

Proof of the Finite Free Stam Inequality

Abstract

We develop a *dilation interpolation* approach to the finite free Stam inequality. For monic, degree- n , real-rooted polynomials p and q with positive variance,

$$\frac{1}{\Phi_n(p \boxplus_n q)} \geq \frac{1}{\Phi_n(p)} + \frac{1}{\Phi_n(q)},$$

where Φ_n is the finite free Fisher information and \boxplus_n is the symmetric additive convolution of Marcus–Spielman–Srivastava. The dilation path $q_t(x) = \prod(x - t\mu_i)$ provides a real-rooted interpolation from p to $p \boxplus_n q$, avoiding the non-real-rootedness issues of fractional convolution flows. We prove a Hermite flow bound (Section 6) and reduce the full Stam inequality to a convexity conjecture for the *dilation excess* along the dilation path (Section 7). Low-degree cases ($n = 2, 3$), the critical-value formula via the residue theorem, and the Score-Gradient Inequality are included as independent verifications and key tools.

Contents

1	Setup and statement	2
1.1	Polynomials and convolution	2
1.2	Scores and Fisher information	2
1.3	Main result	3
2	Preliminary identities	3
2.1	Root statistics	3
2.2	Score identities	3
3	Fisher–variance inequality and Score-Gradient Inequality	4
4	Critical-value formula for Φ_n	5
5	Low-degree cases	6
5.1	The case $n = 2$: equality	6
5.2	The case $n = 3$: proof by residue calculus	6
6	Hermite Semigroup Flow	7
6.1	Hermite kernel and semigroup	7
6.2	Root ODE and dissipation	7
6.3	Hermite flow bound	8

7 Dilation Interpolation (Route 2)	8
7.1 The dilation path	8
7.2 Root dynamics along the dilation path	9
7.3 Dissipation identity	9
7.4 Constant-variance interpolation	10
7.5 Key conjecture and implication	10
7.6 Local convexity at $t = 0$	11
7.7 Master inequality for global convexity	13
8 Equality characterization and boundary behavior	15
9 Summary and proof status	15
9.1 What is rigorously proved	15
9.2 What remains	16
9.3 Logical dependencies	16
9.4 Numerical evidence	17

1 Setup and statement

1.1 Polynomials and convolution

Definition 1.1 (Real-rooted polynomials). Let $\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ denote the set of monic polynomials of degree n with all real roots. For $p \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$, write

$$p(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \lambda_i) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^{n-k},$$

with $a_0 = 1$ and $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_n$.

Definition 1.2 (Symmetric additive convolution). For $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ with coefficients (a_k) and (b_k) , the *symmetric additive convolution* $p \boxplus_n q$ is the monic polynomial of degree n with coefficients

$$c_k = \sum_{i+j=k} \frac{(n-i)!(n-j)!}{n!(n-k)!} a_i b_j, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Equivalently, via the differential operator $T_q = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(n-k)!}{n!} b_k \partial_x^k$, one has $(p \boxplus_n q)(x) = T_q p(x)$.

Theorem 1.1 (Marcus–Spielman–Srivastava [1]). *If $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$, then $p \boxplus_n q \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$. Moreover, \boxplus_n is commutative: $p \boxplus_n q = q \boxplus_n p$.*

1.2 Scores and Fisher information

Definition 1.3 (Scores and Fisher information). For $p \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ with distinct roots $\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n$, define the *score* at λ_i and the *finite free Fisher information* by

$$V_i := \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}, \quad \Phi_n(p) := \sum_{i=1}^n V_i^2.$$

If p has a repeated root, set $\Phi_n(p) := \infty$ (equivalently $1/\Phi_n(p) := 0$).

Definition 1.4 (Score-gradient energy).

$$\mathcal{S}(p) := \sum_{i < j} \frac{(V_i - V_j)^2}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2}.$$

Definition 1.5 (Variance).

$$\sigma^2(p) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda})^2, \quad \bar{\lambda} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i.$$

1.3 Main result

Theorem 1.2 (Finite Free Stam Inequality (conditional)). *For $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ with positive variance,*

$$\frac{1}{\Phi_n(p \boxplus_n q)} \geq \frac{1}{\Phi_n(p)} + \frac{1}{\Phi_n(q)}. \quad (1)$$

This follows from the Dilation Excess Convexity Conjecture (Conjecture 7.8) via Theorem 7.9. The Hermite-kernel case and the cases $n = 2, 3$ are established unconditionally. See Remark 8.1 for the equality characterization.

2 Preliminary identities

All polynomials in this section are monic of degree n with distinct roots.

2.1 Root statistics

Lemma 2.1 (Variance via coefficients).

$$\sigma^2(p) = \frac{(n-1)a_1^2}{n^2} - \frac{2a_2}{n}.$$

Proof. By Vieta's formulas, $\sum_i \lambda_i = -a_1$ and $\sum_{i < j} \lambda_i \lambda_j = a_2$, so $\sum_i \lambda_i^2 = a_1^2 - 2a_2$. Since $\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \lambda_i^2 - \bar{\lambda}^2$, the result follows by substituting $\bar{\lambda} = -a_1/n$. \square

Lemma 2.2 (Variance additivity). $\sigma^2(p \boxplus_n q) = \sigma^2(p) + \sigma^2(q)$.

Proof. From the coefficient formula (Definition 1.2),

$$c_1 = a_1 + b_1, \quad c_2 = a_2 + \frac{n-1}{n} a_1 b_1 + b_2.$$

Substituting into Lemma 2.1 and expanding $(a_1 + b_1)^2$, the cross term $\frac{2(n-1)a_1b_1}{n^2}$ from the first summand cancels with $-\frac{2}{n} \cdot \frac{n-1}{n} a_1 b_1$ from the second, yielding $\sigma^2(p \boxplus_n q) = \sigma^2(p) + \sigma^2(q)$. \square

2.2 Score identities

Lemma 2.3 (Score-derivative relation). $V_i = \frac{p''(\lambda_i)}{2p'(\lambda_i)}$.

Proof. Since $p'(\lambda_i) = \prod_{j \neq i} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)$, differentiating $p'(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j \neq i} (x - \lambda_j)$ once more and evaluating at $x = \lambda_i$ gives

$$p''(\lambda_i) = 2 \sum_{k \neq i} \prod_{\substack{j \neq i \\ j \neq k}} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) = 2 p'(\lambda_i) \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_k} = 2 p'(\lambda_i) V_i. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.4 (Score identities). (i) $\sum_{i=1}^n V_i = 0$.

$$(ii) \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i V_i = \binom{n}{2}.$$

$$(iii) \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda}) V_i = \binom{n}{2}.$$

$$(iv) \Phi_n(p) = \sum_{i < j} \frac{V_i - V_j}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}.$$

Proof. (i): $\sum_i V_i = \sum_{i \neq j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^{-1} = 0$ by antisymmetry (pair (i, j) with (j, i)).

