CSE 464 DIGITAL IMAGE PROCESSING HOMEWORK 01

 $\ddot{\mathrm{O}}\mathrm{mer}$ ÇEVİK

161044004

Let's define:

$$\star \qquad x' = x\cos(\theta) - y\sin(\theta)$$

$$\star \qquad y' = y\sin(\theta) + y\cos(\theta)$$

x' is rotated of x

and

y' is rotated of y

If we represent x and y in that equations:

From 1. Equation : $x = \frac{x' + y\sin(\theta)}{\cos(\theta)}$ and $y = \frac{x\cos(\theta) - x'}{\sin(\theta)}$ From 2. Equation : $x = \frac{y' - y\cos(\theta)}{\sin(\theta)}$ and $y = \frac{y' - x\sin(\theta)}{\cos(\theta)}$

If we get together all x and y:

$$x = \frac{x' + y\sin(\theta)}{\cos(\theta)} = \frac{y' - y\cos(\theta)}{\sin(\theta)} \quad \text{ and } \quad y = \frac{x\cos(\theta) - x'}{\sin(\theta)} = \frac{y' - x\sin(\theta)}{\cos(\theta)}$$

Find for y:

$$\Rightarrow \frac{x'\sin(\theta) + y\sin^2(\theta)}{\cos(\theta)\sin(\theta)} = \frac{y'\cos(\theta) - y\cos^2(\theta)}{\cos(\theta)\sin(\theta)}$$

$$\Rightarrow x'\sin(\theta) + y\sin^2(\theta) - y'\cos(\theta) + y\cos^2(\theta) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x'\sin(\theta) + y(\sin^2(\theta) + \cos^2(\theta)) - y'\cos(\theta) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x'\sin(\theta) + y - y'\cos(\theta) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow y = -x'\sin(\theta) + y'\cos(\theta)$$

Find for x:

$$\Rightarrow \frac{x\cos^2(\theta) - x'\cos(\theta)}{\cos(\theta)\sin(\theta)} = \frac{y'\sin(\theta) - x\sin^2(\theta)}{\cos(\theta)\sin(\theta)}$$

$$\Rightarrow x\cos^2(\theta) - x'\cos(\theta) - y'\sin(\theta) + x\sin^2(\theta) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x(\cos^2(\theta) + \sin^2(\theta)) - x'\cos(\theta) - y'\sin(\theta) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x - x'\cos(\theta) - y'\sin(\theta) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x = x'\cos(\theta) + y'\sin(\theta)$$

$$\nabla^2 f = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x'^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y'^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x'} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial x'} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x'}$$

We can take derivation of x for equation result.

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial x'} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial x'}(x'\cos(\theta) + y'\sin(\theta)) = \cos(\theta)$$

We can take derivation of y for equation result.

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x'} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x'}(-x'\sin(\theta) + y'\cos(\theta)) = -\sin(\theta)$$

So our equation becomes to : $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x'} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\cos(\theta) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(-\sin(\theta))$

Taking second derivation of $\boldsymbol{x'}$:

$$\begin{split} \bullet \quad & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x'^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \cos(\theta) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} (-\sin(\theta))) \\ & = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \cos^2(\theta) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}) \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}) \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} \sin^2(\theta) \end{split}$$

Using \mathbf{x} equation we can find derivation of \mathbf{x} to \mathbf{y} ':

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial y'} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y'}(x'\cos(\theta) + y'\sin(\theta)) = \sin(\theta)$$

Using y equation we can find derivation of y to y':

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial y'} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y'}(-x'\sin(\theta) + y'\cos(\theta)) = \cos(\theta)$$

So our equation becomes to:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial u'} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \frac{\partial y}{\partial u'} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \sin(\theta) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \cos(\theta)$$

Taking second derivation of $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x'} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\cos(\theta) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(-\sin(\theta))$:

•
$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x'^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \cos(\theta) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} (-\sin(\theta)) \right)$$
$$= \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \sin^2(\theta) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) \cos^2(\theta) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} \sin^2(\theta)$$

In the end, if we sum equations which are signed as black points we will see the Laplacian operator has independency from rotation as a proof.

