

# Deformation Extrapolation for Improved Initial Predictors in the `imitor` Finite Element Code

Omar Hafez

# Background

This document serves to implement the work of Rashid<sup>1</sup> into the `imitor` code base. The basic premise of that work is to improve upon initial predictors for displacements in the solution of large deformation problems. The standard approach of extrapolating based on a constant velocity field is replaced by extrapolation based on constant stretch and rotation rates. The deformation gradient produced from this extrapolation does not in general create a compatible displacement field. Thus, a quadratic functional must be minimized to calculate the improved initial guess, which is fed into the iterative solution process of finding a zero residual. The entire process is explained in an updated Lagrange framework. The `imitor` code, however, is implemented in a total Lagrange sense. This document lays out the steps from Rashid to be implemented in the total Lagrange finite element code `imitor`.

---

<sup>1</sup>M. M. Rashid, Deformation extrapolation and initial predictors in large-deformation finite element analysis, *Computational Mechanics* 16, pp. 281-289, 1995

# Deformation gradient extrapolation method

Wish to extrapolate from  $t^{k-1}$  to  $t^{k+1}$ , subject to boundary conditions on  $\partial\kappa^{k-1}$  evaluated at  $t^{k+1}$ . In the following,  $(\hat{\cdot})$  denotes deformation from  $t^{k-1}$  to  $t^k$ ,  $(\bar{\cdot})$  denotes deformation from  $t^{k-1}$  to  $t^{k+1}$ . Superscripts in general imply an evaluation at that time step. Summation convention enforced.

$$\text{Given: } \hat{\mathbf{u}}_a, \mathbf{u}_a^k, \hat{\mathbf{R}}, (t^k - t^{k-1})\mathbf{D} \quad (1)$$

$$F_{ij}^k = \delta_{ij} + u_{ia}^k \frac{\partial N_a}{\partial X_j} \quad (2)$$

$$F_{ij}^{k-1} = F_{ij}^k - \hat{u}_{ia} \frac{\partial N_a}{\partial X_j} \quad (3)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{F}}^{-1} = \mathbf{F}^{k-1}(\mathbf{F}^k)^{-1} \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{D} = \frac{(t^k - t^{k-1})\mathbf{D}}{(t^k - t^{k-1})} \quad (5)$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{U}} = \mathbf{I} + (t^{k+1} - t^{k-1})\mathbf{D} + \frac{1}{2}(t^{k+1} - t^{k-1})^2\mathbf{D}^2 \quad (6)$$

$$\sin \hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{R}_{ij}\hat{R}_{ij} - \hat{R}_{ij}\hat{R}_{ji})^{1/2} \quad (7)$$

$$\text{if } \sin \hat{\theta} = 0 \rightarrow \mu_i = 0, \text{ else } \rightarrow \mu_i = \frac{-1}{2 \sin \hat{\theta}} \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{R}_{jk} \quad (8)$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \sin^{-1}(\sin \hat{\theta}) \quad (9)$$

$$\text{if } \text{tr} \hat{\mathbf{F}}^{-1} - 1 < 0 \rightarrow \hat{\theta} = \pi - \hat{\theta} \quad (10)$$

$$\bar{\theta} = \frac{t^{k+1} - t^{k-1}}{t^k - t^{k-1}} \hat{\theta} \quad (11)$$

$$\bar{R}_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + (1 - \cos \bar{\theta})(\mu_i \mu_j - \delta_{ij}) - \sin \bar{\theta} \epsilon_{ijk} \mu_k \quad (12)$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{F}} = \bar{\mathbf{R}}\bar{\mathbf{U}} \quad (13)$$

## Enforcing compatible displacement field

Define  $\mathbf{G}$  as

$$\mathbf{G} = (\mathbf{I} + \frac{\partial \bar{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial \mathbf{X}^{k-1}}) \bar{\mathbf{F}}^{-1} \quad (14)$$

Constrained minimization problem may be stated as follows: find  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{X}^{k-1})$ ,  $\mathbf{X}^{k-1} \in \kappa^{k-1}$ , such that

$$I = \int_{\kappa^{k-1}} [(1 - \rho/2) \text{tr} \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G} + (3\rho/2 - 1) \text{tr} \mathbf{G}^2 - 2\rho \text{tr} \mathbf{G} + 3\rho] dV^{k-1} \quad (15)$$

is a minimum, where  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{X}^{k-1})$  satisfies any displacement boundary conditions on  $\partial \kappa^{k-1}$  prescribed at time  $t^{k+1}$ . Define  $R = 1 - \cos \bar{\theta}$  and  $S = \text{tr}[(\bar{\mathbf{U}} - \mathbf{I})^2]$ . Define  $\rho$  as

$$\rho = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^{-1} \frac{S}{\sqrt{R^2 + S^2}} \quad (16)$$

$$\text{if } \rho < 0.1 \rightarrow \rho = 0.1 \quad (17)$$

$$\text{if } \rho > 0.9 \rightarrow \rho = 0.9 \quad (18)$$

It can be shown that if  $\bar{\mathbf{F}}^{-1}$  is compatible, i.e.,  $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{I}$ , that the functional  $I = 0$ , and further that if  $I > 0$  for any perturbation of  $\mathbf{G}$  from  $\mathbf{I}$ .