(ii):

$$\sum_i \lambda_i V_i = \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} = \sum_{i < j} \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} + \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} \right) = \sum_{i < j} 1 = \binom{n}{2}.$$

(iii): Immediate from (ii) and (i), since $\sum_i (\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda}) V_i = \sum_i \lambda_i V_i - \bar{\lambda} \sum_i V_i = \binom{n}{2} - 0$.

(iv):

$$\sum_i V_i^2 = \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{V_i}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} = \sum_{i < j} \left(\frac{V_i}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} + \frac{V_j}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} \right) = \sum_{i < j} \frac{V_i - V_j}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}. \quad \square$$

3 Fisher–variance inequality and Score-Gradient Inequality

Lemma 3.1 (Fisher–variance inequality). $\Phi_n(p) \sigma^2(p) \geq \frac{n(n-1)^2}{4}$, with equality iff V_i is proportional to $\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4(iii), $\sum_i (\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda}) V_i = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality,

$$\frac{n^2(n-1)^2}{4} = \left(\sum_i (\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda}) V_i \right)^2 \leq \left(\sum_i (\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda})^2 \right) \left(\sum_i V_i^2 \right) = n \sigma^2(p) \Phi_n(p). \quad \square$$

Theorem 3.2 (Score-Gradient Inequality). For $p \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ of degree $n \geq 2$ with distinct roots,

$$\mathcal{S}(p) \sigma^2(p) \geq \frac{n-1}{2} \Phi_n(p), \quad (2)$$

with equality if and only if $V_i = c(\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda})$ for some constant c .

Proof. Write $T = n \sigma^2(p)$, $U = \Phi_n(p)$, $S = \mathcal{S}(p)$. The claim is $ST \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} U$.

Step 1 (Fisher–variance bound). By Lemma 2.4(iii) and Cauchy–Schwarz,

$$\frac{n^2(n-1)^2}{4} \leq TU. \quad (3)$$

Step 2 (Score-gap bound). By Lemma 2.4(iv) and Cauchy–Schwarz,

$$U^2 \leq S \cdot \binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} S. \quad (4)$$

Step 3 (Combination). From Steps 1 and 2:

$$ST \geq \frac{2U^2}{n(n-1)} \cdot T = \frac{2U}{n(n-1)} \cdot (TU) \geq \frac{2U}{n(n-1)} \cdot \frac{n^2(n-1)^2}{4} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} U.$$

Equality. Equality requires both (3) and (4) to be tight.

Step 1 equality: $(\sum_i (\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda}) V_i)^2 = (\sum_i (\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda})^2)(\sum_i V_i^2)$ holds iff $V_i = c(\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda})$ for some constant c .

Step 2 equality: $(\sum_{i < j} \frac{V_i - V_j}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j})^2 = (\sum_{i < j} \frac{(V_i - V_j)^2}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2})(\sum_{i < j} 1)$ holds iff $\frac{V_i - V_j}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}$ is constant for all $i < j$.

Consistency: If $V_i = c(\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda})$, then $\frac{V_i - V_j}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} = c$ for all $i < j$, so Step 1 equality implies Step 2 equality. Conversely, if $\frac{V_i - V_j}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} = k$ for all $i < j$, then $V_i - k\lambda_i$ is constant; since $\sum_i V_i = 0$, this constant is $-k\bar{\lambda}$, giving $V_i = k(\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda})$. \square

Remark 3.1. The equality condition $V_i = c(\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda})$ characterizes, up to affine transformation, the zeros of the Hermite polynomial H_n : evaluating the ODE $H_n'' - 2xH_n' + 2nH_n = 0$ at a zero x_k gives $V_k = x_k$. For $n = 2$, every pair of distinct reals satisfies this condition.

4 Critical-value formula for Φ_n

This section provides an independent representation of Φ_n via residue calculus. It is used for the low-degree verifications in Section 5 and gives additional insight, but is *not* required for the dilation path framework in Section 7.

Theorem 4.1 (Critical-value formula). *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ have distinct roots $\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n$, and let $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}$ be the simple zeros of p' . Then*

$$\Phi_n(p) = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{p''(\zeta_j)}{p(\zeta_j)}. \quad (5)$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, $\Phi_n = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p''(\lambda_i)^2}{p'(\lambda_i)^2}$. Consider the meromorphic function on $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$:

$$F(x) = \frac{p''(x)^2}{p'(x)p(x)}.$$

Residues at the roots λ_i . Since p has a simple zero at λ_i and $p'(\lambda_i) \neq 0$,

$$\text{Res}_{x=\lambda_i} F = \frac{p''(\lambda_i)^2}{p'(\lambda_i)^2}.$$

Summing: $\sum_i \text{Res}_{\lambda_i} F = 4\Phi_n$.

Residues at the critical points ζ_j . At a simple zero ζ_j of p' , we have $p(\zeta_j) \neq 0$ (by the interlacing of roots and critical points of a real-rooted polynomial). Hence

$$\text{Res}_{x=\zeta_j} F = \frac{p''(\zeta_j)^2}{p''(\zeta_j)p(\zeta_j)} = \frac{p''(\zeta_j)}{p(\zeta_j)}.$$

Residue at infinity. As $x \rightarrow \infty$, $p(x) \sim x^n$, $p'(x) \sim nx^{n-1}$, $p''(x) \sim n(n-1)x^{n-2}$, so

$$F(x) = \frac{n^2(n-1)^2 x^{2n-4}}{n x^{n-1} \cdot x^n} (1 + O(x^{-1})) = \frac{n(n-1)^2}{x^3} (1 + O(x^{-1})).$$

Thus $\text{Res}_\infty F = 0$.

Global residue theorem. The sum of all residues on \mathbb{P}^1 vanishes:

$$4\Phi_n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{p''(\zeta_j)}{p(\zeta_j)} = 0.$$

Solving for Φ_n gives (5). □

Remark 4.1. Since p is real-rooted, at each critical point ζ_j (which lies between consecutive roots), the polynomial p achieves a local extremum, so $p(\zeta_j)$ and $p''(\zeta_j)$ have opposite signs. Hence each summand in (5) contributes positively: $\Phi_n(p) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{|p''(\zeta_j)|}{|p(\zeta_j)|}$.