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \cos^2(\theta) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}) \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}) \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} \sin^2(\theta) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \sin^2(\theta) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}) \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}) \cos^2(\theta) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} \sin^2(\theta)$$

$$\nabla^2 f = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x'^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y'^2} \qquad \mathbf{\underline{Proved}} \checkmark$$

The Minkowski distance is very common:

$$\forall p = (p_1, p_2), q = (q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \ d(p, q) = (|p_1 - q_1|^r + |p_2 - q_2|^r)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

For r=1 it is known as Manhattan, city-block or L_1 distance.

 \circledast Then Minkowski distance formula becomes Manhattan/city-block/ L_1 distance formula: $d(p,q)=|p_1-q_1|+|p_2-q_2|$

Let a, b and c are pixel dots.

$$a = (a_1, a_2), b = (b_1, b_2), c = (c_1, c_2)$$

 \circledast The Triangular Inequality is : $d(a,c) \leq d(a,b) + d(b,c)$

Using Manhattan formula:

$$d(a,c) = |a_1 - c_1| + |a_2 - c_2|$$

$$d(a,b) = |a_1 - b_1| + |a_2 - b_2|$$

$$d(b,c) = |b_1 - c_1| + |b_2 - c_2|$$

With Triangular Inequality:

$$\Rightarrow |a_1 - c_1| + |a_2 - c_2| \le |a_1 - b_1| + |a_2 - b_2| + |b_1 - c_1| + |b_2 - c_2|$$

If we think that 3 x 3 image space and the parts of this space can be called as A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H and I.

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} G & H & I \\ \hline D & E & F \\ \hline A & B & C \\ \end{array}$$

Let the c is the corner of [EFIH] and a is the corner of [ABED]. We can find the positions of b.

A:
$$b_1 \le a_1 \le c_1$$
 and $b_2 \le a_2 \le c_2$
 $-a_1 + c_1 - a_2 + c_2 \le a_1 - b_1 + a_2 - b_2 + -b_1 + c_1 - b_2 + c_2$
 $0 \le a_1 - b_1 + a_2 - b_2$ **True**

B:
$$a_1 \le b_1 \le c_1$$
 and $b_2 \le a_2 \le c_2$
 $-a_1 + c_1 - a_2 + c_2 \le -a_1 + b_1 + a_2 - b_2 - b_1 + c_1 - b_2 + c_2$
 $0 \le a_2 - b_2$ **True**

C:
$$a_1 \le c_1 \le b_1$$
 and $b_2 \le a_2 \le c_2$
 $-a_1 + c_1 - a_2 + c_2 \le -a_1 + b_1 + a_2 - b_2 + b_1 - c_1 - b_2 + c_2$
 $0 \le b_1 - c_1 + a_2 - b_2$ True

D:
$$b_1 \le a_1 \le c_1$$
 and $a_2 \le b_2 \le c_2$
 $-a_1 + c_1 - a_2 + c_2 \le a_1 - b_1 - a_2 + b_2 + -b_1 + c_1 - b_2 + c_2$
 $0 \le a_1 - b_1$ **True**

E:
$$a_1 \le b_1 \le c_1$$
 and $a_2 \le b_2 \le c_2$
 $-a_1 + c_1 - a_2 + c_2 \le -a_1 + b_1 - a_2 + b_2 - b_1 + c_1 - b_2 + c_2$
 $0 \le 0$ **True**

F:
$$a_1 \le c_1 \le b_1$$
 and $a_2 \le b_2 \le c_2$
 $-a_1 + c_1 - a_2 + c_2 \le -a_1 + b_1 - a_2 + b_2 + b_1 - c_1 - b_2 + c_2$
 $0 \le b_1 - c_1$ **True**

