
The Pseudoinverse of a Matrix

Omar Hijab

Abstract. A concise presentation of the pseudoinverse of a matrix.

Keywords: matrix, pseudoinverse

1. INTRODUCTION. The pseudoinverse of a matrix is widely used, and its numerical evaluation has been thoroughly studied over the years.

What is apparently lacking, in the typical undergraduate mathematics curriculum, is a clear and short presentation emphasizing its *conceptual inevitability*.

This note hopes to remedy this lapse. As the pseudoinverse has been studied for the better part of a century, the note's novelty, if any, is in the presentation.

Every (square or non-square) matrix has a pseudoinverse. The pseudoinverse, sometimes called a generalized inverse or Moore-Penrose inverse, is a natural extension of the inverse of a matrix.

The pseudoinverse of A is sometimes denoted A^+ . However, because the inverse of A is denoted A^{-1} , we find the notation A^- more appropriate.

Let I be the identity matrix. The inverse of A , if it exists, is the unique matrix X satisfying

$$AX = I = XA. \quad (1)$$

Matrices are the same shape if they have the same number of rows and columns. When (1) happens, A , X , and I are the same shape.

With $\|X\|^2 = \text{trace}(X^t X)$, the residuals are

$$\|AX - I\|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|XA - I\|^2. \quad (2)$$

These are scalar functions of X . The residuals are well-defined only if X and A^t are the same shape. If A is non-square, the identity matrices I in the residuals are not the same shape.

If A is invertible, the unique minimizer of the residuals is $X = A^{-1}$. Given this, one approach to the pseudoinverse is to seek a matrix X minimizing the residuals.

Let P_c be the projection onto the column space of A , and let P_r be the projection onto the row space of A . If A is not invertible or non-square, then one of AX or XA can never equal I . Because of this, another approach to the pseudoinverse is to modify (1) by seeking X satisfying

$$AX = P_c \quad \text{and} \quad XA = P_r.$$

MSC: Primary 15A09, Secondary 15-01

This second approach suggests modifying the residuals to

$$\|AX - P_c\|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|XA - P_r\|^2, \quad (3)$$

yielding a third approach. In fact, using $P_c A = A$ and $AP_r = A$, it is easy to check that the residuals (3) differ from the residuals (2) by constants.

A solution X_0 of $f(X) = 0$ is *least norm* if $\|X_0\| \leq \|X\|$ for all solutions X of $f(X) = 0$. A minimizer X_0 of $f(X)$ is *least norm* if $\|X_0\| \leq \|X\|$ for all minimizers X of $f(X)$.

2. PSEUDOINVERSE. The *pseudoinverse* A^- of A is the matrix X in the following Theorem. In particular, A^- and A^t are the same shape.

Theorem. *There is a unique least norm minimizer of $\|AX - I\|^2$, a unique least norm minimizer of $\|XA - I\|^2$, a unique least norm solution of $AX = P_c$, a unique least norm solution of $XA = P_r$, a unique solution of the Penrose axioms*

- A. $AXA = A$,
- B. $XAX = X$,
- C. AX is symmetric,
- D. XA is symmetric,

and the five matrices are equal.

Immediate consequences are $(A^-)^t = (A^t)^-$, and $P^- = P$ if P is a projection.

Corollary. $P_c = AA^-$ and $P_r = A^-A$.

Recall a linear system $Ax = b$ is solvable iff b is in the column space of A . By the corollary, this happens iff $AA^-b = b$, exhibiting a solution $x = A^-b$ of $Ax = b$.

A matrix U is orthogonal if

$$UU^t = I = U^tU,$$

equivalently if $U^{-1} = U^t$. A matrix U is *pseudo-orthogonal* if $U^- = U^t$.

Corollary. U is pseudo-orthogonal iff UU^t is a projection iff U^tU is a projection.

Examples are matrices with orthonormal or zero columns and matrices with orthonormal or zero rows. In particular, a zero matrix is pseudo-orthogonal.

Let u, v, w be vectors. The dot product is $v \cdot w$, and the tensor product of u, v is the matrix $u \otimes v$ satisfying $(u \otimes v)w = (v \cdot w)u$. Then $(u \otimes v)^t = v \otimes u$.

Let Q be a symmetric matrix of rank r and with eigenvalue decomposition

$$Q = \lambda_1 v_1 \otimes v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 \otimes v_2 + \cdots + \lambda_r v_r \otimes v_r.$$

Here v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r are orthonormal vectors and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$ are nonzero.

Corollary. *The pseudoinverse of Q is*

$$Q^- = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} v_1 \otimes v_1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} v_2 \otimes v_2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{\lambda_r} v_r \otimes v_r.$$

Let A be a matrix of rank r and with singular value decomposition

$$A = \sigma_1 u_1 \otimes v_1 + \sigma_2 u_2 \otimes v_2 + \cdots + \sigma_r u_r \otimes v_r.$$

Here u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r and v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r are orthonormal vectors, and $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r$ are positive. The following implies A is pseudo-orthogonal iff all $\sigma_k = 1$.