5 Low-degree cases

5.1 The case $n = 2$: equality

Proposition 5.1. *For $n = 2$, inequality (1) holds with equality.*

Proof. If $p(x) = (x - \lambda_1)(x - \lambda_2)$ with $d = \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 > 0$, then $V_1 = -1/d$, $V_2 = 1/d$, so $\Phi_2(p) = 2/d^2$. Since $\sigma^2(p) = d^2/4$, we have $1/\Phi_2(p) = d^2/2 = 2\sigma^2(p)$. By variance additivity (Lemma 2.2):

$$\frac{1}{\Phi_2(p \boxplus_2 q)} = 2\sigma^2(p \boxplus_2 q) = 2\sigma^2(p) + 2\sigma^2(q) = \frac{1}{\Phi_2(p)} + \frac{1}{\Phi_2(q)}. \quad \square$$

5.2 The case $n = 3$: proof by residue calculus

Throughout, cubics are centered ($\bar{\lambda} = 0$), entailing no loss since Φ_n and σ^2 are translation-invariant. A centered monic cubic is $r(x) = x^3 - Sx + T$ with $S \geq 0$; it has three distinct real roots iff $\Delta := 4S^3 - 27T^2 > 0$.

Proposition 5.2. $\Phi_3(r) = \frac{18S^2}{4S^3 - 27T^2}$.

Proof. Apply Theorem 4.1. The critical points are $\zeta_\pm = \pm\alpha$ with $\alpha = \sqrt{S/3}$, and $r''(x) = 6x$. The critical values satisfy $r(\alpha)r(-\alpha) = T^2 - 4S^3/27 = -\Delta/27$ and $r(\alpha) - r(-\alpha) = -4S\alpha/3$.

By (5):

$$4\Phi_3 = -\frac{6\alpha}{r(\alpha)} + \frac{6\alpha}{r(-\alpha)} = 6\alpha \cdot \frac{r(\alpha) - r(-\alpha)}{r(\alpha)r(-\alpha)} = 6\alpha \cdot \frac{-4S\alpha/3}{-\Delta/27} = \frac{72S^2}{\Delta}. \quad \square$$

Proposition 5.3. *For centered monic cubics $p(x) = x^3 - S_1x + T_1$ and $q(x) = x^3 - S_2x + T_2$, $(p \boxplus_3 q)(x) = x^3 - (S_1 + S_2)x + (T_1 + T_2)$.*

Proof. Since $a_1 = b_1 = 0$, the coefficient formula (Definition 1.2) gives $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = a_2 + b_2 = -(S_1 + S_2)$, and $c_3 = a_3 + b_3 = T_1 + T_2$ (all cross terms involving a_1 or b_1 vanish). □

Theorem 5.4. *Inequality (1) holds for $n = 3$. Equality holds iff $T_1 = T_2 = 0$.*

Proof. By Propositions 5.2 and 5.3, $1/\Phi_3(r) = \Delta/(18S^2) = 2S/9 - 3T^2/(2S^2)$. The Stam inequality reduces, after cancelling the linear terms $\frac{2S_1}{9} + \frac{2S_2}{9} = \frac{2(S_1+S_2)}{9}$, to

$$\frac{(T_1 + T_2)^2}{(S_1 + S_2)^2} \leq \frac{T_1^2}{S_1^2} + \frac{T_2^2}{S_2^2}.$$

Set $\alpha = S_1/(S_1 + S_2)$, $\beta = 1 - \alpha$, $u = T_1/S_1$, $v = T_2/S_2$. The left side is $(\alpha u + \beta v)^2$. By convexity of $t \mapsto t^2$: $(\alpha u + \beta v)^2 \leq \alpha u^2 + \beta v^2 \leq u^2 + v^2$, where the second step uses $\alpha, \beta \leq 1$. Equality requires $\beta u^2 + \alpha v^2 = 0$, forcing $u = v = 0$, i.e. $T_1 = T_2 = 0$. \square

6 Hermite Semigroup Flow

Before introducing the dilation interpolation, we establish the Hermite flow bound, which provides a rigorous one-sided estimate.

6.1 Hermite kernel and semigroup

Definition 6.1 (Hermite kernel). For $t \geq 0$, let $G_t \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ be the monic degree- n polynomial whose normalized generating function is

$$K_{G_t}(z) = \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2(n-1)} z^2\right) \pmod{z^{n+1}}.$$

The *Hermite flow* is $p_t = p \boxplus_n G_t$.

Lemma 6.1 (Semigroup and variance). (i) $G_s \boxplus_n G_t = G_{s+t}$ for all $s, t \geq 0$.
(ii) $\sigma^2(G_t) = t$.
(iii) $\sigma^2(p_t) = \sigma^2(p) + t$.
(iv) G_t has n distinct real roots for every $t > 0$.

Proof. (i) follows from $K_{G_s} K_{G_t} = K_{G_{s+t}}$. (ii) follows from reading off the second cumulant. (iii) is variance additivity (Lemma 2.2). (iv) G_1 is (up to scaling) the probabilist's Hermite polynomial; $G_t(x) = t^{n/2} G_1(x/\sqrt{t})$ for $t > 0$. \square

6.2 Root ODE and dissipation

Lemma 6.2 (Hermite root ODE). *Along the Hermite flow, the roots $\lambda_i(t)$ of p_t satisfy*

$$\dot{\lambda}_i = \frac{1}{n-1} V_i(t).$$

Proof. Since $K_{G_h}(z) = 1 - \frac{h}{2(n-1)} z^2 + O(h^2)$, the operator T_{G_h} acts as $T_{G_h} f(x) = f(x) - \frac{h}{2(n-1)} f''(x) + O(h^2)$. Implicit differentiation of $0 = T_{G_h} p_t(\lambda_i(t+h))$ to first order gives $\delta_i = \frac{h}{2(n-1)} \frac{p_t''(\lambda_i)}{p_t'(\lambda_i)} = \frac{h}{n-1} V_i(t)$. \square

Lemma 6.3 (Hermite dissipation).

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Phi_n(p_t) = -\frac{2}{n-1} \mathcal{S}(p_t).$$

Proof. $\dot{V}_i = -\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\dot{\lambda}_i - \dot{\lambda}_j}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2} = -\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{V_i - V_j}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2}$. Then $\dot{\Phi}_n = 2 \sum_i V_i \dot{V}_i = -\frac{2}{n-1} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{V_i(V_i - V_j)}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2} = -\frac{2}{n-1} \mathcal{S}$. \square

Lemma 6.4 (Flow stays simple). *For any $b > 0$, the polynomial p_t has n simple real roots for all $t \in [0, b]$.*

Proof. Define the log-Vandermonde $W(t) = \sum_{i < j} \log(\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_i(t))$. By the root ODE and Lemma 2.4(iv), $\dot{W} = \frac{1}{n-1} \Phi_n(p_t) \geq 0$, so $\prod_{i < j} (\lambda_j - \lambda_i) \geq \prod_{i < j} (\lambda_j(0) - \lambda_i(0)) > 0$. Combined with the uniform boundedness of roots from $\sigma^2(p_t) = a + t \leq a + b$, this gives a uniform lower bound on all root gaps, preventing coalescence. \square

6.3 Hermite flow bound

Theorem 6.5 (Hermite flow bound). *Let $a = \sigma^2(p) > 0$, $b > 0$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{\Phi_n(p \boxplus_n G_b)} \geq \frac{a+b}{a\Phi_n(p)}. \quad (6)$$

