

DATA 622 - Homework 1

OMER OZEREN

Table of Contents

Load the Data	1
Split Data into Train(60%) and Test data(30%).....	1
Knn k=3	1
Knn k=5	2
LR.....	2
Naive Bayes.....	3
SUMMARY	3

Load the Data

```
df <- read.table("C:/Users/OMERO/Documents/GitHub/DATA622/data.txt", header =
T, sep=',')
df$label <- ifelse(df$label == "BLACK", 1, 0)
df$y <- as.numeric(df$y)
df$X <- as.factor(df$X)
```

Split Data into Train(60%) and Test data(30%)

```
set.seed(998)
split_df <- createDataPartition(df$label, p = .60, list = FALSE)
df_train <- df[split_df,]
df_test <- df[-split_df,]
```

Knn k=3

```
knnK3.model <- knn(df_train, df_test, cl=df_train$label, k=3)
knnK3.cm <- table(knnK3.model, df_test$label)
knnK3.pred <- prediction(as.numeric(knnK3.model), df_test$label)
knnK3.perf <- performance(knnK3.pred, measure="tpr", x.measure="fpr")
auc_roc(knnK3.model, df_test$label)

## [1] 0.5833333

# Store the results

KNN_K3_RESULTS <- data.frame("ALGO"="KNN(K=3)", "AUC" =
performance(knnK3.pred, "auc")@y.values[[1]],
"ACC" = sum(diag(knnK3.cm) / (sum(rowSums(knnK3.cm))))),
"TPR" = knnK3.cm[2,2] / sum(knnK3.cm[2,]),
"FPR" = knnK3.cm[1,2] / sum(knnK3.cm[1,]),
```

```

      "TNR" = knnK3.cm[1,1] / sum(knnK3.cm[1,]),
      "FNR" = knnK3.cm[2,1] / sum(knnK3.cm[2,])
KNN_K3_RESULTS

##      ALGO      AUC      ACC      TPR FPR TNR      FNR
## 1 KNN(K=3) 0.5833333 0.6428571 0.8888889 0.8 0.2 0.1111111

```

Knn k=5

```

knnK5.model <- knn(df_train,df_test,cl=df_train$label,k=5)
knnK5.cm <- table(knnK5.model,df_test$label)
knnK5.pred <- prediction(as.numeric(knnK5.model),df_test$label)
knnK5.perf <- performance(knnK5.pred,measure="tpr",x.measure="fpr")
auc_roc(knnK5.model, df_test$label)

## [1] 0.5833333

# Store the results

KNN_K5_RESULTS <- data.frame("ALGO"="KNN(K=5)", "AUC" =
performance(knnK5.pred, "auc")@y.values[[1]],
      "ACC" = sum(diag(knnK5.cm)/(sum(rowSums(knnK5.cm)))),
      "TPR" = knnK5.cm[2,2] / sum(knnK5.cm[2,]),
      "FPR" = knnK5.cm[1,2] / sum(knnK5.cm[1,]),
      "TNR" = knnK5.cm[1,1] / sum(knnK5.cm[1,]),
      "FNR" = knnK5.cm[2,1] / sum(knnK5.cm[2,]))
KNN_K5_RESULTS

##      ALGO      AUC      ACC      TPR FPR TNR      FNR
## 1 KNN(K=5) 0.5833333 0.6428571 0.8888889 0.8 0.2 0.1111111

```

LR

```

lr.model <- glm(label ~ ., data=df_train,family = "binomial")
lr.test = predict(lr.model, newdata=df_test,type="response")
lr.cm <- table(lr.test > 0.5,df_test$label)
lr.pred <- prediction(as.numeric(lr.test > 0.5),df_test$label)
lr.perf <- performance(lr.pred,measure="tpr",x.measure="fpr")
# Store the results
LR_RESULTS <- data.frame("ALGO"="LR", "AUC" =
performance(lr.pred, "auc")@y.values[[1]],
      "ACC" = sum(diag(lr.cm)/(sum(rowSums(lr.cm)))),
      "TPR" = lr.cm[2,2] / sum(lr.cm[2,]),
      "FPR" = lr.cm[1,2] / sum(lr.cm[1,]),
      "TNR" = lr.cm[1,1] / sum(lr.cm[1,]),
      "FNR" = lr.cm[2,1] / sum(lr.cm[2,]))
LR_RESULTS

##      ALGO      AUC      ACC      TPR      FPR      TNR      FNR
## 1 LR 0.6666667 0.7857143 0.9090909 0.6666667 0.3333333 0.09090909

