



## UNITED STATES – MEASURES RELATED TO PRICE COMPARISON METHODOLOGIES

### REQUEST FOR CONSULTATIONS BY CHINA

#### *Addendum*

The following communication, dated 3 November 2017, from the delegation of China to the delegation of the United States and to the Chairperson of the Dispute Settlement Body, is circulated in accordance with Article 4.4 of the DSU.

---

My authorities have instructed me to request consultations with the Government of the United States of America ("United States") pursuant to Article 4 of the Understanding on Rules and Procedures Governing the Settlement of Disputes (DSU), Article XXII of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994 (GATT 1994) and Article 17 of the Agreement on Implementation of Article VI of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994 (AD Agreement). This addendum supplements and does not replace China's request for consultations with the United States dated 12 December 2016.<sup>1</sup>

On 12 December 2016, the People's Republic of China ("China") requested consultations with the United States. China and the United States held an initial round of consultations in this matter on 7-8 February 2017 with a view to reaching a mutually satisfactory solution. While these consultations assisted in clarifying some of the issues before the parties, they failed to resolve the dispute.

Subsequent to the consultations between China and the United States, the United States Department of Commerce (USDOC) announced on 29 March 2017 that it would review China's status as a so-called "non-market economy" under the provisions of US law discussed below. This review provided an opportunity for the United States to comply with its obligations under the covered agreements using a domestic legal procedure. Regrettably, the USDOC issued a determination on 30 October 2017 that China remains a "non-market economy" under US law, with the result that the United States will continue to violate its obligations under the covered agreements. China is therefore compelled to seek further consultations with the United States in the interests of securing a positive resolution to this dispute.

#### **Background**

At the time of China's accession to the WTO, the Members agreed that for a period of 15 years, investigating authorities would be allowed to determine normal value in anti-dumping proceedings involving Chinese products using methodologies "not based on a strict comparison with domestic prices or costs in China". The limited authority to use these methodologies was set forth in Section 15(a)(ii) of the Protocol on the Accession of the People's Republic of China ("Protocol"), and subject to the conditions set forth therein. Pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Protocol, "[i]n any event, the provisions of subparagraph (a)(ii) shall expire 15 years after the date of accession". Accordingly, Members were required to terminate the use of these methodologies under Section 15(a)(ii) of the Protocol no later than 11 December 2016, and the continued use of

---

<sup>1</sup> The request for consultations was circulated on 15 December 2016 in document WT/DS515/1, G/L/1169, G/ADP/D115/1.

these methodologies thereafter is in violation of a Member's obligations under the covered agreements.

After 11 December 2016, the provisions of the AD Agreement and the GATT 1994 that ordinarily apply to the determination of normal value apply to imports from China without derogation. China is concerned that the provisions of US law pertaining to the determination of normal value in anti-dumping proceedings involving products from China are inconsistent with those provisions.

Pursuant to Section 773(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (Tariff Act), the USDOC ordinarily determines normal value based on: (1) the price at which the like product is sold or offered for sale for consumption in the exporting country; (2) the price at which the like product is sold or offered for sale for consumption in a third-country export market; or (3) the cost of production in the country of origin, including an amount for administrative, selling, and general costs, and for profits.<sup>2</sup>

Under Section 773(c)(1) of the Tariff Act, however, if an investigation involves imports from a country that the United States designates as a "non-market economy", and the USDOC "finds that available information" does not permit the determination of normal value in accordance with the methodologies set forth in Section 773(a) of the Tariff Act, then the USDOC "shall determine" normal value on the basis of the values of the factors of production (plus amounts for general expenses and profit) as identified in a third country (referred to hereinafter as "surrogate values").<sup>3</sup> The USDOC's regulations implementing Section 773(c) of the Tariff Act are set forth at 19 C.F.R. § 351.408.

Section 771(18)(c) of the Tariff Act provides that a determination by the USDOC that a country is a non-market economy "shall remain in effect until revoked by the administering authority", i.e. by the USDOC. The USDOC last determined that China is a "non-market economy" in a memorandum dated 26 October 2017.<sup>4</sup> As of the present date, the USDOC has not revoked that determination. Accordingly, pursuant to Section 771(18)(c) of the Tariff Act, the provisions of Section 773(c) of the Tariff Act remain applicable in anti-dumping proceedings relating to products from China.<sup>5</sup>

The foregoing provisions of US law provide for, and result in, the determination of normal value and margins of dumping other than in accordance with the requirements of Article 2 of the AD Agreement and Article VI of the GATT 1994. These derogations from the covered agreements were exceptionally permitted pursuant to Section 15 of the Protocol, subject to the conditions set forth therein, but are no longer permitted as a result of the expiration of Section 15(a)(ii).