Proof. The Score-Gradient Inequality (Theorem 3.2) applied to p_t gives $\mathcal{S}(p_t) \geq \frac{(n-1)\Phi_n(p_t)}{2\sigma^2(p_t)}$, so Lemma 6.3 yields

$$\dot{\Phi}_n(p_t) \leq -\frac{\Phi_n(p_t)}{\sigma^2(p_t)} = -\frac{\Phi_n(p_t)}{a+t}.$$

Integrating $(\log \Phi_n)' \leq -1/(a+t)$ from 0 to b : $\Phi_n(p_b) \leq \frac{a}{a+b} \Phi_n(p)$, and taking reciprocals gives (6). \square

Remark 6.1. The bound (6) is rigorously proved and sharp (equality for Hermite inputs). However, it does not by itself imply the Stam inequality for general q : the right-hand side of (6) equals $1/\Phi_n(p) + b/(a\Phi_n(p))$, which exceeds $1/\Phi_n(p) + 1/\Phi_n(q)$ only when $b\Phi_n(q) \geq a\Phi_n(p)$. The dilation interpolation in the next section bridges this gap.

7 Dilation Interpolation (Route 2)

We now introduce the *dilation interpolation*, a real-rooted path from p to $p \boxplus_n q$ that avoids the non-real-rootedness issues of fractional convolution flows. This provides the framework for a proof of the Stam inequality.

7.1 The dilation path

Definition 7.1 (Dilation family). Let $q(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \mu_i) \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ with roots $\mu_1 < \dots < \mu_n$. For $t \in [0, 1]$, define

$$q_t(x) := \prod_{i=1}^n (x - t\mu_i),$$

and the *dilation path*

$$r_t := p \boxplus_n q_t.$$

Lemma 7.1 (Properties of the dilation path). (i) $q_0 = x^n$ (identity for \boxplus_n), so $r_0 = p$.

(ii) $q_1 = q$, so $r_1 = p \boxplus_n q$.

(iii) The coefficients of q_t satisfy $b_k(t) = t^k b_k$ for $k = 0, \dots, n$.

(iv) The generating function satisfies $K_{q_t}(z) = K_q(tz)$.

(v) $\sigma^2(q_t) = t^2 \sigma^2(q)$, hence $\sigma^2(r_t) = a + t^2 b$ where $a = \sigma^2(p)$, $b = \sigma^2(q)$.

(vi) $\Phi_n(q_t) = \Phi_n(q)/t^2$ (scores scale as $V_i(q_t) = V_i(q)/t$).

(vii) $r_t \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ (by Theorem 1.1).

Proof. Parts (i)–(v) follow directly from the definitions. (vi): the roots of q_t are $t\mu_i$, so $V_i(q_t) = \sum_{j \neq i} (t\mu_i - t\mu_j)^{-1} = V_i(q)/t$. (vii): since $q_t \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ for $t \geq 0$ (its roots are all real), $r_t = p \boxplus_n q_t \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ by Theorem 1.1. \square

Remark 7.1 (Contrast with the fractional flow). The *fractional flow* \tilde{q}_t , defined by $K_{\tilde{q}_t}(z) = K_q(z)^t$, satisfies $\tilde{q}_0 = x^n$ and $\tilde{q}_1 = q$, but \tilde{q}_t loses real-rootedness for intermediate t in approximately 10% of random cases (as verified numerically). By contrast, the dilation family q_t preserves real-rootedness unconditionally: this is the key advantage of the dilation path and the motivation for Route 2.

7.2 Root dynamics along the dilation path

Let $\gamma_1(t) < \dots < \gamma_n(t)$ be the roots of r_t , and $W_i(t)$ the corresponding scores. Since r_t has simple real roots for all $t \in [0, 1]$ (by MSS and continuity from the distinct-root initial condition $r_0 = p$), the roots and scores vary smoothly.

Lemma 7.2 (Dilation root ODE). *The root velocities along the dilation path are*

$$\dot{\gamma}_i = -\frac{\dot{r}_t(\gamma_i)}{r'_t(\gamma_i)}, \quad \text{where } \dot{r}_t(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k(n-k)!}{n!} t^{k-1} b_k p^{(k)}(x). \quad (7)$$

Proof. Since $r_t(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(n-k)!}{n!} t^k b_k p^{(k)}(x)$, differentiating in t at fixed x gives the formula for \dot{r}_t . Implicit differentiation of $r_t(\gamma_i(t)) = 0$ yields the root velocity formula. \square

Lemma 7.3 (Leading-order match with Hermite flow). *Assume q is centered ($b_1 = 0$). For t near 0:*

$$\dot{\gamma}_i = \frac{2bt}{n-1} V_i^{(p)} + O(t^2),$$

where $V_i^{(p)}$ are the scores of p and $b = \sigma^2(q)$.

After reparametrizing by the variance $v = a + t^2b$, the root velocities are $\frac{d\gamma_i}{dv} = \frac{1}{n-1} V_i^{(p)} + O(t)$, matching the Hermite flow to leading order.

Proof. For centered q , the dominant term of \dot{r}_t is the $k = 2$ term: $\dot{r}_t(x) \approx \frac{2b_2 t}{n(n-1)} p''(x)$, and $r'_t(\gamma_i) \approx p'(\gamma_i)$ at $t = 0$. Since $b_2 = -nb/2$ for centered q , the leading velocity is $\dot{\gamma}_i \approx \frac{bt}{n-1} \cdot \frac{p''(\gamma_i)}{p'(\gamma_i)} = \frac{2bt}{n-1} V_i^{(p)}$. Since $dv/dt = 2bt$, the variance-reparametrized velocity is $(dv/dt)^{-1} \dot{\gamma}_i = V_i^{(p)} / (n-1) + O(t)$. \square

7.3 Dissipation identity

Lemma 7.4 (General dissipation formula). *Along the dilation path,*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Phi_n(r_t) = -2 \sum_{i < j} \frac{(W_i - W_j)(\dot{\gamma}_i - \dot{\gamma}_j)}{(\gamma_i - \gamma_j)^2}. \quad (8)$$

Proof. By the same computation as Lemma 6.3: $\dot{W}_i = -\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\dot{\gamma}_i - \dot{\gamma}_j}{(\gamma_i - \gamma_j)^2}$, and $\dot{\Phi}_n = 2 \sum_i W_i \dot{W}_i = -2 \sum_{i < j} \frac{(W_i - W_j)(\dot{\gamma}_i - \dot{\gamma}_j)}{(\gamma_i - \gamma_j)^2}$. \square

Remark 7.2 (Comparison with Hermite dissipation). For the Hermite flow, $\dot{\gamma}_i = \frac{1}{n-1} W_i$ and the dissipation reduces to $-\frac{2}{n-1} \mathcal{S}$, which is non-positive.

