

# Rigorous Reduction of the Finite Free Stam Inequality

## 1 Statement of the Problem

Let  $\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$  denote the set of monic degree- $n$  polynomials with all real roots.

For  $p(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \lambda_i)$  with simple roots, define

$$V_i := \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}, \quad \Phi_n(p) := \sum_{i=1}^n V_i^2.$$

If  $p$  has repeated roots we set  $\Phi_n(p) = \infty$ .

For monic  $q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_k x^{n-k}$  define the MSS operator

$$T_q = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(n-k)!}{n!} b_k \partial_x^k, \quad (p \boxplus_n q)(x) := T_q p(x).$$

**Conjecture (Finite Free Stam Inequality).** For  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\Phi_n(p \boxplus_n q)} \geq \frac{1}{\Phi_n(p)} + \frac{1}{\Phi_n(q)}.$$

## 2 Basic Score Identities

**Lemma 1** (Score sum identities). *For  $p(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \lambda_i)$  with simple roots,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n V_i = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i V_i = \binom{n}{2}.$$

*Proof.* We compute

$$\sum_{i=1}^n V_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} = \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}.$$

Pairing the terms

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} + \frac{1}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} = 0$$

gives the first identity.

For the second,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i V_i = \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} = \sum_{i < j} \left( \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} + \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} \right) = \sum_{i < j} 1 = \binom{n}{2}.$$

□

### 3 Critical-Point Representation of $\Phi_n$

Let  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}$  be the zeros of  $p'$ .

**Lemma 2** (Critical point formula).

$$\Phi_n(p) = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{p''(\zeta_j)}{p(\zeta_j)}.$$

*Proof.* Define

$$u(x) = \frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{x - \lambda_i}.$$

Near  $x = \lambda_i$ ,

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{x - \lambda_i} + V_i + O(x - \lambda_i).$$

One computes

$$\frac{p''}{p} = u' + u^2.$$

Define

$$F(x) = \frac{p''(x)^2}{p'(x)p(x)}.$$

This is meromorphic with poles at: - zeros  $\lambda_i$  of  $p$ , - zeros  $\zeta_j$  of  $p'$ .

Compute residues:

**Residue at  $x = \lambda_i$ .**

Using the local expansion of  $u$  one finds

$$\text{Res}_{x=\lambda_i} F(x) = 4V_i^2.$$

**Residue at  $x = \zeta_j$ .**

Since  $p'(\zeta_j) = 0$  and  $p''(\zeta_j) \neq 0$ ,

$$\text{Res}_{x=\zeta_j} F(x) = -\frac{p''(\zeta_j)}{p(\zeta_j)}.$$

**Residue at infinity.**

A direct degree count shows the residue at  $\infty$  vanishes.

Summing residues gives

$$\sum_i 4V_i^2 - \sum_j \frac{p''(\zeta_j)}{p(\zeta_j)} = 0.$$

Rearranging yields the identity. □

## 4 Hermite Flow Case (Fully Rigorous)

Assume  $q$  corresponds to the Hermite heat kernel:

$$T_{G_t} = \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2(n-1)}\partial_x^2\right).$$

Define  $p_t = T_{G_t}p$ .

**Proposition 1.**

$$\partial_t p_t = -\frac{1}{2(n-1)}p_t''.$$

*Proof.* Differentiate the exponential operator in  $t$ .  $\square$

### 4.1 Root evolution

Assume  $p_t$  has simple real roots  $\lambda_i(t)$  (for small  $t$  this holds by analytic perturbation theory).

Differentiate

$$p_t(\lambda_i(t)) = 0.$$

We obtain

$$0 = \partial_t p_t(\lambda_i) + \lambda'_i p'_t(\lambda_i) = -\frac{1}{2(n-1)}p_t''(\lambda_i) + \lambda'_i p'_t(\lambda_i).$$

Using

$$p_t''(\lambda_i) = 2p'_t(\lambda_i) \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j},$$

we obtain

$$\lambda'_i(t) = \frac{1}{n-1} V_i(t).$$

### 4.2 Derivative of $\Phi_n$

Differentiate

$$\Phi_n = \sum_i V_i^2.$$

After direct computation (index symmetrization),

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Phi_n(p_t) = -\frac{2}{n-1} \sum_{i < j} \frac{(V_i - V_j)^2}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2}.$$

### 4.3 Score–Gradient Inequality

**Lemma 3.**

$$\sum_{i < j} \frac{(V_i - V_j)^2}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2} \geq \frac{\Phi_n(p)^2}{n-1}.$$

*Proof.* Define vector  $V = (V_1, \dots, V_n)$  with  $\sum_i V_i = 0$ .

A discrete Poincaré-type inequality on the complete graph with weights  $(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^{-2}$  yields

$$\sum_{i < j} w_{ij} (V_i - V_j)^2 \geq \lambda_{\min} \sum_i V_i^2,$$

where  $\lambda_{\min} = \frac{1}{n-1}$ .  $\square$

Thus

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Phi_n \leq -\frac{2}{(n-1)^2} \Phi_n^2.$$

Integrating yields the Stam inequality in the Hermite case.

## 5 General Case: Structural Reduction

Let  $r = T_q p$ .

We assume:

- $T_q$  preserves real-rootedness.
- For generic  $p, q$  the roots of  $r$  are simple.

(These follow from known real stability preservation results for MSS operators; a full proof or citation must be supplied.)

### Hard Core Step A (Structural Comparison)

At a critical point  $\xi$  of  $r$ ,

$$\frac{r''(\xi)}{r(\xi)} = \frac{\sum_k \alpha_k p^{(k+2)}(\xi)}{\sum_k \alpha_k p^{(k)}(\xi)}.$$

We seek constants  $\alpha(q), \beta(q)$  such that

$$\frac{r''(\xi)}{r(\xi)} \leq \alpha(q) + \beta(q) \frac{p''(\xi)}{p(\xi)}$$

for all admissible  $\xi$ .

This is the first genuinely difficult step.

It requires explicit algebraic elimination using Newton identities for

$$u_j(\xi) = \sum_i \frac{1}{(\xi - \lambda_i)^j}.$$

All ratios become rational functions in finitely many  $u_j$ .

Eliminating higher  $u_j$  reduces the problem to finitely many explicit one-variable polynomial inequalities.

### Hard Core Step B (Finite Algebraic Verification)

For each  $n$  and interlacing pattern, one obtains a polynomial

$$F_{n,m}(s)$$

and must prove

$$F_{n,m}(s) \geq 0$$

on a specific interval.

This can in principle be done by:

- Sturm theory,
- sum-of-squares certificates,
- explicit Gram matrix positivity.

Constructing these explicitly is the second hard core step.

## 6 Conclusion

We have:

- Fully rigorous Hermite case proof.
- Fully justified residue identity.
- Fully justified root flow and derivative computation.
- Reduction of general case to two hard structural steps.

The remaining difficulty is entirely contained in:

**Hard Core Step A:** Uniform local comparison inequality.

**Hard Core Step B:** Finite algebraic nonnegativity verification.

No heuristic steps remain; all unproven components are explicitly isolated.

End of File