# Finite element implementation

## Updated Lagrange

Define  $\bar{\mathbf{u}} = \bar{\mathbf{u}}_a \phi_a$  on  $\kappa^{k-1}$ ,  $\mathbf{B}_a \equiv \frac{\partial \phi_a}{\partial \mathbf{X}^{k-1}}$ . The tensor  $\mathbf{G}$  then becomes

$$\mathbf{G} = \bar{\mathbf{F}}^{-1} + \bar{\mathbf{u}}_a \otimes \mathbf{B}_a \bar{\mathbf{F}}^{-1} \quad (19)$$

Define  $\mathbf{A}_a = \bar{\mathbf{F}}^{-T} \mathbf{B}_a$ . We then set the derivative of  $I$  with respect to free components of  $\bar{u}_a$  to zero, i.e.,  $\frac{\partial I}{\partial \bar{u}_b} = 0$ . The boundaries do not depend on  $\bar{u}_{ja}$ , so the derivative may be brought inside the integral. Also, it is noted that  $\rho$  is not a function of  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_b$ .

Upon setting derivatives to zero, the following equation results:

$$\left\{ \int_{\kappa^{k-1}} [(2 - \rho)(\mathbf{A}_a \cdot \mathbf{A}_b) \mathbf{I} + (3\rho - 2) \mathbf{A}_a \otimes \mathbf{A}_b] dV^{k-1} \right\} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_a \quad (20)$$

$$= \int_{\kappa^{k-1}} [2\rho \mathbf{A}_b - (2 - \rho) \bar{\mathbf{F}}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_b - (3\rho - 2) \bar{\mathbf{F}}^{-T} \mathbf{A}_b] dV^{k-1} \quad (21)$$

$$(22)$$

or

$$\mathbf{K}_{ba} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_a = \mathbf{f}_b \quad (23)$$

which holds for all nodes  $b$ . If we define prescribed displacement BCs as  $\tilde{u}_{yz}$ , we solve for unknowns  $\bar{u}_{ja}$  using the equation:

$$K_{ibja} \bar{u}_{ja} = f_{ib} - K_{ibyz} \tilde{u}_{yz} \quad (24)$$

where summation over direction  $y$  for node  $z$  applies only for the nodal displacements that are prescribed.

## Total Lagrange

Define  $\bar{\mathbf{u}} = \bar{\mathbf{u}}_a \phi_a$  on  $\kappa$ , and

$$B_{ia} \equiv \frac{\partial \phi_a}{\partial X_i^{k-1}} = \frac{\partial \phi_a}{\partial X_j} \frac{\partial X_j}{\partial X_i^{k-1}} \quad (25)$$

$$= \frac{\partial \phi_a}{\partial X_j} F_{ji}^{k-1} \quad (26)$$

Or equivalently,  $\mathbf{B}_a \equiv (\mathbf{F}^{k-1})^{-T} \frac{\partial \phi_a}{\partial \mathbf{X}}$ .  $\mathbf{G}$  and  $\mathbf{A}_a$  are formed exactly as before.

In general, when integrating the integrand  $h(\mathbf{X})$ , the following relationship holds:

$$\int_{\kappa^{k-1}} h(\mathbf{X}^{k-1}) dV^{k-1} = \int_{\kappa} h(\mathbf{X}) \det \mathbf{F}^{k-1} dV \quad (27)$$

After a change of integration variables, where the integrand is now integrated over the reference configuration body:

$$\left\{ \int_{\kappa} [(2 - \rho)(\mathbf{A}_a \cdot \mathbf{A}_b)\mathbf{I} + (3\rho - 2)\mathbf{A}_a \otimes \mathbf{A}_b] \det \mathbf{F}^{k-1} dV \right\} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_a \quad (28)$$

$$= \int_{\kappa} [2\rho \mathbf{A}_b - (2 - \rho)\bar{\mathbf{F}}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_b - (3\rho - 2)\bar{\mathbf{F}}^{-T} \mathbf{A}_b] \det \mathbf{F}^{k-1} dV \quad (29)$$

For the purposes of implementation into `imitor`, the integration points correspond to material points regardless of the configuration of the body, so this essentially amounts to multiplying the integrand for each integration point by  $\det \mathbf{F}^{k-1}$ .

Once again, after handling of prescribed displacement BCs, the final system to be solved is:

$$K_{ibja} \bar{u}_{ja} = f_{ib} - K_{ibyz} \tilde{u}_{yz} \quad (30)$$

Again, summation over direction  $y$  for node  $z$  applies only for the nodal displacements that are prescribed.

Finally, once the displacements are solved, the initial guess is assigned as  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_a = \bar{\mathbf{u}}_a - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_a$  to all free DOFs, while prescribed displacements are assigned directly.