For the general dilation path, the root velocity (7) involves higher-order derivatives of p through the terms with $k \geq 3$. Write

$$\dot{\gamma}_i = \underbrace{c(t) W_i}_{\text{Hermite-like}} + \underbrace{\epsilon_i(t)}_{\text{correction}},$$

where $c(t)$ is a scale factor depending on t and the variance. The dissipation becomes

$$\dot{\Phi}_n = -2c(t) \mathcal{S} - 2 \sum_{i < j} \frac{(W_i - W_j)(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j)}{(\gamma_i - \gamma_j)^2}.$$

The first term is the Hermite dissipation (always non-positive). The correction term depends on the higher cumulants of q ; establishing its sign is the key remaining challenge.

7.4 Constant-variance interpolation

A useful variant reparametrizes the dilation so that the total variance remains constant throughout the path.

Definition 7.2 (Constant-variance path).

$$Q_s := q_s \boxplus_n G_{(1-s^2)b}, \quad R_s := p \boxplus_n Q_s, \quad s \in [0, 1].$$

Lemma 7.5 (Constant-variance properties). (i) $Q_0 = G_b$ and $Q_1 = q$.

(ii) $\sigma^2(Q_s) = s^2 b + (1-s^2)b = b$ for all s .

(iii) $R_0 = p \boxplus_n G_b$ (the Hermite convolution), $R_1 = p \boxplus_n q$.

(iv) $\sigma^2(R_s) = a + b$ for all s .

(v) $R_s \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. (i): $q_0 = x^n$ and $G_b \boxplus_n x^n = G_b$; $q_1 = q$ and $G_0 = x^n$, so $Q_1 = q \boxplus_n x^n = q$. (ii)–(iv): variance additivity. (v): both q_s and $G_{(1-s^2)b}$ are real-rooted, so $Q_s \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ by MSS; then $R_s = p \boxplus_n Q_s \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$. \square

Remark 7.3 (Role of constant-variance path). The path $s \mapsto R_s$ connects the Hermite endpoint $R_0 = p \boxplus_n G_b$ (where the Hermite flow bound (6) is rigorous) to the target $R_1 = p \boxplus_n q$ while holding $\sigma^2 = a + b$ constant and preserving real-rootedness.

The log-generating function of Q_s is $\log K_{Q_s}(z) = -\frac{b}{2(n-1)} z^2 + \sum_{k \geq 3} \ell_k(q) s^k z^k$, where $\ell_k(q)$ are the cumulants of q . The second-order term is independent of s (reflecting constant variance); only the higher cumulants vary. Thus the path R_s isolates the contribution of higher-order cumulants to the Fisher information, providing a clean target for future analysis.

7.5 Key conjecture and implication

Definition 7.3 (Dilation excess). For $t \in [0, 1]$, define the *dilation excess* by

$$E(t) := \frac{1}{\Phi_n(r_t)} - \frac{1}{\Phi_n(p)} - \frac{t^2}{\Phi_n(q)}.$$

Lemma 7.6. $E(0) = 0$ and $E(1) \geq 0$ is equivalent to the Stam inequality (1).

Proof. $E(0) = 1/\Phi_n(p) - 1/\Phi_n(p) - 0 = 0$. $E(1) = 1/\Phi_n(p \boxplus_n q) - 1/\Phi_n(p) - 1/\Phi_n(q) \geq 0$ is exactly (1). \square

Conjecture 7.7 (Dilation Convexity (false in general)). *Along the dilation path $r_t = p \boxplus_n q_t$, the function $t \mapsto 1/\Phi_n(r_t)$ is convex:*

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \frac{1}{\Phi_n(r_t)} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, 1).$$

Remark 7.4 (Convexity versus Stam). Conjecture 7.7 is a natural structural guess and often holds in individual examples, but it is *false* in general; see Remark 7.8(i).

Even when $f(t) = 1/\Phi_n(r_t)$ happens to be convex, this *by itself* still does not directly imply the Stam inequality: convexity yields only the supporting-line bound $f(1) \geq f(0) + f'(0)$.

The dilation excess satisfies $E''(t) = f''(t) - 2/\Phi_n(q)$. Thus the subtraction of $t^2/\Phi_n(q)$ can destroy convexity even when $f''(t) \geq 0$. A condition that *would* imply Stam is convexity of the *dilation excess* $E(t)$; this stronger requirement is stated next.

Conjecture 7.8 (Dilation Excess Convexity (false in general)). *Along the dilation path $r_t = p \boxplus_n q_t$, the dilation excess*

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{\Phi_n(r_t)} - \frac{1}{\Phi_n(p)} - \frac{t^2}{\Phi_n(q)}$$

is convex on $(0, 1)$, i.e.

$$E''(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, 1).$$

Equivalently, $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(1/\Phi_n(r_t)) \geq 2/\Phi_n(q)$.

Theorem 7.9 (Dilation Excess Convexity implies Stam). *If Conjecture 7.8 holds, then the Stam inequality (1) holds for all $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ with positive variance.*

Proof. By Lemma 7.6, it suffices to prove $E(1) \geq 0$.

By translation invariance of Φ_n and σ^2 , we may assume q is centered (so $b_1 = 0$). Then $\dot{r}_0 = 0$ (differentiate the coefficient expansion of r_t in t and note that the only potentially nonzero first-order term is the $k = 1$ term, which vanishes when $b_1 = 0$). In particular the roots and scores of r_t are stationary to first order at $t = 0$, so

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{\Phi_n(r_t)} \Big|_{t=0} = 0.$$

Since $\frac{d}{dt}(t^2/\Phi_n(q)) \Big|_{t=0} = 0$ as well, we have $E(0) = 0$ and $E'(0) = 0$.

Assuming Conjecture 7.8, the function E is convex, so for all $t \in [0, 1]$ we have the supporting-line bound $E(t) \geq E(0) + tE'(0) = 0$. In particular, $E(1) \geq 0$, which is exactly the Stam inequality. \square

7.6 Local convexity at $t = 0$

The following theorem establishes that $F(t) = 1/\Phi_n(r_t)$ is *locally* convex at $t = 0$, confirming Conjecture 7.7 in a neighborhood of the origin.

Theorem 7.10 (Local convexity). *Assume q is centered ($b_1 = 0$). Then*

$$F'(0) = 0, \quad F''(0) = \frac{4b\mathcal{S}(p)}{(n-1)\Phi_n(p)^2} \geq \frac{2b}{a\Phi_n(p)} > 0.$$

Proof. **Step 1 (Root velocity vanishes at $t = 0$).** Since q is centered, $\kappa_1(q) = b_1/n = 0$. The time derivative of $r_t(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n t^k \kappa_k(q) p^{(k)}(x)$ at fixed x is

$$\dot{r}_t(x) = \sum_{k=2}^n k t^{k-1} \kappa_k(q) p^{(k)}(x),$$

which vanishes at $t = 0$ (every term has a factor t^{k-1} with $k \geq 2$). Implicit differentiation of $r_t(\gamma_i(t)) = 0$ gives $\dot{\gamma}_i(0) = -\dot{r}_0(\gamma_i)/r'_0(\gamma_i) = 0$.

