# Optimal Task Assignment by Learning Members' Abilities (MURI)

#### Omid Askari

November 3, 2015

## 1 Problem Setup

- <sup>2</sup> Consider we have a group of people and there is a sequence of tasks. Suppose
- $_{3}$  each person has a set of skills and each task requires some of these tasks to a
- 4 extent.
- $people = \{p_1, p_2, ..., p_n\}, tasks = \{t_1, t_2, ..., t_T\}$
- Each person  $p = (s_1, s_2, ..., s_m)$  and task  $t = (r_1, r_2, ..., r_m)$  has m skills
- 7 set and requirements. We suppose that skill values fall in [0,b] and b is a
- 8 configuration parameter which directly affects problem's complexity.

#### 2 Problem Definition

Suppose we know task requirements; however, people's skills are unknown. We need to learn the values of their abilities through payoff function. We also consider that for handling each task, merely one person is needed. The goal is maximizing of the performance in long run. Additionally, payoff function f for person p and task t is defined as follows

$$f(p,t) = \begin{cases} 1, & s_1 \ge r_1 \land s_2 \ge r_2 \land \dots \land s_m \ge r_m \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$$
 (1)

## 3 Proposed Method

- To maximize the performance, we have to learn people's skills. If we know
- everybody's expertises, we assign best people to their matching task to a great
- extent To learn, we propose a simple rule-based learning approach. If agent
- handles successfully the task, it conveys:
- $s_1 \geq r_1 \wedge s_2 \geq r_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge s_m \geq r_m$
- and he or she fails it means:
- 17  $s_1 < r_1 \lor s_2 < r_2 \lor ... \lor s_m < r_m$

In this method, we can learn, and hence, limit the boundaries for each person's skills with assigning more tasks to him or her.

One goal in this study could be finding a lower bound of numbers task assignment to a single person with m different skills that vary in [0, b] to ensure that more than 90% of his or her skill values are uncovered so far.

First we start with no knowledge about people's skills and initialize skill values with 0s. To learn actual values there are two important power which are defined in the following descriptively.

#### 3.1 Exploration

In exploration phase, we choose one person who is completely unknown and has all 0s in his or her skills. If there is no one left unknown in people, then we will choose that person has the largest boundary distance (who is more unknown). To do this, we define a boundary [x,y] such that  $x \geq 0$  and  $y \leq b$  for each skill in every person. Boundary distance is defined as

$$dist = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - y_i)^2} \tag{2}$$

If there are more than one person having the largest distance, then we choose one of them by random.

#### 12 3.2 Exploitation

In exploitation phase, we choose one of known people (they have skills values greater than 0) who is more appropriate for the task and his or her skills are more promising for handling successfully. The selection method for task  $t=(r_1,r_2,...,r_m)$  and if each person such as  $p=(s_1,s_2,...,s_m)$  is

$$\arg\max_{p} o(t, p); \quad o(t, p) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (s_i - r_i)^2}, & \text{if } s_1 \ge r_1 \land s_2 \ge r_2 \land \dots \land s_m \ge r_m \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$$
(3)

#### 3.3 Exploration and exploitation trade-off

There are different methods in Multi Armed Bandits literature [1, 3]; however, for the sake of simplicity and soundness, we will stick with the following method,

$$P_{exploration} = \frac{c}{t} \tag{4}$$

where c is a constant and t is the time-step variable. In the beginning exploration power is very high; however, since t increases over time; as a consequence, the exploitation power gradually increases and exploration decays. This concept is inspired since in the beginning we do not have enough knowledge and is better

- to explore more; nonetheless, as time passes, we know more and hence it is
- better to exploit more and refine the available solutions.

### 4 Extensions

- 1. Find a group of people instead of just one person to handle a task.
- We suppose there is a prior knowledge regarding each person; however, this
   prior probability gradually change through time with a Bayesian learning.
  - 3. The payoff could be continuous instead of binary. Also it cannot be euclidean distance for all, thus:

$$f(p,t) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i}; \quad u_i = \begin{cases} (r_i - s_i)^2, & \text{if } r_i \ge s_i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (5)

4. The payoff function could be stochastic as follows:

$$f(p,t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } s_1 + \mathcal{N}(1,\sigma^2) \ge r_1 \land s_2 + \mathcal{N}(1,\sigma^2) \ge r_2 \land \dots \land s_m + \mathcal{N}(1,\sigma^2) \ge r_m \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$$

$$(6)$$

- 5. We can use some other multi armed bandits algorithms (contextual MAB) and for instance Soft Max to facilitate exploration and exploitation tradeoff [2, 3].
- 6. If we consider that tasks are decomposable then we can have a group of people handling a task instead of solely one person. Therefore, to solve this problem, we will use solutions for multiple knapsack problem [4].

#### 3 References

- 14 [1] Peter Auer, Nicolo Cesa-Bianchi, and Paul Fischer. Finite-time analysis of the multiarmed bandit problem. *Machine learning*, 47(2-3):235–256, 2002.
- [2] Lihong Li, Wei Chu, John Langford, and Robert E Schapire. A contextual-bandit approach to personalized news article recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 19th international conference on World wide web*, pages 661–670.
   ACM, 2010.
- <sup>20</sup> [3] Volodymyr Kuleshov and Doina Precup. Algorithms for multi-armed bandit problems. arXiv preprint arXiv:1402.6028, 2014.
- <sup>22</sup> [4] Chandra Chekuri and Sanjeev Khanna. A polynomial time approximation scheme for the multiple knapsack problem. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 35(3):713–728, 2005.