


# Non Deterministic Automata

Linz: Nondeterministic Finite Accepters, page 51


# Nondeterministic Finite Acceptor (NFA)

Alphabet =  $\{a\}$




# Nondeterministic Finite Acceptor (NFA)

Alphabet =  $\{a\}$





# Nondeterministic Finite Acceptor (NFA)



Alphabet =  $\{a\}$




# First Choice




# First Choice




# First Choice



# First Choice





All input is consumed









# Second Choice



# Second Choice




# Second Choice




No transition:  
the automaton hangs

## Second Choice



Input cannot be consumed





**An NFA accepts a string:**

when there is a computation of the NFA  
that accepts the string

- All the input is consumed and the automaton is in a final state



# Example

*aa* is accepted by the NFA:





because this computation  
accepts *aa*

# Rejection example




# First Choice








# First Choice





"reject"





# Second Choice



# Second Choice



# Second Choice





## An NFA rejects a string:

when there is no computation of the NFA that accepts the string

- All the input is consumed and the automaton is in a non final state
- The input cannot be consumed



# Example

$a$  is rejected by the NFA:





All possible computations lead to rejection

# Rejection example





# First Choice






# First Choice




No transition:  
the automaton hangs



# First Choice





Input cannot be consumed





# Second Choice



# Second Choice




# Second Choice




No transition:  
the automaton hangs



## Second Choice



Input cannot be consumed




aaa is rejected by the NFA:




All possible computations lead to rejection



Language accepted:  $L = \{aa\}$









# Lambda Transitions











(read head doesn't move)









all input is consumed



String  $aa$  is accepted


# Rejection Example










(read head doesn't move)






No transition:  
the automaton hangs

Input cannot be consumed






String `aaa` is rejected



Language accepted:  $L = \{aa\}$





# Another NFA Example

























## Another String



























## Language accepted

$$L = \{ab, abab, ababab, \dots\}$$
$$= \{ab\}^+$$




# Another NFA Example




## Language accepted

$$L(M) = \{\lambda, 10, 1010, 101010, \dots\}$$
$$= \{10\}^*$$




## Remarks:

- The  $\lambda$  symbol never appears on the input tape
- Extreme automata:




$$L(M_1) = \{\}$$




$$L(M_2) = \{\lambda\}$$

- NFAs are interesting because we can express languages easier than DFAs

NFA  $M_1$



$$L(M_1) = \{a\}$$



$$L(M_2) = \{a\}$$

# Formal Definition of NFAs

$$M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$$

$Q$ : Set of states, i.e.  $\{q_0, q_1, q_2\}$

$\Sigma$ : Input alphabet, i.e.  $\{a, b\}$


$\delta$ : Transition function

$q_0$ : Initial state

$F$ : Final states


# Transition Function $\delta$

$$\delta(q_0, 1) = \{q_1\}$$







$$\delta(q_1, 0) = \{q_0, q_2\}$$



$$\delta(q_0, \lambda) = \{q_0, q_2\}$$




$$\delta(q_2, 1) = \emptyset$$




# Extended Transition Function $\delta^*$


$$\delta^*(q_0, a) = \{q_1\}$$



$$\delta^*(q_0, aa) = \{q_4, q_5\}$$



$$\delta^*(q_0, ab) = \{q_2, q_3, q_0\}$$



# Formally


It holds  $q_j \in \delta^*(q_i, w)$

if and only if

there is a walk from  $q_i$  to  $q_j$   
with label  $w$

# The Language of an NFA $M$

$$F = \{q_0, q_5\}$$




$$\delta^*(q_0, aa) = \{q_4, \underline{q_5}\}$$

$$aa \in L(M)$$




$$F = \{q_0, q_5\}$$




$$\delta^*(q_0, ab) = \{q_2, q_3, \underline{q_0}\} \quad ab \in L(M)$$

$$F = \{q_0, q_5\}$$




$$\delta^*(q_0, abaa) = \{q_4, \underline{q_5}\} \quad aaba \in L(M)$$

$$F = \{q_0, q_5\}$$



$$\delta^*(q_0, aba) = \{q_1\}$$

$$aba \notin L(M)$$



$$L(M) = \{aa\} \cup \{ab\}^* \cup \{ab\}^+ \{aa\}$$

# Formally

The language accepted by NFA  $M$  is:

$$L(M) = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots\}$$


where  $\delta^*(q_0, w_m) = \{q_i, q_j, \dots\}$

and there is some  $q_k \in F$  (final state)



$$w \in L(M)$$

$$\delta^*(q_0, w)$$



$$q_k \in F$$

# Equivalence of NFAs and DFAs

Linz: 2.3 Equivalence of Deterministic and Nondeterministic Finite  
Acceptors, page 58

# Equivalence of Machines

For DFAs or NFAs:

Machine  $M_1$  is equivalent to machine  $M_2$


if  $L(M_1) = L(M_2)$



# Example


NFA  $M_1$

$$L(M_1) = \{10\}^*$$



DFA  $M_2$


$$L(M_2) = \{10\}^*$$




Since  $L(M_1) = L(M_2) = \{10\}^*$

machines  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are equivalent

NFA  $M_1$



DFA  $M_2$



# Equivalence of NFAs and DFAs

Question: NFAs = DFAs ?