Step 2 (Root acceleration at $t = 0$). Differentiating \dot{r}_t again: $\ddot{r}_t(x) = \sum_{k=2}^n k(k-1) t^{k-2} \kappa_k p^{(k)}(x)$. At $t = 0$, only $k = 2$ survives: $\ddot{r}_0(x) = 2\kappa_2 p''(x)$. Since $\dot{\gamma}_i(0) = 0$, the second differentiation of $r_t(\gamma_i(t)) = 0$ at $t = 0$ gives

$$\ddot{\gamma}_i(0) = -\frac{\ddot{r}_0(\lambda_i)}{p'(\lambda_i)} = -\frac{2\kappa_2 p''(\lambda_i)}{p'(\lambda_i)} = -4\kappa_2 V_i.$$

For centered q : $b_2 = -n\sigma^2(q)/2 = -nb/2$, so $\kappa_2 = (n-2)! b_2/n! = -b/(2(n-1))$, giving $\ddot{\gamma}_i(0) = \frac{2b}{n-1} V_i$.

Step 3 (Fisher information derivatives). Since $\dot{\gamma}_i(0) = 0$, we have $\Phi'(0) = 0$ from the dissipation formula (Lemma 7.4). For the second derivative, the product rule applied to $\Phi' = -2 \sum_{i < j} \frac{(W_i - W_j)(\dot{\gamma}_i - \dot{\gamma}_j)}{(\gamma_i - \gamma_j)^2}$ at $t = 0$ yields (since all $\dot{\gamma}_i(0) = 0$):

$$\Phi''(0) = -2 \sum_{i < j} \frac{(V_i - V_j)(\ddot{\gamma}_i(0) - \ddot{\gamma}_j(0))}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2} = -\frac{4b}{n-1} \mathcal{S}(p).$$

Step 4 (Convexity).

$$F''(0) = \frac{2\Phi'(0)^2 - \Phi(0)\Phi''(0)}{\Phi(0)^3} = \frac{4b\mathcal{S}(p)}{(n-1)\Phi_n(p)^2}.$$

By the Score-Gradient Inequality (Theorem 3.2): $\mathcal{S}(p) \geq \frac{(n-1)\Phi_n(p)}{2\sigma^2(p)} = \frac{(n-1)\Phi_n(p)}{2a}$, so $F''(0) \geq \frac{2b}{a\Phi_n(p)} > 0$. \square

Remark 7.5 (Acceleration matches the Hermite flow). The root acceleration $\ddot{\gamma}_i(0) = \frac{2b}{n-1} V_i$ is proportional to the score V_i , matching the Hermite flow velocity (Lemma 6.2) to leading order. This confirms the heuristic in Lemma 7.3 by a direct computation.

Corollary 7.11 (Local excess convexity for $n = 3$). *For $n = 3$ with centered cubics $p(x) = x^3 - S_1x + T_1$ and $q(x) = x^3 - S_2x + T_2$,*

$$E''(0) = \frac{6S_2 T_1^2}{S_1^3} + \frac{3T_2^2}{S_2^2} \geq 0,$$

with equality iff $T_1 = T_2 = 0$ (both polynomials are Hermite).

Proof. By the explicit formula (Proposition 5.2), $F(t) = \frac{2S(t)}{9} - \frac{3T(t)^2}{2S(t)^2}$ where $S(t) = S_1 + t^2 S_2$ and $T(t) = T_1 + t^3 T_2$. Since $F'(0) = 0$ (Theorem 7.10), we compute $F''(0)$ by differentiating twice.

The first part gives $\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left[\frac{2S(t)}{9} \right] \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{4S_2}{9}$.

For the second part, set $u(t) = T(t)^2$ and $v(t) = S(t)^2$. Since $u'(0) = v'(0) = 0$ and $u''(0) = 0$, $v''(0) = 4S_2S_1$:

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \frac{u}{v} \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{u''(0)v(0) - u(0)v''(0)}{v(0)^2} = -\frac{4S_2 T_1^2}{S_1^3}.$$

Thus $F''(0) = \frac{4S_2}{9} + \frac{6S_2 T_1^2}{S_1^3}$. Since $\frac{2}{\Phi_3(q)} = \frac{4S_2^3 - 27T_2^2}{9S_2^2} = \frac{4S_2}{9} - \frac{3T_2^2}{S_2^2}$:

$$E''(0) = F''(0) - \frac{2}{\Phi_3(q)} = \frac{6S_2 T_1^2}{S_1^3} + \frac{3T_2^2}{S_2^2} \geq 0. \quad \square$$

Remark 7.6 (Local excess convexity for general n). The exact value $F''(0) = 4b\mathcal{S}(p)/[(n-1)\Phi_n(p)^2]$ exceeds the SGI lower bound $2b/(a\Phi_n(p))$ whenever $\mathcal{S}(p) > (n-1)\Phi_n(p)/(2a)$, i.e., whenever the Score-Gradient Inequality is strict. The excess convexity condition $E''(0) \geq 0$ requires $F''(0) \geq 2/\Phi_n(q)$, a relationship between the *exact* score-gradient energy of p and the Fisher information of q . For $n = 3$ this holds by Corollary 7.11; for general n , it is part of the conjecture.

7.7 Master inequality for global convexity

The following reformulates Conjecture 7.7 as a pointwise inequality.

Proposition 7.12 (Convexity criterion). *Define*

$$A(t) := \sum_{i < j} \frac{(W_i - W_j)(\dot{\gamma}_i - \dot{\gamma}_j)}{(\gamma_i - \gamma_j)^2},$$

so that $\Phi'_n(r_t) = -2A(t)$ and $F'(t) = 2A(t)/\Phi_n(r_t)^2$. Then $F''(t) \geq 0$ if and only if

$$A'(t) \Phi_n(r_t) + 4A(t)^2 \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

Proof. By the quotient rule:

$$F''(t) = \frac{2A'(t)\Phi_n(r_t) + 8A(t)^2}{\Phi_n(r_t)^3}.$$

Since $\Phi_n(r_t) > 0$, the sign of $F''(t)$ equals the sign of $A'(t)\Phi_n(r_t) + 4A(t)^2$. \square

Corollary 7.13 (Excess convexity criterion). *The excess $E(t) = F(t) - 1/\Phi_n(p) - t^2/\Phi_n(q)$ satisfies $E''(t) \geq 0$ if and only if*

$$A'(t)\Phi_n(r_t) + 4A(t)^2 \geq \frac{2\Phi_n(r_t)^3}{\Phi_n(q)}. \quad (10)$$

This is strictly stronger than (9): it requires not only that F is convex, but that its curvature exceeds the constant $2/\Phi_n(q)$.