Separate and apart from the provisions of Section 773(c) of the Tariff Act, Section 773(e) of the Tariff Act provides that, in some circumstances, the USDOC may determine a constructed normal value on the basis of "another calculation methodology under this subtitle or any other calculation methodology". Section 773(e) could, in principle, permit the USDOC as a matter of US law to determine normal value and margins of dumping in investigations and reviews of imports from China using surrogate values.

---

<sup>2</sup> The methodologies for determining the cost of production in the country of origin are further specified in Sections 773(e) and 773(f) of the Tariff Act.

<sup>3</sup> Section 773(c)(2) provides that if the USDOC finds that the available information concerning the values of the factors of production in a "market economy country" is "inadequate", the USDOC shall determine normal value by reference to the price at which the product at issue is sold from a "market economy" country into the United States or other countries. The term "surrogate values" as used herein encompasses the use of third-country prices as provided for under Section 773(c)(2).

<sup>4</sup> See *China's Status as a Non-Market Economy*, memorandum dated 26 October 2017 issued in the anti-dumping investigation of *Certain Aluminum Foil From the People's Republic of China* (USDOC docket A-570-053).

<sup>5</sup> The provisions of Section 773(c) of the Tariff Act apply in any circumstance in which the USDOC determines normal value for the purposes of the anti-dumping provisions of the Tariff Act. These circumstances include: (i) original anti-dumping investigations initiated pursuant to section 732 of the Tariff Act; (ii) administrative (periodic) reviews of anti-dumping orders pursuant to sections 751(a)(1) and 751(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act; (iii) "new shipper" reviews pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act; (iv) "changed circumstance" reviews pursuant to section 751(b) of the Tariff Act; and (v) sunset (expiry) reviews pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act (items (ii) through (v) referred to hereinafter, collectively, as "reviews").

### **Measures at Issue**

As described above, the measures at issue establish the US non-market economy methodology and result in the use of surrogate values to determine normal value and margins of dumping in anti-dumping investigations and reviews of Chinese products. These measures consist of:

1. Section 771(18) of the Tariff Act;
2. Section 773 of the Tariff Act;
3. Part 351.408 of the USDOC's regulations, 19 C.F.R. § 351.408;
4. The USDOC's determination of 26 October 2017 that China is a "non-market economy" for the purposes of the Tariff Act; and
5. The failure of the United States, by way of omission, to revoke the USDOC's determination that China is a "non-market economy" or otherwise modify its laws and regulations to render the surrogate value provisions of Section 773(c) of the Tariff Act (or Section 773(e), to the extent that it permits the use of surrogate values) inapplicable to anti-dumping investigations and reviews of Chinese products.

In addition to the above measures, which establish the US non-market economy methodology, the measures at issue consist of:

6. The policy or practice<sup>6</sup> of using surrogate values to determine normal value in both original and administrative review determinations in anti-dumping proceedings involving Chinese products, whether that conduct is pursuant to Section 773(c) of the Tariff Act, Section 773(e) of the Tariff Act, or any other provision of US law;
7. All measures listed in Appendix A, Part I, where the USDOC made a final determination of normal value in an anti-dumping investigation or administrative review of imports from China, subsequent to 11 December 2016, that was based on the use of surrogate values (referenced collectively as "Measure 7");
8. The policy or practice of initiating anti-dumping investigations involving Chinese products where the evidence of dumping in the application by or on behalf of the domestic industry is calculated based on the use of surrogate values;
9. The USDOC's Preliminary Affirmative Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value in *Certain Hardwood Plywood Products From the People's Republic of China* (A-570-051) (23 June 2017); *Certain Aluminum Foil From the People's Republic of China* (A-570-053) (26 October 2017); and *Carton-Closing Staples from the People's Republic of China* (A-570-055) (27 October 2017) (referenced collectively as "Measure 9");<sup>7</sup>
10. All measures listed in Appendix A, Part II, where the USDOC made a final determination in a sunset review regarding the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and injury in which it relied on margins of dumping calculated on the basis of surrogate values (referenced collectively as "Measure 10");
11. The policy or practice of making final determinations in sunset reviews of anti-dumping orders applicable to Chinese products relying on margins of dumping calculated on the basis of surrogate values, whether pursuant to Section 773(c) of the Tariff Act, Section 773(e) of the Tariff Act, or any other provision of US law;

---

<sup>6</sup> Where China refers to a "policy or practice" in this request for consultations, China refers to a policy or practice that constitutes a rule or norm of general and prospective application (whether or not that rule or norm results from the operation of US law) and/or conduct that is presently occurring and likely to be continued in the future.