G:
$$b_1 \le a_1 \le c_1$$
 and $a_2 \le c_2 \le b_2$
 $-a_1 + c_1 - a_2 + c_2 \le a_1 - b_1 - a_2 + b_2 - b_1 + c_1 + b_2 - c_2$
 $0 \le a_1 - b_1 + b_2 - c_2$ True

H:
$$a_1 \le b_1 \le c_1$$
 and $a_2 \le c_2 \le b_2$
 $-a_1 + c_1 - a_2 + c_2 \le -a_1 + b_1 - a_2 + b_2 - b_1 + c_1 + b_2 - c_2$
 $0 \le b_2 - c_2$ **True**

I:
$$a_1 \le c_1 \le b_1$$
 and $a_2 \le c_2 \le b_2$
 $-a_1 + c_1 - a_2 + c_2 \le -a_1 + b_1 - a_2 + b_2 + b_1 - c_1 + b_2 - c_2$
 $0 \le b_1 - c_1 + b_2 - c_2$ True

For all these 9 positions we can figure out that the formula is working. Also there are 4 different rotations of $\bf a$ and $\bf c$ to find $\bf b$. But if other rotations be showed we can see the distance between that points will never change. To prove the distance that 9 positions are enough.

Proved \checkmark

Let define C_{3x3} as our solved matrix.

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & c_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

And this C matrix must be multiply by B matrix to create equations of C matrix's values.

$$\bigstar \overbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & c_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}^{B} \overbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}^{A} = \overbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}^{A} \overbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & c_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}^{B} \overbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}^{A} = \overbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}^{A} \overbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & c_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}^{B} \overbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}^{A} = \overbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}^{A}$$

For \bigstar we can create this equations:

$$1c_{11} + 2c_{12} + 1c_{13} = 2$$
 \Rightarrow $c_{11} + 2c_{12} + c_{13} = 2$
 $1c_{21} + 2c_{22} + 1c_{23} = 2$ \Rightarrow $c_{21} + 2c_{22} + c_{23} = 2$

For \clubsuit we can create this equations:

$$\begin{array}{lll} 2c_{11} + 1c_{12} + 1c_{13} = -1 & \Rightarrow & 2c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13} = -1 \\ 2c_{21} + 1c_{22} + 1c_{23} = 4 & \Rightarrow & 2c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23} = 4 \end{array}$$

For \blacklozenge we can create this equations:

$$3c_{11} + 1c_{12} + 1c_{13} = -4$$
 \Rightarrow $3c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{13} = -4$
 $3c_{21} + 1c_{22} + 1c_{23} = 4$ \Rightarrow $3c_{21} + c_{22} + c_{23} = 4$

With that equations we can find each values of C matrix.

$$\begin{array}{ll} c_{11}+2c_{12}+c_{13}=2 & c_{21}+2c_{22}+c_{23}=2 \\ 2c_{11}+c_{12}+c_{13}=-1 & 2c_{21}+c_{22}+c_{23}=4 \\ 3c_{11}+c_{12}+c_{13}=-4 & 3c_{21}+c_{22}+c_{23}=4 \end{array}$$

Using Cramer Method we find these results:

$$\begin{split} \Delta &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (1*1*1) + (2*1*3) + (1*2*1) - (3*1*1) - (1*1*1) - (1*2*2) = 1 \quad \checkmark \end{split}$$

To find
$$c_{11}: \Delta_{11} = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -4 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= (2*1*1) + (2*1*(-4)) + (1*(-1)*1) - ((-4)*1*1) - (1*1*2) - (1*(-1)*2) = -3$$

$$\Rightarrow c_{11} = -3/1 = -3$$
To find $c_{12}: \Delta_{12} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 3 & -4 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$

$$= (1*(-1)*1) + (2*1*3) + (1*2*(-4)) - (3*(-1)*1) - ((-4)*1*1) - (1*2*2) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow c_{12} = 0/1 = 0$$
To find $c_{13}: \Delta_{13} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 3 & 1 & -4 \end{vmatrix}$

$$= (1*1*(-4)) + (2*(-1)*3) + (2*2*1) - (3*1*2) - (1*(-1)*1) - ((-4)*2*2) = 5$$

$$\Rightarrow c_{13} = 5/1 = 5$$
To find $c_{21}: \Delta_{21} = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$