Corollary. *The pseudoinverse of A is*

$$A^- = \frac{1}{\sigma_1} v_1 \otimes u_1 + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} v_2 \otimes u_2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{\sigma_r} v_r \otimes u_r.$$

This result is the basis for numerical evaluations of A^- .

3. EXAMPLE. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\ 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the Penrose axioms, one can verify directly

$$A^- = \frac{1}{150} \begin{pmatrix} -37 & -10 & 17 \\ -20 & -5 & 10 \\ -3 & 0 & 3 \\ 14 & 5 & -4 \\ 31 & 10 & -11 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Using the pseudoinverse, we compute the eigenvalue decomposition of $Q = AA^t$. Conversely, using the eigenvalue decomposition of Q , we compute A^- .

If the rows of A are u, v, w , then $w = 2v - u$, so the rank of A is $r = 2$. Let a, b, c, d, e be the columns of A . We show

$$f = (-100, -3, 94), \quad g = (2, 1, 0)$$

span the column space of A .

To this end, recall $x = (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5)$ solves $Ax = f$ iff

$$f = t_1 a + t_2 b + t_3 c + t_4 d + t_5 e.$$

Using $x = A^- f$ and $x = A^- g$ to solve $Ax = f$ and $Ax = g$ and writing out the components of x , we obtain

$$50f = 1776a + 985b + 194c - 597d - 1388e,$$

$$50g = -28a - 15b - 2c + 11d + 24e.$$

Similarly, let B be the matrix with columns f and g ,

$$B = (f, g) = \begin{pmatrix} -100 & 2 \\ -3 & 1 \\ 94 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and solve $Bx = a$, $Bx = b$, $Bx = c$, $Bx = d$, $Bx = e$ using

$$B^{-} = \frac{1}{564} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 5 \\ 185 & 194 & 203 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Writing out the components of x , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 94a &= 11f + 597g, & 47b &= 6f + 347g, & 94c &= 13f + 791g, \\ 47d &= 7f + 444g, & 94e &= 15f + 985g. \end{aligned}$$

This shows f, g span the column space of A . Let

$$Q = AA^t = \begin{pmatrix} 55 & 130 & 205 \\ 130 & 330 & 530 \\ 205 & 530 & 855 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the column spaces of A and Q agree, Q has two nonzero eigenvalues λ .

To find λ , we restrict Q to its column space by inserting $u = sf + tg$ into $Qu = \lambda u$, leading to

$$Q(sf + tg) = \lambda(sf + tg). \quad (5)$$

Taking the dot product with f then with g leads to the 2×2 homogeneous linear system $(M - \lambda N) \begin{pmatrix} s \\ t \end{pmatrix} = 0$, where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} f \cdot Qf & f \cdot Qg \\ g \cdot Qf & g \cdot Qg \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4032830 & 62590 \\ 62590 & 1070 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} f \cdot f & f \cdot g \\ g \cdot f & g \cdot g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 18845 & -203 \\ -203 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Setting the determinant of $M - \lambda N$ to zero yields

$$\lambda^2 - 1240\lambda + 7500 = 0,$$

which is solved by

$$\lambda_{\pm} = 620 \pm 10\delta, \quad \delta = \sqrt{3769}.$$

To solve for (s, t) , let

$$S = B^{-}QB = \begin{pmatrix} 620 & 10 \\ 10\delta^2 & 620 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $b = QB \begin{pmatrix} s \\ t \end{pmatrix}$ and $x = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} s \\ t \end{pmatrix}$. Then (5) may be written $Bx = b$, which is solved by $x = B^{-}b = S \begin{pmatrix} s \\ t \end{pmatrix}$. It follows (5) is implied by

$$S \begin{pmatrix} s \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} s \\ t \end{pmatrix},$$

which is solved by

$$(s, t) = (1, \pm\delta).$$

Thus $f \pm \delta g$ are eigenvectors of AA^t corresponding to λ_{\pm} . Since $\lambda_+ \neq \lambda_-$, $f \pm \delta g$ are an orthogonal basis for the column space of A .

