Appendix

end for

Pseudo-codes for the exact algorithm and the heuristic derived from this approach

```
Algorithm 2 Pseudo-code for the algorithm by Roodbergen & de Koster (2001a)
Input: problem data (containing number of picking aisles m);
  compute sum of edge weights for each configuration and picking aisle;
  construct L_1^{+1}-PTS by adding configurations for subaisle 1 of block #1 to an empty graph;
  for equivalence classes i=1 to 25 do
      determine the L_1^{+1}-PTS of class i with the smallest sum of edge weights;
  end for
  for equivalence classes i = 1 to 25 do
      construct L_1^{+2}-PTS by adding configurations for subaisle 1 of block #2 to L_1^{+1}-PTS of class i;
  for equivalence classes i = 1 to 25 do
      determine the L_1^{+2}-PTS of class i with the smallest sum of edge weights;
  end for
  for picking aisles j = 2 to m do
      for equivalence classes i = 1 to 25 do
          construct L_i^--PTS by adding configurations for movements between picking aisles j-1 and
         j to L_{i-1}^{+2}-PTS of class i;
      end for
      for equivalence classes i = 1 to 25 do
          determine the L_i^--PTS of class i with the smallest sum of edge weights;
      end for
      for equivalence classes i = 1 to 25 do
          construct L_i^{+1}-PTS by adding configurations for subaisle j of block #1 to L_i^--PTS of class i;
      end for
      for equivalence classes i = 1 to 25 do
          determine the L_j^{+1}-PTS of class i with the smallest sum of edge weights;
      end for
      for equivalence classes i = 1 to 25 do
          construct L_i^{+2}-PTS by adding configurations for subaisle j of block #2 to L_i^{+1}-PTS of class i;
      for equivalence classes i = 1 to 25 do
          determine the L_i^{+2}-PTS of class i with the smallest sum of edge weights;
      end for
```

out of classes $2, 3, \ldots, 9$, determine the L_m^{+2} -PTS with the smallest sum of edge weights;

Algorithm 3 Pseudo-code for the heuristic derived from the exact solution approach

```
Input: problem data (containing number of picking aisles \tilde{m}), percentage p;
  compute sum of edge weights for each configuration and picking aisle;
  apply the algorithm by Roodbergen & de Koster (2001a) with m := [p \cdot \tilde{m}];
  for pickings aisles j = \lceil p \cdot \tilde{m} \rceil + 1 to \tilde{m} do
      for equivalencees class i = 1 to 25 do
          construct L_i^--PTS by adding configurations for movements between picking aisles j-1 and
          j to L_{i-1}^{+2}-PTS of class i;
      end for
      determine the L_i^--PTS (denoted by L_i^*) with the smallest sum of edge weights;
      construct L_i^{+1}-PTS by adding configurations for subaisle j of block #1 to L_i^*;
      if L_i^* does not belong to any of the classes 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 or 9 then
          out of classes 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 and 9, determine the L_j^--PTS (denoted by L_j^{**}) with the smallest
          sum of edge weights;
          construct additional L_i^{+1}-PTS by adding configurations for subaisle j of block #1 to L_i^{**};
      for equivalence classes i = 1 to 25 do
          determine the L_i^{+1}-PTS of class i with the smallest sum of edge weights;
      end for
      for equivalence classes i = 1 to 25 do
          construct L_j^{+2}-PTS by adding configurations for subaisle j of block #2 to L_j^{+1}-PTS of class i;
      end for
      for equivalence classes i = 1 to 25 do
          determine the L_i^{+2}-PTS of class i with the smallest sum of edge weights;
      end for
  end for
  out of classes 2, 3, \dots, 9, determine the L_m^{+2}-PTS with the smallest sum of edge weights;
```