

# Series 11

## 1. Heston model

In the lecture we studied the following SDE describing a Heston model for the process  $\mathbf{Z}_t = (X_t, Y_t)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$d\mathbf{Z}_t = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{Z}_t)dt + \Sigma(\mathbf{Z}_t)d\mathbf{W}_t, \quad \mathbf{Z}_0 = \mathbf{z}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (1)$$

Here  $\mathbf{W}_t$  is a  $\mathbb{R}^2$ -valued standard Brownian motion and for  $\mathbf{z} = (s, y)^\top$ ,  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  and  $\rho \in [-1, 1]$ ,

$$\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{z}) = \begin{pmatrix} rs \\ \alpha(m - y) - \lambda(s, y) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{z}) = \begin{pmatrix} s\sqrt{y} & 0 \\ \beta\rho\sqrt{y} & \beta\sqrt{1-\rho^2}\sqrt{y} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We consider some problems related to this model.

a) Verify that the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  in (9.11) in the textbook could be written as

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2} \left( s^2 y \partial_s^2 + 2s\beta\rho y \partial_s \partial_y + \beta^2 y \partial_y^2 \right) + rs \partial_s + \alpha(m - y) \partial_y. \quad (2)$$

b) Verify that by introducing the new variable  $x = \log(s)$ , one could rewrite (2) as

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2} \left( y \partial_x^2 + 2\beta\rho y \partial_x \partial_y + \beta^2 y \partial_y^2 \right) + \left( r - \frac{y}{2} \right) \partial_x + \alpha(m - y) \partial_y. \quad (3)$$

Weighted Sobolev spaces have to be used in the variational formulation of stochastic volatility models to recover well-posedness. This is due to the fact that the pricing equations in log-price and time-to-maturity are **degenerate** parabolic equations. We take the log-Heston model as example and verify rigorously that a Gårding-type inequality does not hold on a standard Sobolev space.

Set  $J = (0, T)$  and fix a given payoff  $g : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Consider the following log-Heston model localized to  $G_R = (-R_1, R_1) \times (0, R_2)$  with  $R_1, R_2 > 0$  with *time-to-maturity* and with solution  $v_R : J \times G_R \rightarrow [0, \infty)$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t v_R - \left( \frac{1}{2} y \partial_{xx} + y \partial_{xy} + 2y \partial_{yy} - \frac{1}{2} y \partial_x - 2(y-1) \partial_y \right) v_R &= 0 \quad \text{in } J \times G_R, \\ v_R(0, x, y) &= g(e^x) \quad \text{in } G_R, \\ v_R(t, x, y) &= 0 \quad \text{on } J \times \partial G_R. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

This equation is a degenerate parabolic PDE. Its variational formulation using the standard Sobolev space  $H_0^1(G_R)$  reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Find } u &\in L^2(J; H_0^1(G_R)) \cap H^1(J; H^{-1}(G_R)) \text{ such that } u(0, x, y) = g(e^x) \text{ and} \\ (\partial_t u, v) + a(u, v) &= 0 \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(G_R). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Here,  $a(u, v) : H_0^1(G_R) \times H_0^1(G_R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} a(u, v) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{G_R} y \partial_x u \partial_x v \, dx \, dy + \int_{G_R} y \partial_y u \partial_x v \, dx \, dy + 2 \int_{G_R} y \partial_y u \partial_y v \, dx \, dy \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{G_R} y \partial_x u v \, dx \, dy + 2 \int_{G_R} y \partial_y u v \, dx \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove this formulation is ill-posed, fix a sequence of functions  $H_0^1(G_R) \ni u_n(x, y) = \psi(x) \cdot \phi_n(y)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

1.  $\psi(x) \in C_0^\infty(-R_1, R_1)$ ,  $\phi_n(y) \in C_0^\infty(0, R_2)$  are nonzero,
2.  $\|\psi\|_{H^1(-R_1, R_1)} = \|\phi_n\|_{H^1(0, R_2)} = 1$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and
3.  $\text{supp } \phi_n \subset (0, \frac{1}{n})$ .

