Artificial Intelligence in Medicine: Current Evidence & Realistic Potential for Physicians and Surgeons

Abstract

Artificial intelligence (AI) is increasingly applied in clinical medicine, especially in diagnostic imaging, interventional radiology, and surgical robotics. Recent peer-reviewed reviews demonstrate that AI can improve diagnostic accuracy, streamline workflows, and support complex decision-making (e.g., segmentation, tumor detection, image reconstruction) (Patel, Ramprasad & Makary, 2025; Liang et al., 2025). In surgical contexts, early but growing evidence suggests AI-augmented robotic systems and computer-vision tools may enhance precision, planning, and intraoperative support (Balch, Abbott & Loftus, 2024; Zhang et al., 2025). However, many applications remain experimental; challenges such as data quality, generalizability, transparency, and integration into clinical workflows limit broad adoption. At present, AI is best viewed as a support tool; augmenting, not replacing, clinicians.

---

1. Introduction

Modern medicine generates vast quantities of complex data: imaging (CT, MRI, PET), histopathology, electronic health records (EHR), genomic data, and in surgical settings; real-time intraoperative video and telemetry. Interpreting these data quickly and accurately places heavy cognitive and time burdens on clinicians. Advances in computational power, machine learning (ML), deep learning (DL), and robotics have given rise to AI-based systems that can assist data-intensive tasks, from image segmentation to surgical navigation and outcome prediction.

Given these developments, this paper reviews current, peer-reviewed evidence for AI in clinical medicine, focusing on diagnostic imaging, interventional radiology, surgical planning and execution, and postoperative care. It highlights where evidence is strongest, outlines potential benefits, and discusses major limitations and challenges.

---

2. AI for Physicians: Diagnostics & Interventional Radiology

2.1 Diagnostic Imaging and Radiology

One of the most robustly supported domains of AI application in medicine is diagnostic imaging. A 2025 review analyzing 138 studies found that AI algorithms often reach diagnostic performance comparable to (and sometimes better than) human experts in complex image-based tasks (Liang et al., 2025). The authors concluded that AI significantly improves diagnostic accuracy, especially in pattern recognition tasks that are time-consuming or subtle for humans.

Similarly, a recent scoping review of diagnostic and interventional radiology traced the clinical integration of AI across both domains (Patel, Ramprasad & Makary, 2025). This review identified 23 peer-reviewed clinical studies where AI was used in actual workflows, particularly in oncologic imaging (e.g., lung, breast, prostate), as well as vascular disease. AI applications ranged from lesion detection and segmentation to catheter navigation and image reconstruction. The authors noted that AI can help radiologists by improving detection rates, reducing variability, and potentially expanding access to care globally.

These findings suggest that AI in diagnostic radiology has not only theoretical promise but is already seeing real-world use. In high-volume practices or resource-limited settings, AI may help reduce workloads, improve detection sensitivity, and standardize reporting.

2.2 Interventional Radiology & Image-Guided Procedures

Beyond static imaging, AI and robotics are increasingly explored for interventional radiology (IR), e.g., tumor ablation, vascular interventions, or image-guided biopsies. A 2024 narrative review described how deep learning (DL) and machine learning (ML) methods combined with robotic control can facilitate navigation, needle/probe placement, and targeted interventions (Zhang et al., 2025). While the review emphasized that many applications remain experimental and limited to pilot or preclinical studies, the trend suggests growing interest in AI-assisted IR.

Moreover, the same 2025 scoping review (Patel et al., 2025) noted that among the 23 included studies, several involved interventional workflows, underscoring that AI's use is not confined to diagnostics alone. The benefits may include more accurate targeting, reduced procedural risk, and improved efficiencythough widespread clinical adoption requires overcoming regulatory, technical, and training barriers.

---

3. AI for Surgeons: Robotics, Planning, Navigation, and Postoperative Support

3.1 Surgical Robotics and Intraoperative Assistance

AI is increasingly integrated into surgical robotics and intraoperative support systems. A 2024 narrative review on AI integration into robotic surgery summarized recent progress: AI-enhanced robots now offer improved imaging support, sensor-based feedback (e.g., force or tactile sensing), intraoperative margin detection, and guidance to surgeons (Balch, Abbott & Loftus, 2024). These advances promise greater precision, consistency, and potentially reduced human error.