Same power?

Accept the same languages?

# Equivalence of NFAs and DFAs

Question: NFAs = DFAs ? YES!



Same power?

Accept the same languages?

We will prove:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Languages} \\ \text{accepted} \\ \text{by NFAs} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Languages} \\ \text{accepted} \\ \text{by DFAs} \end{array} \right\}$$

NFAs and DFAs have the same  
computation power

## Step 1

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Languages} \\ \text{accepted} \\ \text{by NFAs} \end{array} \right\} \supseteq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Languages} \\ \text{accepted} \\ \text{by DFAs} \end{array} \right\}$$

**Proof:** Every DFA is trivially an NFA

A language accepted by a DFA  
is also accepted by an NFA

## Step 2


$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Languages} \\ \text{accepted} \\ \text{by NFAs} \end{array} \right\} \subseteq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Languages} \\ \text{accepted} \\ \text{by DFAs} \end{array} \right\}$$

**Proof:** Any NFA can be converted to an equivalent DFA


A language accepted by an NFA  
is also accepted by a DFA

# NFA to DFA

NFA  $M$




DFA  $M'$






# NFA to DFA

NFA  $M$




DFA  $M'$




# NFA to DFA

NFA  $M$




DFA  $M'$




# NFA to DFA

NFA  $M$




DFA  $M'$




# NFA to DFA

NFA  $M$




DFA  $M'$




# NFA to DFA

NFA  $M$




DFA  $M'$




# NFA to DFA

**NFA**  $M$



$$L(M) = L(M')$$

**DFA**  $M'$



# NFA to DFA: Remarks

We are given an NFA  $M$

We want to convert it  
to an equivalent DFA  $M'$

With  $L(M) = L(M')$

If the NFA has states

$$q_0, q_1, q_2, \dots$$


the DFA has states in the powerset

$$\emptyset, \{q_0\}, \{q_1\}, \{q_1, q_2\}, \{q_3, q_4, q_7\}, \dots$$



# Procedure NFA to DFA


1. Initial state of NFA:  $q_0$




Initial state of DFA:  $\{q_0\}$

# Example

NFA  $M$



DFA  $M'$



# Procedure NFA to DFA

2. For every DFA's state  $\{q_i, q_j, \dots, q_m\}$

Compute in the NFA


$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \delta^*(q_i, a), \\ \delta^*(q_j, a), \\ \dots \end{array} \right\} = \{q'_i, q'_j, \dots, q'_m\}$$

Add transition to DFA

$$\delta(\{q_i, q_j, \dots, q_m\}, a) = \{q'_i, q'_j, \dots, q'_m\}$$


# Exampe

NFA  $M$



$$\delta^*(q_0, a) = \{q_1, q_2\}$$

DFA  $M'$




$$\delta(\{q_0\}, a) = \{q_1, q_2\}$$

# Procedure NFA to DFA


Repeat Step 2 for all letters in alphabet,  
until  
no more transitions can be added.

# Example

NFA  $M$



DFA  $M'$



# Procedure NFA to DFA


3. For any DFA state  $\{q_i, q_j, \dots, q_m\}$

If some  $q_j$  is a final state in the NFA

Then,  $\{q_i, q_j, \dots, q_m\}$   
is a final state in the DFA


# Example

NFA  $M$



$q_1 \in F$

DFA  $M'$



$\{q_1, q_2\} \in F'$



# Theorem

Take NFA  $M$

Apply procedure to obtain DFA  $M'$

Then  $M$  and  $M'$  are equivalent :

$$L(M) = L(M')$$

# Finally

We have proven

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Languages} \\ \text{accepted} \\ \text{by NFAs} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Languages} \\ \text{accepted} \\ \text{by DFAs} \end{array} \right\}$$

We have proven

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Languages} \\ \text{accepted} \\ \text{by NFAs} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Languages} \\ \text{accepted} \\ \text{by DFAs} \end{array} \right\}$$

Regular Languages

We have proven

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Languages} \\ \text{accepted} \\ \text{by NFAs} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Languages} \\ \text{accepted} \\ \text{by DFAs} \end{array} \right\}$$

Regular Languages

Regular Languages

We have proven

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Languages} \\ \text{accepted} \\ \text{by NFAs} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Languages} \\ \text{accepted} \\ \text{by DFAs} \end{array} \right\}$$

Regular Languages

Regular Languages

Thus, NFAs accept the regular languages