Omar Agnilar

$$3 \text{ gt. } = 0$$

$$3 \text{ gt. } = \frac{-3(1 \times 1) + 4(1 \times 1) - f(1 \times 1)}{2h}$$

$$= \frac{-3(0) + 1 + 1(10) - 600}{212}$$

$$= \frac{90}{4} = 10 \text{ m/s}$$

@ t=4

$$2pt. CO = \frac{f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_{i-1})}{2h}$$

$$= \frac{130 - 40}{2(2)}$$

$$= \frac{90}{2} = 21.5 \text{ m/s}$$

@ t=10

3 pt. BD =
$$\frac{3f(x_i) - 4f(x_{i-1}) + f(x_{i+1})}{2h}$$

= $\frac{3(250) - 4(190) + (190)}{2(2)}$
= $\frac{40 \text{ m/s}}{2}$

2) b) For FD, theory states that as the h is cut in half, the error will also be cut in half. This is signified by the error for ED being Olh). On to the mathematical characteristics of sink in combination with the structure of the Taylor Series expansion been below, when using x=0, the higher order terms matter more since the even derived terms concel out. This causes a heavier lean on the higher order terms, causing the convergence rate to be higher.

Jan Oax (o

$$t(x) = 2(x^{3}) + \frac{1}{4}(x^{9})(x^{-x}) + \frac{5}{4}(x^{9})(x^{-x})^{3} + \frac{5}{4}(x^{9})(x^{-x})^{3} + \frac{1}{4}(x^{9})(x^{-x})^{4} + \cdots + \frac{2}{4}(x^{9})(x^{-x})^{4}$$

When using x=0, a lot of the terms get concelled out, therefore
the contributions of higher order terms becomes significant or compared
to using x=1, there no terms would cancel out and input is seen from
every derivative.