An especially significant recent contribution is a 2025 review focused on oncologic surgeries using AI-powered robotic systems (Zhang et al., 2025). The review examined 22 clinical studies, pilot trials, and simulation-based research across specialties (urology, neurosurgery, orthopaedics, pediatric, head & neck oncology). Reported innovations include 3-D anatomical modeling, adaptive motion compensation, sensor-assisted feedback, and adaptive navigation; features that may improve tumor resection precision, spare healthy tissue, and tailor surgery to patient-specific anatomy (Zhang et al., 2025).

However, and critically; the authors note substantial limitations: real-time intraoperative navigation remains challenging, tumor boundary detection is not uniformly reliable, cost-effectiveness is uncertain, and long-term outcome data are sparse (Zhang et al., 2025). Thus, while AI-robotic surgery represents a major shift, it is not yet a universally proven improvement over standard technique.

3.2 Preoperative Planning & 3-D Anatomical Modeling

Preoperative planning benefits significantly when AI is applied to imaging data (CT, MRI) to segment anatomical structures, model 3-D geometry, and help surgeons choose optimal surgical approaches based on patient-specific anatomy. This is particularly valuable in complex oncologic, vascular, or reconstructive surgeries where margin delineation, vascular involvement, and anatomical variation matter. A 2025 review described such use-cases as among the most promising near-term applications of AI in surgery (Patel et al., 2025).

By supporting preoperative planning with precise anatomical models, AI has the potential to reduce intraoperative surprises, limit healthy tissue damage, and improve surgical outcomes. Yet, evidence remains largely observational or pilot-level; rigorous clinical studies linking AI-driven planning to better patient outcomes remain limited.

3.3 Postoperative Monitoring, Outcome Prediction & Follow-up

Another promising but underdeveloped area is postoperative care and long-term follow-up. AI could analyze postoperative imaging, labs, and, potentially, continuous sensor data (from wearables or monitoring devices) to detect complications early, predict recovery trajectories, and guide follow-up or rehabilitation. A recent scoping review pointed out these possibilities but emphasized that few studies to date have translated such tools into validated clinical protocols (Patel et al., 2025). As such, while this represents an exciting frontier, robust data demonstrating improved patient outcomes are still lacking.

---

4. Benefits & Strengths (Where Evidence Is Strong or Emerging)

From current peer-reviewed literature, several benefits of AI use in medicine emerge:

- **Improved diagnostic accuracy and consistency**: Especially in radiology and oncologic imaging; AI algorithms often match or outperform human experts in detecting lesions, segmenting tumors, and identifying subtle abnormalities (Liang et al., 2025; Patel et al., 2025).
- **Increased efficiency and reduced workload**: AI-supported workflows may speed up imaging interpretation, reduce reporting times, and help manage high patient volume or radiologist shortages (Patel et al., 2025).
- **Enhanced surgical precision and personalization**: In surgical oncology, AI-robotic systems may improve margin detection, instrument control, and tailoring of procedures to patient anatomy (Zhang et al., 2025; Balch et al., 2024).
- **Support for complex decision-making and interventional procedures**: For interventional radiology and complex surgeries, AI may support navigation, planning, and risk stratification in ways beyond human capacity alone (Zhang et al., 2025; Patel et al., 2025).
- **Potential for improved postoperative care and long-term outcomes**: Though not yet fully validated, AI-enabled follow-up, complication prediction, and recovery monitoring may improve safety and resource allocation (Patel et al., 2025).

In many cases, the role of AI is not to replace human clinicians, but to augment them; acting as a "co-pilot" that handles data-intensive, repetitive, or high-stakes tasks, while clinicians retain judgment, empathy, and final responsibility.

---

5. Limitations, Risks & Challenges

Despite promise, significant barriers remain before AI can be broadly; and safely; integrated into clinical care.

5.1 Variability in Maturity & Evidence Level

- Diagnostic radiology has seen the most adoption and evidence; interventional radiology and surgical robotics are more experimental. Reviews consistently note that while AI shows technical promise in surgery, clinical outcome data are sparse or absent (Zhang et al., 2025; Balch et al., 2024).
- Many AI tools are validated on retrospective or pilot datasets; prospective, multicenter clinical trials remain rare. Without such trials, it is difficult to demonstrate real-world benefit or generalizability.

5.2 Data Quality, Generalizability, and Bias

- Datasets used for training AI may not represent the full diversity of patient populations (ethnic, age, comorbidity). This raises concerns about bias and reduced performance when applied outside development settings.
- Institutional differences in imaging protocols, equipment, or surgical practice complicate generalizability: what works in one hospital may fail in another.