c) Show that  $\|\phi_n\|_{L^2(0, R_2)}^2 \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|\partial_y \phi_n\|_{L^2(0, R_2)}^2 \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

*Hint:* You may use without proof the following *Poincaré inequality*: for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $v \in H_0^1(a, b)$ , there exists  $C_{\text{poin}} > 0$  such that

$$\|v\|_{L^2(a, b)} \leq C_{\text{poin}}(b - a)\|v'\|_{L^2(a, b)}.$$

d) Show that  $\|u_n\|_{L^2(G_R)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

e) Show that there exists a constant  $C_K > 0$  such that  $\|u_n\|_{H^1(G_R)}^2 \geq C_K$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

f) Show that for any  $C_2 > 0$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N_\epsilon$ ,

$$a(u_n, u_n) + C_2\|u_n\|_{L^2(G_R)}^2 < \epsilon.$$

g) Show that there could not exist  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that the Gårding-type inequality  $a(u, u) \geq C_1\|u\|_{H^1(G_R)}^2 - C_2\|u\|_{L^2(G_R)}^2$  holds for any  $u \in H_0^1(G_R)$ .

## 2. Discretization for the Heston model

We consider in this exercise the tensor-product FEM approximation to Equation (4).

a) Rewrite (4) in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t v_R - \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathcal{Q} D^2 v_R) + \mu(x, y)^\top \nabla v_R + c(x, y) v_R\right) &= 0 \quad \text{in } J \times G_R, \\ v_R(t, x, y) &= 0 \quad \text{in } J \times \partial G_R, \\ v_R(0, x, y) &= g(e^x) \quad \text{in } G_R. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Specify  $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ ,  $\mu(x, y) : G_R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $c(x, y) : G_R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Verify that these coefficients  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\mu(x, y)$  and  $c(x, y)$  satisfy Assumption 9.5.1 in the textbook.

For the discretization in space, let  $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $h = \frac{2R_1}{N+1}$ ,  $k = \frac{R_2}{M+1}$  and let  $x_i = -R_1 + ih$ ,  $y_j = jk$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, N+1$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, M+1$ . Set  $b_i(x) = \max\{0, 1 - h^{-1}|x_i - x|\}$  on  $(-R_1, R_1)$  and  $b_j(y) = \max\{0, 1 - k^{-1}|y_j - y|\}$ . The finite element space  $V_{NM} \subset V$  with dimension  $NM$  is then given by

$$V_{NM} := \text{span}\{b_i(x) \cdot b_j(y) : 1 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq j \leq M\}.$$

b) Specify the matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$  corresponding to the bilinear form  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  given in the previous exercise.

### 3. Inverse Gamma processes

Let  $X$  be a Lévy process with state space  $\mathbb{R}$ . We assume that the associated Lévy measure  $\nu(dz) = k(z)dz$  satisfies

$$k(z) \leq C \begin{cases} e^{-\beta_-|z|}, & z < -1, \\ e^{-\beta_+|z|}, & z > 1, \end{cases}$$

with  $\beta_- > 0$  and  $\beta_+ > 1$ . Then, the associated integrodifferential operator  $\mathcal{A}^J$  is given by

$$\mathcal{A}^J f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(x+z) - f(x) - zf'(x)) k(z) dz.$$

a) Show by integration-by-parts that  $\mathcal{A}^J$  can be written for  $f \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R})$  as

$$\mathcal{A}^J f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f''(x+z) k^{(-2)}(z) dz,$$

where the functions  $k^{(i)} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , are defined by

$$k^{(0)} = k, \quad k^{(-i)}(z) = \begin{cases} \int_{-\infty}^z k^{(-i+1)}(s) ds & \text{if } z < 0, \\ -\int_z^{\infty} k^{(-i+1)}(s) ds & \text{if } z > 0. \end{cases}$$

b) Let  $k(z) = e^{-\beta|z|} |z|^{-1}$ . Show that for  $z > 0$ , there holds

$$\begin{aligned} k^{(-3)}(z) &= -\text{Ei}(\beta z) \frac{z^2}{2} + e^{-\beta z} \left( \frac{z}{2} \beta^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \beta^{-2} \right), \\ k^{(-4)}(z) &= -\text{Ei}(\beta z) \frac{z^3}{6} + e^{-\beta z} \left( \frac{z^2}{6} \beta^{-1} - \frac{z}{6} \beta^{-2} + \frac{1}{3} \beta^{-3} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\text{Ei}(x) = \int_x^{\infty} e^{-s} s^{-1} ds, \quad x > 0$$

is the exponential integral.