<sup>7</sup> If the USDOC issues final determinations in these proceedings and makes final determinations of normal value based on the use of surrogate values, China advances the same claims in relation to these final determinations that it advances in relation to Measures 1-7.

12. The failure of the USDOC, by way of omission, to conduct "reviews based on changed circumstances" pursuant to Section 751(b) of the Tariff Act in the anti-dumping investigations of Chinese products, by virtue of the expiration of Section 15(a)(ii).

### ***Legal Basis of the Complaint***

It appears to China that the measures at issue are inconsistent with the covered agreements in the following respects.<sup>8</sup>

#### **I. MEASURES 1-5, IN THEIR COMBINED OPERATION, AS WELL AS MEASURES 6-7, ARE INCONSISTENT WITH:**

- a. Article 2.2 of the AD Agreement and Article VI:1 of the GATT 1994, because the measures at issue provide for, and result in, the calculation of normal value and margins of dumping in investigations and reviews of products from China other than on the basis of the cost of production "in the country of origin" (or on the basis of "a comparable price of the like product when exported to an appropriate third country");
- b. Article 2.1 of the AD Agreement and Article VI:1 of the GATT 1994, because the measures at issue provide for, and result in, the calculation of normal value and margins of dumping in investigations and reviews of products from China other than by reference to the price at which the product at issue is sold "when destined for consumption in the exporting country", i.e. China;
- c. Article VI:2 of the GATT 1994, because the measures at issue provide for, and result in, the levying of anti-dumping duties greater than the margin of dumping determined in accordance with the provisions of Article VI:1 of the GATT 1994;
- d. Article 18.1 of the AD Agreement, because the measures at issue constitute a specific action against dumping taken other than in accordance with the provisions of the GATT 1994 as interpreted by the AD Agreement;
- e. Article I:1 of the GATT 1994, because the measures at issue fail to extend immediately and unconditionally to China an "advantage, favour, privilege or immunity" granted by the United States "[w]ith respect to customs duties and charges of any kind imposed on or in connection with" the importation of products originating in the territory of other Members, as well as with respect to "the method of levying such duties and charges" and the "rules and formalities in connection with importation";
- f. Article 9.2 of the AD Agreement, because the measures at issue provide for, and result in, the collection of anti-dumping duties other than on a "non-discriminatory basis";
- g. Article 9.3 of the AD Agreement, with respect to administrative reviews, because the measures at issue provide for, and result in, the levying of anti-dumping duties greater than the margin of dumping determined in accordance with the provisions of Article 2 of the AD Agreement.

#### **II. MEASURE 8 IS INCONSISTENT WITH:**

- a. Article 5.2 of the AD Agreement, because the USDOC initiates investigations where the application provided by or on behalf of the domestic industry does not include evidence of dumping calculated in a manner consistent with Article 2 of the AD Agreement;
- b. Article 5.3 of the AD Agreement, because the USDOC initiates investigations in the absence of sufficient evidence to justify those initiations;
- c. Article I:1 of the GATT 1994, for the reasons articulated in Section I above.

---

<sup>8</sup> China's claims with respect to Measures 1-11 relate to anti-dumping investigations and reviews of Chinese products initiated and/or resulting in preliminary or final determinations after 11 December 2016.

**III. MEASURE 9 IS INCONSISTENT WITH:**

- a. Article 7.1(ii) of the AD Agreement, because the USDOC applied provisional measures without making a preliminary affirmative determination of dumping in a manner consistent with Article 2 of the AD Agreement;
- b. Articles 2.2, 2.1, and 18.1 of the AD Agreement, and Article I:1 of the GATT 1994, for the reasons articulated in Section I above.

**IV. MEASURES 10 AND 11 ARE INCONSISTENT WITH:**

- a. Articles 11.1 and 11.3 of the AD Agreement, because the USDOC unlawfully relies on margins of dumping calculated on the basis of surrogate values when making a determination of the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and injury;
- b. Article 18.1 of the AD Agreement, Article I:1 of the GATT 1994, and Article 9.2 of the AD Agreement, for the reasons articulated in Section I above.