To obtain a basis for the row space of A , let

$$p = A^t f, \quad q = A^t g.$$

Then $p \pm \delta q$ are eigenvectors for $A^t A$ corresponding to λ_{\pm} , hence $p \pm \delta q$ are an orthogonal basis for the row space, and

$$A(p \pm \delta q) = \lambda_{\pm}(f \pm \delta g), \quad |p \pm \delta q|^2 = \lambda_{\pm}|f \pm \delta g|^2.$$

If u_{\pm} are the unit vectors positively proportional to $f \pm \delta g$, u_{\pm} are orthonormal. From this, the eigenvalue decomposition of AA^t is

$$AA^t = \lambda_+ u_+ \otimes u_+ + \lambda_- u_- \otimes u_-.$$

Let $\sigma_{\pm} = \sqrt{\lambda_{\pm}}$ and let v_{\pm} be the unit vectors positively proportional to $p \pm \delta q$. Then v_{\pm} are orthonormal, and

$$v_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\pm}} A^t u_{\pm}.$$

From this, the eigenvalue decomposition of $A^t A$ is

$$A^t A = \lambda_+ v_+ \otimes v_+ + \lambda_- v_- \otimes v_-.$$

Summarizing,

$$Av_{\pm} = \sigma_{\pm} u_{\pm} \quad \text{and} \quad A^t u_{\pm} = \sigma_{\pm} v_{\pm},$$

which leads to the singular value decompositions

$$A = \sigma_+ u_+ \otimes v_+ + \sigma_- u_- \otimes v_-, \quad A^- = \frac{1}{\sigma_+} v_+ \otimes u_+ + \frac{1}{\sigma_-} v_- \otimes u_-.$$

This yields (4), and completes the example.

4. PROOF. The proof of the Theorem is a series of Lemmas, some of whose proofs are left to the reader.

The euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^{N \times d}$ is the set of real matrices X with N rows and d columns.

The dot product and norm squared in $\mathbf{R}^{N \times d}$ are

$$X \cdot Y = \text{trace}(X^t Y), \quad \|X\|^2 = X \cdot X.$$

If X is in $\mathbf{R}^{N \times d}$, then X^t is in $\mathbf{R}^{d \times N}$, and $\|X\|^2 = \|X^t\|^2$. Let A and B be in $\mathbf{R}^{d \times N}$ and $\mathbf{R}^{d \times d}$. Then $AX - B$ is in $\mathbf{R}^{d \times d}$.

Since the row and null spaces of A are orthogonal, $AV = 0$ iff $P_r V = 0$. Since $V \cdot A^t AV = AV \cdot AV = \|AV\|^2$, $AV = 0$ iff $A^t AV = 0$.

Lemma 1. $\|X\|^2 \leq \|X + V\|^2$ for all V in $\mathbf{R}^{N \times d}$ satisfying $AV = 0$ iff $P_r X = X$.

Let $f(X)$ be a scalar function defined on a euclidean space \mathcal{E} . If X_0 in \mathcal{E} satisfies $f(X_0) \leq f(X)$ for all X in \mathcal{E} , then X_0 is a *minimizer* of $f(X)$ on \mathcal{E} .

A function $f(X)$ is *proper* on \mathcal{E} if for every sequence X_n in \mathcal{E} ,

$$\|X_n\| \rightarrow \infty \implies f(X_n) \rightarrow +\infty.$$

If $f(X)$ is continuous and proper on \mathcal{E} , a basic calculus result [1] guarantees the existence of a minimizer of $f(X)$ on \mathcal{E} .

Below, when \mathcal{E} is not specified, a minimizer is on $\mathcal{E} = \mathbf{R}^{N \times d}$.

Lemma 2. *There is a minimizer X_0 of $\|AX - B\|^2$ satisfying $P_r X_0 = X_0$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{E} be the subspace of $\mathbf{R}^{N \times d}$ of matrices X satisfying $P_r X = X$. We show $f(X) = \|AX - B\|^2$ is proper on \mathcal{E} , arguing by contradiction.

If $f(X)$ is not proper on \mathcal{E} , there is a sequence of matrices X_n in \mathcal{E} with $r_n = \|X_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ and $f(X_n)$ bounded, hence with $\|AX_n\| \leq c$ for some finite c .

Let $X'_n = X_n/r_n$. Since $\|X'_n\| = 1$, X'_n is a bounded sequence, hence a subsequence X'_n converges to some X' . By continuity, $\|X'\| = 1$ and $P_r X' = X'$. Since

$$\|AX'\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|AX'_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|AX_n\|}{r_n} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c}{r_n} = 0,$$

$AX' = 0$, hence $P_r X' = 0$, hence $X' = 0$, which contradicts $\|X'\| = 1$.

Thus there is a minimizer X_0 of $f(X)$ on \mathcal{E} . For X in $\mathbf{R}^{N \times d}$, $P_r X$ is in \mathcal{E} , and $f(X) = f(P_r X)$, hence X_0 is a minimizer of $f(X)$. ■

Lemma 3. *There is a unique least norm minimizer X of $\|AX - B\|^2$, and the least norm minimizer X of $\|AX - B\|^2$ equals the unique solution of the pair $A^t AX = A^t B$, $P_r X = X$.*

Proof. Since $f(X) = \|AX - B\|^2$ is convex, X is a minimizer of $f(X)$ iff the derivative of $f(X + tV)$ at $t = 0$ vanishes for all V . Writing this out, $(AX - B) \cdot AV = 0$ for all V , which happens iff $A^t AX = A^t B$.