- Especially in AI-driven surgical navigation, variation in anatomy, pathology, and intraoperative conditions may limit robustness of AI predictions.

5.3 Integration, Workflow, and Human Factors

- Integrating AI into clinical workflow requires infrastructure (hardware/software), staff training, regulatory compliance, and quality assurance; all of which demand time, resources, and institutional commitment.
- Clinicians may resist adoption due to concerns about liability, loss of autonomy, “black box” decision-making, and medico-legal implications.
- Over-reliance on AI may risk de-skilling clinicians, reducing their ability to perform tasks independently if the AI fails.

5.4 Regulatory, Ethical & Safety Concerns

- Use of AI, especially in surgery, raises questions about **who is responsible** if AI-assisted decisions lead to adverse outcomes; the tool developer? the hospital? the clinician?
- Data privacy and security are major issues when AI uses patient imaging, EHR, genomics, or intraoperative video.
- Equity concerns: advanced AI-based care may remain available only in well-resourced centers, potentially widening disparities in access to care.

---

6. Future Directions & Recommendations

For AI to realize its full potential in medicine; and to do so safely, ethically, and equitably; the following steps are critical:

1. **Prospective, multicenter clinical trials**; especially for AI applications in interventional radiology, surgical robotics, and postoperative care; to assess effectiveness, safety, cost-benefit, and generalizability.
2. **Development of explainable AI (XAI) tools**; including transparency about model decisions, uncertainty quantification, and clinician-friendly interfaces; to build trust, facilitate informed consent, and support accountability.
3. **Diverse and representative datasets**; ensuring inclusion of varied demographics, comorbidities, and imaging/procedural settings; to improve robustness and equity.
4. **Integration with clinical workflows and training**; investing in infrastructure, clinician training in AI literacy, and establishing protocols for human–AI collaboration.
5. **Ethical, legal and regulatory frameworks**; to clarify liability, consent, data governance, and equitable access; to ensure patient safety and social acceptability.
6. **Monitoring and evaluation of real-world deployment**; including post-market surveillance of AI tools, data on outcomes, clinician and patient satisfaction, unintended consequences, and equity metrics.

7. **Multidisciplinary collaboration**; between clinicians, data scientists, ethicists, regulators, hospital administrators, and patients; to guide design, implementation, and oversight of AI systems.

---

7. Conclusion

AI in medicine is no longer a distant promise: real, clinically useful applications already exist; particularly in diagnostic imaging and radiology; and adoption is expanding. In surgical and interventional contexts, AI-augmented robotics, planning tools, and navigation support hold considerable promise, though evidence remains preliminary.

Given current strengths and limitations, the most responsible and realistic role for AI is as a supportive adjunct; a co-pilot; not a replacement for human clinicians. If developers, clinicians, and policymakers proceed cautiously, with rigorous validation, transparent design, and ethical safeguards, AI may substantially enhance accuracy, efficiency, and patient outcomes in a data-rich, resource-constrained future.

References

Balch, J. A., Abbott, K. L., & Loftus, T. J. (2024). The integration of artificial intelligence in robotic surgery: A narrative review. *Surgery, 176*(3), 552–557. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2024.02.005 ScienceDirect

Liang, H., Wu, M., Zhang, X. et al. (2025). The role of artificial intelligence in improving diagnostic accuracy in medical imaging: A review. *Computers, Materials & Continua, 85*(2), 2443–2486. https://doi.org/10.32604/cmc.2025.066987ScienceDirect

Patel, S. P., Ramprasad, A., & Makary, M. S. (2025). Clinical utility of artificial intelligence models in radiology: A scoping review of diagnostic and endovascular applications. *CVIR Endovascular, 8*, 97. https://doi.org/10.1186/s42155-025-00573-8 SpringerLink+1

Zhang, Y., Li, W., Chen, J., & Zhang, Q. (2025). AI-driven robotic surgery in oncology: Advancing precision, personalization, and patient outcomes. *Journal of Robotic Surgery, 19*, 382. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11701-025-02555-3 SpringerLink

Zhang, J., Fang, J., Xu, Y., & Si, G. (2025). How AI and robotics will advance interventional radiology: Narrative review and future perspectives. *Diagnostics, 14*(13), 1393. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics14131393 MDPI

Escobar-Castillejos, D., Barrera-Animas, A. Y., Noguez, J., Magaña, A. J., & Benes, B. (2025). Transforming surgical training with AI techniques for training, assessment, and evaluation: A scoping review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. https://doi.org/10.2196/58966 JMIR