**V. MEASURE 12 IS INCONSISTENT WITH:**

- a. Articles 11.1 and 11.2 of the AD Agreement, because the USDOC has not, after 11 December 2016, reviewed the need for the continued imposition of the duty where such a review is "warranted" within the meaning of Article 11.2 by virtue of the expiration of Section 15(a)(ii);
- b. Article 18.1 of the AD Agreement, Article I:1 of the GATT 1994, and Article 9.2 of the AD Agreement, for the reasons articulated in Section I above.

Measures 1-12 also appear to be inconsistent with Article 18.4 of the AD Agreement and Article XVI:4 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization, as a consequence of the foregoing, because the United States has failed to ensure "the conformity of its laws, regulations and administrative procedures" with the identified provisions of the AD Agreement and the GATT 1994.

The inconsistencies with the covered agreements specified above ceased to be justifiable when Section 15(a)(ii) of the Protocol expired on 11 December 2016 pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Protocol. After that date, Section 15(a)(ii) of the Protocol does not provide a legal basis for Members to determine normal value using methodologies that are "not based on a strict comparison with domestic prices or costs in China". In addition, the measures at issue are not justifiable under the second Supplementary Provision to Article VI:1 of the GATT 1994, as referenced in Article 2.7 of the AD Agreement.

\* \* \*

China reserves the right to raise additional claims and legal matters regarding the above-mentioned measures during the course of the consultations. This request for consultations also concerns any modification, replacement or amendment to the measures identified above, and any closely connected, subsequent measures (including final determinations in respect of any preliminary determination identified herein).

China looks forward to receiving the reply of the Government of the United States to this request and to setting a mutually convenient date for consultations.

---

**Appendix A****I. FINAL DETERMINATIONS IN ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS AND ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEWS****Original Investigations**

- *Large Residential Washers From the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Negative Determination of Critical Circumstances*, 81 Fed. Reg. 90776 (December 15, 2016). (Amended: *Large Residential Washers From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination and Antidumping Duty Order*, 82 Fed. Reg. 9371 (February 6, 2017)).
- *Certain Biaxial Integral Geogrid Products From the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 82 Fed. Reg. 3284 (January 11, 2017).
- *Ammonium Sulfate From the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 82 Fed. Reg. 8403 (January 25, 2017).
- *Antidumping Duty Investigation of Certain Amorphous Silica Fabric From the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less-Than-Fair-Value, and Final Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances*, 82 Fed. Reg. 8399 (January 25, 2017).
- *Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-To-Length Plate From the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 82 Fed. Reg. 8510 (January 26, 2017).
- *Truck and Bus Tires From the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Critical Circumstances*, 82 Fed. Reg. 8599 (January 27, 2017).
- *Antidumping Duty Investigation of Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip From the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances*, 82 Fed. Reg. 9716 (February 8, 2017).
- *1,1,1,2 Tetrafluoroethane (R-134a) From the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances, in Part*, 82 Fed. Reg. 12192 (March 1, 2017).
- *1-Hydroxyethylidene-1, 1-Diphosphonic Acid From the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 82 Fed. Reg. 14876 (March 23, 2017). (Amended: *1-Hydroxyethylidene-1, 1-Diphosphonic Acid From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, and Antidumping Duty Order*, 82 Fed. Reg. 22807 (May 18, 2017)).

**Administrative Reviews**

- *Lightweight Thermal Paper From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015*, 81 Fed. Reg. 91115 (December 16, 2016).
- *Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the 2014-2015 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 81 Fed. Reg. 91904 (December 19, 2016).
- *Magnesia Carbon Bricks From the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015*, 82 Fed. Reg. 1695 (January 6, 2017).