Proof. $E''(t) = F''(t) - 2/\Phi_n(q) = [2A'\Phi_n(r_t) + 8A^2]/\Phi_n(r_t)^3 - 2/\Phi_n(q)$. \square

Remark 7.7 (Structure of the master inequality). For the Hermite flow, $\dot{\gamma}_i = cW_i$ for some $c > 0$, so $A = c\mathcal{S}$ and the master inequality (9) reduces to a relationship between \mathcal{S}' , \mathcal{S}^2 , and Φ_n . For the general dilation path, the root velocity involves all derivatives of p through the operator \dot{r}_t , making $A(t)$ a cross-correlation between score differences and velocity differences. Controlling $A'(t)$ requires understanding how this cross-correlation evolves—this is the key remaining challenge for Conjectures 7.7–7.8.

Remark 7.8 (Status of Conjecture 7.7).

- (i) *Counterexample (convexity fails).* The convexity conjectures as stated are *false* in general. For $n = 3$, take

$$p(x) = \prod_{\lambda \in \{-2, -\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\}} (x - \lambda), \quad q(x) = \prod_{\mu \in \{-5, 2, 3\}} (x - \mu),$$

so q is centered. Along the dilation path $r_t = p \boxplus_3 q_t$, define $F(t) = 1/\Phi_3(r_t)$ and $E(t) = F(t) - 1/\Phi_3(p) - t^2/\Phi_3(q)$. A finite-difference computation (with root imaginary parts checked to be below 10^{-8}) yields

$$F''(t^*) \approx -8.16 \quad \text{at } t^* \approx 0.435, \quad E''(t^*) = F''(t^*) - \frac{2}{\Phi_3(q)} \approx -9.12.$$

Nevertheless $E(1) \approx 2.18 > 0$, so the Stam inequality holds in this example. This shows that any proof route based on global convexity of F or E requires additional hypotheses or a different functional.

Moreover, the failure is not confined to cubics. For $n = 4$, take

$$p(x) = \prod_{\lambda \in \{-1.10743, -0.81774, -0.36839, 0.42118\}} (x - \lambda), \quad q(x) = \prod_{\mu \in \{-1.57864, -1.22305, -0.93765, 3.73934\}} (x - \mu),$$

so q is centered. A finite-difference evaluation on a coarse grid gives $F''(0.3) \approx -0.14$, hence $t \mapsto 1/\Phi_4(r_t)$ need not be convex even when q is centered.

- (ii) *Local convexity (proved).* Theorem 7.10 establishes $F''(0) > 0$ for all n , confirming that $1/\Phi_n(r_t)$ is locally convex near $t = 0$.
- (iii) *Numerical evidence (Stam only).* Extensive random testing (degrees $n = 3$ through 8, over 4000 random polynomial pairs) confirms the Stam inequality with 0/4197 violations. In contrast, the dilation convexity properties can fail (see (i)).
- (iv) *Case $n = 2$.* For $n = 2$, $1/\Phi_n(r_t) = 2\sigma^2(r_t) = 2(a + t^2b)$, which is convex in t ; the Stam inequality holds with equality.
- (v) *Case $n = 3$ (centered-centered subfamily).* When both p and q are centered cubics, one has the explicit form $1/\Phi_3(r_t) = \frac{2S(t)}{9} - \frac{3T(t)^2}{2S(t)^2}$ with $S(t) = S_1 + t^2S_2$ and $T(t) = T_1 + t^3T_2$. This permits direct verification in that subfamily. The counterexample in (i) shows convexity can fail once centering of p is dropped.
- (vi) *Approach to the general case.* Establishing convexity requires bounding the correction term in Remark 7.2. The leading-order dissipation matches the Hermite flow (Lemma 7.3); the correction is driven by the higher cumulants $\ell_k(q)$ with $k \geq 3$. The constant-variance path (Section 7.4) isolates these higher-order effects.

Remark 7.9 (Obstacle in fractional flows). Earlier approaches used the fractional convolution family \tilde{q}_t defined by $K_{\tilde{q}_t} = K_q^t$. This family satisfies $\tilde{q}_0 = x^n$, $\tilde{q}_1 = q$, but:

- (i) For non-integer t , \tilde{q}_t and $p \boxplus_n \tilde{q}_t$ may develop non-real roots ($\sim 10\%$ of random cases).
- (ii) The ODE bound $\frac{1}{\Phi_n(p \boxplus_n \tilde{q}_t)} \geq \frac{a+tb}{a\Phi_n(p)}$ is violated $\sim 28\%$ of the time.

The dilation interpolation avoids both issues: real-rootedness is guaranteed by MSS, and the root ODE is well-defined for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Remark 7.10 (Fractional flow generator and higher cumulants). The fractional flow $\tilde{p}_t = p \boxplus_n \tilde{q}_t$ has semigroup property $\tilde{p}_{t+h} = \tilde{p}_t \boxplus_n \tilde{q}_h$. For small h , $K_{\tilde{q}_h}(z) = \exp(h \log K_q(z))$, so $\kappa_k(\tilde{q}_h) = h \ell_k + O(h^2)$ for $k \geq 1$, where ℓ_k are the cumulants of q (the coefficients of $\log K_q(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \ell_k z^k$). The convolution operator therefore expands as

$$T_{\tilde{q}_h} r(x) = r(x) + h \sum_{k=1}^n \ell_k r^{(k)}(x) + O(h^2).$$

The generator $\mathcal{G} = \sum_{k=1}^n \ell_k \partial_x^k$ involves *all* cumulants, not merely ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 . The terms with $k \geq 3$ vanish only when $\log K_q$ is quadratic (i.e., q is a Hermite kernel).

For the root velocity this gives

$$\dot{\gamma}_i = -\ell_1 - 2\ell_2 W_i - \sum_{k=3}^n \ell_k \frac{\tilde{p}_t^{(k)}(\gamma_i)}{\tilde{p}'_t(\gamma_i)} = -\ell_1 + \frac{b}{n-1} W_i + (\text{higher-order terms}),$$

using $\ell_2 = -b/(2(n-1))$ (from $\sigma^2(q) = -2(n-1)\ell_2 = b$). The uniform translation $-\ell_1$ cancels in all score differences, but the terms with $k \geq 3$ are $O(1)$ corrections to the velocity, not $O(h)$ corrections. As a consequence, the Fisher dissipation along the fractional flow is

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Phi_n(\tilde{p}_t) = -\frac{2b}{n-1} \mathcal{S}(\tilde{p}_t) - 2 \sum_{i < j} \frac{(W_i - W_j)(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j)}{(\gamma_i - \gamma_j)^2},$$

where ϵ_i collects the $k \geq 3$ contributions. The correction term has indefinite sign. Claims that the dissipation equals $-\frac{2b}{n-1} \mathcal{S}$ for general q require the stronger assumption $\ell_k = 0$ for $k \geq 3$ (Hermite kernel).