```

Naive Bayes

```
nb.model = naive_bayes(as.character(label)~., data=df_train)

## Warning: naive_bayes(): Feature X - zero probabilities are present.
Consider
## Laplace smoothing.

nb.test= predict(nb.model, newdata=df_test)

## Warning: predict.naive_bayes(): more features in the newdata are provided
as
## there are probability tables in the object. Calculation is performed based
on
## features to be found in the tables.

nb.cm <- table(nb.test,df_test$label)
nb.pred <- prediction(as.numeric(nb.test) ,df_test$label)
nb.perf <- performance(nb.pred,measure="tpr",x.measure="fpr")
# Store the results
NB_RESULTS <- data.frame("ALGO"="NB", "AUC" =
performance(nb.pred, "auc")@y.values[[1]],
                        "ACC" = sum(diag(nb.cm)/(sum(rowSums(nb.cm))))),
                        "TPR" = nb.cm[2,2] / sum(nb.cm[2,]),
                        "FPR"= nb.cm[1,2] / sum(nb.cm[1,]),
                        "TNR" = nb.cm[1,1] / sum(nb.cm[1,]),
                        "FNR"= nb.cm[2,1] / sum(nb.cm[2,]))

NB_RESULTS

##   ALGO      AUC      ACC      TPR FPR TNR      FNR
## 1   NB 0.5833333 0.6428571 0.8888889 0.8 0.2 0.1111111
```

SUMMARY

```
##      ALGO      AUC      ACC      TPR      FPR      TNR      FNR
## 1 KNN(K=3) 0.5833333 0.6428571 0.8888889 0.8000000 0.2000000 0.1111111
## 2 KNN(K=5) 0.5833333 0.6428571 0.8888889 0.8000000 0.2000000 0.1111111
## 3      NB 0.5833333 0.6428571 0.8888889 0.8000000 0.2000000 0.1111111
## 4      LR 0.6666667 0.7857143 0.9090909 0.6666667 0.3333333 0.09090909
```

- The table above shows each model capacity to learn and capacity to generalize results.
- The data set is too small for machine learning models. Due to lack of data, Machine Learning models will suffer the perform good results. I splited the dat in to train(60%) and test(40%) to check each model's performance on unseen data.
- When we review at the each models' ability or capacity to learn. LR model gives the best AUC (66%) and best Accuracy (78.5%).
 - The AUC provides an aggregate measure of performance across all possible classification threshold. The seperation between BLACK and BLUE for all models above 0.58% which indicates that models are able to get okay results in

class separation. KNN with k=3, KNN with k=5 and Naive Bayes models give identical results in ability to learn. This result might be caused because of lack of data.

- All of the models accuracy rate (ability or capacity to learn) over 64% indicating that they all have an slightly better random chance of correctly classifying Black (1) vs. Blue (0)
- When we review at the each model's ability to generalize, LR gives better results among the other models.
 - True Positive Rate (**Sensitivity**) : Sensitivity measures how the model is to detecting events in the positive (Black) class, in this case When it's actual value is **Black (1)**, LR model predicted output **Black (1)** with 0.90%, NB, and KNN models predicted output with 0.88%.
 - False Positive Rate (**Specificity**): Specificity measures how exact the assignment to the positive class is, in this case, When it's actual value is **Blue (0)**, LR model predicted output **Black (1)** with 0.66%, NB, and KNN models predicted output with 0.80%.
 - True Negative Rate: When it's actual value is **Blue (0)**, LR model predicted output **Blue (0)** with 0.33%, NB, and KNN models predicted output with 0.220%
 - True Negative Rate When it's actual value is **Black (1)**, LR model predicted output **Blue (0)** with 0.09%, NB, and KNN models predicted output with 0.11%.
- Logistic Regression gives better result in terms of ability or capacity to learn and ability to generalize.
- One of the most important aspects of an algorithm is how fast it is. It is often easy to come up with an algorithm to solve a problem, but if the algorithm is too slow, it's back to the drawing board. In terms of aspects of Algorithm, LR is also a quick and reasonably method.
- In machine learning, the more data is usually better than better algorithms. There are multiple aspects of data quality that comprise fitness for modeling: **relevance, accuracy, completeness, recency and cleanliness**.
 - The data needs to accurately reflect or correspond to what we're measuring, to the required level of measurement.
 - The Complete data measures or describes all the relevant aspects of the problem you're trying to solve.
 - The Recent data reflects the current state of a measurement.
 - The Clean data is free of duplicate values. The data is organized, standardized, structured and labelled or documented to the extent possible