- 
- *Chlorinated Isocyanurates From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015*, 82 Fed. Reg. 4852 (January 17, 2017).
  - *Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Rescission of New Shipper Review; 2014-2015*, 82 Fed. Reg. 4844 (January 17, 2017).
  - *Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China: Final Results Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments in Part; 2014-2015*, 82 Fed. Reg. 10743 (February 15, 2017).
  - *Small Diameter Graphite Electrodes From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2015-2016*, 82 Fed. Reg. 10876 (February 16, 2017).
  - *Xanthan Gum From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013-2014*, 82 Fed. Reg. 11428 (February 23, 2017).
  - *Xanthan Gum From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Final Determination of No Shipments, Final Partial Rescission; 2014-2015*, 82 Fed. Reg. 11434 (February 23, 2017).
  - *Magnesium Metal From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015-2016*, 82 Fed. Reg. 12928 (March 8, 2017).
  - *Uncovered Innerspring Units From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015-2016*, 82 Fed. Reg. 13975 (March 16, 2017).
  - *Certain Steel Nails From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Final Determination of No Shipment and Final Partial Rescission; 2014-2015*, 82 Fed. Reg. 14344 (March 20, 2017). (Amended: *Certain Steel Nails From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015*, 82 Fed. Reg. 19217 (April 26, 2017)).
  - *Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Review; 2014-2015*, 82 Fed. Reg. 17634 (April 12, 2017).
  - *Steel Wire Garment Hangers From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 2014-2015*, 82 Fed. Reg. 18115 (April 17, 2017).
  - *Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015*, 82 Fed. Reg. 18733 (April 21, 2017). (Amended: *Certain Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015*, 82 Fed. Reg. 27224 (June 14, 2017)).
  - *Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015-2016*, 82 Fed. Reg. 23187 (May 22, 2017).
  - *Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2010-2011*, 82 Fed. Reg. 23195 (May 22, 2017).
  - *Certain Cased Pencils From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015*, 82 Fed. Reg. 24675 (May 30, 2017).

- *Pure Magnesium From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015-2016*, 82 Fed. Reg. 25771 (June 5, 2017).
- *Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Final Determination of No Shipments, and Final Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015*, 82 Fed. Reg. 25766 (June 5, 2017). (Corrected: *Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China: Correction to the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 2014-2015*; 82 Fed. Reg. 43219 (September 14, 2017)).
- *Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015*, 82 Fed. Reg. 26912 (June 12, 2017).
- *Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of the 21<sup>st</sup> Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015*, 82 Fed. Reg. 27230 (June 14, 2017).
- *Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2015-2016*, 82 Fed. Reg. 27226 (June 14, 2017).
- *Seamless Refined Copper Pipe and Tube From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015*, 82 Fed. Reg. 27688 (June 16, 2017).
- *Potassium Permanganate From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015*, 82 Fed. Reg. 28044 (June 20, 2017).
- *Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2015-2016*, 82 Fed. Reg. 28639 (June 23, 2017).
- *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2014-2015*, 82 Fed. Reg. 29033 (June 27, 2017). (Amended: *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015*, 82 Fed. Reg. 40560 (August 25, 2017)).
- *Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2015-2016*, 82 Fed. Reg. 30841 (July 3, 2017).
- *Certain Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Products From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2014-2016*, 82 Fed. Reg. 32170 (July 12, 2017).
- *Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Rescission of New Shipper Review; 2015-2016*, 82 Fed. Reg. 47469 (October 12, 2017).
- *Glycine From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Rescission of Administrative Review, in Part; 2015-2016*, 82 Fed. Reg. 47474 (October 12, 2017).

## II. FINAL DETERMINATIONS IN SUNSET REVIEWS

- *Sulfanilic Acid From India and the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited Fourth Sunset Reviews of Antidumping Duty Orders*, 82 Fed. Reg. 1321 (January 5, 2017).



- *Certain Artist Canvas From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 82 Fed. Reg. 8723 (January 30, 2017).
  - *Pure Magnesium From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited Fourth Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 82 Fed. Reg. 9198 (February 3, 2017).
  - *Certain Helical Spring Lock Washers From the People's Republic of China and Taiwan: Final Results of the Expedited Fourth Five-Year Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders*, 82 Fed. Reg. 12805 (March 7, 2017).
  - *Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited First Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order*, 82 Fed. Reg. 13092 (March 9, 2017).
  - *Furfuryl Alcohol From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited Fourth Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order*, 82 Fed. Reg. 20318 (May 1, 2017).
  - *Silicon Metal From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited Fourth Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 82 Fed. Reg. 30841 (July 3, 2017).
  - *Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Fourth Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 82 Fed. Reg. 36752 (August 7, 2017).
  - *Certain Stilbenic Optical Brightening Agents From the People's Republic of China and Taiwan: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders*, 82 Fed. Reg. 36732 (August 7, 2017).
  - *High Pressure Steel Cylinders From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order*, 82 Fed. Reg. 41607 (September 1, 2017).
  - *Foundry Coke Products From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited Third Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order*, 82 Fed. Reg. 41598 (September 1, 2017).
-