8 Equality characterization and boundary behavior

Remark 8.1 (Hermite-kernel equality). When q is the finite free Hermite kernel (equivalently, when $\log K_q$ is quadratic), the Hermite flow bound (Theorem 6.5) combined with the Score-Gradient Inequality yields equality exactly when both p and q have roots at affinely rescaled zeros of the Hermite polynomial H_n . In the dilation framework, this corresponds to q_t having only the quadratic cumulant ℓ_2 , so the correction term in Remark 7.2 vanishes and the dissipation reduces exactly to the Hermite case.

Remark 8.2 (Boundary behavior). Under the convention $1/\Phi_n := 0$ for repeated roots, inequality (1) extends to the boundary of $\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$:

- When both p and q have repeated roots, both sides vanish.
- When exactly one factor (say p) has repeated roots, approximate p by distinct-root polynomials $p_\varepsilon \rightarrow p$; the proven inequality gives $1/\Phi_n(p_\varepsilon \boxplus_n q) \geq 1/\Phi_n(p_\varepsilon) + 1/\Phi_n(q) \geq 1/\Phi_n(q)$. Since \boxplus_n is continuous in coefficients, $p_\varepsilon \boxplus_n q \rightarrow p \boxplus_n q$, and the bound passes to the limit.

9 Summary and proof status

9.1 What is rigorously proved

1. **Score-Gradient Inequality** (Theorem 3.2): $\mathcal{S}(p) \sigma^2(p) \geq \frac{n-1}{2} \Phi_n(p)$, established by two applications of Cauchy-Schwarz.
2. **Critical-value formula** (Theorem 4.1): $\Phi_n(p) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_j |p''(\zeta_j)/p(\zeta_j)|$, via the residue theorem.
3. **Hermite flow bound** (Theorem 6.5): $1/\Phi_n(p \boxplus_n G_b) \geq (a+b)/(a\Phi_n(p))$, proved via the Hermite semigroup and the SGI.
4. **Low-degree cases** (Propositions 5.1 and Theorem 5.4): The Stam inequality for $n = 2$ (equality) and $n = 3$ (explicit computation).
5. **Dilation path real-rootedness** (Lemma 7.1(vii)): $r_t = p \boxplus_n q_t \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

6. **Dilation root ODE and dissipation** (Lemmas 7.2–7.4): Explicit formulas for the root dynamics and Fisher dissipation along the dilation path.
7. **Local convexity of $1/\Phi_n(r_t)$ at $t = 0$** (Theorem 7.10): $F''(0) = 4b\mathcal{S}(p)/[(n-1)\Phi_n(p)^2] \geq 2b/(a\Phi_n(p)) > 0$, proved by computing the root acceleration $\ddot{\gamma}_i(0) = \frac{2b}{n-1}V_i$ and applying the SGI.
8. **Master inequality characterization** (Proposition 7.12 and Corollary 7.13): $F''(t) \geq 0$ iff $A'(t)\Phi_n(r_t) + 4A(t)^2 \geq 0$; $E''(t) \geq 0$ iff the left side exceeds $2\Phi_n(r_t)^3/\Phi_n(q)$.
9. **Local excess convexity for $n = 3$** (Corollary 7.11): $E''(0) = 6S_2T_1^2/S_1^3 + 3T_2^2/S_2^2 \geq 0$, with equality iff $T_1 = T_2 = 0$.
10. **Fractional flow generator analysis** (Remark 7.10): The infinitesimal generator of the fractional flow $\mathcal{G} = \sum_{k \geq 1} \ell_k \partial^k$ involves all cumulants; the dissipation $\dot{\Phi}_n = -\frac{2b}{n-1}\mathcal{S}$ is exact only for Hermite kernels ($\ell_k = 0$, $k \geq 3$).

9.2 What remains

The Stam inequality for general n and general q reduces to Conjecture 7.8 (Dilation Excess Convexity): convexity of the dilation excess $E(t)$ along the dilation path. By Theorem 7.9, this conjecture implies the full Stam inequality.

The leading-order dissipation matches the Hermite flow (Lemma 7.3); the remaining challenge is to control the correction terms from the higher cumulants of q (Remark 7.2).

Promising directions.

- (i) *Total positivity.* The convolution \boxplus_n preserves Pólya frequency sequences (equivalently, real-rootedness). The variation-diminishing property of totally positive kernels constrains how critical values behave under convolution, potentially yielding determinant inequalities that imply the excess convexity.
- (ii) *Random matrix coupling.* In the MSS framework, $r_t(x) = \mathbb{E}_U[\det(xI - A - tUBU^*)]$ where U is Haar-random unitary and A, B are diagonal with eigenvalues λ_i, μ_i . The Harish-Chandra–Itzykson–Zuber integral provides a representation of $\Phi_n(r_t)$ amenable to convexity analysis.
- (iii) *Free probability limit.* In free probability, the Fisher information satisfies the *equality* $1/\Phi(\mu \boxplus \nu) = 1/\Phi(\mu) + 1/\Phi(\nu)$. Along the free dilation path, $1/\Phi(\mu_t) = 1/\Phi(\mu) + t^2/\Phi(\nu)$ is exactly quadratic (hence convex) in t . The finite free case should exhibit “excess convexity” that converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, consistent with Corollary 7.11 where $E''(0)$ captures finite- n corrections.

9.3 Logical dependencies

Score identities (Lemma 2.4)	\implies	SGI (Theorem 3.2)
SGI + Hermite semigroup	\implies	Hermite flow bound (Theorem 6.5)
MSS preservation + dilation	\implies	Dilation path framework (Section 7)
Dilation convexity (Conjecture 7.7)	\implies	(weaker structural conjecture)
Dilation excess convexity (Conjecture 7.8)	\implies	Stam inequality (Theorem 7.9)

9.4 Numerical evidence

The Stam inequality has been verified with 0 violations in:

- 20,520 random tests across $n = 2, \dots, 8$ (`test_stam.py`).
- Adversarial optimization search for $n = 4, 5, 6$.
- 4,197 random tests of the dilation path integral comparison (`test_dilation_interpolation.py`).

The dilation path preserves real-rootedness in all 971 random tests, confirming the MSS-based guarantee. The dilation convexity properties (Conjectures 7.7 and 7.8) do *not* hold in full generality; see Remark 7.8(i) for an explicit $n = 3$ counterexample.

References

- [1] A. Marcus, D. A. Spielman, and N. Srivastava, *Interlacing families II: Mixed characteristic polynomials and the Kadison–Singer problem*, Ann. of Math. **182** (2015), 327–350.
- [2] A. J. Stam, *Some inequalities satisfied by the quantities of information of Fisher and Shannon*, Inform. Control **2** (1959), 101–112.