$$= (2*1*1) + (2*1*4) + (1*4*1) - (4*1*1) - (1*1*2) - (1*4*2) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow c_{21} = 0/1 = 0$$
To find $c_{22}: \Delta_{22} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$

$$= (1*4*1) + (2*1*3) + (1*2*4) - (3*4*1) - (4*1*1) - (1*2*2) = -2$$

$$\Rightarrow c_{22} = -2/1 = -2$$
To find $c_{23}: \Delta_{23} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 \end{vmatrix}$

$$= (1*1*4) + (2*4*3) + (2*2*1) - (3*1*2) - (1*4*1) - (4*2*2) = 6$$

$$\Rightarrow c_{23} = 6/1 = 6$$

$$c_{11} = -3 \quad c_{12} = 0 \quad c_{13} = 5 \quad c_{21} = 0 \quad c_{22} = -2 \quad c_{23} = 6$$

So our C matrix is:

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & -2 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad and \quad C^{-1} = \frac{1}{|C|} \cdot C^{T} = \frac{1}{|C|} \begin{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} -2 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} & \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} & \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} & \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 5 \\ -2 & 6 \end{vmatrix} \\ \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} & \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} & \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 5 \\ 0 & 6 \end{vmatrix} \\ \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} & \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} & \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{vmatrix}$$

Using Sarrus Method:

$$|C| = ((-3)*(-2)*1) + (0*6*0) + (5*0*0) - (0*(-2)*5) - (0*6*(-3)) - (1*0*0) = 6$$

Using Adjoint Matrix Method:

$$C^{-1} = \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} -2 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = -2 & \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0 & \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 5 \\ -2 & \end{vmatrix} = 10 \\ \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0 & \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = -3 & \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 5 \\ 0 & 6 \end{vmatrix} = 18 \\ \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 0 & \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 0 & \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 6 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & 10 \\ 0 & -3 & 18 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow C^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{5}{3} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

In the definition of dilation operation we know that if \mathbf{B} is a structuring element then it has decomposition:

$$\check{B} = \mathrm{H}_1 \oplus \mathrm{H}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{H}_N$$

The dilation of X by \check{B} can be performed:

$$X \oplus \check{B} = X \oplus (H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_N)$$

From associative of dilation operation:

$$= ((((X \oplus H_1) \oplus H_2) \oplus \cdots) \oplus H_N)$$

In here $\check{B} = \mathrm{H}_1 \oplus \mathrm{H}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{H}_N$ where each H_N satisfies the smallness requirement of the hardware pipelineable stages.

To make this decomposition problem more concrete, we can take the structuring element \check{B} to be a 7 x 5 rectangle whose corner pixels are missing. One decomposition which is not most efficient is given by:

$$\check{B} = \{(0,0),(0,1)\} \oplus \{(0,0),(0,1)\} \oplus \{(0,0),(1,1)\} \oplus \{(0,0),(1,0)\} \oplus \{(0,0),(1,0)\} \oplus \{(0,0),(1,-1)\} \oplus \{(0,0),(0,-1)\} \oplus \{(0,0),(0,-1)\}.$$

If each H_N in the decomposition of \check{B} , we see that \check{B} is a 2-point set, then the resulting decomposition is said to be a 2-point decomposition. A canonical 2-point decomposition involves only H_N 's for which $0 \in H_N$, $n = 1, \ldots, N$. Canonical 2-point decompositions are important because dilation with such a 2-point set can be accomplished by a shift and an **OR**.

We begin by stating the relationships among dilation, erosion, set union and set intersection. These relationships are all easily proven in a few steps using the definitions of the operations.

$$(\mathbf{C} \cup \mathbf{D}) \oplus \check{B} = (\mathbf{C} \oplus \check{B}) \cup (\mathbf{D} \oplus \check{B}) \qquad \mathbf{\underline{Proved}} \checkmark$$