. Find the probabilities of all the different kinds of fixed points.

To check your answers (or if you hit an analytical roadblock), try the *Monte Carlo method*. Generate millions of random matrices on the computer and have the machine count the relative frequency of saddles, unstable spirals, etc.

Are the answers the same if you use a normal distribution instead of a uniform distribution?

5.3 Love Affairs

5.3.1 (Name-calling) Suggest names for the four romantic styles, determined by the signs of a and b in $\dot{R} = aR + bJ$.

5.3.2 Consider the affair described by $\dot{R} = J$, $\dot{J} = -R + J$.

- a) Characterize the romantic styles of Romeo and Juliet.
- b) Classify the fixed point at the origin. What does this imply for the affair?
- c) Sketch $R(t)$ and $J(t)$ as functions of t , assuming $R(0) = 1$, $J(0) = 0$.

In each of the following problems, predict the course of the love affair, depending on the signs and relative sizes of a and b .

5.3.3 (Out of touch with their own feelings) Suppose Romeo and Juliet react to each other, but not to themselves: $\dot{R} = aJ$, $\dot{J} = bR$. What happens?

5.3.4 (Fire and water) Do opposites attract? Analyze $\dot{R} = aR + bJ$, $\dot{J} = -bR - aJ$.

5.3.5 (Peas in a pod) If Romeo and Juliet are romantic clones ($\dot{R} = aR + bJ$, $\dot{J} = bR + aJ$), should they expect boredom or bliss?

5.3.6 (Romeo the robot) Nothing could ever change the way Romeo feels about Juliet: $\dot{R} = 0$, $\dot{J} = aR + bJ$. Does Juliet end up loving him or hating him?