Suppose now that  $Y$  is a Gamma process with parameters  $a$  and  $b$ . This is the unique Levy process  $Y_t$  such that its characteristic function is given by

$$\phi(u) = \mathbb{E} [e^{iuY_t}] = \left( 1 - \frac{iu}{b} \right)^{-a}. \quad (7)$$

*Remark:* This implies that  $Y_t$  follows a  $\text{Gamma}(at, b)$  distribution. The density  $f_{\tilde{a},b} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of a  $\text{Gamma}(\tilde{a}, b)$  law is given by

$$f_{\tilde{a},b}(x) = \frac{b^{\tilde{a}}}{\Gamma(\tilde{a})} x^{\tilde{a}-1} \exp(-xb), \quad x > 0. \quad (8)$$

Also note that the Levy triplet of the Gamma process is given by

$$(\sigma^2, \nu, \gamma) = \left( 0, \frac{ae^{-bx}}{x} 1_{\{x>0\}}, \frac{a(1-e^{-b})}{b} \right).$$

c) Use  $\phi$  from Equation (7) to verify that for a random variable  $Y$  following a  $\text{Gamma}(a, b)$  distribution, there holds

- (i) Mean:  $\mathbb{E}[Y] = a/b$ ,
- (ii) Variance:  $\sigma_Y^2 = \text{Var}(Y) = a/b^2$ ,
- (iii) Skewness:  $\mathbb{E}[(\frac{Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]}{\sigma_Y})^3] = 2a^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ ,
- (iv) Kurtosis:  $\mathbb{E}[(\frac{Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]}{\sigma_Y})^4] = 3(1 + 2a^{-1})$ ,
- (v) Scaling Property:  $cY \sim \text{Gamma}(a, \frac{b}{c})$  for any  $c > 0$ .

#### 4. Inverse Gaussian processes

Recall that for a Lévy process  $X$  with characteristic triplet  $(\sigma^2, \nu, \gamma)$ , the characteristic function of  $X_t$  is given as  $\mathbb{E}[e^{iuX_t}] = e^{-t\psi(u)}$  where

$$\psi(u) = -i\gamma u + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 u^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 - e^{iuz} + iuz1_{\{|z| \leq 1\}})\nu(dz).$$

The inverse Gaussian process with parameters  $a, b > 0$  is the Lévy process with

$$\psi(u) = a(\sqrt{-2iu + b^2} - b),$$

and Lévy measure

$$\nu(dx) = k(x)dx = \frac{a}{\sqrt{2\pi}} x^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{b^2}{2}x} 1_{(0, \infty)}(x)dx.$$

a) Calculate  $\gamma$  for the Lévy triplet  $(0, \nu, \gamma)$  of the inverse Gaussian process.

*Hint: determine the value of the following integral*

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{b}{\sqrt{2}\Gamma(1/2)} x^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{b^2}{2}x} e^{iux} dx$$

using eqs. (7) and (8).

b) Let  $X$  be an inverse Gaussian process with parameters  $a, b > 0$  and consider the normal-inverse Gaussian (NIG) process  $Y$  defined by

$$Y_t := \gamma_0 t + \sigma W_{X_t} + \theta X_t,$$

for given parameters  $\gamma_0, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma > 0$ . Derive the characteristic function of  $Y$  and deduce that it is a pure jump process, i.e.  $\sigma = 0$  in the Lévy triplet of  $Y$ .

**Due: Wednesday, May 22nd, at 2pm.**