Biomeng 26 | Lecture 07 2017

Parameter estimation cont'd

L Challenges - large number of parameters

- trade-offs!

· Example: Metabolic models & estimation of these

o Approach: Flux Balance - constraints Analysis (FBA) - optimisation

Interesting paper (just published):

How to deal with

powameters for whole
cell modelling'

Babtie & Stump f (2017)

Quotes: (Babtle & Stumpf 2017)

Currently no suitable framework

to reliably extimate hundreds,

let alone thousands, of
reaction rate parameters

-> see per/stides

Trade-offs (involved.

Goals: Again, Systems Biology

want to tackle increasingly larger scale (complex systems

+ (18 lots of parameters)

Example: Metabolic Models

Recall: Metabolism (cellula)

Tall the chemical processes

keeping the cell alive'

-> lots!

Many 'pathways'

- o sevies of enzymecutulysed reactions
- each lead to breakdown (cutabohsm) or synthesis (anabohsm) of specific metabolites (subst. formed as part of or necessary for netabohsm), they interact too!

Examples - See Sholls - Key point: large systems Leven 'simple 'ved blood cell model ~ (00 00 cs) each with many parameters - Parameters often unknown (to be estimated Løystematic search inflasible L'non-identifiable': no }'ill-posed'
unique 'best' set }

Approaches

- Search for Smaller sets of
important param.

L'complexity penalty
L'sel eg Babble & Stimpf
Today { Try something else!

'Perhaps the best established

[large-scale cell models] are

metabolic models. based around

flux balance analysis (FBA)...

> makes unde genoure-scare

models flasible

comes at a cost (trade-offs!)

Ly only considers overall eg mass balances of reachors (stoichanetry) - no constitutive egas. (can also include some overall energetic / thermodynamic into too) Ly primarly steady-state-based Simple motivating example Consider the system

$$A \stackrel{\mathcal{T}_1^+}{\rightleftharpoons} B_1 B \stackrel{\mathcal{T}_2^+}{\rightleftharpoons} C_1 C \stackrel{\mathcal{T}_3^+}{\rightleftharpoons} A$$

In terms of Tret fluxes,
le [J, = J, -J,] we can unte

$$A \xrightarrow{J_1} B, B \xrightarrow{J_2} C, C \xrightarrow{J_3} A$$
Where $\boxed{J_1}$ represents $\xrightarrow{J_1^+}$ etc

Conservation of mass is then

$$d[A] = -J_1 + J_3$$

$$d[B] = +J_1 - J_2$$

$$d[C] = +J_2 - J_3$$

$$d[C] = +J_2 - J_3$$

Now, unte this using matrices/vectors

define:
$$C = \begin{bmatrix} AI \\ SI \end{bmatrix}, \quad dC = \begin{bmatrix} d[AI \\ \overline{AE} \end{bmatrix}$$
concentrations
vector
$$d[CI]$$

vector

vector

$$\vec{J} = \begin{bmatrix} J_1 \\ J_2 \\ J_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

restor

(overbar: vector)

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\text{gives}}{\text{dc}} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & +1 \\ +1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \overline{J}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & +1 \\ +1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & +1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

rote:

~ instead

of J sometimes)

Storchrometric matrix S

Guen Mspecses & N reactions, each of the form

Note: +B, -d => | Sign determined by choice of sign for net funk.

Example: 3rd reaction in some diA+dgC -> BIA+BaD+BgH BI-XI zrd reaction. $C \xrightarrow{J_1} A + D$ Example ' $A+3D \xrightarrow{J_2} B+E$ R -3 D

Mathematical Framework PI. Note: we are working in terms of pure fluxes el conservation of mass -> No constitutue egis -> No voite parameters Problem: 'closure' -> can't convect J back to concentrations R NO ODES dc = f(c) word tinob functional Solution: just consider steady states & treat fluxes as the unknowns to be det.

Steady state flux balance analysis (FBA)

- Makes some sense when thinking about overall wetabolism in particular (hence popular in this area)

- only need storchrometry (mass balance)

- often aventable eg metabolic vetwork maps

Solve SJ = 0

Solve SJ = 0

for fluxes J = monograms

(not vale constants)

No free lunch: difficulties

-usually more reactions than metabolities (concentrations)

-> remember, the reaction fluxes are the nutrioung

- often don't know all metabolites

 $\begin{array}{c|c}
C_1 & J_2 & J_N \\
\vdots & w_{\text{coder}} & & \\
\vdots & & & \\
C_M & & &
\end{array}$

More columns (unknowns I)

than rows (equations)

typically underdetermined

le multiple solutions for I

Makes sense: conservation: possible constitutive; actual)

$$S = \begin{cases} 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{cases} \leftarrow ATP$$

$$6 \quad rows, & S \quad cols$$

$$Lunknowns & equis 1$$

$$S = 0$$

$$2 \quad solves & T = 0$$

$$(at \quad uorst)$$

$$(at \quad uorst$$

-> vext time!