By Lemma 2, there is a minimizer X satisfying $P_r X = X$. By Lemma 1, a minimizer X is least norm iff $P_r X = X$, hence there is a least norm minimizer.

If X and X_0 are minimizers, then $V = X - X_0$ satisfies $A^t AV = 0$, hence $P_r V = 0$. If X and X_0 are least norm minimizers, then $P_r V = V$, so $V = 0$. This establishes the uniqueness of the least norm minimizer.

Since the steps are reversible, the solution of the pair $A^t AX = A^t B$, $P_r X = X$ is unique. ■

Lemma 4. *If X_0 is the least norm minimizer of $\|AX - I\|^2$, then $X_0 B$ is the least norm minimizer of $\|AX - B\|^2$.*

Lemma 5. *The least norm minimizer X of $\|AX - I\|^2$ equals the unique least norm solution of $AX = P_c$.*

Proof. X is a least norm solution of $AX = P_c$ iff X is a solution of the pair $AX = P_c$, $P_r X = X$, so it is enough to show $A^t AX = A^t$ iff $AX = P_c$.

If $P = AX$ and $A^tAX = A^t$, then $A = P^tA$. Right-multiplying by X yields $P = P^tP$. It follows P satisfies $P^t = P$ and $P^2 = P$, hence P is a projection.

Since $A = PA$ and $P = AX$, the column spaces of P and A agree, hence $P = P_c$, hence $AX = P_c$. Conversely, if $AX = P_c$, then $AXA = P_cA = A$, so $A^t(AX) = (AXA)^t = A^t$. ■

Lemma 6. *There is a unique least norm minimizer X of $\|XA - I\|^2$, and the least norm minimizer X of $\|XA - I\|^2$ equals the unique least norm solution of $XA = P_r$.*

Proof. Write $\|XA - I\|^2 = \|A^tX^t - I\|^2$ and use Lemma 5. Since the column space of A^t is the row space of A , $XA = (A^tX^t)^t = P_r^t = P_r$. ■

Lemma 7. *X is the least norm minimizer of $\|AX - I\|^2$ iff X satisfies the Penrose axioms.*

Proof. If X is the least norm minimizer of $\|AX - I\|^2$, then $AX = P_c$. Thus $AXA = P_cA = A$, yielding **A**. Since P_c is symmetric, we also have **C**.

From Lemma 4, XP_c is the least norm minimizer of $\|AX - P_c\|^2$. Since $\|AX - I\|^2$ and $\|AX - P_c\|^2$ differ by a constant, their minimizers agree, hence they have the same least norm minimizer. This shows $XP_c = X$, or $XAX = X$, yielding **B**.

Let $P = XA$. Since $P_rX = X$, $P_rP = P$. Also, by **A**, $A(I - P) = 0$, so $P_r(I - P) = 0$. This shows $P = P_r$, hence P is symmetric, obtaining **D**.

Conversely, assume X satisfies the Penrose axioms. Then **A** and **C** imply $A^tAX = (AXA)^t = A^t$, hence X is a minimizer of $\|AX - I\|^2$. If $P = XA$, **B** and **D** imply P is a projection, hence $P = A^tX^t$.

By **A**, $A^t = (AXA)^t = PA^t$. Since $P = A^tX^t$ and $A^t = PA^t$, the column spaces of P and A^t agree, hence the column space of P equals the row space of A . Thus $P = P_r$, hence

$$P_rX = PX = XAX = X.$$

This establishes X is the least norm minimizer of $\|AX - I\|^2$. ■

Lemma 8. *The least norm minimizer of $\|XA - I\|^2$ equals the least norm minimizer of $\|AX - I\|^2$.*

Proof. Use the transpose invariance of the Penrose axioms, $\|XA - I\|^2 = \|A^tX^t - I\|^2$, and Lemma 7. ■

REFERENCES

-
- [1] Hijab O. Math for Data Science. Cham, Switzerland: Springer; 2025.
 - [2] Moore EH. On the reciprocal of the general algebraic matrix. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society. 1920;26:394-5.
 - [3] Penrose R. A generalized inverse for matrices. Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society. 1955;51:406-13.
 - [4] Wikipedia. Moore-Penrose_Inverse. Available from: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Moore-Penrose_inverse.

OMAR HIJAB received his doctorate from the University of California, Berkeley. He is Professor Emeritus at Temple University.

Department of Mathematics, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122
hijab@temple.edu